Hodge dual on supermanifolds and supersymmetric actions

Leonardo Castellani

Università del Piemonte Orientale



Sestri Levante Sept. 2015

Physics on the Riviera

- 1. Why supermanifolds
- 2. Why integration on supermanifolds
- 3. Integral representation of the Hodge dual

LC, Catenacci, Grassi 1409.0192 , Nucl.Phys.B889 (2014) 419 1503.07886, Nucl.Phys.B899 (2015)112 1507.01421, Nucl.Phys.B899 (2015) 570

1. Why supermanifolds

To interpret (local) supersymmetry variations of the fields as the effect of a Grassmann coordinate transformation

This idea can be extended to gauge transformations as well —> supergroup manifolds

Thus diff.s, supersymmetry, gauge transformations are all diffeomorphisms in the supergroup manifold G

They are invariances of an action invariant under group manifold diff.s

Dynamical fields: vielbeins on G

Example: G = superPoincaré



2. Why integration on supermanifolds

To obtain a diff-invariant action on the supergroup manifold: integral of a n-form on a n-dim supergroup manifold G

But n > d, where d is the dimension of spacetime.

How can we obtain a *d*-dim field theory where the fields depend only on *d* space-time coordinates (for ex. d=4) ?



Start with a Lagrangian *d*-form and integrate it on a *d*-dim submanifold *S* of *G*

Group geometric construction of supergravity theories, Torino group 80's Review: LC, D'Auria, Fré

Action in *d* dim. Action in *n* dim.

$$i: S \to G$$
$$\int_S i^* L^{(d)} = \int_G L^{(d)} \wedge \eta_S$$

where
$$L^{(d)}$$
 is a *d*-form Lagrangian (on *G*)
S is a (bosonic^{*}) *d*-dim surface embedded in *G*,
 η_S is the *Poincaré dual* of *S*

• If S described locally by the vanishing of *n-d* coordinates *t*

$$\eta_S = \delta(t^1) \cdots \delta(t^{n-d}) \ dt^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt^{n-d}$$

a singular closed localization (n-d) - form. Projects on the submanifold S(t=0) and orthogonally to $dt^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt^{n-d}$.

*diffeomorphic to d-dim Minkowski spacetime

This action, being the integral of a n-form on the n-dim supergroup manifold G, is invariant under G-diffeomorphisms

$$0 = \delta_{\epsilon} \int_{G} L^{(d)} \wedge \eta_{S} = \int_{G} \ell_{\epsilon} L^{(d)} \wedge \eta_{S} + \int_{G} L^{(d)} \wedge \ell_{\epsilon} \eta_{S}$$



a change of **S**, generated by the Lie derivative along a tangent vector ϵ , can be compensated by a diffeomorphism applied to the fields in **L**

Action principle

The action

$$I[\phi,S] = \int_G L(\phi) \wedge \eta_S$$

depends on fields ϕ (contained in L) and on the submanifold S

- must vary both ϕ and S
- since variation of embedding of S is equivalent to variation of fields, just vary φ with S fixed and arbitrary (variational principle does not determine S)



Field equations:



d-1 form equations holding on G

Finally, dependence of the fields on the extra coordinates:

disappears for "gauge coordinates"
 for "supersymmetry coordinates" θ, the fields at θ are related to the fields at θ = 0

An output of the field equations:

horizontality of the curvatures: no legs in gauge directions

• rheonomy of curvatures: legs in heta directions related to legs in x directions

Invariances

Diff.s on G are invariances of the action:

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \int_{G} L(\phi) \wedge \eta_{S} = \int_{G} \ell_{\epsilon} L(\phi) \wedge \eta_{S} + \int_{G} L(\phi) \wedge \ell_{\epsilon} \eta_{S}$$

- If second term vanishes, diff.s applied only to the fields in L are also invariances of the action
- This happens when

$$i_{\epsilon}dL = 0$$

(use $\ \ell_{\epsilon}=i_{\epsilon}d+di_{\epsilon}$, $d\eta_{S}=0$ and integration by parts)

Example: N=1 supergravity in d=4

Action

$$I_{SG} = \int_{M^4} R^{ab} \wedge V^c \wedge V^d \epsilon_{abcd} + 4 \ \bar{\psi} \wedge \gamma_5 \gamma_d \rho \wedge V^d$$

with $R^{ab} = d\omega^{ab} - \omega^a_{\ c} \wedge \omega^{cb}$ $\rho = d\psi - \frac{1}{4}\omega^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\psi$

Invariances (diff.s on superPoincaré group manifold*)

- ordinary x-diff.s
- Iocal Lorentz rotations
- local supersymmetry

$$\delta_{\epsilon} V^{a} = i \ \overline{\epsilon} \gamma^{a} \psi$$
$$\delta_{\epsilon} \psi = d\epsilon - \frac{1}{4} \omega^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \epsilon$$

*soft group manifold

• Diff invariance relies on existence of a top form $\delta_{\epsilon} \int (top \ form) = \int (di_{\epsilon} + i_{\epsilon}d)(top \ form) = \int d(i_{\epsilon} \ top \ form)$ since $d(top \ form) = 0$

- Are there top forms also on supermanifolds ?
- Can we integrate them ?

We know how to integrate functions on a supermanifold (Berezin integration).

Integration of functions on supermanifolds

• Example: real superspace $\mathbb{R}^{n|m}$

n bosonic coordinates x^i m fermionic coordinates θ^{α}

Integration of functions

$$f(x,\theta) = f_0(x) + \dots + f_m(x) \ \theta^1 \dots \theta^m$$

If the real function $f_m(x)$ is integrable in \mathbb{R}^n ,

the Berezin integral of $f(x, \theta)$ is defined as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n|m}} f(x,\theta)[d^n x d^m \theta] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_m(x) d^n x$$

Can we define integration of forms on supermanifolds via Berezin integration of functions ?

