



Highlights from the Pierre Auger Observatory

Stéphane Coutu Institute for Gravitation and the Cosmos The Pennsylvania State University



September 14-16, 2015 Gallipoli



Outline

• UHE Cosmic Rays:

Questions:

- What are the messengers?
- What are the sources?
- Acceleration? Maximum energy?
- Highest-energy physics?

Observables: Composition (Ze, v, γ) Arrival directions Energy spectrum Air shower properties

• Auger:

- Many new results with >50,000 km² sr yr exposure; (2015 ICRC, The Hague, The Netherlands)
- Great progress on radio technique (F. Schröder);
- Hadronic interactions results and puzzles (L. Cazon);
- "AugerPrime" upgrade plans (C. Di Giulio).



2



Auger Observatory, Argentina

HEAT FD Telescopes Infill array (0.75 km spacing) AMIGA μ counters 25 km² AERA radio array 17 km²

Coihueco

Atmospheric monitors: weather, clouds, thunderstorm activity, lasers, lidars...

A. Aab et al., NIM A Los Leones 798, 172 (2015), arXiv:1502.01323

MALARGÜ

Surface Array 1661 detector stations 1.5 km spacing 3000 km²

Los

70

60

50

40

30

[km]

PIERRE

AUGER

Fluorescence Detectors 4 Telescope enclosures 6 Telescopes per enclosure 24 (+3) Telescopes total

S. Coutu Hybrid design

~500 collaborators;
16 countries;
86 institutions;
> 50,000 km² sr yr

A multi-component hybrid Observatory; study of UHECRs >10¹⁷ eV.



Event reconstruction

S. Coutu







Energy spectrum

- Updated, combined Auger spectrum:
- 115,000 SD (>3 EeV) + 60,000 infill
 (>0.3 EeV) + 10,000 hybrid events
 (>1 EeV);
- Exposure = 50,000 km² sr yr .
 GZK-like suppression definitely seen (>20σ)







Energy spectrum



C. Jui et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015) R.U. Abbasi et al., Astropart. Phys. 68, 27 (2015)

- Updated, combined Auger spectrum:
- 115,000 SD (>3 EeV) + 60,000
 (>0.3 EeV) + 10,000 hybrid events
 (>1 EeV);
- Exposure = 50,000 km² sr yr .
 GZK-like suppression definitely seen (>20σ)





Energy spectrum

- Updated, combined Auger spectrum:
- 115,000 SD (>3 EeV) + 60,000
 (>0.3 EeV) + 10,000 hybrid events
 (>1 EeV);
- Exposure = 50,000 km² sr yr .
 GZK-like suppression definitely seen (>20σ)
 - Differences between Auger and TA can be (mostly) accommodated within a systematic energy shift...
 - ... but not easily at the highest energies.



C. Jui et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015) R.U. Abbasi et al., Astropart. Phys. 68, 27 (2015)



A North/South difference?

Auger spectrum divided into 4 separate declination bands covering 71% of the sky;

Coutu

No evidence for spectral dependence on source location.



I. Valino et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015)

What is the nature of the spectral suppression?

- GZK propagation effects (attenuation due to CMB interactions)?
- Intrinsic difficulty of producing 10²⁰ eV particles in astrophysical sources?

Study mass composition and air shower development (UHE physics);
 look for sources in arrival direction distribution.





Nature of UHECRs

Hybrid measurements are sensitive to mass composition





Mass composition

J.P. Lundquist et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015)

