**↓** → - → - 1 HVR - CCPD Pixel detectors in BCD technology

**Stefano Passadore**, Attilio Andreazza, Mauro Citterio, Giovanni Darbo, Valentino Liberali, Francesco Ragusa, Ettore Ruscino, Hitesh Shrimali

> Università degli Studi di Milano and INFN - Sezione di Milano Via Celoria, 16 — 20133 Milano — Italy

> > stefano.passadore@studenti.unimi.it

September 25, 2015

The **HVR-CCPD** (High Voltage and Resistivity - Capacitively Coupled Pixel Detector) INFN project develops innovative pixel detector for **ATLAS** next upgrade in **BCD** (Bipolar-CMOS-DMOS) technology. BCD8sP technology is provided by STMicroelectronics (Agrate Brianza).

Targeting the 2024 High Luminosity LHC upgrade:

- instantaneous luminosity  $5 \times 10^{34}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> (five times original ATLAS design)
- Radiation hardness up to 1 Grad (10 MGy) for the detectors nearest to the beam line (3 cm)

# Why BCD technology?



#### Monolithic pixel sensors



• veffective cost (IC standard technology)

• K charge collection  $\rightarrow$  diffusion

- radiation damage
- small readout speed

# Why BCD technology?

#### HV-CMOS Hybrid pixel in BCD technology



cheap (BCD technology + Capacitive Coupling + IC standard technology)
 charge collection → drift

# Hybridization at INFN Genova

Capacitative Coupling: cheaper and easier alignment than Resistive Coupling.

Pillars:

used to separate uniformly the two wafers
 obtained with photoresist using lithography process





Photoresist thickness depends on the spin speed of the wafer in the deposition process

# Hybridization at INFN Genova



Hybridization tests are in progress. (in picture: HV2FE-I4)

# Targets for validation of BCD technology:

• MOSFET performance does NOT depend on substrate voltage

### RADIATION HARDNESS

- electronic devices
- sensor

# BCD Technology

KC01 is a standard test chip provided by STMicroelectronics.

```
Each row contains MOS transistors with different working voltage (1.8 V/5 V), type (NMOS/PMOS), geometry (linear/ELT) and size (W/L=10 \ \mu m/10 \ \mu m, 10 \mu m/1 \ \mu m, 20 \mu m/1 \ \mu m, 40 \mu m/1 \ \mu m, 100 \mu m/1 \ \mu m)
```



KC01 is a standard test chip provided by STMicroelectronics



Linear Transistor



Enclosed Layout Transistor (ELT)

#### All pads of 1.8 V transistors are bonded on the JLCC68 package



The measurement instrument is a Semiconductor Parameter Analyzer

Pch; ELT; 
$$W/L$$
=100  $\mu$ m/1  $\mu$ m;  
 $V_{GS} = -1.8$  V,  $-1.44$  V,  $-1.08$  V,  $-0.72$  V,  $-0.36$  V, 0 V

 $V_{sub} = -1.8 V$ 





MOSFET performance does NOT depend on substrate voltage

Simplified measurement setup has been used in the radiation hall (oscilloscope + wave generator)

Transistor characterization is made through a NOT-gate circuit



During irradiations, all transistors were **biased** and **switched on**.

## Test in radiation environment



At Laboratorio Energia Nucleare Applicata (LENA) in Pavia:

Irradiation with  $\gamma$ -rays (<sup>60</sup>Co):

- 48 krad
- 128 krad
- 224 krad
- 488 krad
- 861 krad
- 2.0 Mrad
- 2.8 Mrad
- 3.5 Mrad
- 6.2 Mrad

#### At Laboratori Nazionali del Sud (LNS) in Catania:

#### Irradiation with 62 MeV proton beam up to 32 Mrad



# Data Collection



# Analysis



Nmos linear W/L = 100 um/1 um PRE-Radiation

Results



T1: PMOS linear transistor; T2: NMOS linear transistor D1,  $\dots$  , D6 : size of transistor

Results



T3: PMOS ELT transistor; T4: NMOS ELT transistor D1, ... , D6 : size of transistor

During proton beam irradiation, most of ELTs looked like switched off

# Conclusions

- Hybridization:
  - $\checkmark$  detector and front-end chip separation is uniform at few microns level
  - in progress
- Transistors in standard test chip KC01:
  - No difference of channel current at different substrate voltages
  - ✓ Linear transistors (both PMOS and NMOS) can be considered radiation hard up to 32 Mrad
  - X During and after irradiation, ELT performance is worse than linear transistors
- Tests of the sensor:
  - devices delivered in July
  - tests in progress

