

# Singular stochastic PDE and Dynamical field theory models

Pronob K. Mitter

Laboratoire Charles Coulomb  
CNRS/Université de Montpellier  
**Frascati**

June 9, 2015

## Plan of the presentation

Singular stochastic partial differential equations

Dynamical models

Linear process

Probabilistic weak solution

$D = 2$  The weak solution of J-L, P.K.M in the limit  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$

Stationary O-U process

Euclidean formalism

## Singular stochastic PDE

SSPDE : Non-linear Langevin equations “whose solutions” are supposed to generate Markov processes with Euclidean field theory measures as invariant measures.

*A priori* ill defined problem.

- ▶ EFT measures require renormalization to be defined. They are realized on spaces with distributions  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  or  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- ▶ The SSPDE = Non-linear Langevin equations having coefficients inherited from EFT measures. These are typically distributions. Nonlinear  $\leftrightarrow$  pointwise products  $\leftrightarrow$  renormalization.

If “solutions” exist, Markov process is distribution valued.

**How to proceed ?** Follow Dirac’s advice. Introduce cut-offs, solve the equations and then take limits.

## What sort of solutions ?

Different points of view :

- ▶ **Probabilistic weak solutions/ Martingale solutions** : With cut-offs these are also strong solutions and Martingale solutions exist. This leads to Functional integral/RG point of view to construction of semigroup/invariant measures.

**This is the point of view I will adopt.**

## What sort of solutions ?

- ▶ Pathwise approaches

Dynamical solutions of super-renormalizable Euclidean Field theories. Counter-terms : those of super-renormalizable EFT's. (massive  $\phi_d^4$   $d = 2, 3$ .)

a) M. Hairer (theory of regularity structures), M. Gubinelli *et. al.* (paracontrolled distributions) : These are theories of multiplication of distributions with counter-terms. Verified in low order perturbation theory. The remainder is controlled as a fixed point problem in a Banach space.

## What sort of solutions ?

- ▶ b) Antti Kupiainen : **RG approach directly on the equation**

UV cut-off noise, finite volume. Rescale to unit cut-off with enlarged volume. This gives rise to a sequence of effective equations with rescaling at each step  $\rightarrow$  Perturbative part + remainder. The limit of the sequence of remainders has been proved to exist by solving a Banach fixed point problem.

These are all : **short time solutions with the upper bound on time dependent on noise.**

Long time solution,  $D = 2$  by J.C. Mourrat and H.Weber.  
BUT : Hairer gives optimal regularity for paths, and initial conditions.

## An example

Scalar field theory in dimension  $D$ ,  $\phi(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^D$ .

$C_0(x-y)$  = Fourier transform of  $\hat{C}_0(k) = \frac{1}{k^2+m^2}$ .

$\kappa$  : UV cut-off

$$\hat{C}_\kappa(k) = \frac{1}{(k^2 + m^2)(1 + \frac{k^2}{\kappa^2})^p} \text{ for sufficiently large } p.$$

The random gaussian field  $\phi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with covariance  $C_0$  is a distribution for  $D \geq 2$ . For  $p$  sufficiently large,  $\phi$  distributed according to  $C_x$  is locally sufficiently differentiable.

$$d\mu_\kappa(\phi) = \frac{1}{Z_\kappa(\Lambda)} \int d\mu_{C_\kappa}(\phi) e^{-V_\kappa(\phi, \Lambda)}.$$

$$V_\kappa(\phi, \Lambda) = \int_\Lambda d^D x \{ \lambda : \phi^4 :_{C_\kappa}(x) + \text{counterterms}_\kappa \}$$

$\Lambda_L$  : cube side, periodic b.c.,  $\Lambda_L = \mathbb{R}^D / (L\mathbb{Z}^D)$ .  $C_\kappa$  : periodized covariance

## Dynamical models

### Nonlinear Langevin equations

Large class of equations available, such that if solutions exist, they have the same invariant measure.