A. Porcelli et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015) Average of X_{max} Std. Deviation of X_{max} proton 🗖 Syst. **Syst**. 70 850 Auger 6(800 $(\mathbf{g/cm}^2)$ $(\mathbf{g}/\mathbf{cm}^2)$ proton 50 $\sigma(\mathbf{X}_{\max})$ $\overbrace{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{xem}} \mathbf{X}$ EPOS-LHC QGSJetII-04 650 Sibyll2.1 EPOS-LHC 30 OGSJetII-04 Auger, Preliminary Sibyll2.1 600 Auger, Preliminary 20 iron 18.0 18.5 19.0 19.5 17.5 18.0 18.5 19.0 17.0 17.5 20.0 17.0 19.5 20.0 $\log_{10}(\mathbf{E}/\mathbf{eV})$ $\log_{10}(\mathbf{E}/\mathbf{eV})$ Middle Drum Hybrid -900 100 / 6 100 / TΑ MD Hybrid Data TA distribution is × - Proton not detector - Nitrogen ц 9800 М - Iron independent; ******** instrumental 750 effects folded into 700 MC. Solid → QGSJETII-03 650 Dashed \rightarrow OGSJFTII-04 18.2 18.4 18.6 18.8 19 19.2 19.4 19.6 19.8 20 20.2 log(E(eV))



Clean hybrid events (strong anti-bias cuts); detectorindependent measurements.

Hadronic interaction MCs tuned to 7 TeV LHC data.



Mass composition

Average of X_{max} Std. Deviation of X_{max} **Syst**. **Syst**. 70 850 Auger 800 ₂,∍^I,I $(\mathbf{g/cm}^2)$ proton (g/cm^2) 50 $\sigma(\mathbf{X}_{\max})$ $\overbrace{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{xem}} \mathbf{X}$ EPOS-LHC OGSJetII-04 650 ----- Sibyll2.1 EPOS-LHC 30 OGSJetII-(4 Auger, Preliminary Sibyll2.1 600 Auger, Preliminary 20 iron 18.0 18.5 19.0 19.5 17.5 18.5 19.0 17.0 17.5 20.0 17.0 18.0 19.5 20.0 $\log_{10}(\mathbf{E}/\mathbf{eV})$ $\log_{10}(\mathbf{E}/\mathbf{eV})$ Middle Drum Hybrid -900 TA MD 2014 g Fold Auger X_{max} € 850⊦ TΑ MD Hybrid Data TA distribution is Auger 2014 TA MD × Proton distribution into TA [g/cm²] not detector Nitrogen u 9800 800 - Iron MC algorithm... independent; X // (X ┝╻┥╿╻ excellent instrumental 750 agreement! effects folded into 700 MC. 18.6 Solid \rightarrow QGSJETI -03 650 Dashed $\rightarrow 0GSJITII-04$ M. Unger et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015) 18.2 18.4 18.6 18.8 19 19.2 19.4 19.6 19.8 20 20.2 log(E(eV))

A. Porcelli et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015)



Clean hybrid events (strong anti-bias cuts); detectorindependent measurements.

Hadronic interaction MCs tuned to 7 TeV LHC data.

192

lg(E/eV)

preliminary

J.P. Lundquist et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015)

¹²





Combining X_{max} and spectrum

Homogeneous distribution of identical sources of p, He, N and Fe nuclei; 125 data points, 6 fit parameters: injection flux norm. and spec. index γ , cutoff rigidity R_{cut}, p/He/N/Fe fractions; best fit with very hard injection spectra ($\gamma \le 1$).



A. di Matteo et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015)







Combining X_{max} and spectrum

Homogeneous distribution of identical sources of p, He, N and Fe nuclei; 125 data points, 6 fit parameters: injection flux norm. and spec. index γ , cutoff rigidity R_{cut}, p/He/N/Fe fractions; best fit with very hard injection spectra ($\gamma \le 1$).

Rich phenomenology ! (but needs enhanced composition sensitivity)







FD vs SD vs Composition

A. Yushkov et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015)



Significant negative correlation: $r_G = -0.125 \pm 0.024$ \rightarrow mixed composition at log(E) = 18.5 - 19.0







Other types of UHECRs?

Neutrons? ~EeV air showers showing Galactic anisotropies; n decay length ~(9.2E) kpc, about Sun's Galactic radius; no significant excess in blind search or stacked search; n flux limits are below the detected TeV gamma ray fluxes.

