Development of gating foils to inhibit ion feedback using FPC production techniques

Daisuke Arai (Fujikura Ltd.)

Katsumasa Ikematsu (Saga Uni.), Akira Sugiyama (Saga Uni.)

Masahiro Iwamura, Akira Koto, Kouichiro Katsuki (Fujikura Ltd.)

Keisuke Fujii, Matsuda Takeshi (KEK/IPNS)

2015/10/13 @ MPGD2015 Trieste



Contents

- Introduction
- Production of Gating Foils
 - Processing method
 - Development results Laser drilling Single mask
- Limit of Gating foil processing
 - Large size processing

170mm x 220mm size

- Fine electrode processing

Minimum rim width and hole size

• Summary



Positive Ion feedback in ILC TPC

Positive Ion Feedback in ILC TPC

- Time Projection Chamber (TPC) with MPGD readout is proposed to be the central tracker of ILD detector for the International Linear Collider (ILC).
- Positive-ion feedback from the gas-amplification region to the drift region can deteriorate the position resolution of TPC.
- Required point resolution of better than 100 μ m for long drift (~2.3 m) @3.5T



Fig 1-1. ILC beam structure

Fig 1-2. Positive ion feedback in ILC TPC



<u>Gating foil</u>

- Gating foil for ILC TPC
- Mounting the Gating foil near the MPGD to stop the feedback of positive ions.



Fig 2. Mounting image of gating foil on the module

- Gating foil
- Gating foil have GEM-like structure.
- Gating foil is operated in low voltage mode. (initially proposed by F. Sauli in 2006)
- Gating foil can easily be used as a closed gate by reversing the electric field.



Fig 3. Electric field of gating foil



Requirement for Gating foil

- Requirement for Gating foil of ILC TPC
- 80% electron transmission is required to satisfy the performance of ILC-TPC.
- Endplate of ILC-TPC is consist of 240 modules, and 1 module size is 170mm x 220mm.
- From the simulation results by ILC-TPC group, the Gating foil is required according to the below spec.



Fig 4. Image of ILC-TPC (model with 8 rows of modules)

Gating foil	Amplification GEM	
$\geq 80\%$	22.7%	
$\leq 300 \mu m$	70µm	
≦335µm	140µm	
< 25um 70um		
≥ 55µm	70µm	
≦25µm	50µm or 100µm	
170mm x 220mm	170mm x 220mm	
	Gating foil $\geq 80\%$ $\leq 300\mu m$ $\leq 335\mu m$ $\leq 35\mu m$ $\leq 25\mu m$ 170mm x 220mm	

■ Requirement spec for Gating foil and Amplification GEM

Table 1. Requirement spec for Gating foil and Amplification GEM of ILC TPC



Production techniques of FPC and GEM

- Why does Fujikura try to develop the Gating foil ?
- Fujikura is one of major Flexible Printed Circuit (FPC) makers in the world.
- FPC is commonly applied to cables inside electrical appliances.
- Production techniques of GEM are the same as FPC production techniques.



■ Relationship between production of GEM and FPC

GEM production	Key techniques	FPC production
Single mask	Photolithography	Cinquit formation
Double mask	Insulator removing	Circuit formation
Laser drilling	Laser processing	Making through hole

Table 2. Relationship between GEM and FPC



Key techniques of production

Key techniques of Gating foil production

Production method of Double Layer FPC

(1) Laminate the photoresist film on (2) Ex the CCL (Copper Clad Laminate)



(2) Expose the film using the photo mask



(3) Photoresist development

(4) Etching and remove the photoresist



Our Goal

Key techniques of Gating foil production

Production method of Double Layer FPC

(5) Remove the polyimide using the copper as etching mask

(6) Plating copper on the surface of polyimide to contact the circuit on both layers



Our Goal

Develop the Gating foil for ILC-TPC and solve the Positive ion feedback problem using the FPC production techniques.



Difficulty of Gating foil production on each process

- We tried to study about 3 production methods.

GEM production	Key technique
Single mask	Photolithography
Double mask	Insulator removing
Laser drilling	Laser processing

Table 3. GEM production methods

1. Double mask process

- Double mask process need the photomask alignment, but the accuracy of the alignment is about ± 10 um over a large area.





