

Study of TeV-PeV cosmic-ray anisotropy with the IceCube, IceTop and AMANDA detectors

Paolo Desiati, for the IceCube Collaboration

WIPAC & Department of Astronomy
University of Wisconsin - Madison

<desiati@wipac.wisc.edu>

Roma International Conference on Astroparticle Physics - RICAP 2013
La Sapienza Università di Roma - May 22-24, 2013

Outline

- cosmic ray spectrum and anisotropy - the legacy
- anisotropy with IceCube & IceTop
  - *energy dependency*
  - *angular structure*
- anisotropy with AMANDA & IceCube
  - *long time-scale stability*

cosmic ray anisotropy observations

the legacy



Nagashima et al. (1998)
Hall et al. (1999)



4 TeV
Tibet ASγ
Amenomori et al. (2006)



10 TeV
Super Kamiokande
Guillian et al. (2007)

equatorial coordinates



20 TeV
IceCube
Abbasi et al. (2010)



4 TeV
ARGO-YBJ
Zhang et al. (2009)



5 TeV
Milagro
Abdo et al. (2009)

cosmic ray anisotropy observations
the legacy



- cosmic rays possess anisotropy of order

$$10^{-4} - 10^{-3}$$

- **from 10's GeV to 10's TeV** with consistent topology

- anisotropy *amplitude* increases with energy (up to ~10 TeV)

- anisotropy has strong **dipole & quadrupole** components

 Paolo Desiati

cosmic ray anisotropy observations
the legacy

- significant **small angular scale** features ~10x smaller amplitude over global anisotropy
- the **tail-in excess region** composed of smaller structures above TeV energy
- observation of **spectral anomalies** associated to localized excess regions (Milagro, ARGO-YBJ)

Tibet-III (global fit) Amenomori et al. (2006)



relative intensity

Milagro (direct integration) Abdo et al. (2008)



statistical significance

ARGO-YBJ (time scrambling) Vernetto et al. (2009) Iuppa et al. (2011)



relative intensity

cosmic ray anisotropy observations

the legacy

- cosmic rays < 10’s GeV affected by solar activity (short time-scale variability)
    - **heliospheric physics** as laboratory to study particle **diffusion properties** in interplanetary magnetic field
- cosmic rays > 100 GeV influenced by magnetic perturbations > O(10) AU
- snapshot of magnetic field influence at **larger distance** with **higher energy**

Gaisser, Stanev, Tilav, 2013 - arXiv:1303.3565



Paolo Desiati

Wednesday, May 22, 2013

The IceCube Collaboration

International Funding Agencies

Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS)
Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek-Vlaanderen (FWO-Vlaanderen)
Federal Ministry of Education & Research (BMBF)
German Research Foundation (DFG)
Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY)
Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation
Swedish Polar Research Secretariat
The Swedish Research Council (VR)
University of Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation (WARF)
US National Science Foundation (NSF)

IceCube Observatory

air shower detection @ 2835 m altitude (680 g/cm²)

muon detection @ 1450-2450 m depth

Digital Optical Module - DOM
with 10” PMT &
local DAQ electronics



Paolo Desiati

Wednesday, May 22, 2013

detection principle









growing IceCube
& event collection



Year	μ rate (SMT8)	CR shower rate (STA3)
2007	500 Hz	13 Hz
2008	1100 Hz	15 Hz
2009	1700 Hz	25 Hz
2010	2000 Hz	30 Hz
2011+	2200 Hz	35 Hz



growing IceCube
& historical data



AMANDA - μ bundle rate (>1 TeV) ~ **0.1 kHz**
2×10^9 events/yr
data from 2000-2006
decommissioned in 2009

✚

IceCube - μ bundle rate (>1 TeV) ~ **2.5 kHz**
8×10^{10} events/yr
sensitive to asymmetries $O(10^{-5})$

IceTop - CR shower rate (>100 TeV) ~ **10 Hz**
3×10^8 events/yr
sensitive to asymmetries $O(10^{-4})$

cosmic ray anisotropy large scale IceCube



relative intensity | equatorial coordinates



20 TeV





400 TeV

deficit
6.3 σ_{post}



NOTE: anisotropy is **not a dipole**
topology changes above ~ 100 TeV

IC59 Abbasi et al., ApJ, **746**, 33, 2012

IC22 Abbasi et al., ApJ, **718**, L194, 2010

a known anisotropy

Earth's motion around the Sun

Compton & Getting, Phys. Rev. 47, 817 (1935)
Gleeson, & Axford, Ap&SS, 2, 43 (1968)



$$\frac{\Delta I}{I} = (\gamma + 2)\frac{v}{c}\cos\theta$$

v = 29.8 ± 0.5 km/s



Hörandel, Astrop. Phys. 19, 193, 2003



point spread function



a known anisotropy

Earth's motion around the Sun

- the observation of the **solar dipole** supports the observation of the sidereal anisotropy in cosmic ray arrival direction
- **NO Compton-Getting Effect** signature from galactic rotation observed

relative intensity α [˚] - α_{SUN} [˚]

