Imperial College London





Recent Higgs and NP Results from the Tevatron

Gavin Davies

On behalf of the CDF and DØ Collaborations









Outline



- Introduction
- SM Higgs
 - Introduction
 - Results
 - Overall, couplings, spin
- Recent NP searches
- Conclusions







Reminder: Tevatron stopped fall 2011 ~ 10fb⁻¹ per expt after data quality

- Tevatron
 - Bridge between LEP search & LHC measurement era following discovery
 - 1st exclusion after LEP in 2008
 - And then regularly updated
 - 2012: 1st evidence for coupling to fermions
 - Complementary as exploiting primarily $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decays
 - Higgs studies at Tevatron
 - PRD 88, 052014 (2013)
 - JP studies
 - arXiv:1502.00967







Search strategy









Imperial College London



Tevatron combination



Exclusion cross section

- Sensitivity over ~full mass range
- 95% CL limit @ m_H = 125 GeV:
 - 1.06 x σ (SM) expected
 - 2.44 x σ (SM) observed

- Log-likelihood ratio (LLR)
 - Relative agreement of B-only
 - and S+B hypotheses
 - Expected S+B shows good

sensitivity up to ~185 GeV



- $\sim 3\sigma$ excess at 120-125 GeV
 - Consistent with SM Higgs



• Compatibility with B-only prediction (left)

- Minimum local p-value at $m_H = 120 \text{ GeV}$: 3.1 σ (2.0 σ expected)

p-value at $m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}$: 3.0 σ (1.9 σ expected)

- Compatibility with S+B prediction (right)
 - Maximum likelihood fit with Higgs cross section as a free parameter

• $\mu = \sigma / \sigma_{SM} = 1.4 \pm 0.6 @ 125 \text{ GeV}$

Tevatron cross section fits



Couplings



Measure deviations of couplings from SM prediction using
 LHCHXSWG framework (arXiv:1209:0040)
 Yukawa

$$\sigma \cdot BR(ii \to H \to ff) = \sigma_{SM} \cdot BR_{SM} \frac{\kappa_i^2 \cdot \kappa_f^2}{\kappa_H^2}$$

- Assume all signals near 126 GeV from and single resonance of zero width, with SM-like coupling structure
- Additionally: no additional invisible or undetected Higgs decay modes - e.g. $\sigma(WH) \cdot BR(H \rightarrow bb) = \sigma(WH)_{SM} \cdot BR(H \rightarrow bb)_{SM} \frac{\kappa_W^2 \cdot \kappa_b^2}{\kappa^2}$

$$\kappa_{\gamma} = 1.28\kappa_{\rm W} - 0.28\kappa_f$$
 κ_H^2

- Study fermion coupling, κ_{f} and boson couplings $\kappa_{W},\,\kappa_{Z}$ and κ_{V}

Couplings: 1D





London



Negative values for κ_W and κ_f preferred due to $H{\rightarrow}\gamma\gamma$ excess

All consistent with SM

Gavin E



Couplings: 2D





- Probe custodial symmetry
 - ie $\lambda_{WZ} = \kappa_W / \kappa_Z \approx 1$ (SM)
 - Preferred region

 (κ_W, κ_Z) = (1.25,±0.90)



- Assume $\lambda_{WZ} = 1$
 - Preferred regions

 (κ_{V},κ_{f}) = (1.05,-2.40) & (κ_{V},κ_{f}) = (1.05, 2.30)

All consistent with SM

Imperial College London





• Tevatron sensitive in bb final states

- VH cross section at threshold sensitive to β , & hence J^P assignment
 - e.g. Ellis et al., JHEP 1211 134 (2012)

$$J^{P}=0^{+}; \sigma \sim \beta$$

$$J^{P}=0^{-}; \sigma \sim \beta^{3}$$

$$J^{P}=2^{+}; \sigma \sim \beta^{5}$$

- Strategy
 - Models tested
 - 0⁻: Model of Ellis et al.
 - i.e. Basic dim. 5 effective coupling
 - 2⁺: Standard RS graviton model
 - Re-use published VH \rightarrow Vb \bar{b} analyses
 - Main discriminating variable
 - Invariant or transverse mass









vvbb

ZH→vvbb

DØ. L

Events Events

1000

800

600

400[[]

