# Time-energy correlations as a hallmark of different branching processes

Lucilla de Arcangelis Second University of Naples CNISM, INFN







SM&FT 2015, Bari December 9-11, 2015 Computational approaches in Quantum Field Theory, Statistical Mechanics and Complex Systems

#### BRAIN TEAM



Hans J. Herrmann, ETH Zurich Carla Perrone Capano, Univ. Naples

Dante Chialvo, Conicet Dietmar Plenz, NIH Bethesda Sebino Stramaglia, Univ. Bari

Vittorio Capano, Univ. Naples Fabrizio Lombardi, ETH Zurich Gianluca Pellegrini, Univ. Naples Roberta Russo, Univ. Naples

#### EARTHQUAKES

Eugenio Lippiello, SUN Cataldo Godano, SUN Warner Marzocchi, INGV

Alvaro Corral, CRM Barcelona

Milena Bottiglieri, SUN

#### SOLAR FLARES

Eugenio Lippiello, SUN Cataldo Godano, SUN

Hans J. Herrmann, ETH Zurich Miller Mendoza, ETH Zurich José Soares de Andrade, Univ. Fortaleza

#### Power laws in natural hazards



## Earthquakes in the world during 1973-2003



How big can an earthquake be?

Gutenberg-Richter Law (1954)

$$P(>M) \sim 10^{-b M} (b \sim 1)$$

Seismic moment  $M_0 = \mu A \Delta u$ 

 $M = (2/3)log(M_0)-6$  (Kanamori, Anderson 1975 )

$$P(>M_0) \sim M_0^{-\alpha}$$

M = (2/3)log(E) + cost

$$P(>E) \sim E^{-\alpha}$$

Universality of  $\, lpha \sim 0.7 \,$ 



### Temporal correlations: Sequences of aftershocks

**Omori law** (JCSIUT,1894)

$$n_{AS}(t) \sim (c + t)^{-p} p \sim$$

*c* depends on M main shock
and M lower cutoff
(Kagan 2004, Shcherbakov et al 2004, Lise et al 2004)

At time t after a main shock at t=0



105

t-t<sub>M</sub>

106

10

 $10^{-5}$   $\frac{1}{10^{3}}$ 

 $10^{4}$ 

Productivity law

$$N_{AS}(M) \sim 10^{\alpha M}$$
  $\alpha \sim b$ 

(Helmstetter 2003, Felzer et al 2004, Helmstetter et al 2005, 2006)



 It exhibits a more complex structure as temporal correlations are present in the process Corral (PRL, 2004) rescaling  $\Delta t$  by the average rate in the area obtained a universal scaling law for the probability density

# $D(\Delta t, M_c) = R(M_c)f(R(M_c)\Delta t)$



holds also for Japan, Spain, New Zeland... scaling function not universal (different areas are characterized by different rates)

#### Solar flares



Sudden rearrangement of stressed magnetic field lines gives rise to energetic bursts from solar corona.

These phenomena take place in active regions identified by sunspots, darklooking due to the effects of intense magnetic field.





Is the occurrence of two phenomena as different as earthquakes and solar flares driven by the same physical mechanism? Can correlations discriminate?



## Time-energy correlations

Lippiello, LdA, Godano, PRL 2008

We define for any couple of successive events of the catalog

The time distance  $\Delta t_i = t_{i+1} - t_i$  and the magnitude difference

$$\Delta m_i = m_{i+1} - m_i$$
 ....and  $\Delta m_i^* = m_{i+1} - m_{i^*}^*$ 

for a catalog where we reshuffle the previous magnitude  $i^* \neq i$ 

We evaluate the conditional probability

$$P(\Delta m_i < m_0 \mid \Delta t_i < t_0) = \frac{N(m_0, t_0)}{N(t_0)} \begin{cases} \text{# couples of subsequent events} \\ \text{with both } \Delta m_i < m_0, \Delta t_i < t_0 \end{cases}$$
$$\text{# couples of subsequent events} \\ \text{with } \Delta t_i < t_0 \end{cases}$$



 $IF \, \partial P(m_0 \mid t_0) = P(\Delta m_i < m_0 \mid \Delta t_i < t_0) - Q(m_0 \mid t_0) > \sigma(m_0 \mid t_0)$ Evidence for time-energy correlations



The next earthquake tends to have magnitude

close but smaller than the previous one

In good agreement with models implementing avalanching:

Olami-Feder-Christiensen model

Non conservative spring-block model,

where  $\alpha{<}0.25$  is the degree of dissipation



Successive instabilities generated by the stress redistribution



Correlations in solar flare occurrence

$$\partial P(\lambda | t_{th}) = P(E_{i+1} / E_i > \lambda | \Delta t_i < t_{th}) - Q(\lambda | t_{th}) > \sigma(\lambda | t_{th})$$

In consecutive flares (occurring within 3 hours) the energy of the second flare is close but larger than the energy of the previous are



## Understanding flare triggering



- A flare is due to the reconnection of magnetic flux tubes Hughes et al PRL2003
- Footprints of magnetic flux tubes are anchored in the photosphere i.e. plasma in turbulent flow
  - Magnetic flux tubes follow the local velocity field and are twisted by the vorticity
- A flare is released as soon as a tube reaches a critical twist (scale free energy distribution)

#### ➡ Tube-tube interactions:

Reconnection heats up the surrounded plasma increasing the local coronal pressure and the critical twist of the surrounding magnetic flux tubes

Rather than avalanching, this leads to delay in the reconnection process Following flare larger than the previous one!