# Thank you

#### Particular thanks to:

- dott. Daniele Dondi (Università degli Studi di Pavia)
  - Laboratorio Energia Nucleare Applicata
    - prof. Daniele Alloni



- dott. Gabriele Chiodini (INFN-Lecce)
- Laboratori Nazionali del Sud - dott. Marzio de Napoli



Test chip KC01

		Teg1 – 1V8CMOS		
		$W_{EL}$ ( $\mu$ m)	L (µm)	Notes
T1D1	1V8Pch	10	10	NG=2
T1D2	1V8Pch	10	1	NG=2
T1D3	1V8Pch	20	1	NG=2
T1D4	1V8Pch	40	1	NG=2
T1D5	1V8Pch	100	1	NG=2
T1D6	1V8Pch	100	1	NG=20
		Teg2 – 1V8CMOS		
		Teg2	— 1V8CM	OS
		Teg2 W <sub>EL</sub> (μm)	- 1V8CM L (μm)	<i>OS</i> Notes
T2D1	1V8Nch	Teg2 W <sub>EL</sub> (μm) 10	- 1V8 <i>CM</i> L (μm) 10	OS Notes NG=2
T2D1 T2D2	1V8Nch 1V8Nch	Teg2 W <sub>EL</sub> (μm) 10 10	- 1V8CM L (μm) 10 1	OS Notes NG=2 NG=2
T2D1 T2D2 T2D3	1V8Nch 1V8Nch 1V8Nch	Teg2 W <sub>EL</sub> (μm) 10 10 20	- 1V8CM L (μm) 10 1 1	OS Notes NG=2 NG=2 NG=2
T2D1 T2D2 T2D3 T2D4	1V8Nch 1V8Nch 1V8Nch 1V8Nch	Teg2 W <sub>EL</sub> (μm) 10 10 20 40	- 1V8CM L (μm) 10 1 1 1	OS Notes NG=2 NG=2 NG=2 NG=2
T2D1 T2D2 T2D3 T2D4 T2D5	1V8Nch 1V8Nch 1V8Nch 1V8Nch 1V8Nch	Teg2           W <sub>EL</sub> (μm)           10           20           40           100	- 1V8CM L (μm) 10 1 1 1 1	OS Notes NG=2 NG=2 NG=2 NG=2 NG=2

		Teg3 – 1V8CMOS – Closed			
		$W_{EL}$ ( $\mu$ m)	L (µm)	Notes	
T3D2	1V8Pch	10	1	NG=2	
T3D3	1V8Pch	20	1	NG=2	
T3D4	1V8Pch	40	1	NG=2	
T3D5	1V8Pch	100	1	NG=2	
T3D6	1V8Pch	100	1	NG=20	
		Teg4 – 1V8CMOS – Closed			
		Teg4 - 1V	′8 <i>CMOS</i> –	- Closed	
		$Teg4-1V W_{EL}~(\mu m)$	′8 <i>CMOS –</i> L (μm)	- <i>Closed</i> Notes	
T4D2	1V8Nch		/8 <i>CMOS</i> – L (μm) 1	- <i>Closed</i> Notes NG=2	
T4D2 T4D3	1V8Nch 1V8Nch		/8 <i>CMOS</i> - L (μm) 1 1	- <i>Closed</i> Notes NG=2 NG=2	
T4D2 T4D3 T4D4	1V8Nch 1V8Nch 1V8Nch	$     \begin{array}{r} Teg4 - 1V \\         W_{EL} (\mu m) \\         10 \\         20 \\         40     \end{array} $	/8 <i>CMOS</i> - L (μm) 1 1 1	- <i>Closed</i> Notes NG=2 NG=2 NG=2	
T4D2 T4D3 T4D4 T4D5	1V8Nch 1V8Nch 1V8Nch 1V8Nch		/8 <i>CMOS</i> - L (μm) 1 1 1 1	- Closed Notes NG=2 NG=2 NG=2 NG=2	



Nmos linear W/L = 100 um/1 um comparison between before and after irradiation

# Fit Comparison: first case

T1D2



# Fit Comparison: second case

T1D6



# Fit Comparison: second case

T3D4

