

Very Fruitful meeting with PO on jan 21st

1. Beneficiaries with «associated» staff (DESY, QMUL, RAL)

I have described the real situation, in Germany and UK, to both the PO and the relevant EU Financial Officer. I have stressed the fact that in both cases there exist **previous long dating scientific collaborations** between national institutions.

I have also shown the **«association contract»** that we are preparing in both cases.

We obtained **informal approval by the financial officer**, which will be formalized in a written message answering a formal request from me. I will send today such request, attaching to it both german and UK scientific collaboration agreements and the association contract draft.

It is possible that the F.O. will suggest to add a sentence to the association contract, stating that research activity of the hosting institution will be carried out by the associated personnel **also at their home institutions**.

2. Secondment plan flexibility

Both PO and FO understand that we cannot plan exactly all secondments in advance and that there might be some changes at any time.

We have to make an effort to plan in the most accurate way our travels on a yearly basis, **but they will accept frequent quick changes provided we keep them carefully informed.**

3. EU secondment database

It is going to be implemented on the participant portal. It will be based on a **posteriori report** which are due by the **sending beneficiary**:

- each sending beneficiary will have to upload an online form called **«researcher declaration»** for each secondment, **NOT LATER than 20 days after the secondment start.**
- only one researcher declaration will be due for split secondments
- a posteriori correction of the secondments closing date (or split) will be possible.

4. Deliverables' due dates

PO has stated that planned dates for deliverables release can be flexible. The real important thing is to show in the periodic reports and in the mid term review that the project is progressing on all its planned activities

5. Mid term review

We can make it together with a Consortium general meeting. PO and FO have to take part. Actually both mid term reviews are planned when we should hold general meeting in Japan: it is not obvious that EU will send POs in Japan, but we can invite them on our budget (i.e. common fund)

WP coordinators chosen by groups

WP1: Christoph Schwanda (Wien)

WP2: Hans Gunther Moser (DESY-

WP3: Vincenzo Berardi (INFN)

WP4: Francesca Di Lodovico (QMUL)

Proposed CC chair:

Federico Sanchez (IFAE)