Periodic Report   -   Funding

(1) Main comments and changes to get the
    funds after the submission

(2) next delivery – how to distribute

Main issues we needed to face - 1

FORM C issues:

- Precise checks on **family charge** flag badly assigned to reaserchers or badly declared in Form C
- **Underpayment complains** – we were correct, it was mobility for intra-national secondments
- **Errors in the declaration of conformities**: ER→MER, family flag….
- **Management costs** description problems: time sheets (CNRS), more details (CERN), cuts to AUTH (a lot of info to get payment x Chara, Nikolaidis, to save car expenses…..)
- **Overheads above** 10% → cuts
- ………………

Main issues we needed to face - 1
General Issues

- Explaining how researchers like Gentsos and Sotiropoulou could collect **many different experitses** from 3-4 reasearchers

- **Rules** like recruitment + secondment < 24 months → impact on Sotiropoulou contract

- Imaging: **Ethic rules** and training on that – collaborations enlargement of the network

- Discussion on the **Gantt Chart** & recruitment philosophy

- ……….

FUNDING – 85% limit?

	PREFINANCING	fraction < 85%	Periodic Report request	correction	Periodic report accepted		Total 2015	TOTAL on GA	Fraction
UNIPI	€ 201.693,66	43,28%	€ 221.477,26	€ 952,27	€ 220.524,99	€	422.218,65	€ 465.998,13	90,61%
CAEN	€ 291.409,27	72,83%	€ 249.932,87		€ 249.932,87	€	541.342,14	€ 398.579,04	135,29%
CERN	€ 83.554,10	44,60%	€ 19.770,50		€ 19.770,50	€	103.324,60	€ 187.246,37	55,15%
AUTH	€ 143.084,81	54,19%	€ 86.692,39	€ 608,82	€ 86.083,57	€	229.168,38	€ 263.989,66	86,79%
PRIELE	€ 93.052,67	85,00%	€ 36.325,57	€ 170,46	€ 36.155,11	€	129.207,78	€ 109.447,25	118,03%
CNRS	€ 144.014,62	85,00%	€ 137.491,82		€ 137.491,82	€	281.506,44	€ 169.421,45	166,15%
TOTALS	€ 956.809,14	59,93%	€ 751.690,41		€ 749.958,86	€	1.706.768,00	€ 1.594.681,90	107,03%

Conclusions

- I expect we will get the approved but with a reduction to not exceed 85%

- Money will be distributed to cover up to 85% eventual extra money will require a new meeting? Do we want to establish now a line to follow?