MonteCarlo simulations for dark-matter search experiments at accelerators: the Beam Dump Experiment - BDX - case

> A. Celentano INFN - Genova

### Outline

- Introduction: light dark matter search at beam-dump experiments
  - Physics case
  - MC tools: scope and requirements
- Event generator for the signal
  - Computation strategy
  - Software implementation
    - DM production: MadGraph/MadEvent
    - DM interaction
    - Detector response: GEMC
- Event generator for the beam-related background
  - Requirements-computational issues
  - Brute-force approach
  - MCNP approach

# How to search for new physics beyond the SM

Dark matter (DM) direct search mainly focused in the mass region 10 GeV -10 TeV

• WI M P: w e a k l y interacting massive particles with weak scale mass provides the correct DM relic abundance

• No signals seen yet



DM detection by measuring the (heavy) nucleus recoil of slow moving cosmological DM  $\rightarrow$  no experimental sensitivity to light DM (<1 GeV)

How to search for new physics beyond the SM

Accelerators-based DM search is covering a similar mass region but can extend the reach outside the classical DM hunting territory

Many theoretical suggestions and experimental attempts to extend the search region to:

• **Higher mass (> 10 TeV):** LHC, Rare decays, ...



How to search for new physics beyond the SM

Accelerators-based DM search is covering a similar mass region but can extend the reach outside the classical DM hunting territory

Many theoretical suggestions and experimental attempts to extend the search region to:

• **Higher mass (> 10 TeV):** LHC, Rare decays, ...

• Lower Mass (<10 GeV) MiniBoone@FNAL, SPS@CERN, PADME@LNF, **BDX@JLab** 



• Main topic of this talk

# Light dark matter model: dark photon portal

 Consider an additional U(1) hidden symmetry in nature: this leads to a kinetic mixing between the photon and the new gauge boson A'

 $\bigvee_{\Psi}^{\gamma} \bigvee_{\Psi}^{\Psi} \bigvee_{\Psi}^{\gamma'}$ 

 $\Psi$  is a huge mass scale particle (M~1EeV) coupling to both SM and HS

• General hypothesis to incorporate new physics in the SM: the A' acts as a "portal" between the SM and the new sector

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} F'_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} F'_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} + m_A^2 A'^{\mu} A'_{\mu}$$

• Under A' interaction, ordinary charged matter acquires a new charge **ɛe**:



New interaction term:

 $\mathbf{SM}$ 

 $\varepsilon A'_{\mu}J^{\mu}_{EM}$ 

#### [Holdom, Phys. Lett. B166, 1986]

### Hints for A' existence: muon anomalous magnetic moment

• Magnetic dipole moment of charged particle:

$$\vec{\mu} = \mathbf{g}_{s} \left(\frac{q}{2m}\right) \vec{s}$$

• SM tree-level prediction for a Dirac particle:



• Higher-order contributions:



Can be measured very accurately

### Hints for A' existence: muon anomalous magnetic moment



 $\rightarrow$  ~ 3  $\sigma$  deviation experiment – SM prediction

[Hagiwara et al; Bennet hep-ex/0602035]

### Hints for A' existence: muon anomalous magnetic moment

A dark photon with mass in the 10-100 MeV range could explain the anomaly



### Dark photons and dark sector

#### Minimal model:

- A' interacts with  $\gamma$  trough kinetic mixing
- Dark sector particle  $\chi$  interacts with A'

4 parameters:  $M_{A'}, M_{\chi}, \varepsilon, g_d$ 





A' decay:



An extensive experimental effort is currently ongoing to search for visible dark-photon decays

### Visible A' decay: current searches and constrains

#### Any $\gamma$ -rich environment is suitable for A' searches.

- Fixed target with e<sup>-</sup> beam
  - JLab, Mainz
- Fixed target with p beam
  - Fermilab
- Annihilation
  - BABAR, BELLE, KLOE
- Meson decay
  - KLOE, BES-3, WASA-COSY

So far, no positive A' evidences: limits in the parameters space



[For a complete review, see: http://www.bnl.gov/di2014/]

### Dark photons and dark matter

#### Model:

• A' interacts with  $\gamma$  trough kinetic mixing

0

• Dark sector particle  $\chi$  interacts with A'

4 parameters:  $M_{A'}, M_{\chi}, \varepsilon, g_d$ 



A' production: 
$$\sigma\propto arepsilon^2$$



A' decay:



# Beam dump experiments with e<sup>-</sup> beam

How to access the A' invisible decay: direct detection in a two-step process.