200

100

=9.5 fb⁻¹

 Data Multijet

> V+lf V+hf

0 Signal 2^{*} Signal

Signals ×30

400

tŦ Ŵν **0⁺** Signal

- Published event selection, b-tag, jet multiplicity & lepton categories • DØ [Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 161802 (2014)]
 - Split into high (HP) & low purity (LP) samples
 - Final discriminant: invariant or







- Published event selection, b-tag, jet multiplicity & lepton categories
- CDF [arXiv:1501.04875v2 Jan 2015]
 - Final discriminant:
 - MVA approach, combination of NNs trained against SM and BSM signals
 - Information on mass of VX system included



Imperial College London



- LLR = $-2\log[L(H1)/L(H0)]$ with $H1=(2^++bkg)$ or (0^-+bkg) & $H0=(0^++bkg)$
 - $CL_{s} = CL_{H1}/CL_{H0}$



- CDF

- 0⁻ signal excluded at 99.99% CL (99.92% exp)
- 2⁺ signal excluded at 99.1% CL (99.3% exp)

- DØ

- 0⁻ signal excluded at 97.6% CL (99.9% exp)
- 2⁺ signal excluded at 99.0% CL (99.9% exp)





• Consider admixture of 0⁺ & 0⁻ (or 2⁺), set limits on 0⁻ (or 2⁺) fraction



Exclude at 95% CL

 $f_{0-} > 0.32 \& f_{2+} > 0.35$ (no SM Higgs present) $f_{2+} > 0.28 \& f_{2+} > 0.31$ (SM Higgs present) Exclude at 95% CL f₀₋ > 0.80 f₂₊ > 0.67



Tevatron Combination [arXiv:1502.00967v1 Feb 2015]



- Combined
 - 0⁻ signal excluded at 5.0 σ (4.8 σ exp)
 - 2⁺ signal excluded at 4.9 σ (4.6 σ exp)
- Assuming production rate x BR of X same as for SM





Tevatron Combination

- Exclude at 95% CL: f₀₋ > 0.36 (0.32 exp) f₂₊ > 0.36 (0.33 exp)
- Gives exclusion of 0⁻ signal at 5.0 σ & 2⁺ at 4.9 σ
- Assuming production rate x BR of X same as for SM





95% C.L. limit (σ/σ_{Theory} 1

10⁻¹

10 20

Fermiophobic Higgs

 $h_{f}H^{\pm} \rightarrow 3\gamma + X$

 $m_{h} = 45 \text{ GeV}/c^2$

Observed

---- Expected

± **1**σ

± **2**σ





- In type-1 2HDM suppressed by 1/ (1+tan² β)

• CDF (CDF Note 11116)

 $h_{e}H^{\pm} \rightarrow 3\gamma + X$

 $m_{\mu^{\pm}} = 90 \text{ GeV}/c^2$

- Exploit $q\bar{q}' \rightarrow h_f H^{\pm} \rightarrow h_f (h_f W^*) \rightarrow (2\gamma)(2\gamma) + X_f$

95% C.L. limit (σ/σ_{Theory}

10⁻¹

50

100

- Signature of \ge 3 isolated γ Signal variable: $E_T^{\gamma_1} + E_T^{\gamma_2}$

CDF Run II Preliminary: 9.2 fb⁻¹

Observed

---- Expected

50 60 70 80

 h_f mass (GeV/ c^2)

± **1**σ

± 2σ

30 40



Imperial College London

Gavin Davies – La Thuile 2015

150

200

250

 H^{\pm} mass (GeV/ c^2)



Recent NP searches

• Exploit complementarity with LHC

- Better sensitivity at 'low' masses
 - $q\bar{q}$ initial state
 - Better signal-to-background ratio







London

W' Search







Monopole Search



• Search from 100-800 GeV using Drell-Yan-like production

- Highly ionising, uncharged object
 - Exploit in trigger & offline
- Bent parallel to magnetic field, not in $r, \boldsymbol{\varphi}$
- Limit on cross-section & comparison with ATLAS using Drell-Yan model





Conclusions

-10

LLR_b ±1 s.d.

LLR_b ±2 s.d.