 Magnetic monopoles? Ultra-relativistic monopoles (masses 10¹¹ – 10²⁰ eV/c²) deposit a comparable dE/dx in air to UHECRs (pair production, photonuclear interactions).



No candidate; first limit from EAS experiment; lowest limit for $\gamma > 10^9$.

10⁻²²

7

8



10

 $\log(\gamma)$

11

12

13

n: P. Abreu et al., ApJ 760, 148 (2012) A. Aab et al., ApJ 789, L34 (2014)





231 Auger events with $E \ge 52 \text{ EeV}$ and $\theta < 80^{\circ}$; • look for flux excesses, autocorrelations (scan in circles 1-30°, with E_{thresh} from 40 to 80 EeV); • compare with catalogs of AGNs and other objects.

Li-Ma significance map in 12° circles; largest excess 4.3σ, E_{thresh} = 54 EeV, 18° from CenA; post-trial probability 69%, so compatible with isotropy.

Note: 2007 69% AGN correlation has weakened to 28%, only 2σ above isotropy.







A. Aab et al., ApJ 804, 15 (2015)







Anisotropy searches

Anisotropy tests with astrophysical structures: Gal-Xgal planes, 2MRS galaxies, Swift-BAT AGNs, jetted radio galaxies, CenA; scan over angles, E_{thresh}, luminosity for AGNs and radio galaxies.

Largest excess of pairs for Swift AGNs with $E_{thresh} = 58 \text{ EeV}$, 18° circles, L > 10⁴⁴ erg/s, closer than 130 Mpc; post-trial probability 1.3%.

Challenges hope for anisotropies and source identification.

Auger/TA joint spherical harmonic analysis: 17,000 Auger and 2500 TA events > 10 EeV; Dipole of amplitude $(6.5\pm1.9)\%$ (p=5×10⁻³), pointing to (a,d) = (93°±24°, -46°±18°).

Challenges expectation of isotropy at these "low" energies.

O. Deligny et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015)

J. Aublin et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015)







See contribution by Lorenzo Cazon



UHE hadronic interactions

$\sigma_{p\text{-air}}$ cross-section for deep showers, rising with E, measured at \sqrt{s} \sim 39, 56 TeV.



R. Ulrich et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015)

L. Collica et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015)



FD Energy

LHC-tuned hadronic interaction generators under produce the muons by 30% to 80%... ²⁰



See contribution by Frank Schröder



Radio energy reconstruction



Surface detector energy

AERA: Auger Engineering Radio Array

Graded array of antennas (LPDA); 153 stations, 17 km² World's largest radio detector, 10¹⁷ eV threshold

In frequency range 30-80 MHz:

16 MeV in E_{radio} for 10^{18} eV CR

 E_{radio} resolution: 17% (\geq 5 stations)

Good prospects with 100% duty cycle (FD is \sim 13% for clear moonless nights) 21

C. Glaser et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015), submitted to PRL







Conclusions

Flux suppression above ~40 EeV; GZK effect? source exhaustion?

UHE sources do appear to be extragalactic;

Large-scale dipole in arrival distribution above 10 EeV;

Flux is disappointingly isotropic above 40 EeV, particle astronomy is *hard* !

Magnetic fields (Galactic, extragalactic) play a huge role;

X_{max} (and its RMS) evolution with energy suggest mass becomes heavier at the highest energies;

Important limits to fluxes of neutrinos, photons, neutrons, magnetic monopoles;

Highest-energy physics: reasonable σ_{p-air} cross-section, but inconsistency in muon data;

Hadronic interaction issues? see talk by Lorenzo Cazon.

Improved knowledge of mass composition is needed:

Radio techniques can give enhanced X_{max} data; see talk by Frank Schröder.

"AugerPrime" upgrade planned, with added scintillators above water-Cherenkov tanks; see talk by Claudio Di Giulio.



÷.





Backup slides



Physics beyond LHC...

S. Coutu



balloon, satellite measurements \leftarrow \rightarrow indirect measurements





UHECR detection

 UltraHigh Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECRs) are rare and can only be detected through their atmospheric secondaries (air showers);

10²⁰ eV yields 10¹¹ particles at maximum.