- Fixed-target: A' produced in the dump, decays promptly to invisible  $\boldsymbol{\chi}$
- Detector: Neutral-current scattering of χ trough A' exchange, detect recoil. Different signals depending on the interaction (e<sup>-</sup> scattering, coherent nuclear, quasi-elastic,..)



Number of events:







[arXiv:1307.6554]

### Accelerator requirements

- **Beam current: critical.** The experimental sensitivity scales linearly with this parameter.
- Beam energy:
  - A' production and  $\chi$  matter interaction cross-sections increase smoothly with the beam energy.
  - At low energy (E<sub>0</sub> ~ m<sub>A</sub>), there is a further signal enhancement with E<sub>0</sub> due to increased detector acceptance (χ beam more focused forward).
- Beam structure:
  - A pulsed beam permits to reject uncorrelated backgrounds by making a time coincidence between the beam RF signal and an hit in the detector

**Continuous beam:** detector time resolution is a mandatory requirement.

$$R \simeq \frac{\delta T}{3\sigma} <\simeq 100$$

**Pulsed beam:** detector time resolution is not critical, if smaller than the bunch length.

$$R = \frac{1}{f \cdot \delta} = 2 \cdot 10^5 @ 50 Hz, 100 ns$$





### $\chi$ - SM (detector) interaction

#### 1) Quasi-elastic scattering on nucleons

The  $\chi$  scatters quasi-elastically on a bounded nucleon in the detector producing a visible recoil (~ MeV)

Experimental requirements:

- Sensitivity to ~ MeV nucleon recoil (low detection thresholds)
- Low energy backgrounds rejection capability

#### 2) Elastic scattering on electrons

The  $\chi$  scatters elastically on an electron in the detector producing a well visible recoil ( ~ GeV)

Experimental requirements:

• Sensitivity to ~GeV electrons (EM showers)





# Detector design and requirements

#### Signal detection:

- High density
- Low threshold for nucleon recoil detection (~ MeV)
- EM showers detection capability
- Scintillation-based detector

#### **Background rejection / suppression:**

- Segmentation
- Active veto
- Passive shielding
- Good time resolution

#### **Inner detector:**



- Single optical module (possibly made of multiple opt. channels with single readout)
- Matrix of modules aligned wrt the  $\boldsymbol{\chi}$  beam







### Backgrounds

#### **Beam-related backgrounds:**

#### **1) Prompt backgrounds (γ/fast n):**

- Can't be reject with the detector-beam RF time coincidence
- Shielding is required to reduce  $\gamma$ /fast n rate on the detector

#### 2) Low energy / thermal n:

- Can apply detector-beam RF time coincidence
- Very low energy hits in the detector: cut with threshold.

#### 3) Neutrinos:

- Neutrinos are emitted from at-rest processes:
  - $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \overline{\nu}$
  - $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$
- Isotropic flux
- Can't be reduced trough shielding
- Further suppression:
  - Energy threshold
  - Beam RF-detector signal coincidence (not all processes are prompt)
  - Off-axis measurement



### Backgrounds

#### **Beam-unrelated backgrounds:** all reduced by the beam RF – detector time coincidence

- 1) Cosmic neutrinos
  - Considering flux, interaction cross-sections, and thresholds the contribution is negligible.
- 2) Cosmic muons
  - Different background contributions (crossing/stopping/decaying/..).
  - Reduced trough shielding + VETO around the detector + threshold + signal topology (different from  $\chi$ -p and  $\chi$ -e interactions).
- 3) Cosmic neutrons
  - High-energy neutrons can penetrate the shielding and interact inside the detector, mimicking a  $\chi$ -N interaction.
  - Reduced trough shielding + VETO around the detector + threshold.

# The BDX experiment at Jefferson Laboratory

The ``BeamDump Experiment'' (BDX) is a recently proposed program at Jefferson Laboratory to search for low-mass dark-matter.

#### Jefferson Lab accelerator:

- Electron beam with tunable energy, up to 12 GeV
- Continuous beam, 2ns bunches
- I<sub>beam</sub> < 800 nA @ Hall B
- $I_{beam} < 100 \ \mu A @$  Hall A, C

#### BDX detector design is currently being optimized

- Modular design, overall volume  $\sim 2 \text{ m}^3$
- Each module:
  - Matrix of CsI crystals
  - Equipped with 2 veto layers, plastic-scintillator based
- Compact detector front face (~ 50x50 cm<sup>2</sup>)
- Detector placed ~ 20 m after the beam-dump





#### [BDX LOI: arXiv:1406.3028]

### BDX experiment: reach

#### **BDX accumulated charge: 10<sup>22</sup> EOT**

- Beam current: 100 µA (Hall-A / Hall – C option)
- Accelerator availability: 50%
- Run time: 1 calendar year

#### Elastic $\chi$ - e<sup>-</sup> scattering:

• Almost background-free search.