Example : Let  $0 < \rho \leq 1$ .

$$d\tilde{\phi}_t = dW_t - \frac{1}{2} \left( C_x^{-\rho} \tilde{\phi}_t + \lambda C^{1-\rho} : \tilde{\phi}_t^3 :_{C_\kappa} \right) dt,$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_0 = \phi,$$

values in subspace of  $\mathcal{D}'(\Lambda_L)$ , (sufficiently differentiable functions).

$f, g$  are test functions in  $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_L)$ .

$$E(W_t(f), W_t(g)) = (f, C^{1-\rho} g) \min(t, s).$$

[Canonical choice  $\rho = 1$ .] Also counter-terms in the drift are

omitted



## Dynamical models

If the solutions exist, then there is a generator  $L_{\kappa, \rho}$  and it is easy to see

$$L_{\kappa, \rho} = \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy C^{1-\rho}(x-y) \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta\phi(x)} \frac{\delta}{\delta\phi(y)} - \frac{\delta\mathcal{S}}{\delta\phi(x)} \frac{\delta}{\delta\phi(y)} \right).$$

is symmetric with respect to  $L^2(d\mu_{\kappa, \Lambda})$  and formally,

$$\int d\mu_{\kappa, \Lambda}(\phi) e^{tL_{\kappa, \rho}} F(\phi) = \int d\mu_{\kappa, \Lambda}(\phi) F(\phi).$$

$F$  : bounded  $\mathcal{C}^2$  cylindrical function.

Each choice of  $\rho \in (0, 1]$  will, if equation can be solved, lead to Markov processes with same invariant measure  $\mu_{\kappa, \Lambda}$ .

## Linear processes

$$\begin{aligned}d\phi_t &= dW_t - \frac{1}{2} C_\kappa^{-\rho} \phi_t dt, \\ \phi_t &= \phi\end{aligned}$$

This is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process/Langevin equation.

This has a unique solution

$$\phi_t = e^{-t/2} \phi_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)} C_\kappa^{-\rho} dW_\kappa.$$

The O-U process has continuous sample paths.

Generator :

$$L_\kappa^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \int dx \int dy \left[ C_\kappa^{1-\rho}(x-y) \frac{\delta^2}{\delta\phi(x)\delta\phi(y)} - C_\kappa^{-\rho}(x-y) \phi(x) \frac{\delta}{\delta\phi(y)} \right].$$

## Linear processes

Transition probability :  $p_t(\phi, \mathcal{B}) = \mu_{C_{t,\kappa}} \left( B - e^{-\frac{t}{2} C_{\kappa}^{-\rho}} \phi \right)$ ,  
 $\mathcal{B}$  : Borel set in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Lambda_L)$ .

$$C_{t,\kappa} = (1 - e^{-t C_{\kappa}^{-\rho}}) C_{\kappa}.$$

$\mu_{C_{\kappa}}$  : invariant measure.

$P_{\phi}^{\text{OU}}$  : O-U measure on path space :  $\mathcal{C}^0([0, \infty), \mathcal{D}'(\Lambda_L))$ .

In terms of the linear process, the full process must solve the integral equation :

$$\tilde{\phi}_t = \phi_t - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^t ds e^{-(t-s) C_{\kappa}^{-\rho}} C_{\kappa}^{1-\rho} : \tilde{\phi}_s^3 :_{C_{\kappa}}.$$

## Probabilistic weak solution

Girsanov formula :

$$e^{tL_\kappa} F(\phi) = E_{\phi_0=\phi}^{(w)} \left( F(\phi_t) e^{\xi_0^{t,\kappa}} \right) \quad (*)$$

O-U process :  $\phi_t$  is a measurable function of  $w_t$ .

$$\xi^{0,(\kappa)} = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^t (:\phi_s^3 :_{C_\kappa}, dw_s) - \frac{\lambda^3}{8} \int_0^t ds (:\phi_s^3 :_{C_\kappa}, C^{1-\rho} : \phi_s^3 :_{C_\kappa}).$$

