MICHIGAN STATE
UNIVERSITY

Interplay of α_s, m_t and gluon parameterization in CT PDF extractions

TANISHQ SHARMA (& Y. FU, M. GUZZI & P. NADOLSKY)

DIS2026

Introduction

α_s and m_t are input parameters in PDF fits

They are highly correlated with the gluon PDF

As such, their input values can be expected to have a large effect on the resulting PDF

And consequently, a large effect on the quality of the resulting fit

Furthermore, correlated constraints on α_s and m_t affect projections for stability of electroweak vacuum [arXiv:2512.23792]

Question: how do the PDFs (and the fit quality) change upon varying these input parameters?

The analysis

As a first step, the fitting package is interfaced with PineAPPL

MATRIX framework interfaced with PineAPPL is run to produce theory predictions, up to NNLO accuracy in QCD, for top quark pair distributions

This foregoes the use of K-factors and allows the computation of cross sections to NNLO accuracy in an efficient manner

Grids are produced for m_t values of 170, 171.25, 172.5, 173.75 and 175 GeV

Side note: computational cost of producing the grids ~ 300k core hours

The analysis

For α_s, we consider the values of 0.116, 0.117, 0.118, 0.119 and 0.12

For parameterizations, we consider the default CT parameterization and 11 other parameterizations from the 287 considered in [arXiv:2401.08811]



The analysis

Finally, 300 (5x5x12) fits are run

Only the central PDFs are produced



Results: default CT parameterization





Results: all parameterizations





Results: how do the PDFs change

Results: α_s & m_t extraction



- $T^2 = 1$: $\alpha_s = 0.1179 \pm 0.0006$, $m_{top} = 172.29 \pm 0.25\text{GeV}$
- $T^2 = 5$: $\alpha_s = 0.1179 \pm 0.0010$, $m_{top} = 172.29 \pm 0.45\text{GeV}$
- $T^2 = 10$: $\alpha_s = 0.1179 \pm 0.0013$, $m_{top} = 172.29 \pm 0.60\text{GeV}$
- $T^2 = 20$: $\alpha_s = 0.1179 \pm 0.0018$, $m_{top} = 172.29 \pm 0.81\text{GeV}$
- $T^2 = 30$: $\alpha_s = 0.1179 \pm 0.0022$, $m_{top} = 172.29 \pm 0.97\text{GeV}$

CT α_s study: $\alpha_s = 0.1183^{+0.0023}_{-0.0020}$ [arXiv:2512.23792]

Conclusions

PDF parameterizations seem to affect fit quality almost equally for any combination of α_s and m_t

Effect on fit quality by m_t is greater than the effect on fit quality by α_s

Simultaneous extraction of α_s and m_t yields 0.1179 and 172.29 GeV respectively

Results compatible with PDG world average (even when considered with a tolerance of 1)