#### Elastic $\chi$ - p scattering:

- Cosmogenic background is the main limiting factor.
- Beam-related background is negligible



### MonteCarlo simulations: objectives



### Outline

- Introduction: light dark matter search at beam-dump experiments
  - Physics case
  - MC tools: scope and requirements

### • Event generator for the signal

#### • Computation strategy

- Software implementation
  - DM production: MadGraph/MadEvent
  - DM interaction
  - Detector response: GEMC
- Event generator for the beam-related background
  - Requirements-computational issues
  - Brute-force approach
  - MCNP approach

# Event generator for the signal

**Strategy:** factorize the event generation, as in the real physical process

- $\chi$ -beam generator: simulate the interaction of the primary e<sup>-</sup> beam in the dump and produce the secondary  $\chi$  beam, with absolute normalization per EOT.
- **Recoils generator:** given the secondary χ-beam, produce the scattered particles in the detector: e<sup>-</sup> and nucleons.
- **Detector simulation**: given the scattered e<sup>-</sup> and nucleons, simulate the detector response.



### Outline

- Introduction: light dark matter search at beam-dump experiments
  - Physics case
  - MC tools: scope and requirements

#### • Event generator for the signal

- Computation strategy
- Software implementation
  - DM production: MadGraph/MadEvent
  - DM interaction
  - Detector response: GEMC
- Event generator for the beam-related background
  - Requirements-computational issues
  - Brute-force approach
  - MCNP approach

### Event generator for the signal: $\chi$ - beam

**Process:**  $e^- + Z \rightarrow e^- + Z + \chi + \chi$ Goal:

- Compute the total  $\chi$  flux per electron on target
- Generate final state 4-momenta according to  $d\sigma$

**Code:** modified version of MadGraph/MadEvent



**MadGraph/MadEvent:** very popular tool in HEP, to compute tree-level cross-sections and generate events of a user-specified process. Models: SM + MSSM + HEFT + user-defined.

Written in Fortran77 + sch + Perl.

#### MadGraph/MadEvent provides:

- List all contributing sub processes
- Feynman diagrams for each sub process
- Cross section
- Unweighted events, Les-Houches ready (ROOT format is available trough ExRootAnalysis)
- Interfaces for PYTHIA / PGS available

#### [MadGraph: arXiv:1405.0301, http://madgraph.hep.uiuc.edu]

**Goal:** use MC methods to compute  $I = \int_{a}^{b} f(s) ds$ 

#### **Method: MC-average**

- Extract N random numbers *s*, uniformly in [a,b]
- Compute  $f(s_i)$
- Take the average



**Goal:** use MC methods to compute  $I = \int_{a}^{b} f(s) ds$ 

#### **Method: MC-average**

- Extract N random numbers *s*, uniformly in [a,b]



Can we do better? Yes!

$$I_N = \frac{(b-a)}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(s_i) \longrightarrow I_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(s_i) / g(s_i) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N w_i$$

Use a different g(s) to extract random numbers  $s_i$ , to reduce variance: select g(s) as similar as possible to f(s), in term of "peaks". Can't use f itself, since I is required to extract random numbers (g must be normalized to 1)



Can we do better? Yes!

$$I_N = \frac{(b-a)}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(s_i) \longrightarrow I_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(s_i) / g(s_i) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N w_i$$

Use a different g(s) to extract random numbers  $s_i$ , to reduce variance: select g(s) as similar as possible to f(s), in term of "peaks". Can't use f itself, since I is required to extract random numbers (g must be normalized to 1)





#### Multi-channel approach:

• Each  $g_i$  ("channel") is normalized to 1.

$$g = \sum_{j} \alpha_{j} g_{j}$$

- $\alpha_i$  are "weights" (sum normalized to 1)
- *g* is a PDF for s, and can be used to extract random numbers
- $\langle w \rangle$  does not depend on the choice of  $\alpha_i$ , but *Var* does

→ Use optimization techniques for  $\alpha_j$  during MC calculation. **Caveats:** 

- The computation of g(s) always requires the same time, also if one of the  $\alpha$  is small, and the corresponding contribution negligible
- Weights may be correlated, and the optimization is not trivial

**Special scenario:** 

- Assume that f(s) can be written as:  $f(s) = \sum f_j(s)$ , with  $f_j(s) > 0$
- Assume that each  $f_j(s)$  can be efficiently and easily integrated with a **single pdf**  $g_j(s)$

$$I = \sum_{j} \int f_j(s) ds = \sum_{j} \int g_j(s) w_j(s) ds$$

**Application to physics: cross-section calculation** 

$$\sigma = \int d\vec{\phi} \, |A_{Tot}(\vec{\phi})|^2 = \int d\vec{\phi} \, |\sum_j A_j(\vec{\phi})|^2$$

In this case, a natural decomposition arises from the physical content of the process

$$f_j = |A_j|^2 \frac{|A_{Tot}|^2}{\sum_i |A_i|^2}$$

- The peak structure of each  $f_j$  is the same as of the single squared amplitude  $|A_j|^2$
- Finding the suitable channel g<sub>j</sub> is straightforward, since it can be derived from the propagator structure of the corresponding Feynman diagram.