Because of the cut-off  $\kappa$  Ito's formula applied to  $e^{\xi_0^{t,\kappa}}$  shows

$$E^{(w)}(e^{\xi_0^{t,\kappa}}) = 1. \quad (**)$$

Martingale property :

$$E^{(w)}(e^{\xi_s^{t,\kappa}} | \mathcal{F}_s) = 1,$$

$$E^{(w)}(e^{\xi_0^{t,\kappa}} | \mathcal{F}_s) = e^{\xi_0^{s,\kappa}}.$$

## Probabilistic weak solution

Because of (\*\*) in the previous slide, the Gaussian representation of (\*) defines a **semigroup** with transition probabilities,

$$\hat{p}_t(\phi, \mathcal{B}) = E_{\phi}^{(w)} \left( \mathcal{X}(\phi_t \in \mathcal{B}) e^{\xi_0^{t,\kappa}} \right),$$

satisfies Chapman-Kolmogoroff equation (semigroup property).

$\implies$  new probability measure  $\hat{P}_{\phi}$  on  $C_0([0, T])$ ,  $\mathcal{D}'(\Lambda) = \Omega_T$ .

$\hat{P}_{\phi}$  solves the non-linear integral equation in weak sense.

$$Z_t = \hat{\phi}_t + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^t ds e^{-(t-s)C_{\kappa}^{-\rho}} C_{\kappa}^{1-\rho} : \hat{\phi}_s^3 :_{C_{\kappa}}, \quad \hat{\phi}_t \in \Omega_T$$

Under  $\hat{P}_{\phi}$ ,  $Z_t$  has probability distribution of an O-U process.

The drift is a gradient vector field.

Therefore we can get rid of the stochastic integral in the Girsanov exponent  $\xi_0^t$ , using Ito's formula.

The result is :

$$\xi_t = -\frac{1}{2} V_\kappa(\phi_t) + \frac{1}{2} V_\kappa(\phi_0) - \int_0^t ds \tilde{V}_\kappa(\phi_s),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_\kappa(\phi_s) &= \frac{\lambda}{4} : (\phi_s^3, C_\kappa^{-\rho} \phi_s)_{L^2(\Lambda)} :_{C_\kappa} + \frac{\lambda^2}{8} ( : \phi_s^3 :_{C_\kappa}, C_\kappa^{1-\rho} : \phi_s^3 :_{C_\kappa} )_{L^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{3\lambda}{4} : (\phi_s, C_\kappa^{1-\rho} \phi_s)_{L^2(\Lambda)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left( e^{tL_\kappa} \right) (\phi) = E_{\phi_0=\phi} \left( F(\phi_t) e^{-\frac{1}{2} V_\kappa(\phi_t) + \frac{1}{2} V_\kappa(\phi_0) - \int_0^t ds \tilde{V}_\kappa(\phi_s)} \right).$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( G, e^{tL_\kappa} F \right)_{L^2(d\mu_{\kappa, \lambda, \Lambda_L})} \\ &= \int d\mu_{\kappa, \frac{\lambda}{2}, \Lambda_L}(\phi) G(\phi) E_{\phi_0=\phi} \left( F(\phi_t) e^{-\frac{1}{2} V_\kappa(\phi_t) - \int_0^t ds \tilde{V}_\kappa(\phi_s)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

**ULTRAVIOLET CUT-OFF REMOVAL** ( $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ ) .

## $D = 2$ The weak solution of Jona-Lasinio, Mitter in the limit $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ (1985)

Here the parameter  $\rho : 0 \leq \rho \leq 1$  plays an important role. In other words we are studying a modified non-linear Langevin (Glauber) dynamics. The initial choice of  $\rho$  is very restricted for technical reasons :  $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{10}$ . Progressively, this restriction was removed by **Rozovskii and Mikulevicius (1998)** :  $0 < \rho < 1$  and then  $\rho = 1$ . A **strong solution** was given by **G. da Prato and Debussche (2003)** for  $\rho = 1$  in a very important work which introduced new technology (use of Besov spaces). Finally (2015) **J-C Mourrat and H.Weber** have extended da Prato's work to prove global in time (and also in space) pathwise solutions.



