



# *Results of the ARGO-YBJ experiment in detection of gamma rays*

Tristano Di Girolamo

*Università di Napoli “Federico II”*

*INFN – Sezione di Napoli*

*CRIS, Gallipoli, September 15, 2015*



# The ARGO-YBJ experiment

Collaboration between:

- Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) – Italy
- Chinese Academy of Science (CAS)



Site: YangBaJing Cosmic Ray Laboratory (Tibet, P.R. of China), 4300 m a.s.l.




**Site Coordinates: longitude 90° 31' 50" E, latitude 30° 06' 38" N**

# The ARGO-YBJ collaboration

## Collaboration Institutes:

- ✓ Chinese Academy of Science (CAS)
- ✓ Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN)



**Galileo  
Galilei**


*INFN and Dpt. di Fisica Università, Lecce*  
*INFN and Dpt. di Fisica Università, Napoli*  
*INFN and Dpt. di Fisica Università, Pavia*  
*INFN and Dpt di Fisica Università “Roma Tre”, Roma*  
*INFN and Dpt. di Fisica Univesità “Tor Vergata”, Roma*  
*INAF/IFSI and INFN, Torino*  
*INAF/IASF, Palermo and INFN, Catania*



**Xu Guangqi**

*IHEP, Beijing*  
*Shandong University, Jinan*  
*South West Jiaotong University, Chengdu*  
*Tibet University, Lhasa*  
*Yunnan University, Kunming*  
*Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang*

# Detector layout



**Single layer of Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs)  
with a full coverage (93% active surface) of a large area (5600 m<sup>2</sup>)  
+ sampling guard ring (6700 m<sup>2</sup> in total)**

⇒ detection of small showers (low energy threshold)




**Pad = TIME PIXEL (18360 on the full detector)**

Cluster = DAQ unit

RPC

BigPad


**BigPad = CHARGE READOUT PIXEL,**  
123 x 139 cm<sup>2</sup> (3120 on the central carpet)



BigPad amplitude:  
from mV to many Volts

# ARGO-YBJ operation modes

The detector carpet was connected to two different DAQ systems, working independently:



## Shower Mode:

for each event the location and timing of each detected particle is recorded, allowing the reconstruction of the lateral distribution and of the arrival direction

$$E_{\text{th}} \approx 300 \text{ GeV}$$


## Scaler Mode:

the counting rate of each Cluster is measured every 0.5 s, with no information on both the space distribution and the arrival direction of the detected particles

$$E_{\text{th}} \approx 1 \text{ GeV}$$


# Shower Data

- Trigger:  $\geq 20$  fired pads
- Trigger rate:  $\sim 3.5$  kHz
- Duty cycle:  $> 86\%$
- Dead time: 4%



- Start of the installation of the RPCs in 2001
- Commissioning of the central carpet in June 2006
- Start of data taking with full detector in November 2007
- End of data taking in February 2013
- $> 5 \times 10^{11}$  events collected

# ARGO-YBJ: a multi-purpose experiment

- CR physics from 1 TeV to 10 PeV  (p + He) spectrum  
knee region  
anisotropies
- Survey of the  $\gamma$ -ray sky in the band  $-10^\circ \leq \text{decl.} \leq 70^\circ$
- High exposure for flaring activity  
( $\gamma$ -ray sources, Gamma Ray Bursts, solar flares)
- CR  $\bar{p}/p$  flux ratio at TeV energies
- Hadronic interactions (p-air and p-p cross sections)
- Solar and heliosphere physics



# Selected results in gamma-ray astronomy

- Sky survey of the Northern hemisphere ( $-10^\circ < \delta < 70^\circ$  )
- Crab Nebula
- Mrk 501
- Cygnus region
- Diffuse  $\gamma$ -rays from the Galactic plane
- Gamma Ray Bursts


# Analysis of the Moon shadow

Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 022003



A natural tool to estimate the detector performance:

$N_{\text{pad}} > 100$ ; 71 s.d.

- ❖ Pointing accuracy
- ❖ Angular resolution
- ❖ Absolute energy calibration



The energy scale uncertainty is estimated to be  $< 13\%$  in the rigidity range 1 – 30 TeV/Z




Angular resolution

# Sky survey

ApJ 779 (2013) 27

ARGO-YBJ sensitivity (5 years of data taking): 0.24 Crab units



MGRO J1908+06

HESS J1841-055

6 sources with  $S > 5$  s.d. + 5 hotspots with  $S > 4$  s.d.



