Charge transport in layers of ZnO nanotetrapods and porous nanosheets comparatively investigated by impedance spectroscopy measurements
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✓ ZnO exhibits a unique combination of potentially interesting properties (large energy bandgap, high bulk electron mobility) joined to the probably richest variety of nanostructures.

✓ ZnO nanostructures are used for applications such as gas sensors and solar cells due to their high surface-to-volume ratio.

❖ The performances of ZnO nanoparticle-based solar cells are superior than those of nanorod-based devices, in spite of the slower electron transport of nanoparticles due to the presence of grain boundaries.

❖ Gas sensing involves adsorption of atmospheric oxygen on the oxide surface that extracts electrons from the semiconductor leading to a change in carrier density and conductivity.

❖ The exact fundamental mechanism causing a gas response is still controversial.
Charge transport in nanostructured layers

Charge transport depends on morphology and doping
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Studying the detailed mechanisms of responses from different nanostructure morphologies may be a tool to understand charge transport in these layers.
We report about an ongoing investigation performed by impedance spectroscopy (IS) on layers made of:

- ZnO nanotetrapods (TPs) grown by vapour phase processes
- mesoporous ZnO nanosheets (NSs) obtained from hybrid organic-inorganic precursor nanostructures.

Outline

- Nanostructure preps & props
- IS in gas (EtOH, CO) and vs temperature
- One equivalent circuit for different nanostructures
- Conclusion
Growth of ZnO "nano-tetrapods" (TPs) by vapour phase processes

**Optimized growth conditions:**

- Zn source is heated at 700°C in a 100 sccm Ar flow, while O₂ (10 sccm) is introduced farther in the reactor (T=500-600°C) in order to protect Zn source from early oxidation.

- Tetrapods nucleate in the zone where O₂ is introduced, grow in ~1-2 minutes while floating toward the end of the reactor and, finally, deposit in the coldest zone on the quartz tube wall.
ZnO porous nanosheets are obtained from ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$ hybrid precursors synthesized via solvothermal routes.
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Porosity is similar in large and small platelets.

Material size is in the 50 nm domain.
Sensor structures as test devices

TPs: legs are \( \sim 1 \, \mu m \) long and 30-200 nm large

Large porous NSs: \( 0.5-5 \, \mu m \) large, \( \sim 200-300 \, nm \) thick.

Small porous NSs: \( \leq 500 \, nm \) large, \( < 100 \, nm \) thick.
Impedance spectroscopy: TPs

TPs @ 400°C in dry air

\[ Z_{CPE} = \frac{1}{A(i\omega^n)} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{A\omega^n} \left[ \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) - i \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \right] \]

**Parameters:**
- \( R = 2.91 \, \text{M}\Omega \)
- \( C_{\text{stray}} = 2.4 \, \text{pF} \)
- \( A = 1.96 \, \text{pF} \)
- \( n = 0.842 \)
The same equivalent circuit allows the impedance of the TP-based sensor to be modelled at different temperatures and ethanol contents in the atmosphere.
Similar results were obtained by using CO instead of EtOH.
Impedance spectroscopy: NSs

In spite of the different morphology, the same equivalent circuit is suitable for modelling also the impedance of the NS-based sensors (both L-NS and S-NS).

L-NSs

S-NSs
Impedance parameters

\[ Z_{CPE} = \frac{1}{A \omega^n} \left[ \cos \left( \frac{n\pi}{2} \right) - i \sin \left( \frac{n\pi}{2} \right) \right] \]

In all the samples response to EtOH is due mainly to R
Sensitivity to EtOH

- Large NS are the most sensitive and small NSs are the least sensitive to EtOH.
- The number of NS-to-NS barriers is larger in S-NS than in L-NS due to the smaller size.
- Nanostructure response to EtOH is not straightly related to the potential barrier at the interfaces between adjoining nanostructures.
- Nanostructure complete depletion (low doping)?
- Similar porosity in L-NS and S-NS.

Does carrier recombination at the NS-to-NS interface affect charge transport?
✓ We are investigating the charge transport mechanisms in layers made of ZnO nanostructures (tetrapods or nanosheets).

✓ In spite of the huge differences in shape and size of nanostructures, the same equivalent circuit provides an excellent description of the behavior of TPs- and NSs-based sensors, for all the conditions considered.

✓ NS sensitivity to EtOH suggests that the potential barrier between adjoining nanocrystals is not involved in the gas sensing transduction.

✓ Work is under way to identify the physical mechanisms causing the parallel R-CPE circuit element and the decrease of R upon exposure to EtOH.