## Estimates [J-L, M (CMP-1985), M(Spain-1986)], $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$

1)  $D = 2$   $C_\kappa \xrightarrow{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} C$  (for massive covariance).

$:\phi^n :_C (f) \in L^p(d\mu_C), \forall p : 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$

2) The O-U transition probability  $\mu_{C_t}(\phi, \cdot)$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu_C(\cdot)$ ,  $\mu_C$  a.e. in  $\phi$ . The Radon-Nikodym derivative is in  $L^2(d\mu_C)$ ,  $\mu_C$  a.e. in  $\phi$ .

If  $h \in L^{2p}(d\mu_C)$ , then trivially,

$$E_\phi(|h(\phi_t)|^p) < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

So  $h(\phi_t) \in L^p(dP_\phi, \Omega)$ .

3)  $\phi_t$  is continuous in  $t$ ,  $P_\phi$  a.s.,  $\mu_C$  a.e. in  $\phi$ .

Moreover the O-U semigroup is *hypercontractive*.

Using Riemann sum approximation, with  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$

$$\int_0^t ds h(\phi_s) \in L^p(dP_\phi, \Omega), \mu_C \text{ a.e. in } \phi.$$

Therefore provided  $0 < \rho < 1$

$$E_\phi \left( \int_0^t ds (:\phi^3 :_C, C^{1-\rho} : \phi^3 :_C)_{L^2} \right) < \infty,$$

$\mu_C$  a.e. in  $\phi$ .

Ito isometry

$$E_{\phi} \left[ \left( \int_0^t (: \phi_s^3 :, dW_s) \right)^2 \right] = E_{\phi} \left( \int_0^t ds (: \phi^3 :_C, C^{1-\rho} : \phi^3 :_C)_{L^2} \right) < \infty,$$

$\mu_C$  a.e. in  $\phi$ .

Because of the above,  $\xi_0^{t,\infty}$  exists as a random variable.

4) We know

$$E_{\phi}(e^{\xi_0^{t,\kappa}}) = 1, \quad \mu_C \text{ a.e.}$$

By Fatou's lemma

$$E_{\phi}(e^{\xi_0^{t,\infty}}) \leq 1, \quad \mu_C \text{ a.e.}$$

For a martingale/weak solution we have to prove

$$E_{\phi}(e^{\xi_0^{t,\infty}}) \stackrel{?}{=} 1.$$

5)

$$e^{\xi_0^{t,\infty}} = e^{\xi_0^{t,\infty}} - e^{\xi_0^{t,\kappa}} + e^{\xi_0^{t,\kappa}}.$$

$$|E_\phi(e^{\xi_0^{t,\infty}}) - 1| \leq |E(e^{\xi_0^{t,\infty}} - e^{\xi_0^{t,\kappa}})| \quad (***)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |E(e^{\xi_0^{t,\infty}} - e^{\xi_0^{t,\kappa}})| &\leq E_\phi(|\xi_0^{t,\infty} - \xi_0^{t,\kappa}| |e^{\xi_0^{t,\infty}} + e^{\xi_0^{t,\kappa}}|) \\ &\leq (E_\phi(|\xi_0^{t,\infty} - \xi_0^{t,\kappa}|^2))^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ (E_\phi(e^{2\xi_0^{t,\infty}}))^{\frac{1}{2}} + (E_\phi(e^{2\xi_0^{t,\kappa}}))^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show  $|\xi_0^{t,\infty} - \xi_0^{t,\kappa}| \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^2(dP_\phi^W, \Omega)$ ,  $\mu_C$  a.e. in  $\phi$ .

## 6) Lemma

Suppose  $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{10}$ . Then

$$E_{\phi} \left( e^{2\xi_0^{t,\infty}} \right) < \infty, \quad \mu_C \text{ a.e. in } \phi.$$

$e^{2\xi_0^{t,\infty}}$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2(dP_{\phi}^W, \Omega)$ .