# List of detected and candidate sources

**Table 2**  
Location of the Excess Regions

$$N_{\text{pad}} \geq 20$$

ARGO-YBJ Name	R.A. <sup>a</sup> (deg)	Decl. <sup>a</sup> (deg)	l (deg)	b (deg)	S (s.d.)	Associated TeV Source
ARGO J0409–0627	62.35	–6.45	198.51	–38.73	4.8	
ARGO J0535+2203	83.75	22.05	184.59	–5.67	20.8	Crab Nebula
ARGO J1105+3821	166.25	38.35	179.43	65.09	14.1	Mrk 421
ARGO J1654+3945	253.55	39.75	63.59	38.80	9.4	Mrk 501
ARGO J1839–0627	279.95	–6.45	25.87	–0.36	6.0	HESS J1841–055
ARGO J1907+0627	286.95	6.45	40.53	–0.68	5.3	HESS J1908+063
ARGO J1910+0720	287.65	7.35	41.65	–0.88	4.3	
ARGO J1912+1026	288.05	10.45	44.59	0.20	4.2	HESS J1912+101
ARGO J2021+4038	305.25	40.65	78.34	2.28	4.3	VER J2019+407
ARGO J2031+4157	307.95	41.95	80.58	1.38	6.1	MGRO J2031+41 TeV J2032+4130
ARGO J1841–0332	280.25	–3.55	28.58	0.70	4.2	HESS J1843–033

$$N_{\text{pad}} \geq 100$$


**Note.** <sup>a</sup> R.A. and decl. are celestial coordinates in J2000 epoch.

# Crab Nebula


ApJ 798 (2015) 119

- Energy spectrum in 0.3–20 TeV in agreement with other experiments
- Light curve over five years compatible with a steady emission ( $p=0.07$ )

$$dN/dE = (5.2 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^{-12} \cdot (E/2 \text{ TeV})^{(-2.63 \pm 0.05)} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ TeV}^{-1}$$



Spectrum multiplied by  $E^2$



**Pearson correlation coefficient for a correlated analysis with Fermi/LAT data over ~4.5 yr of common observing time (bins of 200 days):**



$$r = 0.56 \pm 0.22$$

Percentage variations with respect to average values

# Mrk 501: long-term monitoring and flare

ApJ 758 (2012) 2 (similar paper for Mrk 421 in ApJ 734 (2011) 110)

Largest flare in 2011, from October 17 to November 22: TeV flux  $\sim 2$  Crab units,  $\sim 6.6$  the long-term steady state




bins with 30 days

$N_{\text{pad}} \geq 60$ ;  $S_{\text{max}} = 6.1 \text{ s.d.}$




# Mrk501: Spectral Energy Distribution



**A simple one-zone SSC model is unable to reproduce the flaring emission at  $E > 6$  TeV, while the long-term data are well fitted**


# Extended Sources in the Galactic Plane



# Cygnus region

ApJ 745 (2012) L22

- MGRO J2031+41/TeV J2032 +4130 → 6.4 s.d.
- No significant signal from MGRO J2019+37 (< 3.0 s.d.)



Crosses → errors on MGRO positions


Circles → intrinsic MGRO sizes

Stars → Fermi-LAT GeV sources (2<sup>nd</sup> cat)



# Cygnus region: MGRO J2031+41

- Extension  $\sigma_{ext} = (0.2^{+0.4}_{-0.2})^\circ$  consistent with HEGRA and MAGIC  $\sigma_{ext} \sim 0.1^\circ$
- Spectrum:  $dN/dE \propto E^{-2.83 \pm 0.37}$  (assuming  $\sigma_{ext} = 0.1^\circ$ )
- Flux ( $E > 1$  TeV)  $\sim 0.3$  Crab unit, in agreement with Milagro but about a factor 10 higher than HEGRA and MAGIC results




# ARGO J2031+4157 as the Cygnus Cocoon

ApJ 790 (2014) 152


A cocoon of freshly accelerated cosmic rays

The Fermi / LAT view  
in the 10-100 GeV band:




Ackermann et al. (2011)  
measured size:  $2.0^\circ \pm 0.2^\circ$

The ARGO-YBJ view  
at TeV energies ( $N_{\text{pad}} \geq 20$ )  
after reanalysis with the full data:



$S_{\text{max}} = 6.1 \text{ s.d.}$   
 $\sigma_{\text{ext}} = 1.8^\circ \pm 0.5^\circ$

# Spectrum of the Cygnus Cocoon



Milagro data refer to  
MGRO J2031+41, at  
12 TeV also corrected  
for the extrapolation  
of TeV J2032+4130


A pure hadronic fit  
model was assumed  
with a power law and  
a cutoff energy  $E_c$