#### **Example: QCD 2** $\rightarrow$ 2 production



Each diagram contributes to the total amplitude with a very different analytic structure (poles)

#### **But:**

Each diagram individually is "easy" to integrate trough a MC average and a single channel approach

#### **Application to physics: events generation**

Up to here, only considered Monte Carlo as a numerical integration method. If function being integrated is a probability density (positive definite), trivial to convert it to a simulation of physical process, i.e. an event generator.

#### "Hit or miss" method:



- Generate s randomly, according to g
  - $\rightarrow$  g is "simple", use inversion technique
- Compute f(s) and g(s)
- Generate y randomly, uniformly in  $[0, f_{MAX}/g_{MAX}]$
- If f(s)>y g(s) accept event, else reject it.

#### **Application to physics: events generation**

Up to here, only considered Monte Carlo as a numerical integration method. If function being integrated is a probability density (positive definite), trivial to convert it to a simulation of physical process, i.e. an event generator.

#### "Hit or miss" method:



- Generate s randomly, according to g
  - $\rightarrow$  g is "simple", use inversion technique
- Compute f(s) and g(s)
- Generate y randomly, uniformly in  $[0, f_{MAX}/g_{MAX}]$
- If f(s)>y g(s) accept event, else reject it.

# These operations are already performed during MC integration!

# MadGraph/MadEvent: use

**Example:**  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- @ 20+20 \text{ GeV}$ 



# MadGraph/MadEvent: use

**Example:**  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- @ 20+20 \text{ GeV}$ 


# MadGraph/MadEvent: use

**Example:**  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- @ 20+20 \text{ GeV}$ 





# $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{MadGraph}/\textbf{MadGraph} & \textbf{MadEvent: use} \\ \textbf{Example: } e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- @ 20+20 \text{ GeV} \\ \hline \textbf{proc\_card.dat} & \textbf{param\_card.dat} & \textbf{run\_card.dat} & \textbf{MadGraph} & \textbf{madEvent} & \textbf{Feynman diagrams} \\ \hline \textbf{MadGraph} & \textbf{MadEvent} & \textbf{Diagrams by MadGraph} & \textbf{et e-> mut mut} \\ \hline \textbf{Feyn. diagrams} & \textbf{Diagrams by MadGraph} & \textbf{et e-> mut mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} \\ \hline \textbf{mut} & \textbf{mut} &$

2

mц

graph 1

Parton-level events

Amplitudes

ШU

graph 2

## MadGraph/MadEvent: use



<LesHouchesEvents version="1.0"> <!--# optional information in completely free format, # except for the reserved endtag (see next line) <header> <!-- individually designed XML tags, in fancy XML style --> </header> <init> compulsory initialization information # optional initialization information </init> <event> compulsory event information # optional event information </event> (further <event> ... </event> blocks, one for each event) </LesHouchesEvents>

#### **Les-Houches format:**

XML-based data format, common in HEP, derived from Fortran common-blocks standards defined in Les Houches accords

#### **ROOT format:**

TTree with 4 momenta of external particles (1 entry per event)

## MadGraph/MadEvent: use





#### **Les-Houches format:**

XML-based data format, common in HEP, derived from Fortran common-blocks standards defined in Les Houches accords

#### ROOT format:

TTree with 4 momenta of external particles (1 entry per event)



## Outline

- Introduction: light dark matter search at beam-dump experiments
  - Physics case
  - MC tools: scope and requirements

## • Event generator for the signal

- Computation strategy
- Software implementation
  - DM production: MadGraph/MadEvent
  - DM interaction
  - Detector response: GEMC
- Event generator for the beam-related background
  - Requirements-computational issues
  - Brute-force approach
  - MCNP approach

**Process:**  $\chi + e \rightarrow \chi + e / \chi + N \rightarrow \chi + N$ Goal:

- Compute the total number of events within the detector
- Generate final state 4-momenta according to  $d\sigma$