7) Therefore taking  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$  in (\*\*\*) we have

$$E_{\phi} \left( e^{\xi_0^{t,\infty}} \right) = 1.$$

## Proof of the lemma

The idea is to undo the stochastic integral in  $\xi_0^{t,\infty}$  since the drift perturbation is a gradient. To undo the stochastic integral we use the Ito's formula. Then we see that each term exists as a random variable in  $L^2(dP_\phi^W, \Omega)$ ,  $\mu_C$  a.e. in  $\phi$  provided  $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Then we use the method of [Nelson and Glimm](#) from the earliest days of constructive QFT. For the stability estimate to work we need to restrict :  $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{10}$ .

$$\int d\mu(\phi) E_\phi (e^{2\xi_0^{t,\infty}}) = \int d\mu_C(\phi) E_\phi \left( e^{-V(\phi_t) - 2 \int_0^t ds \tilde{V}(\phi_s)} \right)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} 2\tilde{V}(\phi_s) &= \frac{\lambda}{2} : (\phi_s^3, C^{-\rho} \phi_s)_{L^2(\Lambda)} :_C + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} (: \phi_s^3 :_C, C^{1-\rho} : \phi_s^3 :_C)_{L^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{3\lambda}{2} : (\phi_s, C^{1-\rho} \phi_s) :_C \\ &\leq \left( \int d\mu_C(\phi) E_\phi (e^{-2V(\phi_t)}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \underbrace{\left( \int d\mu_C(\phi) E_\phi (e^{-4 \int_0^t ds \tilde{V}(\phi_s)}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{(***)} \end{aligned}$$



1) The first factor in (\*\*\*) is easily proven to be finite.

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\mu_C(\phi) E_\phi(e^{-2V(\phi_t)}) &= \int d\mu_C(\phi) e^{tL_0} e^{-2V(\phi)} \\ &= \int d\mu_C(\phi) e^{-2V(\phi)} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

by Nelson's estimate ( have used that  $\mu_C$  is invariant measure of O-U process).

2)

$$\int d\mu_C(\phi) E_\phi^{(w)}(e^{-4 \int_0^t ds \tilde{V}(\phi_s)}) \leq \int d\mu_C(\phi) e^{-4t \tilde{V}(\phi)}.$$

( $\phi_s$  a.s. continuous, Riemann sum approximation, Hölder's inequality)

$\tilde{V}(\phi)$  : The non-local  $\phi^6$  term is a positive random variable, can be dropped.

The negative sign mas term is dominated by the Gaussian measure, for small  $\lambda$ .

We are left with the estimate provided by the following proposition.

## Proposition

For  $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{10}$

$$\int d\mu_C(\phi) e^{-pt\lambda G(\phi)} < \infty$$

$$G(\phi) =: (\phi^3, C^{-\rho}\phi)_{L^2} :_C, \quad \text{in } L^p(d\mu_C) \text{ for } 0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2}.$$

► **Step 1**

$$(\phi^3, C^{-\rho}\phi)_{L^2(\Lambda)} \geq \int_{\Lambda} d^2x \phi^4(x), \quad 0 < \rho < 1.$$

Proved using spectral representation and Young's inequality.

► Step 2

UV cut-off field (cut-off Fourier modes)

$$\phi_\kappa(x) = \int_{|k| \leq \kappa} \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} e^{ik \cdot x} \hat{\phi}(k).$$

$C_\kappa(x, y)$  is  $\mu_C$  covariance of  $\phi_\kappa$ .

$$G_\kappa(\phi) = G(\phi_\kappa).$$

$$G_\kappa \rightarrow G \text{ in } L^p(d\mu_C) \text{ for } 0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Undo Wick ordering in  $G_\kappa$ . The Wick constants  $\rightarrow \infty$  when  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ .

Using Step 1 and estimates of Wick constants get

$$G_\kappa \geq -\text{const} \times (\kappa^{4\rho} (\ln \kappa)^2)$$

### Step 3

Define  $\tilde{G}_\kappa = G - G_\kappa$ .