Spectrum of ARGO J2031+4157:  $dN/dE \propto E^{-2.6 \pm 0.3}$

Combined LAT&ARGO spectrum:  $dN/dE \propto E^{-2.16 \pm 0.04}$

# Cygnus region: MGRO J2019+37

- ❑ The most significant Milagro detection (12.4 s.d.) after the Crab at  $\sim 20$  TeV
- ❑ Milagro spectrum:  $dN/dE = 5.4 \cdot 10^{-12} \cdot E^{-1.83} \exp(-E/22.4 \text{ TeV}) \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1} \text{ TeV}^{-1}$
- ❑ Extension:  $\sigma_{ext} = (0.32 \pm 0.12)^\circ$
- ❑ VERITAS (ApJ 788 (2014) 78) resolved it into two different sources: the faint point-like VER J2016+371 and the extended ( $\sim 1^\circ$ ) VER J2019+368



**VER J2019+368 likely contributes to the bulk of the emission observed by Milagro and coincides with the PSR J2021+3651 and the star formation HII region Sh 2-104. Its flux is in agreement with the ARGO-YBJ upper limit.**

**$\Delta t$  (ARGO - Milagro)  $\sim 5$  years  $\rightarrow$  flux variability of the components?**




# Comments on extended sources

- Considering also the ARGO-YBJ results for MGRO J1908+06 ([ApJ 760 \(2012\) 110](#)) and HESS J1841-055 ([ApJ 767 \(2013\) 99](#)), as for the air shower array Milagro, the fluxes measured in extended sources are systematically larger than those measured with Cherenkov telescopes
- A contribution is due to the diffuse emission from the Galactic plane, however it cannot explain the observed disagreement, being  $< 15\%$
- The overall systematic error on the flux has been estimated to be  $< 30\%$

# Diffuse $\gamma$ -rays from the Galactic plane


ApJ 806 (2015) 20

Galaxy region  $40^\circ < l < 100^\circ ; |b| < 5^\circ$



The line indicates the energy spectrum expected from the Fermi/LAT template (with spectral index -2.6).  
The fit to ARGO-YBJ data gives an index  $-2.90 \pm 0.31$ .

Cygnus region  $65^\circ < l < 85^\circ ; |b| < 5^\circ$



The different lines indicate the energy spectra expected from the Fermi/LAT template (with spectral index -2.6) in the different sky regions investigated by the detectors.  
The fit to ARGO-YBJ data gives an index  $-2.65 \pm 0.44$ .


The sub-TeV diffuse flux does not show any excess corresponding to that found by Milagro at higher energies.

The difference may be mainly due to the Cygnus Cocoon, not yet discovered at the time of the Milagro measurement.

The harder spectrum in the Cygnus region may suggest the presence of young cosmic rays coming from a nearby source.

# Search for GRBs in scaler mode

ApJ 699 (2009) 1281 + ApJ 794 (2014) 82



**206 GRBs in the ARGO f.o.v.  
from Dec. 2004 to Jan. 2013  
(largest sample from ground!)**


- With known redshift: 24
- Discovered by Fermi/GBM: 90  
(including its 2<sup>nd</sup> GRB catalog)
- Detected by Fermi/LAT: 4
- Long duration GRBs ( $> 2s$ ): 179
- Short duration GRBs ( $\leq 2s$ ): 27

- No evidence of coincident signal during the GRB T<sub>90</sub> duration
- In stacked analyses (time and phase) no evidence of any integral effect

# Upper limits to GRB fluence

Sample of the 24 GRBs  
with known redshift

$\Delta E = 1\text{--}100 \text{ GeV}$



The Kneiske et al. (2004) model  
is adopted to take into account  
the absorption of  $\gamma$ -rays in the  
extragalactic background light

The red dot shows the  
extrapolated fluence of  
GRB090902B as observed by  
Fermi/LAT (factor  $\sim 3$  lower  
than expected sensitivity)

Fluence upper limits (at 99% c.l.) obtained with differential spectral  
indexes ranging from the value measured by satellites to  $-2.5$

For GRB090902B the LAT index was used with  $E_{\text{max}} = 30\text{--}100 \text{ GeV}$

# Summary

- 6 sources detected and 5 source candidates in the sky survey of the Northern hemisphere ( $-10^\circ < \delta < 70^\circ$ ) with a sensitivity of 0.24 Crab
- The Crab Nebula light curve over five years is compatible with a steady emission and its spectrum is in agreement with other experiments
- Continuous long-term monitoring of the flaring sources Mrk 421 and Mrk 501
- Identification of the TeV counterpart of the Cygnus Cocoon. The fluxes of the extended sources MGRO J2031+41, MGRO J1908+06 and HESS J1841-055 are in agreement with those measured by Milagro but systematically larger than those measured by Cherenkov telescopes
- Detection of diffuse  $\gamma$ -rays from the Galactic plane, with no excess observed at sub-TeV energies corresponding to that found by Milagro at higher energies
- Upper limits to the emission in the 1–100 GeV range for a sample of 206 Gamma Ray Bursts, the largest ever investigated with a ground-based detector