**Code:** standalone C++ code (using ROOT libraries)

$$e^{-\phi_{\chi}(E_{\chi}, \Omega_{\chi})} \rightarrow Detector$$
Beam dump  
Fiducial volume  
Output: must compute  $N = \int_{V_F} \frac{d\phi_{\chi}}{dE_{\chi}d\Omega_{\chi}} \frac{d\sigma_I(E_{\chi})}{dE_r} n_p dE_{\chi} d\Omega_{\chi} dE_r$ 

**Process:**  $\chi + e \rightarrow \chi + e / \chi + N \rightarrow \chi + N$ Goal:

- Compute the total number of events within the detector
- Generate final state 4-momenta according to  $d\sigma$

**Code:** standalone C++ code (using ROOT libraries)



**Process:**  $\chi + e \rightarrow \chi + e / \chi + N \rightarrow \chi + N$ Goal:

- Compute the total number of events within the detector
- Generate final state 4-momenta according to  $d\sigma$

**Code:** standalone C++ code (using ROOT libraries)

**Process:**  $\chi + e \rightarrow \chi + e / \chi + N \rightarrow \chi + N$ Goal:

- Compute the total number of events within the detector
- Generate final state 4-momenta according to  $d\sigma$

**Code:** standalone C++ code (using ROOT libraries)

e 
$$\phi_{\chi}(E_{\chi}, \Omega_{\chi})$$
 Detector  
Beam dump  
Fiducial volume  
Output: must compute  $N = \int_{V_D} \frac{d\phi_{\chi}}{dE_{\chi}d\Omega_{\chi}} \frac{d\sigma_I(E_{\chi})}{dE_r} n_p dE_{\chi} d\Omega_{\chi} dE_r$   
Scatter centers  
density

**Process:**  $\chi + e \rightarrow \chi + e / \chi + N \rightarrow \chi + N$ 

**Cross-section (electron scattering case):** 

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_e} = 4\pi\varepsilon^2 \alpha \alpha' m_e \frac{4m_e m_{\chi}^2 E_e + [m_{\chi}^2 + m_e E_{\chi}]^2}{(m_A^2 + 2m_e E_e)^2 (m_{\chi}^2 + 2m_e E_0)^2}$$

- Smooth 1-dimensional function, easy to integrate and to sample. We use standard ROOT methods for this (TF1)
- Function depends on **incoming**  $\chi$  energy  $E_0$ 
  - To avoid function integration event by event, bin in E<sub>0</sub> before generating events (from 0 to E<sub>beam</sub>)
  - For each event, use the cross-section computed at the bin center



Decouple the  $\chi$  interaction simulation from the detector response simulation and use Geant4 for the latter.

$$N = \int_{V_F} \frac{d\phi_{\chi}}{dE_{\chi} d\Omega_{\chi}} \frac{d\sigma_I(E_{\chi})}{dE_r} n_p dE_{\chi} d\Omega_{\chi} dE_r$$

- Define a simple fiducial volume in the  $E_{\chi}^{}-\Omega_{\chi}^{}$  space, with  $V_{_F}^{}>V_{_D}^{}$
- Use  $\boldsymbol{V}_{_{\boldsymbol{F}}}$  to calculate  $\boldsymbol{N}_{_{\boldsymbol{F}}}$  and to generate events
  - Since  $L_{\chi} \gg L_{D_{\chi}}$  generate events uniformly: for each  $\chi$  generate the interaction vertex uniformly along the part of the trajectory that lies within  $V_F$
  - The MonteCarlo simulation is designed to handle the cases with a proton generated in  $V_F$  but not in  $V_D$
- Compute the "real" detector response as:  $N_D = N_F \cdot \epsilon$ 
  - $\epsilon$  calculated trough Geant4 detector simulation



## Outline

- Introduction: light dark matter search at beam-dump experiments
  - Physics case
  - MC tools: scope and requirements

## • Event generator for the signal

- Computation strategy
- Software implementation
  - DM production: MadGraph/MadEvent
  - DM interaction
  - Detector response: GEMC
- Event generator for the beam-related background
  - Requirements-computational issues
  - Brute-force approach
  - MCNP approach

# Event generator for the signal: detector response simulation

**Process:** e<sup>-</sup> / protons interaction with detector material **Goal:** 

- Compute the overall detector efficiency
- Simulate the detector response, in terms of measured observables (deposited energy / hit multiplicity / ...)