IC59 Abbasi et al., ApJ, **746**, 33, 2012



20 TeV



Abbasi et al., ApJ, **746**, 33, 2012



400 TeV



cosmic ray anisotropy large scale
IceTop

relative intensity equatorial coordinates



deficit
7 σ_{post}

400 TeV





2 PeV

Aartsen et al., ApJ, **765**, 55, 2013

NOTE: global topology does not change above ~100 TeV

deficit amplitude increases with energy

cosmic ray anisotropy large scale
IceCube & IceTop

Aartsen et al., ApJ, **765**, 55, 2013







NOTE: different energy response distribution

IceTop with *sharper* low energy threshold

might explain IC/IT amplitude differences

Gaisser, Stanev, Tilav, 2013 - arXiv:1303.3565

cosmic ray anisotropy
large scale

IceCube | IceTop

20 TeV



400 TeV





2 PeV





10 PeV





- extend observation above PeV range
- primary mass dependency
- primary spectrum at excess/deficit

cosmic ray anisotropy large scale
energy dependency



dipole component
IceCube-22 Abbasi et al., ApJ, 718, L194, 2010
IceCube-59 Abbasi et al., ApJ, 746, 33, 2012
EAS-TOP Aglietta et al., ApJ, 692, L130, 2009
ARGO-YBJ Zhang 31st ICRC Łódź-Poland,2009
ARGO-YBJ 32nd ICRC Beijng China,2011

gaussian fit **IceTop** Aartsen et al., ApJ, 765, 55, 2013

- modulation in amplitude of **dipole component**
- corresponds to **transition in anisotropy topology**

cosmic ray anisotropy small scale
IceCube

relative intensity raw map



χ^2/ndf = 14743.4 / 14187 (prob = 0.05%)



Abbasi et al., ApJ, **740**, 16, 2011





cosmic ray anisotropy

- full sky map at **comparable energy**
  - to better determine low ℓ spherical harmonic components
  - to analyze fine angular structures across the sky

Tibet-III 5 TeV

Amenomori et al., ICRC 2011



IceCube-59 20 TeV

Abbasi et al., ApJ, **746**, 33, 2012

relative intensity



Milagro 1 TeV

Abdo et al., PRL, **101**, 221101, 2008

IceCube-59 20 TeV

Abbasi et al., ApJ, **740**, 16, 2011

equatorial coordinates

cosmic ray anisotropy
IceCube 2007-2012

PRELIMINARY

relative intensity equatorial coordinates



- 1.4×10^{11} events from 2007 to 2012
- sensitivity to 5^o **structures** with relative intensity of O(10^{-4})



5^o smoothing



cosmic ray anisotropy
AMANDA-IceCube 2000-2011



- AMANDA and IceCube yearly data show long **time-scale stability** of global anisotropy within statistical uncertainties

- no apparent effect correlated to solar cycles

cosmic ray anisotropy

AMANDA-IceCube 2000-2011

PRELIMINARY

20 TeV



cosmic ray anisotropy

probing sources & propagation of cosmic rays ?

- stochastic effect of **nearby & recent sources** & temporal correlations

Erlykin & Wolfendale, Astropart. 2006
Blasi & Amato, 2011
Ptuskin+, 2012
Pohl & Eichler, 2012
Sveshnikova+, 2013



not dipole observations



dipole components of the anisotropy

cosmic ray anisotropy
probing sources & propagation of cosmic rays ?

- stochastic effect of **nearby & recent sources** & temporal correlations

Erlykin & Wolfendale, Astropart. 2006
Blasi & Amato, 2011
Ptuskin+, 2012
Pohl & Eichler, 2012
Sveshnikova+, 2013

- propagation effect from a near by source to produce localized excess

Salvati & Sacco, 2008
Drury & Aharonian, 2008
Salvati, 2010
Malkov+, 2010

Drury & Aharonian, 2008



cosmic ray anisotropy
probing sources & propagation of cosmic rays ?