Then :

$$\int d\mu_C |\tilde{G}_\kappa|^{2j} \leq (j!)^4 b^j ((\ln \kappa)^m \kappa^{-2+4\rho})^j \quad \forall j, \text{ some } m > 0.$$

Hypercontractivity to reduce  $L^p(d\mu_C)$  estimates to  $L^2(d\mu_C)$  estimates, then Feynman graph computation.

## Step 4

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_C \left( \{G \leq -\text{const} (\kappa^{4\rho} (\ln \kappa)^2) - 1\} \right) &\leq \mu_C \left( \{|\tilde{G}_\kappa|^{2j} \geq 1\} \right) \\ &\leq \int d\mu_C |\tilde{G}_\kappa|^{2j} \leq (j!)^4 b^j (\ln \kappa)^m (\kappa^{-2+4\rho})^j \end{aligned}$$

Then Stirling's approximation and optimal  $\kappa$ -dependent choice of  $j$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_C \left( \{G \leq -\text{const} (\kappa^{4\rho} (\ln \kappa)^2) - 1\} \right) \\ \leq e^{-\text{const} \left( \kappa^{\frac{2-4\rho}{4}} (\ln \kappa)^{-\frac{m}{4}} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

## Step 5

$$\kappa_n = 2^n \rightarrow \infty, C_{\kappa_n} = \text{const } \kappa_n^{4\rho} (\ln \kappa_n)^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\mu_C e^{-G} &= \int d\mu_C e^{-G} (\mathcal{X}\{G > -C_{\kappa_0} - 1\} + \mathcal{X}\{G \leq -C_{\kappa_0} - 1\}) \\ &\leq e^{C_{\kappa_0} + 1} + \mathcal{I}_0 \end{aligned}$$

## Step 5 continued

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_0 &= \int d\mu_C e^{-G} \mathcal{X}\{G \leq -C_{\kappa_0} - 1\} \\ &= \int d\mu_C e^{-G} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}\{-C_{\kappa_{n+1}} - 1 < G \leq -C_{\kappa_n} - 1\} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{C_{\kappa_{n+1}} + 1} \mu_C\{G \leq -C_{\kappa_n} - 1\} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{C_{\kappa_{n+1}} + 1} e^{-\text{const} \left( \kappa^{\frac{2-4\rho}{4}} (\ln \kappa)^{-\frac{m}{4}} \right)},\end{aligned}$$

and using the definition of  $C_{\kappa_n}$ , this series converges for  $\rho < \frac{1}{10}$ .





## Stationary O-U process

$\tilde{P}$  : measure on path space  $\Omega = \mathcal{C}^0([0, \infty), \mathcal{D}')$ .

$$\tilde{P}(B) = \int d\mu_{C_\kappa}(\phi) \tilde{P}_\phi(B), \quad \tilde{P}_\phi : \text{O-U measure.}$$

- ▶ Stationarity :  $E^{\tilde{P}}(\phi_t(f) \phi_s(g)) = E^{\tilde{P}}(\phi_{t-s}(f) \phi_0(g)), \quad t > s.$
- ▶ Symmetry :  $E^{\tilde{P}}(\phi_t(f) \phi_s(g)) = E^{\tilde{P}}(\phi_t(g) \phi_s(f)).$
- ▶ Covariance :  $E^{\tilde{P}}(\phi_t(f) \phi_s(g)) = C_\kappa(f, e^{-\frac{t-s}{2}} C_\kappa^{-p} g).$

Because of stationarity : path space  $\Omega \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega} = \mathcal{C}^0((-\infty, \infty), \mathcal{D}')$

All of this is true when  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Side remark

A Euclidean formalism for the canonical choice  $\rho = 1$ .