**Input from recoil generator:** scattered protons / electrons four-momenta and production vertexes

Code: GEant4 Monte-Carlo (GEMC) software, developed by M. Ungaro @ Jefferson Lab

GEMC

**GEMC** is an application based on Geant4 libraries to simulate the passage of particles through matters

**Why?** GEANT4 is a C++ Library  $\rightarrow$ 

• Does require some programming language experience

• Write geometry in the code

• Incorporate **Digitization** 

• Implement Input and Output

• Write response function in the code

• Implement Magnetic fields in the code

- Does require some C++ knowledge
- OO Programming skills much preferable



pX = 30, pY = 40, pZ = 60

by giving the box a name and its half-lengths along the X, Y and Z axis:

PX half length in X PY half length in Y PZ half length in Z

#### Do this for hundreds (thousands) of detector elements

## GEMC

Users (physicists) aren't necessarily the best programmers (not applicable to this audience!): build a framework that decouples the content (geometry / fields / digitization) from the code.

#### **GEMC** wants to solve these problems:

- Users should have to deal ONLY with geometry, fields, response function parameters NOT how they interface with Geant4 core code.
- All parameters should be in a database (with reconstruction, calibration, visualization).

The **same** GEMC executable is used for all simulations: only input configuration changes.

#### How it works (geometry/materials):

- User specify materials and geometry (volumes / surfaces) in a perl script, using GEANT4 C++ classes methods (default G4 materials are available).
- Materials and geometry are uploaded to a database (or to a local txt file)
- GEMC executable loads the geometry from the selected data-source and automatically constructs the detector.

Basic experimental setup: build a target (thin cylinder) and detector (parallelepiped)



Basic experimental setup: build a target (thin cylinder) and detector (parallelepiped)



Basic experimental setup: build a target (thin cylinder) and detector (parallelepiped)



Basic experimental setup: build a target (thin cylinder) and detector (parallelepiped)



Basic experimental setup: build a target (thin cylinder) and detector (parallelepiped)

#### **Detector:**

```
sub build_simple_paddle
{
    my %detector = init_det();
    $detector{"name"} = "paddle_1";
    $detector{"mother"} = "root";
    $detector{"description"} = "Example of paddle";
    $detector{"description"} = "0*cm 0*cm 30*cm";[]
    $detector{"rotation"} = "0*deg 0*deg 0*deg";
    $detector{"color"} = "339999";
    $detector{"type"} = "Box";
    $detector{"dimensions"} = "2*cm 2*cm 8*cm";
    $detector{"visible"} = 1;
    $detector{"style"} = 1;
    print_det(\%configuration, \%detector);
}
```

Basic experimental setup: build a target (thin cylinder) and detector (parallelepiped) **Result:** 



A simple geometry was defined without necessity to compile any code: user can just focus on the simulation problem  $\rightarrow$  **GEMC handles the coding.** 

**GEMC** comes with a full GUI (based on Qt libraries), useful to debug MonteCarlo setup before a full MC run is started in batch mode.

#### **Detector browser**



**GEMC** comes with a full GUI (based on Qt libraries), useful to debug MonteCarlo setup before a full MC run is started in batch mode.

#### **Built-in event generator**

	gemc 2.2	_ 🗆 X	gemc
N. Events: 1	📄 🖻 Run 🔀 Cycle 🔲 Stop	🛛 🕅 Exit	
Generato Camera Octoor Detector Infos G4Dialoc G4Dialoc Signals	Generator       Beam 1       Beam 2         Momentum:       Particle Type:       e.       \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$         p:       4       ±       0       GeV \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$         p:       4       ±       0       GeV \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$         p:       4       ±       0       GeV \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$         p:       4       ±       0       GeV \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$         p:       4       ±       0       GeV \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$         0:       20       ±       0       deg \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$         vX:       0       Δr:       0       v         vY:       0       Δz:       0       v         vZ:       0       Units:       cm \$\$\$\$       0		

**GEMC** comes with a full GUI (based on Qt libraries), useful to debug MonteCarlo setup before a full MC run is started in batch mode.

#### **Hit-display**

	gemc 2.2	_ 🗆 X	gemc
N. Events: 1	📄 🕞 Run 🛃 Cycle 🔲 Stop	🛛 🗷 Exit	
	Generator Beam 1 Beam 2		
	Momentum:		
Generator	Particle Type: e- 🗘		
6	p: 4 ± 0 GeV ≎		
Camera	θ: 20 ± 0 deg ≎		
	φ: 10 ± 0 deg ≎		
	Vertex		
Detector	vX: 0 Δr: 0		
	vΥ: 0 Δz: 0		
Infos	vZ: 0 Units: cm 😂		
$\mathbf{\nabla}$			
G4Dialog			
Signals			

**GEMC** comes with a full GUI (based on Qt libraries), useful to debug MonteCarlo setup before a full MC run is started in batch mode.