After all, integration of usual (bosonic) forms

$$\omega = \omega_{[i_1 \cdots i_p]}(x) dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_p}$$

is defined via Riemann-Lebesgue integration of functions

$$\int_{M^p} \omega = \int \omega_{[i_1 \cdots i_p]}(x) \epsilon^{i_1 \cdots i_p} d^p x$$

Berezin for bosonic forms

Usual integration theory of differential forms for bosonic manifolds can be rephrased in terms of Berezin integration.

The idea is to interpret the differentials dx as anticommuting variables $\xi = dx$, similar to the Grassmann coordinates θ

Then the *p*-form

$$\omega = \omega_{[i_1 \cdots i_p]}(x) dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_p}$$

is reinterpreted as a function on a supermanifold $\,M^{p|p}\,$ with coordinates ${\bf x}$ and $\xi\,$

$$\omega(x,\xi) = \omega_{[i_1\cdots i_p]}(x)\xi^{i_1}\cdots\xi^{i_p}$$

The Berezin integral of this function is

$$\int_{M^{p|p}} \omega(x,\xi) [d^p x d^p \xi] = \int \omega_{[i_1 \cdots i_p]}(x) \epsilon^{i_1 \cdots i_p} d^p x$$

and reproduces $\int_{M^p} \omega$

Top forms for supermanifolds ?

• There seems to be a problem: forms on a supermanifold can be of arbitrarily high order, since the $d\theta$ commute !

$$\omega = \omega_{[i_1 \cdots i_r](\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_s)}(x, \theta) \ dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_r} \wedge d\theta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\theta^{\alpha_s}$$

 $d\omega \neq 0 \quad \text{--->}$ forms of this type cannot be top forms

- Then how can we define integration of forms on a supermanifold ?
- $\,$ $\,$ Answer: consider $\,$ ω as a function of the differentials

 $\omega = \omega(x, \theta, dx, d\theta)$

with n+m bosonic variables $x, d\theta$ and m+n fermionic variables θ, dx

• Use then Berezin integration on the function ω on the "double" supermanifold $M^{n+m|n+m}$

• The only functions of $x, \theta, dx, d\theta$ that can be integrated on $M^{n+m|n+m}$ are the "integral top forms" containing all the dx differentials, and all the $d\theta$ differentials inside delta functions:

$$\omega = \omega_{[i_1 \cdots i_n][\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_m]}(x, \theta) \ dx^{i_1} \cdots dx^{i_n} \delta(d\theta^{\alpha_1}) \cdots \delta(d\theta^{\alpha_m})$$

NB ω has compact support as a function of the even variables $d\theta$: it is in fact a *distribution* with support at the origin, so that the integral over those variables makes sense.

• Note that
$$\delta(d\theta^{\alpha})\delta(d\theta^{\beta}) = -\delta(d\theta^{\beta})\delta(d\theta^{\alpha})$$

to be consistent with $\int \delta(d\theta) \delta(d\theta') d(d\theta) d(d\theta') = 1$

In analogy with the Berezin integral for bosonic forms:

 $\int_{M^{n|m}} \omega = \int_{M^{n+m|n+m}} \omega(x,\theta,dx,d\theta) [d^{n}xd^{m}\theta d^{n}(dx)d^{m}(d\theta)]$ $\equiv \int_{M^{n|m}} \omega_{[i_{1}\cdots i_{n}][\alpha_{1}\cdots\alpha_{m}]}(x,\theta)\epsilon^{i_{1}\cdots i_{n}}\epsilon^{\alpha_{1}\cdots\alpha_{m}}[d^{n}xd^{m}\theta]$



consistent theory of integration on supermanifolds

books: Berezin, Manin, DeWitt, Rogers review articles: Kac, Leites, Voronov, Nelson, Deligne and Morgan, Witten theory of integral forms initiated in Bernstein and Leites (1977)

including integration on a (bosonic) submanifold of a supergroup manifold, necessary to give a sound mathematical basis to the group-geometric method outlined above.

3. Hodge dual for supermanifolds

LC,Catenacci,Grassi

Based on Fourier transform of superforms.

Again, superforms can be seen as functions of $x, \theta, dx, d\theta$

Then we just need to define Fourier transform of functions of $x,\theta,dx,d\theta$. Introducing the dual variables $\,y,\psi,\eta,b$:

 $\mathcal{F}(\omega)(x,\theta,dx,d\theta) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m|n+m}} \omega(y,\psi,\eta,b) e^{i(xy+\theta\psi+dx\eta+d\theta b)} [d^n y d^m \psi d^n \eta d^m b]$

defines the Fourier transform of a superform $\ \omega$ in $\ \mathbb{R}^{n|m}$

Integral representation of the Hodge dual

A partial Fourier transform only on the "differential variables":

$$(\star\omega)(x,\theta,dx,d\theta) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|n}} \omega(x,\theta,\eta,b) e^{i(dx\eta+d\theta b)} [d^n \eta d^m b]$$

Examples:

$$\star 1 = dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \wedge \delta(d\theta^1) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta(d\theta^m)$$

$$\star \star = (-1)^{p(p-n)} \quad \text{on p-superforms}$$

Isomorphism

$$\star: \Omega^{(p|0)} \longleftrightarrow \Omega^{(n-p|m)}$$

between finite dimensional spaces, generalizes Poincaré duality

Thank you !