- stochastic effect of **nearby & recent sources** & temporal correlations

Erlykin & Wolfendale, Astropart. 2006
Blasi & Amato, 2011
Ptuskin+, 2012
Pohl & Eichler, 2012
Sveshnikova+, 2013

- propagation effect from turbulent realization of interstellar magnetic field within scattering mean free path

Giacinti & Sigl, 2012
Biermann+, 2012

Yan & Lazarian, 2008



Giacinti & Sigl, 2012



FIG. 1. Renormalized CR flux predicted at Earth for a concrete realization of the turbulent magnetic field, *after subtracting the dipole* and smoothing on 20° radius circles. Primaries with rigidities $p/Z = 10^{16}$ eV (*left panel*) and 5×10^{16} eV (*right panel*). See text for the field parameters and boundary conditions on the sphere of radius $R = 250$ pc.

cosmic ray anisotropy

probing sources & propagation of cosmic rays ?

anisotropic diffusion



Jansson & Farrar, 2012



- diffusion coefficient hardly a single power law, homogeneous and isotropic

Effenberger+, 2012

cosmic ray anisotropy

probing sources & propagation of cosmic rays ?



Frisch+, 2011

(Wolleben, 2007)



local ISMF shaped by LOOP I expansion sub-shell (with center ~90 pc away in Scorpius-Centaurus OB Association)

local cloudlets fragments of the shell moving at similar velocities

- interstellar magnetic field affected by inhomogeneities — Redfield & Linsky, 2008; Frisch+, 2011
- local ISMF relatively uniform over spacial scales of order 100-200 pc (**inter-arm**) — Frisch+, 2012

cosmic ray anisotropy

probing sources & propagation of cosmic rays ?



heliosphere

heliotail

local ISMF draping around heliosphere

Pogorelov+ 2011



- heliosphere as O(100-1000) AU **magnetic perturbation of local ISMF**

PD & Lazarian, 2013

- influence on ≲10 TeV protons (R_L ≲ 600 AU)
- cosmic rays >100 TeV ifluenced by interstellar magnetic field

conclusions



- cosmic ray anisotropy observed **up to PeV scale** & **down to 5º** with IceCube & IceTop
- anisotropy **not a dipole**, **changes topology** with energy and has **complex structure**
- AMANDA & IceCube **global anisotropy stable over one solar cycle**

➡ study **correlation** between anisotropy & spectral anomalies vs **primary mass**

- high energy cosmic ray anisotropy to probe into their **origin and propagation**
- understanding of **interstellar medium** towards astrophysical scenarios for the observations
- better understand particle **diffusion in magnetic fields**

thanks for your attention

SEPTEMBER 26-28, 2013

UNION SOUTH — 1308 W DAYTON ST — MADISON, WI

Scientific Program

The goal of the workshop is to bring together different scientific communities to discuss the origin of the anisotropy of cosmic rays and their spectral anomalies in a variety of energy ranges. We invite experts in the detection of cosmic rays on the ground, with balloons, or in space and from a variety of fields — cosmic ray physics, astrophysics, plasma physics, heliospheric physics, interstellar medium, and particle interactions in magnetic fields. Participants will explore scenarios on the origin of cosmic rays and their acceleration and transport in the interstellar medium and in the heliosphere.

Topics

- Cosmic ray anisotropy
- Cosmic ray spectrum and composition
- Cosmic ray origin, acceleration and propagation
- Interstellar medium and interstellar magnetic field
- Isotopic composition of cosmic rays
- Heliosphere and its boundary region with the interstellar medium

Organizing Commitees

Scientific Committee:

Pasquale Blasi
Priscilla Frisch
Nikolai Pogorelov
Eun-Suk Seo
Gus Sinnis

Local Committee:

Markus Ahlers
Segev BenZvi
Paolo Desiati
Francis Halzen
Albrecht Karle
Kim Kreiger
Marcos Santander
Stefan Westerhoff

http://wipac.wisc.edu/CRA2013

backup slides



IceCube geometry



DeepCore geometry

growing IceCube
& event collection

Year	μ rate (SMT8)	CR shower rate (STA3)
2007	500 Hz	13 Hz
2008	1100 Hz	15 Hz
2009	1700 Hz	25 Hz
2010	2000 Hz	30 Hz
2011+	2200 Hz	35 Hz





growing IceCube
& event collection





low energy cosmic ray anisotropy in arrival direction

Nagashima+,1998



cosmic ray anisotropy vs energy

J.L. Zhang et al., 31st ICRC Łódź - Poland, 2009

ARGO-YBJ

- data from 2008
- 365 days livetime
- $6.5 \cdot 10^{10}$ events
- median CR energy ~ 1.1 TeV