 Shower front particles can be directly detected on the ground (e.g., AGASA 1,600 km² sr yr);

 Showers excite nitrogen fluorescence, detectable on dark nights (10% duty) (e.g., HiRes 5,000 km² sr yr mono);

 Can detect both
 (e.g., Auger 50,000 km² sr yr so far TA 9,500 km² sr yr so far);

• Plus radio emissions...







Joint Auger/TA work



Co-located hardware comparisons and cross-calibrations

Joint anisotropy searches (TA North, Auger South):



x: IceCube tracks, +: IceCube cascades, o: Auger, Δ : TA



IceCube / Auger / TA joint study

G. Golup et al., Proc. of 34th ICRC, The Hague (2015)



Cosmic-ray models

S. Coutu



Hadronic interaction models developed by the cosmic-ray community fitted to LHC data:

D. d'Enterria et al., Astropart. Phys. 35, 98 (2011)



Fig. 3. Pseudorapidity distributions of charged hadrons, $h^{\pm} \equiv (h^{+} + h^{-})$, measured in NSD p - p events at the LHC (0.9, 2.36 and 7 TeV) by ALICE [36,37] and CMS [38,39] (and by UA5 [42] in $p - \bar{p}$ at 900 GeV) compared to the predictions of QCSJET 01 and II, SIBYLL, and PPOS. The dashed band is the systematic uncertainty of the CMS experiment which is similar to those of the two other measurements.

After LHC tuning

T. Pierog et al., arXiv 1306.0121 (2013)



28





p-air cross section

Tail of the X_{max} distribution sensitive to p-air cross-section (heavy nuclei have a shallower X_{max}).

Attenuation length converted to σ_{p-air} using post LHC MC;

Rising cross-section with E, measured at $\sqrt{s} \sim 39$, 56 TeV.

[eV]

Energy



40,000 clean hybrid events in two energy bins [cm ²/g]

dN/dX_n

[cm ²/g]

dN/dX_{ma}

101

200

10⁻¹

200







Muon production

For highly-inclined showers ($\theta > 60^\circ$), SD signal is muon rich (EM component largely absorbed); use 174 high-quality hybrid showers with good FD energy measurement.



Same effect seen in muon no. vs $<X_{max}>$



AMON

Astrophysical Multimessenger Observatory Network

http://amon.gravity.psu.edu

but now with all messengers!

- New initiative housed at Penn State (+ friends);
- coordinate subthreshold signals (e.g., from transient events) from multiple signatory observatories;
- similar to previous efforts to coordinate neutrino (SNEWS), gamma-ray burst (GCN), or gravitational wave detections;
- MOUs being negotiated (in various stages):
 - Triggering observatories [Swift, Fermi, LIGO, IceCube, Auger, HAWC, Antares];
 - Follow up observatories [HAT (Hungary), IUCAA (India), PTF (CA), VERITAS (AZ), ROTSE];
 - New members actively solicited!
- data sharing begun, first archival searches completing now.

In USA, thanks to



m² scintillators, 1 cm thick

Conclusions

See contribution by Claudio Di Giulio

Flux suppression above ~40 EeV; GZK effect? source exhaustion?

Sources do appear to be extragalactic;

Large-scale dipole in arrival distribution above 10 EeV;

Flux is disappointingly isotropic above 40 EeV, particle astronomy is ha

Magnetic fields (Galactic, extragalactic) play a huge role;

X_{max} (and its RMS) evolution with energy suggest mass becomes heaving energies;

Important limits to fluxes of neutrinos, photons, neutrons, magnetic monopoles;

Highest-energy physics: reasonable cross-section, but inconsistency in muon data;

Hadronic interaction issues?

Improved knowledge of mass composition is needed:

radio techniques can give enhanced X_{max} data;

"AugerPrime" upgrade planned, with added scintillators above water-Cherenkov tanks.