#### Hit history: time chart



63

## Outline

- Introduction: light dark matter search at beam-dump experiments
  - Physics case
  - MC tools: scope and requirements
- Event generator for the signal
  - Computation strategy
  - Software implementation
    - DM production: MadGraph/MadEvent
    - DM interaction
    - Detector response: GEMC
- Event generator for the beam-related background
  - Requirements-computational issues
  - Brute-force approach
  - MCNP approach

# Beam-related backgrounds simulation

**Goal:** estimate backgrounds created by beam interaction with the dump via MC simulations.

- Determine the expected number of background events per EOT
- Study the different contributions

#### **BDX run conditions:**

- 11 GeV electrons impinging on the beam dump
- 10<sup>22</sup> EOT
- 100  $\mu$ A electron beam on dump for 6 months running

#### **Computational challenges and issues:**

- Computing limitations:
  - Combination of very large number of incoming particles and very massive absorbers makes full-luminosity simulations prohibitive
  - Extrapolation over several order of magnitudes needed
- Physics issues:
  - Accurate modeling of physics interaction from GeV to eV, including low energy nuclear reactions and neutron transport

## Beam-related backgrounds simulation

## **Brute-force approach:**

- Model beam dump geometry and materials
- Use Geant4 to simulate the interaction of the electrons in the dump
- Determine fluxes of particles exiting from the dump and reaching the detector location

**GEANT4 setup:** simulation based on GEMC (GEant4 Monte Carlo):



- Simulates passage of particles through matter based on Geant4 libraries
- Simulation parameters (geometry, materials fields, etc.) defined in databases (MYSQL, TXT, GDML, ...)
- Same GEMC executable is used for different detectors and experiments
- Can simulate beam structure (beam bunches, repetition rate, ...)

Use high precision physics lists (QGSP\_BERT\_HP + EM\_HP)

## Beam-related backgrounds simulation: geometry



## Beam-related backgrounds simulation: computing

#### **Computing resources usage:**

- 10000 EOT @ 11 GeV  $\rightarrow$  16 ps of beam on target at 100  $\mu$ A
- ~ 3000 s computing time on an Intel Xeon (E5530), 2.4 GHz
- 1 month of simulations on a 200 cores farm (~3600 HepSpec2006), equivalent to 2·10<sup>9</sup> EOT (3.2 μs beam on target at 100 μA)
- Results would need to be extrapolated by more than 12-13 orders of magnitude to reach the desired experiment luminosity

**HepSpec2006:** new HEP-wide benchmark for measuring CPU performance. Developed by the HEPiX Benchmarking Working Group: http://w3.hepix.org/benchmarks/doku.php/



## Beam-related backgrounds simulation: brute force approach

#### **Particle fluxes estimated at the detector location:**

- Only particles observed are neutrinos and very low energy gammas (E<eV)
- Neutrinos originates from pion and muons decay at rest within the main iron absorber: isotropic process
  - Energy range: 0-60 MeV
  - Flux scales with primary beam energy and square of dump-detector distance.
- No other particles are observed



# Beam-related backgrounds simulation: full luminosity

#### How to obtain full-luminosity background estimates:

- Estimated non-zero neutrino rate can be extrapolated "safely" to full luminosity
- Zero rates observed for neutrons and gammas...
  - This only allows to set an upper limit
- Increase of computing power or efficiency can gain 2-3 orders of magnitude, but cannot reach 10<sup>22</sup> EOT

## A different approach is required:

- Rely on GEANT4 for treatment of high energy (GeV to MeV) interactions
- Sample particle fluxes at different depths within the dump absorbers to study the flux profile and find non-zero values
- Extrapolate non-zero fluxes to full luminosity based on flux profile
- Validate results for low energy neutrons/gamma with different simulation tools (MCNP) and using variance reduction techniques

## Flux detector:

- "Ideal" 2D-detector
- For **all** passing particles it forces an hit, recording exactly:
  - Hit position
  - Particle id
  - Particle 4- momentum

# Beam-related backgrounds simulation: full luminosity

## Flux-profile sampling:

- Sampled particles crossing XY planes at different position along the beam direction with "flux" detectors.
- Checked particle types and energy spectra as a function of depth within the dump absorbers.



# Beam-related backgrounds simulation: full luminosity



- Overall particle flux is dominated by gamma and neutrons for the first 2 m and by neutrinos at larger depths.
- Gamma:
  - Flux reduction of factor 3600 in 2.2 m of iron
  - Gamma detected after the iron absorber < keV energies
- Neutrons:
  - Attenuation of factor ~1700 in 2.2 m iron
  - Attenuation of factor ~4.3 in 10 cm of concrete
  - < 1 neutron @  $10^{22}$  EOT after ~3.5 m of concrete



**Residual flux dominated by thermal neutrons:** validation with specific tools is required
## Outline

- Introduction: light dark matter search at beam-dump experiments
  - Physics case
  - MC tools: scope and requirements
- Event generator for the signal
  - Computation strategy
  - Software implementation
    - DM production: MadGraph/MadEvent
    - DM interaction
    - Detector response: GEMC

### • Event generator for the beam-related background

- Requirements-computational issues
- Brute-force approach
- MCNP approach

### Monte Carlo N-Particle (MCNP)

#### **General Transport Code developed at Los Alamos: more than 70 years of history!**



#### **Monte Carlo transport of particles**

- MCNP5: neutrons, photons, electrons
- MCNPX: focus on high-energy physics
- MCNP6: merged code.

