Study of key resonances in the ³⁰P(p,γ)³¹S reaction in classical novae

- I. Classical novae and the ³⁰P(p,γ)³¹S reaction.
- II. ${}^{30}P(p,\gamma){}^{31}S$ current status.
- III. Experimental study of the ${}^{31}P({}^{3}He,t){}^{31}S^{*}(p){}^{30}P$ reaction.



Anne Meyer

Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay, France 15th International Symposium on Nuclei in the Cosmos – June 24-29, 2018







✓ Stellar explosions in binary systems consisting of a white dwarf accreting hydrogen-rich material from a companion main-sequence star.

✓ Powered by thermonuclear runaway on the surface of the white dwarf.

✓ Explosive nucleosynthesis leading to new elements ejected into the circumstellar medium.



Artist's portrayal

✓ Two types, corresponding to different constitution of the underlying white dwarf, CO or ONe.

✓ Overall characteristics well described by theoretical models, but still key issues:

- degree of mixing
- mixing mechanism
- observed ejecta masses

Nucleosynthesis in classical novae

✓ Study of nuclear reactions during the explosion can be used to constrain the physical properties of classical novae.

ν Bottleneck ³⁰**P**(**p**,**γ**)³¹**S** reaction plays an important role in determining the synthesis of elements in the Si-Ca region, the heaviest species that can be produced in ONe novae:



Main nuclear paths in the Si-Ca mass region © José et al., ApJ 560 (2001)

Impact of the ³⁰P(p,γ)³¹S reaction

✓ Important for understanding the high ³⁰Si/²⁸Si isotopic ratio found in presolar meteoritic grains from novae:

- ${}^{30}\text{Si}/{}^{28}\text{Si}$ ratio depends on ${}^{30}\text{P}(p,\gamma){}^{31}\text{S}$ reaction which is in competition with ${}^{30}\text{P}(\beta+)$ decay to ${}^{30}\text{Si}$.



✓ Elemental abundance ratios can be used to constrain the degree of mixing ("mixing meters") and the peak temperature during the explosion ("nova thermometers"):

Presolar SiC grain

- ³⁰P(p,γ)³¹S reaction rate uncertainty has the largest impact on the predicted ratios of Si/H, O/S, S/Al, O/P, P/Al.



³⁰**P**(**p**,**γ**)³¹**S** state of the art

✓ Direct measurement of the ${}^{30}P(p,\gamma){}^{31}S$ cross section not feasible due to the low intensities of ${}^{30}P$ radioactive ion beams.

✓ Indirect methods used to populate the states of the ³¹S compound nucleus in the Gamow window:

- For temperature achieved in novae ($T_9 = 0.1-0.4$): excitation energies up to 600 keV above S_p (6.131 MeV).

✓ Reaction rate for a single narrow, isolated resonance:

$$N_{A}\langle \sigma v \rangle \propto (\omega \gamma)_{r} e^{-E_{r}/kT} \quad (\omega \gamma)_{r} = \frac{(2J_{r}+1)}{(2J_{p}+1)(2J_{30_{p}}+1)} \frac{\Gamma_{p}\Gamma_{\gamma}}{\Gamma}$$

✓ Level scheme:



³⁰**P(p,y)**³¹**S state of the art**

✓ Direct measurement of the ${}^{30}P(p,\gamma){}^{31}S$ cross section not feasible due to the low intensities of ${}^{30}P$ radioactive ion beams.

✓ Indirect methods used to populate the states of the ³¹S compound nucleus in the Gamow window:

- For temperature achieved in novae ($T_9 = 0.1-0.4$): excitation energies up to 600 keV above S_p (6.131 MeV).

✓ Reaction rate for a single narrow, isolated resonance:

$$N_{A}(\sigma v) \propto (\omega \gamma)_{r} e^{-E_{r}/kT} \quad (\omega \gamma)_{r} = \frac{(2J_{r}+1)}{(2J_{p}+1)(2J_{30_{p}}+1)} \frac{\Gamma_{p}\Gamma_{\gamma}}{\Gamma}$$

✓ Energies, spins and parities:

- Er relatively well known, some J^{π} still uncertain. Parikh et al. (2011), Irvine et al. (2013), Doherty et al. (2014), Brown et al. (2014), Kankainen et al. (2017), Bennett et al. (2016, 2018)

✓ Level scheme:



³⁰**P(p,y)**³¹**S state of the art**

✓ Direct measurement of the ${}^{30}P(p,\gamma){}^{31}S$ cross section not feasible due to the low intensities of ${}^{30}P$ radioactive ion beams.

✓ Indirect methods used to populate the states of the ³¹S compound nucleus in the Gamow window:

- For temperature achieved in novae ($T_9 = 0.1-0.4$): excitation energies up to 600 keV above S_p (6.131 MeV).