Amenomori et al., Science Vol. 314, pp. 439, 2006

Tibet-III

- data from 1997 to 2005
- 1874 days livetime
- $3.7 \cdot 10^{10}$ events
- angular resolution ~ 0.9°
- modal CR energy ~ 3 TeV







Super-Kamiokande

Guillian et al., Phys Rev D, Vol 75, 063002 (2007)

- data from 1996 to 2001
- 1662 days livetime
- $2.1 \cdot 10^{8}$ events
- angular resolution < 2°
- median CR energy ~ 10 TeV

Milagro

Abdo et al., ApJ, Vol 698-2, pag 2121 (2009)

- data from 2000 to 2007
- $9.5 \cdot 10^{10}$ events
- angular resolution < 1°
- median CR energy ~ 6 TeV

cosmic ray anisotropy vs energy

relative intensity   equatorial coordinates



300 TeV

Tibet-III

Amenomori et al., Science Vol. 314, pp. 439, 2006

relative intensity



110 TeV

370 TeV

EAS-TOP

Aglietta et al., ApJ 692, L130, 2009

IceCube muon bundle trigger statistics

detector	trigger rate (Hz)	actual time (d)	livetime (d)	number of events (*)
IceCube-22	500	300	226	5.4×10^9
IceCube-40	1,100	358	324	19×10^9
IceCube-59	1,700	367	334.5	34×10^9
IceCube-79	2,000	365	337	40×10^9
IceCube-86	2,500	365 × 2	365 × 2	$50\times10^9 \times 2$

(*) number of events with LLH reconstruction from online-filter collected by DST





cosmic ray anisotropy *analysis technique*

raw map of events in equatorial coordinates $(\alpha,\delta)_i$

reference map from events scrambled over 24hr in α (or time)

rebin raw and reference maps to enhance inter-bin correlations

subtract reference map from raw map to determine the residual *relative intensity* map

$$\frac{\Delta I}{\langle I \rangle} \equiv \frac{N_i - \langle N \rangle}{\langle N \rangle}$$



cosmic ray anisotropy energy selection

IceCube







cosmic ray anisotropy vs energy in IceCube-59

Tibet-III



300 TeV

EAS-TOP

370 TeV



Abbasi et al., ApJ, **746**, 33, 2012



400 TeV



cosmic ray anisotropy large scale
IceCube



relative intensity equatorial coordinates





20 TeV





400 TeV

deficit
6.3 σ_{post}

NOTE: anisotropy is not a dipole
topology changes at high energy

IC59 Abbasi et al., ApJ, **746**, 33, 2012

IC22 Abbasi et al., ApJ, **718**, L194, 2010

cosmic ray anisotropy large scale
IceCube





20 TeV





400 TeV

deficit

6.3 σ_{post}



NOTE: anisotropy is not a dipole
topology changes at high energy

IC59 Abbasi et al., ApJ, **746**, 33, 2012

IC22 Abbasi et al., ApJ, **718**, L194, 2010

cosmic ray anisotropy vs energy in IceCube-59

energy

- reference map derived from data with time scrambling
- smoothing radius optimized on highest significance in excess/deficit region

$$s = \sqrt{2}\left\{N_{\rm on}\ln\left[\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha}\left(\frac{N_{\rm on}}{N_{\rm on}+N_{\rm off}}\right)\right] + N_{\rm off}\ln\left[(1+\alpha)\left(\frac{N_{\rm off}}{N_{\rm on}+N_{\rm off}}\right)\right]\right\}^{1/2} \qquad \alpha = 1/20$$

Li, T., & Ma, Y. 1983, ApJ, 272, 317



20 TeV



Abbasi et al., ApJ, **746**, 33, 2012



400 TeV



cosmic ray anisotropy large scale
IceTop

relative intensity equatorial coordinates



deficit
7 σ_{post}

400 TeV



2 PeV

Aartsen et al., ApJ, **765**, 55, 2013

NOTE: global topology does not change

deficit amplitude increases with energy

cosmic ray anisotropy large scale
IceTop

relative intensity equatorial coordinates



deficit
7 σ_{post}

400 TeV



2 PeV

Aartsen et al., ApJ, **765**, 55, 2013

NOTE: global topology does not change

deficit amplitude increases with energy

cosmic ray anisotropy
large scale

IceCube

20 TeV



400 TeV





1 PeV



PRELIMINARY

10 PeV



PRELIMINARY

cosmic ray anisotropy large scale energy dependency





Abreu et al., Astrop. Phys., 34, 627, 2011

Wednesday, May 22, 2013

a known anisotropy

Earth's motion around the Sun

Compton & Getting, Phys. Rev. 47, 817 (1935)
Gleeson, & Axford, Ap&SS, 2, 43 (1968)



v = 29.8 ± 0.5 km/s

$$\frac{\Delta I}{I} = (\gamma + 2)\frac{v}{c}\cos\theta$$



Hörandel, Astrop. Phys. 19, 193, 2003



point spread function



a known anisotropy

Earth's motion around the Sun

- the observation of the **solar dipole** supports the observation of the sidereal anisotropy in cosmic ray arrival direction

