

### QUANTUM OPTICS GROUP

Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma



## Simulating quantum phenomena through integrated photonic circuits

Paolo Mataloni

Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma

http://quantumoptics.phys.uniroma1.it

What Next, Firenze, May 6, 2015

### **Summary**



1) Anderson localization of two non-interacting bosons/fermions via quantum walks

### 2) Study the role of particle statistics in quantum decay in a continuum and Fano resonances





3) Boson Sampling: a promising route towards *Quantum Supremacy* 

### **Femtosecond laser writing**



### **ABLE TO SUPPORT ANY POLARIZATION STATE**

### **Femtosecond laser writing**



### **Femtosecond laser writing**



**Integrated beam splitter** 

$$|\Psi^{\phi}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_A|V\rangle_B + e^{i\phi}|V\rangle_A|H\rangle_B)$$



M. Lobino & J.L. O'Brien News & Views Nature (2011)



L. Sansoni *et al. Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 200503 (2010)

Goal: to simulate the dynamics of non-interacting bosons/fermions in complex interferometric structures

### **Quantum Walk**



### **Implementing QW with photons**



2N Output Modes

Discrete QW: realized by concatenating many beam splitters and phase shifters



### **Polarization independent QW**



Polarization independent lattices made possible by 3D writing capability
Path lengths controlled up to few nanometers





Study the pure role of symmetries by different phase maps used in different diffusion processes of two non-interacting bosons/fermions



### Static disorder

**Disorder depending** 

- on site
- but NOT on time

Anderson localization of the quantum particle wavefunction

coupler

Up to 16 output modes and 64 polarization independent BSs and phase-shifters



shift

### 2-particle QW: theoretical distribution





2 Bosons 2 Fermions Ordered QW (50 steps) 2 Bosons2 FermionsStatic disordered QW (50 steps)

F. De Nicola, et al., Phys. Rev. A, 89, 032322 (2014)

### 2-particle QW: exp. results



A. Crespi, et al., Nature Photonics, 7, 322 (2013)

Investigate the decay process of two non-interacting particles (bosons/fermions) to a common continuum. Probe properly engineered lattices with 2-photon states. Insight on Fano interference and bound states in the continuum.





### Fano resonances with 2-bosons & fermions



A. Crespi, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 090201 (2015)

### **The BosonSampling problem**

### HOW TO ACHIEVE QUANTUM SUPREMACY ??



John Preskill Opreskill

🔽 Segui

Proposed "quantum supremacy" for controlled quantum systems surpassing classical ones. Please suggest alternatives.

## **BOSON SAMPLING**



Can a classical computer simulate the distribution of the output mode numbers ?

Answer: NO!!

Arkhipov and Aaronson, The Computational Complexity of Linear Optics Proceedings of the Royal Society (2011)

Complex network of linear optical elements described by a  $m \ge m$  unitary transformation U.

Evidence of the advantage of quantum over classical computers.

For a large enough number of photons (n  $\approx$  10) and modes (m  $\approx$  100) classical simulation starts to be inefficient

- Permanent of the matrix: computationally hard problem (simulated by the evolution of noninteracting <u>bosons</u>)
- Determinant of the matrix: calculated in a polinomial time (fermion output probabilities)

## Natural choice: photons evolving through linear interferometers

### Our approach: control $\phi$ and T



A. Crespi, et al., Nature Photonics 7, 545 (2013)

### **Boson Sampling**



 « Small-scale quantum computers made from an array of interconnected waveguides on a glass chip can now perform a task that is considered hard to undertake on a large scale by classical means. »
 T. Ralph, News & Views, *Nature Photonics* 7, 514 (2013)

## **Boson Sampling**



## Can Boson Sampling be validated?

It has been argued that due to the high complexity, BosonSampling output in the hard-computational regime cannot be distinguished from the random output of a uniform distribution

C. Gogolin et al. *arXiv:1306.3995* 

## The Theorists' Answer

For each single registered event, which identifies the output state, calculate the quantity

 $P = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |A_{i,j}|^2$ 

whith  $A_{i,j}$  = submatrix of U depending on the input and output states, and compare this value to its counterpart for a uniform distribution Pu.

If P > Pu, you can guess that the single event has been produced by a BosonSampler

S. Aaronson et al. arXiv:1309.7460

### **Validation of BosonSampling**



N. Spagnolo, et al., Nature Photonics, 8, 615 (2014)

### Validation of BosonSampling with 9 modes



c) 9-mode interferometer

N. Spagnolo, et al., Nature Photonics, 8, 615 (2014)

### **BosonSampling in a 13 mode system**



N. Spagnolo, et al., Nature Photonics, 8, 615 (2014)

## GOAL: Achieve Boson Sampling with n = 10-20 photons and m = 100-200 modes

## **Open questions**

- Measure BS complexity
- Other equivalent experimental schemes
- Certify the functioning of a BS experiment
- How noise/imperfections affect a complex BS

## Challenges

- Efficient single photon sources
- Reconfigurable photonic circuits
- Efficient single photon detectors

### **Scattershot BosonSampling**

p = probability of generating a photon pair in a single source (typical values p=0.01-0.015)

# $p^n$ probability of generating the *n*-photon input

Scattershot Boson Sampling, n-photon term

 $p^n(1-p)^{m-n}$  probability of generating one of the *n*-photon input configurations



Total generation rate:

$$\sim p^n (1-p)^{m-n} \binom{m}{n}$$

Sample both from the *input* and the *output modes* 



Potentially huge increase of the brightness of the quantum hardware

### **Scattershot BosonSampling: generation**

### Experimental setup - 1



### **Scattershot BosonSampling: preparation**

### Experimental setup - 2



Three photon events:1) Photon I (input 6) [fixed]<br/>2) Photon III (input 8) [fixed]<br/>3) Random input heralded by TiInput randomness further enhanced<br/>by sequential switching of photon VII

### **Scattershot BS: chip and detection**

### Experimental setup - 3



Evolution through m=9 and m=13 interferometers with random (but known) structure

Coincidence detection for:

Three-photon events with one heralding trigger Two-photon events with two heralding triggers

### **Scattershot BS: random input**

Scattershot - sampling with random input

#### Three-photon events



Number of input/output configurations

m=9 interferometer 2288 combinations

m=13 interferometer 1680 combinations

Few events per input/ output configurations

Data with three-photon and two-photon input collected simultaneously

- <sup>o</sup> Flexibility of waveguide integrated circuits (in particular 3D capabilities of fsec laser writing).
- Potential for quantum simulations and quantum walks (2 non-interacting bosons/fermions)
- ° Decay in a continuum of 2-bosonic/fermionic particles decay
- ° BosonSampling proof-of-principle tests

Thank you!



Fabio Sciarrino





Nicolò Spagnolo Postdoc



Chiara Vitelli Postdoc



Marco Bentivegna PhD student



Fulvio Flammini PhD student



Linda Sansoni Post-doc (now in Paderborn)

Niko Viggianiello Sandro Giacomini Giorgio Milani







#### chist-era



