

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Study of nuclear matter property in heavy-ion fusion reactions

Omid Naser Ghodsi, Fatemeh Torabi

¹Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Mazandaran, P. O. Box 47415-416, Babolsar, Iran

Presented by

Fatemeh Torabi

 $V(R) = E_T(R) - E_1 - E_2$

$$
E_T(R) = \int \mathcal{E} \big[\rho_{1p}(\vec{r}) + \rho_{2p}(\vec{r} - \vec{R}), \rho_{1n}(\vec{r}) + \rho_{2n}(\vec{r} - \vec{R}) \big] d^3r,
$$

 $E_1 = \int \mathcal{E} [\rho_{1p}(\vec{r}), \rho_{1n}(\vec{r})] d^3$ *r*, $E_2 = \int \mathcal{E}[\rho_{2p}(\vec{r}), \rho_{2n}(\vec{r})] d^3r.$

- **ε The Skyrme energy density**
- ρ_{1n} and ρ_{1p} **The neutron and the proton density distributions of projectile**

 ρ_{2n} and ρ_{2p} **The neutron and the proton density distributions of target**

Skyrme energy density

$$
\varepsilon(\vec{r}) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \tau + \frac{1}{2} t_0 \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} x_0 \right) \rho^2 \right]
$$

\n+ $\frac{1}{12} t_3 \rho^{\alpha} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} x_0 \right) \rho^2 \right]$
\n+ $\frac{1}{12} t_3 \rho^{\alpha} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} x_0 \right) \rho^2 \right]$
\n+ $\frac{1}{4} \left[t_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} x_0 \right) \rho^2 \right]$
\n+ $\frac{1}{4} \left[t_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} x_0 \right) \rho^2 \right]$
\n+ $\frac{1}{4} \left[t_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} x_0 \right) \rho^2 \right]$
\n+ $\frac{1}{4} \left[t_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} x_0 \right) \rho^2 \right]$
\n+ $\frac{1}{4} \left[t_1 \left(x_1 \right) \right]$
\n+ $\frac{1}{4} \left[t_1 \left(x_1 \right) \right]$
\n+ $\frac{1}{4} \left[3 t_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]$
\n+ $\frac{1}{16} \left[3 t_1 \left(x_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]$
\n+ $\frac{1}{2} W_0 \left[j. \vec{v}_P + \vec{J}_n. \vec{v}_P \right]$
\n+ $\frac{1}{2} W_0 \left[j. \vec{v}_P + \vec{J}_n. \vec{v}_P \right]$
\n+ $\frac{1}{2} W_0 \left[j. \vec{v}_P + \vec{J}_n. \vec{v}_P \right]$
\n+ $\frac{1}{2} W_0 \left[j. \vec{v}_P + \vec{J}_n. \vec{v}_P \right]$
\n+ $\frac{1}{2} W_0 \left[j. \vec{v}_P + \vec{J}_n. \vec{v}_P \right]$
\n+ $\frac{1}{2} W_0 \$

Formalism

SkSC4 (K = 234.7 MeV), SkT7 (K = 235.64 MeV), Es (K = 247.9 MeV), SKXce (K = 270 MeV), **Z (K = 329.4MeV), E (K = 332.6 MeV), and SI (K = 370 MeV)**

Potential barriers

Fusion cross sections

 16 O+ 208 Ph

Fig. 3. Theoretical fusion cross sections compared with the experimental data taken from C. R. Morton, et al; Phys. Rev. C **60**, 044608 (1999).

Results

Fig. 5. Theoretical fusion data compared with the experimental data taken from C. L. Jiang, et al, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 041601(R) (2010).

 7

Fig. 6. The predicted values of the nuclear matter incompressibility

Summery and conclusion

The interaction potentials of different systems were calculated by using several Skyrme interactions associated with K **values ranging from 234 to 370 MeV in the energy density formalism.**

The fusion cross sections of the chosen systems were computed by using the ion-ion interaction potentials and the CCFULL **code and compered to the experimental data.**

The results revealed that the experimental cross sections at low energies can be accurately described by the potentials derived from the forces with smaller K values. On the other hand, the data at higher energies can be well explained by the potentials obtained from the forces associated with higher K values. This trend suggests that an exact fit to fusion cross**section data in different energy ranges can be achieved by using forces with different incompressibility values**.

one can conclude that nuclear matter during the fusion process changes from less incompressible matter at low energies to **more-incompressible matter at higher energies.**