Laser drilling – Process

2. Laser drilling process

- UV-YAG Laser is usually used in making through hole (TH) of FPC.
- Gaussian beam mode of UV-YAG Laser can process copper and polyimide at the same time. (beam size of Gaussian mode beam : 20~30µm)
- We confirmed the minimum rim width on Laser drilling process.





2. Laser drilling process

Results

Item	Gating foil
Hole size	302µm
Hole pitch	330µm
Rim width : F-side	14µm
Rim width : B-side	28um
Insulator thickness	25µm (&12.5µm)
size	10mm x 10mm
Processing time	6 min (Only Laser)
Optical aperture ratio	75.8%



Pic 1. Surface of F-side

- Minimum rim width is 28µm. (Under 35µm)
- The rim didn't break and maintained the fine structure. (Pic.1)
- Copper removed from the polyimide on the F-side rim width 10μm.
 The limiting width of the rim by Laser drilling process is 25μm.
- Optical aperture ratio was 75%. (Under 80%)
- Processing time of 10mm x 10mm was 6min.
 In case of 170mm x 220mm, the processing time is about 2,240min...



Laser drilling – Problem

2. Laser drilling process

- Circle structure Gating foil couldn't reach optical aperture ratio 80%.
- The Laser machine for FPC products is optimized to circle processing.



Fig 7. Relationship between Rim size and Optical aperture ratio on $335\mu m$ hole pitch

Laser drilling process isn't suitable for the Gating foil processing



Single mask – Process (Photolithography)

3. Single mask process

- Single mask process usually use Ni-plating as the etching mask for B-side copper etching.
- Gating foil is used in a magnetic field 3.5T, so we don't want to use Ni.
- So we need to develop a "No Ni plating single mask process".
- No Ni plating Single mask process

(1) Laminate the photoresist film on CCL



(2) Form Honeycomb structure circuit on the thick copper side





Single mask - Process (Insulator removing)

3. Single mask process

(3) Remove the polyimide by UV-YAG Laser



- Why we select the UV-YAG Laser ?
- Laser have higher processing accuracy and can process the higher angle-taper hole than the polyimide etching.
- UV-YAG Laser can remove polyimide with small damage. (better for making narrow rims)





Pic 2. Damage of polyimide by UV-YAG Laser and CO2 Laser

Laser shot on all area

3. Single mask process

(4) Etch the copper from both side by etching liquid



- Point of No Ni-plating Single mask process
- Process is very simple, so the process has an advantage for the large area processing.
- We need to control copper thickness of F-side and B-side and the amount being etched is very severe.



3. Single mask process

■ Results

Item	Gating foil
Hole size	304µm
Hole pitch	335µm
Rim width : F-side	27µm
Rim width : B-side	31um
Insulator thickness	12.5µm
size	100mm x 100mm
Processing time	70min (only laser)
Optical aperture ratio	82.3%

Many problems happened...



Pic3-1. Problem1 Effect of copper grain size







Pic3-3. Surface of F-side



Pic3-4. Surface of F-side



Pic3-5. Cross section of rim

3. Single mask process

We developed the Gating foil which optical aperture ratio is over 80% on 100mm x 100mm size with No Ni-plating single mask process !



Pic4-1. Gating foil on the Test module (Test by Saga Uni. and ILC-TPC Gr)

Pic4-2. Side view of Gating foil

We established the stable process, and we tried to develop 2 items.

- (1) Large size processing
- (2) Fine electrode processing



Large size processing : Recent situation

- Difficulty of Large size Gating foil production
- We already developed the 170mm x 220mm size Gating foil with the No Niplating process.
- But there were a few electrode breaks in the Gating foil we developed.
- No electrode breaks are required for the 170mm x 220mm size.
- The cause of the electrode breaks is contamination on Photolithography process(=circuit formation process).



Pic5-1. Gating foil 170mm x 220mm size (but include a few electrode breaks)



Pic5-2. Circuit break after circuit formation



Large size processing : Problem

Difficulty of Large size Gating foil production

- Cause of circuit breaking
- The cause of circuit breaking is due to contamination on 4 possible places.
- The size of contamination is a few tens of μm.

(1) Under the photoresist (2) On the photoresist (3) Below the photomask (4) On the photomask



We already solved this problem by improving the cleanness of processing environment and optimization the photoresist.



