

Equation of state and transport from the lattice

The lattice:

With a rotation to imaginary time and (anti)periodic boundary condition in time: Statistical system in 3+1 dimension Temperature = 1/ Nt a



3d space

Spectrum and EoS

Lattice: tool for computing Partition Function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= Tr\hat{\rho} \\ \hat{\rho} &= e^{-(H-\mu\hat{N})/T} \end{aligned}$$

$$< O >= TrO\hat{\rho}/\mathcal{Z}$$

Spectrum

$$P = T \frac{\partial ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial V}$$

$$N = T \frac{\partial ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial \mu} \quad \text{EoS}$$

$$S = \frac{\partial T ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial T}$$

$$E = -PV + TS + \mu N$$

Designing a simulation

$$\sum (N_{\sigma}, N_{\tau}, \beta, m_{q}, \tilde{\mu}) = \int \prod_{n\nu} dU_{n,\nu} (\det Q^{KS}(m_{q}, \tilde{\mu}))^{n_{f}/4} e^{-\beta S_{G}}$$

$$\int \mathbf{Technical choice:}$$
Chysical choice:

Active Quarks

QCD Symmetries, lattice and the real world



c,b,t do not participate in the chiral dynamics around the critical temperature. Lattice simulations **around Tc** are then performed with up,down,strange quarks – Nf = 2+1



	SU(N)XSU(N)	UA(1)
Staggered	Remnant U(1)	Broken
Wilson	Broken	Broken
Domain Wall	Exact (for L →∞)	Exact (for L →∞)
Overlap	Exact	Exact
Wilson twisted	As good as staggered	Broken

Input to Hydro: EOS

Nf = 2 + 1 *Eos Current Status*

 $\langle \theta \rangle = \mathcal{E} - 3P$

 $\frac{\zeta}{\eta} \ge 2\left(\frac{1}{3} - c_s^2\right)$



Wuppertal-Budapest 2014 Agrees with HotQCD (Ding and Bazavov at QM2014) Nf = 2+1







Quark Gluon Plasma @ Colliders

Analytic studies suggest that a dynamical charm becomes relevant above 400 MeV, well within the reach of LHC

Laine Schroeder 2006

MpL ToV January 2015

Thermodynamics with a dynamical charm : Nf = 2+1+1:

Staggered fermions (fixed Nt, varying spacing)



Budapest Wuppertal 2014

Thermodynamics with a dynamical charm : Nf = 2+1+1:

Wilson fermions (fixed a, varying Nt)



EoS finished for T < 400 MeV!

A controlled calculation of the Equation of State for T > 400 MeV requires a dynamical charm.

Studies with staggered and Wilson fermions are in progress

Is it possible to estimate the required accuracy from a phenomenological point of view?

For instance:

Impact of EoS on time evolution?



Huovinen Petreczky 2010

Beyond ideal hydro TRANSPORT



Analytic continuation in principle possible



In practice, so far: **Spectral functions** from Euclidean correlators computed in imaginary time

2007: Golden year for lattice trasport...



MpL ToV January 2015





Kovtun-Son-Starinets (KSS) bound:

$$\frac{\eta}{s} \ge \frac{1}{4\pi}$$

 $\frac{\eta}{s} \approx 0.5$: border-value between sQGP and pQGP ?

Strength of the sQGP vs Nf



2015: trasport on the lattice coming of age? Conductivity



$$j_{\mu}^{\rm em} = \sum_{f} (eq_f) j_{\mu}^{f} = \frac{2e}{3} j_{\mu}^{u} - \frac{e}{3} j_{\mu}^{d} - \frac{e}{3} j_{\mu}^{s}$$

Aarts et al. 2014 FASTSUM Collaboration



Beyond Hydro?

Quasinormal modes

hydrodynamic modes



quasinormal spectrum of black brane gravitational fluctuations in the shear and sound channels at fixed spatial momentum as a function of complex frequency [Kovtun and Starinets (2005)]

hydrodynamic poles are marked by full dots, e.g. shear

$$\omega = -i\frac{\eta}{Ts}q^2 + O(q^3) = -i\frac{1}{4\pi T}q^2 + O(q^3)$$

approach the real frequency axis for $q \rightarrow 0$

[Kovtun, Son and Starinets (2005)]

Questions:

Equation of State: which is the needed accuracy

Transport coefficients: -which is the needed accuracy -how many of them are needed

Approach to hydro: -quasinormal modes?

Physics mechanisms: -qualitative differences between QGP and sQGP? -Characterization of sQGP

> Emerging field: lattice + holography + near-conformal model field theories