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_D) \in \mathbb{R}^D \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\tilde{x} = (x_0, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Lambda_L \subset \mathbb{R}^{D+1},$$

$$\phi(\tilde{x}) = \phi(x_0, x), \quad x_0 = \text{“time coordinate”} = \text{“Langevin time etc.”}$$

Define :

$$\tilde{C}_\kappa(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \int \frac{dk_0}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{e^{ik_0(x_0 - y_0) + i(k, x - y)_{\mathbb{R}^D}}}{k_0^2 + \hat{C}^{-2}(k)}.$$

$\mu_{\tilde{C}_\kappa}$  : Gaussian measure, covariance  $\tilde{C}_\kappa$  on  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda_L)$ .

## Side remark

$\mathcal{D}_+(\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda_L)$  : positive time subspace.

$$f_t(\tilde{x}) = f(x)\delta(x_0 - t), \quad t > 0, \quad \in \mathcal{D}_+(\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda_L).$$

Take  $t > s$ . Then an easy computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\mu_{\tilde{C}_\kappa} \phi(f_t)\phi(f_s) &= \left( f, C_\kappa e^{-|t-s|C_\kappa^{-1}} g \right)_{L^2} \\ &= E^{\tilde{P}^{OU}}(\phi_t(f)\phi_s(g)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the analogy is

$\tilde{C}_\kappa$  : Euclidean covariance.

$C_\kappa$  : Fock space covariance.

“Time” reflection  $\theta$  :

$$\begin{aligned}f_t(\tilde{x}) &= f(x) \delta(x_0 - t) \in \mathcal{D}_+(\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda_L), \\(\theta f_t)(\tilde{x}) &= f(x) \delta(x_0 + t).\end{aligned}$$

Reflection positivity :

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi(f_t), \phi(f_t) \rangle &= \int d\mu_{\tilde{c}} (\theta\phi)(f_t) \phi(f_t) = \int d\mu_{\tilde{c}} \phi(\theta f_t) \phi(f_t) \\&= E_{\text{OU}}^{\tilde{P}} (\phi_t(f) \phi_{-t}(f)) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

You can apply the O-S construction of Hilbert space, semigroup etc. This could be the beginning of an Euclidean formalism for the non linear process.

## References

- ▶ Catellier, Rémi and Chouk, Khalil, Paracontrolled Distributions and the 3-dimensional Stochastic Quantization Equation. arxiv : 1310.6869, Oct. 2013
- ▶ Da Prato, Giuseppe and Debussche, Arnaud, Strong solutions to the stochastic quantization equations, Ann. Prob. 31(4) : 1900-1916 , 2003.
- ▶ Gubinelli, M. and Imkeller, P. and Perkowski, N., Paracontrolled distributions and singular PDEs, arxiv : 1210.2684, *math-ph*, Oct. 2012
- ▶ Hairer, M., A theory of regularity structures, Invent. Math., 198(2) : 269-504, 2014

## References

- ▶ Hairer M., Solving the KPZ equation , Annals of Mathematics, 178(2) : 559-664, June 2013.
- ▶ Hairer, Martin and Weber, Hendrik, Large deviations for white-noise driven, nonlinear stochastic PDEs in two and three dimensions, arXiv:1404.5863.
- ▶ Hairer M. and Shen H., The dynamical sine-gordon model, arxiv : 1409.5724 *math-ph*, September 2014.
- ▶ Jona-Lasinio, G. and Mitter, P. K., On the stochastic quantization of field theory, *Comm. Math.Phys.*, 101(3) : 409-436, 1985.

## References

- ▶ Jona-Lasinio, G. and Mitter, P. K., Large deviation estimates in the stochastic quantization of  $\phi_2^4$ , *Comm. Math.Phys.*, 130(1) : 111-121, 1990.
- ▶ Kupiainen Antti, Renormalization Group and Stochastic PDE's, arxiv : 1410.3094, October 2014.
- ▶ Mikulevicius, R. and Rozovskii, B. L., Martingale problems for stochastic PDE's. Stochastic partial differential equations: six perspectives, Volume 64 of *Math Surveys Mongr.*, pages 243-325. Amer. Math. Society, Providence, RI, 1999.