A general ideal multifragmentation kinematics algorithm for nuclear physics, a binary reaction approach.

## José Francisco Favela Pérez

francisco.favela@ct.infn.it

## objective

We want a simple (ideal) natural generalization of 2 body kinematics, something in the same spirit for more bodies.
We want as input:

## objective

We want a simple (ideal) natural generalization of 2 body kinematics, something in the same spirit for more bodies.
We want as input:

- The masses.


## objective

We want a simple (ideal) natural generalization of 2 body kinematics, something in the same spirit for more bodies.
We want as input:

- The masses.
- The excitation energies.


## objective

We want a simple (ideal) natural generalization of 2 body kinematics, something in the same spirit for more bodies.
We want as input:

- The masses.
- The excitation energies.
- Define unambiguosly a particular fragmentation.


## objective

We want a simple (ideal) natural generalization of 2 body kinematics, something in the same spirit for more bodies.
We want as input:

- The masses.
- The excitation energies.
- Define unambiguosly a particular fragmentation.
- Constrict all but one of the particles @ the end of the fragmentation in the lab system.


## objective

We want a simple (ideal) natural generalization of 2 body kinematics, something in the same spirit for more bodies.
We want as input:

- The masses.
- The excitation energies.
- Define unambiguosly a particular fragmentation.
- Constrict all but one of the particles @ the end of the fragmentation in the lab system.
We need a data structure (a tree) to do this. Then somehow do kinematics on the tree.


## BRT and solutions



To solve the BRT is to assign to every node at least one lab velocity (or also v_cm) vector.
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- self similar.
- leaf structure.

Strategy: solve it locally. Propagate info between nodes. We'll assume that it was previously solved in the cm system.
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- essentially 2 types of structures.
- self similar. We'll need recursion.
- leaf structure.

Strategy: solve it locally. Propagate info between nodes. We'll assume that it was previously solved in the cm system.
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## We must abstract into node notation. Two solutions.
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B)

## Line pull

Line pull while standing on the root ejectile node.
\#the pull works on any two lines
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## ternary example

## 4 solutions?! it's invertible!

B)

## Line pull

Line pull while standing on the root ejectile node.
\#the pull works on any two lines


Every point in the pulled line can be uniquely traced back to each of the constricted nodes.


Come to my poster!!


- Generalization of the algorithm.
- Experimental data of a ternary reaction (PRC). 4 solution case.
- Software (still in alpha).
- Discuss more generalizations.
- Discuss potential applications.