✓ Reaction rate for a single narrow, isolated resonance:

$$N_{A}\langle \sigma v \rangle \propto (\omega \gamma)_{r} e^{-E_{r}/kT} \quad (\omega \gamma)_{r} = \frac{(2J_{r}+1)}{(2J_{p}+1)(2J_{30_{p}}+1)} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_{p}\Gamma_{\gamma}}{\Gamma_{r}}}$$

✓ Energies, spins and parities:

- Er relatively well known, some J^{π} still uncertain. Parikh et al. (2011), Irvine et al. (2013), Doherty et al. (2014), Brown et al. (2014), Kankainen et al. (2017), Bennett et al. (2016, 2018)

✓ Resonance strength:

- Proton branching ratios Γ_p/Γ measured for states above 6.7 MeV. Wrede et al. (2009)

- Resonance strength $(\omega \gamma)_r$ constrained for key low energy resonances close to S_p .

Kankainen et al. (2017)

✓ Level scheme:



³¹P(³He,t)³¹S*(p)³⁰P coincidence measurement in Orsay

✓ Coincidence measurement using the ³¹P(³He,t)³¹S reaction to populate indirectly ³¹S which proton decay to ³⁰P: ► Split-Pole



✓ Set-up: Split-Pole (SP) magnetic spectrometer + Double Sided Silicon Strip Detector (DSSSD) array:



Target: $^{31}P \approx 60 \ \mu g.cm^{-2}$ ${}^{nat}C_{backing}\approx 100 \ \mu g.cm^{\text{-}2}$

 $\theta_{SP} = 10^{\circ}$



³¹P(³He,t)³¹S*(p)³⁰P coincidence measurement in Orsay

✓ Coincidence measurement using the ³¹P(³He,t)³¹S reaction to populate indirectly ³¹S which proton decay to ³⁰P: _____ t ____ ► Split-Pole



✓ Set-up: Split-Pole (SP) magnetic spectrometer + Double Sided Silicon Strip Detector (DSSSD) array:



- 6 DSSSDs (16+16 strips) at backward angles
- $\epsilon \approx 15\%$, $\Delta E \approx 20$ keV FWHM
- Thick shield between 0° FC and DSSSDs
- Lower discriminator threshold to detect low energy protons associated to resonances of interest

t- α angular correlation of ${}^{19}F({}^{3}He,t){}^{19}Ne^{*}(\alpha){}^{15}O$



Red: same experimental set-up as this work *Green:* experimental set-up used by Wrede et al.

- Better c.m. angular coverage toward 90°

³¹P(³He,t)³¹S: Triton-singles events spectrum

 \checkmark Bp calibration of the SP focal-plane position detector, using the triton spectrum at low excitation energies.

 \checkmark Triton identification by combining focal-plane detectors signals (B ρ in the positionsensitive gas chamber, ΔE in the proportional gas counter, E in the plastic scintillator):



✓ Triton-singles events spectrum:



³¹P(³He,t)³¹S: Triton-singles events spectrum

 \checkmark Bp calibration of the SP focal-plane position detector, using the triton spectrum at low excitation energies.

 \checkmark Triton identification by combining focal-plane detectors signals (B ρ in the positionsensitive gas chamber, ΔE in the proportional gas counter, E in the plastic scintillator):





✓ Triton-singles events spectrum:



³¹P(³He,t)³¹S: Triton-singles events spectrum

 \checkmark Bp calibration of the SP focal-plane position detector, using the triton spectrum at low excitation energies.

 \checkmark Triton identification by combining focal-plane detectors signals (B ρ in the positionsensitive gas chamber, ΔE in the proportional gas counter, E in the plastic scintillator):





✓ Triton-singles events spectrum:



³¹P(³He,t)³¹S*(p)³⁰P: t-p coincidence events

✓ DSSSDs energy calibration using a pulse generator to obtain the electronic offset and a triple alpha-particle source (²³⁹Pu, ²⁴¹Am and ²⁴⁴Cm) to measure the gain factor.



SUMMARY

The ³⁰**P**(**p**,γ)³¹**S reaction is important for classical novae nucleosynthesis.**

■ We performed a coincidence measurement using the ³¹P(³He,t)³¹S*(p)³⁰P reaction to extract the proton branching ratios.

■ The triton-singles events spectrum and the preliminary coincidence spectrum have been presented.

Next: extract the angular correlations and then the proton branching ratios, which will be used in the calculation of the ³⁰P(p,γ)³¹S reaction rate.

Participants

- <u>A. Meyer</u>, <u>N. De Séréville</u>, <u>F. Hammache</u>, <u>P. Adsley</u>, M. Assié, D. Beaumel, C. Delafosse, F. Flavigny, A. Georgiadou, A. Gottardo, L. Grassi, J. Guillot, T. Id Barkach, M. MacCormick, I. Matea, L. Olivier, L. Perrot, C. Portail, I. Stefan (Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay, France)

- A. Parikh (Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain)

- A. Coc, J. Kiener, V. Tatischeff (CSNSM-Orsay, France)
- A. M. Laird, S. P. Fox, N. Hubbard, J. Riley (University of York, United Kingdom)
- F. De Oliveira, B. Bastin (GANIL, France)
- K. Béroff (ISMO-Orsay, France)
- Á. M. Sánchez Benítez (Faculdade de Ciéncias da Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal)
- A. Alellara, M. Assunção, V. Guimaraes (Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil)
- N. Oulebsir (Université de Bejaia, Algérie)
- G. D'Agata (Università degli Studi di Catania, Italy)