Processing of Fine electrodes : Minimum rim width

- How fine can we process the electrodes?
- We tried to process more fine electrodes using No Ni-plating single mask process.
- This time we processed only 30mm x 30mm size.
- We confirmed the diameter of the Gating foil holes of on 100μm, 150μm and 200μm.

Minimum rim width

(1) $\phi 205 \mu m$, 225 μm pitch, Rim width : 20 μm , Polyimide 12.5 μm



Pic6-1. F-side φ225μm, rim width 20μm



Pic6-2. B-side ϕ 225 μ m, rim width 20 μ m



Pic6-3. Cross section ϕ 225 μ m, rim width 20 μ m

- Rim width : F-side 14µm , B-side 20µm
- We can process $20\mu m$ rim width and $\phi 200\mu m$ honeycomb structure holes.



Processing of Fine electrodes : Minimum rim width

How fine can we process the electrodes ?
 Minimum rim width

(2) ϕ 153 μ m, 168 μ m pitch, Rim width : 15 μ m, Polyimide 12.5 μ m



Pic7-1. F-side φ168μm, rim width 15μm



Pic7-2. B-side ϕ 168 μ m, rim width 15 μ m



Pic7-3. Cross section ϕ 168 μ m, rim width 15 μ m



15µm

- F-side electrode width was 7µm.
- Copper was stripped from polyimide when the electrode width was about under 7µm.

We confirmed the minimum rim width is 15µm.

Processing of Fine electrodes : Minimum hole size

How fine can we process the electrodes ?

Minimum hole size

(3) $\phi 97 \mu m,\, 120 \mu m$ pitch, Rim width : $23 \mu m$



Pic8-1. F-side φ97μm, rim width 23μm





Pic8-2. B-side ϕ 97µm, rim width 23µm



Pic8-3. Cross section ϕ 97µm, rim width 23µm

- Etching liquid etch the copper isotropically.
- It is difficult to make sharp edge especially for the small hole.

We can't process the honeycomb structure hole with a hole size of less than 150 μm

Summary

Fujikura

- ILC-TPC is planned to be equipped with a gating foil to inhibit the positive ion feedback.
- Gating foil like GEM structure which optical aperture ratio is over 80% is required.
- We tried to develop the Gating foil using FPC techniques especially Photolithography and Insulator removing.
- We developed the Gating foil with optical aperture of greater than 80% on 100mm x 100mm size with the No Ni-plating Single mask process.
- Large size (170mm x 220mm) processing had a problem with circuit breaking, but we already solved this problem.
- We confirmed the minimum rim width and hole size on 30mm x 30mm size. (minimum rim width : 15μm, minimum hole size 150μm)



Pic9-1. Circuit formation process



Pic9-2. Gating foil on the TPC module 170mm x 220mm size



Thank you for your attention



Pic. Inspection of Circuit breaks by AOI (Automated Optical Inspection) machine



Back up



(1) Measurement method of Electron transimission

by comparing signal charge passing through the Gate-GEM to signal without Gate-GEM using a small test chamber irradiated with an 55Fe source, which is installed in a 1T MRI type super-conducting solenoid at KEK cryo center





Pic10-1. 1T MRI tpype superconducting solenoid

Pic10-2. Measuremant module

- Case (2): the conversion happens in the drift region, so that the produced electrons have to pass the gate and the signal is affected by the gate transmission
- Case (1): a small portion of the X-rays are converted in the region between the gate and the amplification GEM, which produces signal without any effect of the gate
- Electron transmission: calculated as the ratio of the two signals

Refer from "Development of Large-Aperture GEMs as a Gating Device of ILC-TPC for Blocking Positive Ion Feedback" Katsumasa Ikematsu (Saga Uni.)



(2) Results of Electron transimission

• We confirmed the electorn tronsimission of the honeycomb sturucture is over 80%.



(3) Simulation results of electron transmission ANSYS-Garfield++ simulation (0 T, V_{gate} = 20 V)



Preliminary



Refer from "Development of Large-Aperture GEMs as a Gating Device of ILC-TPC for Blocking Positive Ion Feedback" Katsumasa Ikematsu (Saga Uni.)



(3) Simulation results of electron transmission ANSYS-Garfield++ simulation (1 T, V_{gate} = 20 V)



Katsumasa Ikematsu (Saga Uni.)