- **NO Compton-Getting Effect** signature from galactic rotation observed

relative intensity α [°] - α_{SUN} [°] IC59 Abbasi et al., ApJ, **746**, 33, 2012



20 TeV



Abbasi et al., ApJ, **746**, 33, 2012



400 TeV



origin of large scale anisotropy : Compton-Getting Effect ?

Compton & Getting, Phys. Rev. 47, 817 (1935)
Gleeson, & Axford, Ap&SS, 2, 43 (1968)



$$\frac{\Delta I}{I} = (\gamma + 2)\frac{v}{c}\cos\theta$$

- motion of solar system around galactic center ~ 220 km/s
- reference system of cosmic rays is **unknown**
  - at most one dipole component of the observation





anti-/extended-sidereal distributions vs energy in IceCube-59

anti-sidereal distribution ~ solar dipole variability

extended-sidereal distribution ~ sid. anis. variability



20 TeV





400 TeV



systematic uncertainties IceCube-59

statistical stability tests + anti-sidereal effect

extended-sidereal effect



20 TeV





400 TeV



cosmic ray anisotropy small scale IceCube

region	right ascension	declination	optimal scale	peak significance	post-trials	IC79 (post-trials)
1	$(122.4^{+4.1}_{-4.7})^\circ$	$(-47.4^{+7.5}_{-3.2})^\circ$	22°	7.0σ	5.3σ	6.8σ
2	$(263.0^{+3.7}_{-3.8})^\circ$	$(-44.1^{+5.3}_{-5.1})^\circ$	13°	6.7σ	4.9σ	5.4σ
3	$(201.6^{+6.0}_{-1.1})^\circ$	$(-37.0^{+2.2}_{-1.9})^\circ$	11°	6.3σ	4.4σ	6.4σ
4	$(332.4^{+9.5}_{-7.1})^\circ$	$(-70.0^{+4.2}_{-7.6})^\circ$	12°	6.2σ	4.2σ	6.1σ
5	$(217.7^{+10.2}_{-7.8})^\circ$	$(-70.0^{+3.6}_{-2.3})^\circ$	12°	-6.4σ	-4.5σ	-6.1σ
6	$(77.6^{+3.9}_{-8.4})^\circ$	$(-31.9^{+3.2}_{-8.6})^\circ$	13°	-6.1σ	-4.1σ	-4.3σ
7	$(308.2^{+4.8}_{-7.7})^\circ$	$(-34.5^{+9.6}_{-6.9})^\circ$	20°	-6.1σ	-4.1σ	-4.4σ
8	$(166.5^{+4.5}_{-5.7})^\circ$	$(-37.2^{+5.0}_{-5.7})^\circ$	12°	-6.0σ	-4.0σ	-6.4σ



anisotropy vs. angular scale









Paolo Desiati

cosmic ray anisotropy

AMANDA-IceCube 2000-2011

Preliminary

Period	Detector	Start	End	Live-time (days)	No. of events ($\times 10^9$)	χ^2/dof	p-value
1	AM-II	02/13/2000	11/02/2000	213.4	1.4	11.3/15	0.73
2	AM-II	02/11/2001	10/19/2001	235.3	2.3	16.6/15	0.34
3	AM-II	01/01/2002	08/02/2002	169.2	2.4	26.0/15	0.04
4	AM-II	02/09/2003	12/17/2003	236.0	2.2	19.3/15	0.20
5	AM-II	01/05/2004	11/02/2004	225.8	2.5	14.3/15	0.50
6	AM-II	12/30/2004	12/23/2005	242.9	2.6	21.0/15	0.14
7	AM-II	01/01/2006	09/13/2006	213.1	2.4	24.4/15	0.06
8	IC22	06/01/2007	03/30/2008	269.4	5.3	45.2/15	7×10^{-5}
9	IC40	04/18/2008	04/30/2009	335.6	18.9	12.8/15	0.62
10	IC59	05/20/2009	05/30/2010	335.0	33.8	11.1/15	0.75
11	IC79	05/31/2010	05/12/2011	299.7	39.1	6.5/15	0.97
12	IC86	05/13/2011	05/14/2012	332.9	52.9	8.9/15	0.88

statistical uncertainties only