Mendoza, Kaydul, LdA, Andrade, Herrmann, Nat. Comm. 2014



# Neuronal avalanches

Beggs & Plenz (J. Neuroscience 2003, 2004) have measured spontaneous local <u>field potentials continuously using a 60 ch</u>annel multielectrode array in mature



Avalanche size distribution is a power law with an exponent close to -3/2
Avalanche duration distribution is a power law with an exponent close to -2.0

Critical state optimizes information transmission organotypic cultures of rat cortex *in vitro* and *in vivo* (rat & monkey) (PNAS 2008, 2009)

- dissociated neurons
   (V. Pasquale et al, Neurosci. 2008;
  - A. Mazzoni et al PLoS ONE 2007)





 $10^2 \Delta t 10^3$ 

 $10^{1}$ 

 $10^{2}$ 

 $\Delta t$ 

 $10^{3}$ 

10

Small correlated avalanches, neurons depolarized after firing

Disfacilitation period after large avalanche Neurons hyperpolarized after firing

## Implementation of up and down states

Down-state After an avalanche with

$$s \ge s_{\min}$$

all neurons active in the last avalanche become hyperpolarized depending on their own activity

$$v_i = v_i - h \delta v_i$$
   
 $\sim h > 0$  is a hyper-polarization constant  
 $\sim h > 0$  is a hyper-polarization constant  
 $\sim h > 0$  is a hyper-polarization constant

System is stimulated by a small constant random drive

> Up-state 
$$\longrightarrow$$
 After an avalanche with  $S < S_{\min}$ 

all neurons active in the last avalanche become depolarized depending on the last avalanche size

$$v_i = v_{\max} \left( 1 - s / s_{\min} \right)$$

the smaller the last avalanche the closer the potential to the firing threshold Memory at the network level

System is stimulated by a random drive (network effect which sustains the up-state)

$$\in$$
 ]0,  $s_{\min}/s$ [



espressing the balance between excitation and inhibition is the unique parameter controlling the distribution Homeostatic regulatory mechanism

# Correlations in avalanche activity

Lombardi et al 2015

We evaluate the conditional probability  $P(s < s_0 \mid \Delta t < t_0)$ with  $\Delta t = t' - t$ and **S** either the preceding or the following avalanche Lippiello et al PRL 2007 Both in the real and in a reshuffled catalog where s are uncorrelated 10000  $\rho(\mathbf{P})$ 8000 We monitor the conditional probability difference 6000  $\sigma(s_0 | t_0)$ 4000  $\partial P(s_0 | t_0) =$  $\bigcirc$  $P(s < s_0 | \Delta t_i < t_0) - Q(s_0 | t_0)$ 2000  $\delta P(s_0 | t_0)$  $> \sigma(m_0 | t_0) \longrightarrow \text{ correlations!}$ 0.24 0.26 0.280.3  $P(\Delta s < s_0 \mid \Delta t < t_0)$ 



Dis-inhibited cultures (PTX)

- Behaviour strongly sample dependent
- Multiple peaks even in a single sample
- Unbalanced behaviour

## Correlations between successive avalanche sizes

Normal conditions

- For close-in time avalanches the second one tends to be smaller
- For longer separation the second one tends to be larger





## Correlations in brain signals

Lombardi, Chialvo, Herrmann, LdA CSF 2013

#### In fMRI data from 7 healthy humans we analyse extreme activity (B>B<sub>c</sub>)

 $S_i(t) = B(\vec{r}_i, t + \delta t) - B(\vec{r}_i, t)$  activity variation at each voxel *i* 

 $P(\Delta s < s_0 \mid \Delta t < t_0)$  different than zero Consecutive variations with opposite sign are correlated The derivative  $d\partial P(s_0 | t_0)$  represents  $d\partial P(s_0 | t_0)$ the probability difference to observe

Brain tends to realize activity balance  $\frac{d\delta P(s_0 | t_0)}{ds_0}$ 

 $\Delta s = s_0$  with  $\Delta t < t_0$ 

depressions are compensated by successive enhancements and vice versa



#### Correlations between structural connectivity and activity



$$\partial P(k > k_0, Sk > Sk_0)$$

Indicates that largest correlations between activity and structure is observed in <u>subcortical areas</u> (large negative skewness) Amor et al, EPL 2015

Activity is measured in terms of Sk skewness of the BOLD signal

Structural connectivity  ${\rm k}$  is the number of fibers connecting 2 ROIs in DTI data



# CONCLUSIONS

- Complex temporal correlations
- Scaling properties of energy/time distributions unable to discriminate among different phenomena
- Conditional probability analysis
- Aftershock triggering controlled by stress diffusion
- Solar flare occurrence driven by kink instability due to turbulent flow
- Balance between excitation and inhibition controls temporal organization in brain activity