

## Primary spectrum and composition with IceCube/IceTop



## Outline

- Historical introduction
- Spectrum and Composition with coincident events
  - Use showers that pass through both IceTop and the deep array of IceCube
- Measuring muons at the surface
- Structure in the primary spectrum
- Future

## Construction: 2004-2011









1950-52 in a salt mine at 1574 m.w.e. in Ithaca, NY with 4 surface detectors and 1 m<sup>2</sup> muon counters underground. Acceptance: ~ 0.01 m^2 sr: Barrett, Bollinger, Cocconi, Eisenberg, Greisen, Revs. Mod Phys. 24 (1952) 133-178

**Pre-history** 

M(593 m underground) Se TOP VIEW CROSS-SECTIONAL VIEW Air shower det TINT Hamilton Gro Sholes Lower Heldber Aperture of each Camillus S Rock & Se Roc Salt & Ros Roc Solt

EASTOP MACRO, R. Bellotti et al., PRD 42 (1990) 1396-1403  $A\Omega \sim 100 \text{ m}^2 \text{ sr}$ 



FIG. 11. Diagram showing relative positions of the counters underground and on the surface of the ground in the experiments on the association of mesons with extensive air showers. The composition of the ground is shown in the scale at the left.

Tom Gaisser, CRIS2015



## **Daniele Martello building SPASE-2**



## SPASE – AMANDA: $A\Omega \sim 100 \text{ m}^2 \text{ sr}$



NIM A 522 (2004) 347-359

Fig. 1. SPASE/AMANDA coincidence event from 1997 data.

Composition at the knee with SPASE-2/AMANDA B10, Astropart. Phys. 21 (2004) 565-581

### Shower reconstruction in IceTop



## Simulations



## Composition from coincident events

- Select events contained in IceTop + deep array
- Reconstruct trajectory
  - Find  $S_{125}$  of shower at surface
  - Measure dE/dX in ice
- Construct neural network



- Input: 5 variables, S<sub>125</sub>, θ, dE/dX@1500 m,
  stochastic losses (big), stochastic losses (small)
- Output: primary energy, index for mass

## Composition from neural network

Construct template histograms of NN primary mass Within each bin of reconstructed energy, compare templates for Monte Carlo (four types: H, He, O, Fe)

Run experimental data through the same NN procedure, and find the fractions of each element that best reproduce the template histogram of the data.



Katherine Rawlins, IceCube, TAUP 2015

## Spectra of 4 elemental groups



## Mean In(A)



## Compare < ln(A)>



Gen2, Jan 27, 2015

# Surface muons in IceTop: the idea

Use the fact that we know very well the signal of number of pulses muons in tanks from our 10<sup>5</sup> calibration procedure. 10 of Entrie muon peak position = 130.28 PE Jac 2500 1 VEM position = 123.77 PE valley position = 91.01 PE  $10^{3}$ ₹2000 1500  $10^{2}$ 1000 Muons 500F 50 100 150 200 250 10 Charge (PE)

Calibration run for DOM 61-61 (ICRC 2011, arXiv:1111.2735, A van Overloop for IceCube

Look for the muon signal to appear in the periphery where the expected em signal is < 1 VEM



H. Kolanoski, for IceCube, ICRC Beijing, 2011

## Implementation\*



#### \*Javier Gonzalez, ISVHECRI 2014 (arXiv:1501.03415) Hans Dembinski, ICRC 2015

Tom Gaisser, CRIS2015

## How the muon density is extracted



$$\rho_{\mu} \approx \frac{N_{\text{tanks in ring with } \mu}}{N_{\text{tanks in ring}}} \frac{1}{A_{\text{tank}}}$$





## Spectrum



## Spectrum comparison



## Structure in spectrum



<sup>1</sup> Prosin et al., NIM A756 (2014) 94-101 <sup>2</sup> Apel et al., Astropart. Phys. 36 (2012) 183-194

Similar structure in TALE + TACherenkov





# Concluding remarks

- Structure in the spectrum
  - Hardening around  $10^{16.2} \, eV$
  - "Second knee" steepening around 10<sup>17.3</sup> eV
- Surface muons:
  - $-~\rho_{600}$  between p and Fe to  $10^{16.5}~eV$
  - Excess muon problem begins at higher E?
- Coincident analysis:
  - Energy spectrum independent of composition assumptions
  - consistent with IceTop only spectrum, which has much higher statistics
  - increase in < ln(A) > from  $10^{15.3}$  to  $> 10^{17}$  eV

## Extras: anisotropy if time



Tibet-III

Amenomori et al., ICRC 2011

IceCube-59

Abbasi et al., ApJ, 746, 33, 2012

#### cosmic ray anisotropy

5 TeV

20 TeV

#### Sky-map with HAWC is

in progress



large scale anisotropy

statistical significance



relative intensity

1.002 1.001 1.000 0.999 0.998

> 1.002 1.0015 1.001 1.0005

1.9995 0.999 0.9985

15/9/15