(3) Simulation results of electron transmission

ANSYS-Garfield++ simulation $(3.5 T, V_{gate} = 20 V)$



Katsumasa Ikematsu (Saga Uni.)



(3) Simulation results of electron transmission

• From the simulation results, we can get over 80% electorn tronsimission in the magnetic field 3.5T.

(2-1) Circle structure Gating foil (Optical aperture ratio : 75.8%)



0.0T, E, = E, = 230 V/cr

[Sim] B = 3.5T, E. = E. = 230 V/cr

15

Exp vs Sim (Fujikura Type 0)

/S+Garfield++: Round holes, 330 μm pitch, 14-28 μm rim-width, PI 25 μm thic

10

(2-2) Honey comb structure Gating foil

(Optical aperture ratio : 82.3%)

Exp vs Sim (Fujikura Type 3)





Preliminary

20

V_{GateGEM} [V]

Refer from "Development of Large-Aperture GEMs as a Gating Device of ILC-TPC for Blocking Positive Ion Feedback" Katsumasa Ikematsu (Saga Uni.)



100 c

95 90

85 E

80

75 E

70 F

65

60

50^L

Electron transmission [%]

2. Ni-plating Single mask process

(1) Process

(1) Form the honeycomb circuit



(2) Plating Ni on the circuit of F-side

(3) Remove the polyimide by UV-YAG Laser



(4) Laminate the cover film on B-side, and ecth the copper.

(5) Remove the cover film



2. Ni-plating Single mask process

(2) Results

Item	Gating foil
Hole size	295µm
Hole pitch	330µm
Rim width : F-side	25µm
Rim width : B-side	35um
Insulator thickness	12.5µm
size	30mm x 30mm
Processing time	10min (only laser)
Optical aperture ratio	80.0%

Copper stripped





Pic11-1. F-side





Pic11-3. Cross section





- Etching liquid ecth the copper under the Ni
- Copper stripped from the surface of polyimide



2. Ni-plating Single mask process

(2) Results

Item	Gating foil
Hole size	275µm
Hole pitch	315µm
Rim width : F-side	30µm
Rim width : B-side	40um
Insulator thickness	12.5µm
size	90mm x 90mm
Optical aperture ratio	76.0%



Pic12-1. F-side

Pic12-2. B-side



Pic12-3. Cross section

- We need to plate thick-Ni to protect the copper circuit.
- ILC-TPC is used in the high magnetic field
 3.5T, so we don't use the Ni.
- Polyimide expose when we strip the Ni. (we can't make the collective electric field)
- Ni-plating Single mask isn't suitable for the Gating foil processing.



3. CO2 Laser and UV-YAG Laser

- Processing method of CO2 laser is "Thermal processing" and UV-YAG laser is "Ablation".
- Ablation is Non-thermal processing, so we can process the polyimide with small damege.

Laser sourse	Wave length	Processing
CO2	10.6µm	Thermal processing
UV-YAG	355nm	Ablation

■ Laser ablation

Laser ablation is the process of removing material from a solid (or occasionally liquid) surface by irradiating it with a laser beam. At low laser flux, the material is heated by the absorbed laser energy and evaporates or sublimates. At high laser flux, the material is typically converted to a plasma. Usually, laser ablation refers to removing material with a pulsed laser, but it is possible to ablate material with a continuous wave laser beam if the laser intensity is high enough.



Measurement results

- We confirmed the processing accuracy of electrodes on the No Niplating Single mask process.
- We measured the rim width on 5 position (center and 4 corners) in 3 samples.



Fig6. Measurement position



Measurement results

	Rim width (um)		Copper thi	ckness(um)
	F-side	B-side	F-side	B-side
ave.	26.74	30.96	9.20	2.83
Max-Min	6.87	7.27	3.19	0.89
3σ	4.66	5.03	2.33	0.69





Simulation results

• Distortion of the reconstructed track is Maximum 90um.



Fig7-1. Simulation results of disitortion fromIon Feedback

引用文献 "Simulation of Field Distortions because of Ion Back Drift" Thorsten Krautscheid



6. CCL : Copper Clad Laminate

Material for Gating Foil

- CCL is a basic material on the FPC production.
- We can select 3 types of CCL.
- We need to use the 2Layer CCL for Gating foil production, beacuse adhesive layer easily be damaged by Laser.
- Treatment on the contact surface of copper is very important for the B-side copper etching processing.