#### **Features:**

- 3D general geometry
- PC, Mac, Linux, Unix, Sun
- Parallel (MPI + threads)
- 350k+ lines of Fortran code
- Extensive verification/validation

- 400+ person/years development
- 10k+ users
- 15k+ citations
- Distributed by RSICC code center
- Export controlled

#### [MCNP: https://mcnp.lanl.gov/]

### Monte Carlo N-Particle (MCNP): applications

### MCNP is traditionally used (not exclusively!) in nuclear-physics fields

- Nuclear critical safety
- Radiation shielding
- Detector design and analysis
- Nuclear Well logging
- Personnel dosimetry
- Health physics
- Medical physics and radiotherapy

- Accelerator target design
- Fission and fusion reactor design
- Waste storage/disposal
- Radiography
- Aerospace applications
- Decontamination and decommissioning
- Nuclear Safeguards

#### [MCNP: https://mcnp.lanl.gov/]

## Monte Carlo N-Particle (MCNP): physics

#### MCNP is able to transport 37 different particle types.

- MCNP is physics rich try to use best data, models, and theory
- Neutron, proton, electron and photon transport below a certain energy are based on data libraries by default



MCNP6 particle types, energy ranges and interaction physics.

#### [J.T. Goorley et al., "Initial MCNP6 Release Overview"]

### Beam-related backgrounds simulation: MCNP

#### Neutron flux in iron or concrete absorbers: computation strategy

- Use as initial (high-energy) neutron spectrum that from GEANT4 simulations
- Use MCNP to transport neutrons and photons down to thermal regime

### **Results:**

- Large attenuation of neutron flux in concrete is confirmed
- Actual value strongly depends on neutron energy and dump structure





## Beam-related backgrounds simulation: MCNP

### First results for neutron rates with full dump geometry

- Initial neutron spectrum in iron absorber from G4 simulations
- Only thermal neutrons are exiting from the concrete enclosure
- Neutron flux attenuated by factor ~2.5 every 10 cm
- < 1 neutron @ 10<sup>22</sup> EOT after 3 m of concrete



Thanks for your attention!



### Hints for A' existence: astrophysics

### **Cosmic positron fraction excess (AMS, FERMI, PAMELA)**

- This anomaly could be explained by dark matter decaying or annihilating in A', which then decays to e<sup>+</sup> e<sup>-</sup>
- No excess measured in anti-proton fraction: light A' ( $M_{A'} < 2 \text{ GeV}$ )



### Possible reach

#### Reach for a "benchmark" beam-dump experiment at an electron machine:

- 10<sup>22</sup> EOT, 12 GeV / 125 GeV (ILC)
- 1 year of run
- 1 m<sup>3</sup> detector,  $\rho$ =1 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, placed 20 m from the beam dump

In the low-mass region ( $m_{\chi} < 1 \text{ GeV}$ ), the reach of a beam-dump like experiment is O(100-1000) better than a traditional direct-search experiment.



### BDX contribution to the g-2 "puzzle"



## MiniBooNE

### **MiniBooNE DM search:**

- 8 GeV protons on a 50m beam dump
- Detector ~ 500 m after the beam dump
  - 800 t mineral oil, 1280 PMTs

### MiniBooNE test run (2013):

- 0.4 10<sup>20</sup> protons on target
- "Off-axis" configuration to reduce vbackground (reduction factor  $\sim$  42)
- Selection cuts for DM events:
  - Timing ( $\chi$  can travel slower than c)
  - Energy (different  $\chi$ – $\nu$  energy deposition)

### MiniBooNE 2014 proposal: 2 10<sup>20</sup> PoT



#### Preliminary, $M_{\Lambda}$ = 300 MeV, $\alpha$ '=0.1



# First generation fixed target experiments: beam dump

### **Beam dump experiments for A' search:**

- e<sup>-</sup> beam incident on thick target
- A' is produce in a process similar to ordinary Bremsstrahlung
- A', emitted forward at small angle, carries most of the beam energy and decays before the detector
- Decay products are measured in the detector