- LLR_{Obs} -- LLR __. LLR __. LLR

 $(\sigma_{\rm u} \times 1.5)$

-- LLR_n



Tevatron Run II, $L_{int} \leq 10.0 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

SM Higgs Combination

Tevatron

- Sensitivity over most of accessible mass range
- Excess from $115 < m_H < 140 \text{ GeV}$
 - $\sim 3\sigma$ significance at 125 GeV
- Coupling & spin results consistent with SM Higgs



- Tevatron: Continued to provide valuable information on nature of observed boson • Look forward to Tevatron + LHC $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ combination
- Tevatron: Continued to provide complementarity to LHC for NP searches
- Testament to Tevatron's legacy: Making of a new generation of physicists
 - Many moved to LHC







Imperial College London

Gavin Davies – Higgs Hunting 2013



• ~12fb⁻¹ delivered, ~11fb⁻¹ recorded, ~10fb⁻¹ after data quality per expt - with $L_{inst} \le 4 \times 10^{32}$



Many thanks to Accelerator Division





Proton-antiproton

- Unlikely to be repeated

- Dominantly $q\bar{q}$ collisions not gg as at LHC

• Gives enhanced xsect for some processes eg VH

- Initial CP eigenstate (and DØ'S ability to reverse magnetic field)

- \bullet Enable incisive asymmetry and CP measurements eg $A_{\rm fb}$ in tt
- Relative cleanliness (low pileup) facilitates precision measurements
 - e.g. W mass, top quark mass

Snapshot of Recent Activity



• e.g. looking at DØ publications

London







CDF Channel $(V = W, Z \text{ and } \ell = e, \mu)$		$\begin{array}{c} \text{Luminosity} \\ \text{(fb}^{-1}) \end{array}$	M_H (GeV)	Reference
$WH \to \ell \nu b \bar{b}$		9.45	90 - 150	PRL 109, 111804 (2012)
$ZH \to \ell\ell b\bar{b}$	$H \rightarrow b \bar{b}$	9.45	90 - 150	PRL 109, 111803 (2012)
$ZH \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} b \bar{b}$		9.45	90 - 150	PRD 87, 052008 (2013)
$WH + ZH \rightarrow jjb\bar{b}$		9.45	100 - 150	JHEP 02 , 004 (2013)
$t\bar{t}H \rightarrow W^+ b W^- \bar{b} b \bar{b}$		9.45	100 - 150	PRL 109, 181802 (2012)
$H \to W^+ W^- \to \ell^+ \nu \ell^- \bar{\nu}$		9.7	110 - 200	PRD 88, 052012 (2013)
$H \to W^+ W^- \to \ell \tau_h$		9.7	130 - 200	PRD 88, 052012 (2013)
$WH \to WW^+W^- \to \ell\ell\ell, \ell^\pm\ell^\pm$		9.7	110 - 200	PRD 88, 052012 (2013)
$WH \to WW^+W^- \to \ell\ell\tau_h$	$H \to W^+ W^-$	9.7	130 - 200	PRD 88, 052012 (2013)
$ZH \to ZW^+W^- \to \ell\ell\ell + jet(s)$		9.7	110 - 200	PRD 88, 052012 (2013)
$H + X \to \tau^+ \tau^- + jet(s)$	$H \to \tau^+ \tau^-$	6.0	100 - 150	PRL 108, 181804 (2012)
$H \to \gamma \gamma$	$H\to\gamma\gamma$	10.0	100 - 150	PLB 717 , 173 (2012)
$H \rightarrow ZZ$	$H \rightarrow ZZ$	9.7	120 - 200	PRD 86, 072012 (2012)
CDF grand combination	all CDF	6.0 - 10.0	90 - 200	PRD 88, 052013 (2013)
DØ Channel ($V = W, Z$ and $\ell = e, \mu$)		$\begin{array}{c} \text{Luminosity} \\ \text{(fb}^{-1}) \end{array}$	$M_H (\text{GeV})$	Reference
DØ Channel $(V = W, Z \text{ and } \ell = e, \mu)$ $WH \rightarrow \ell \nu b \bar{b}$		$\frac{\text{Luminosity}}{(\text{fb}^{-1})}$ 9.7	$M_H (GeV)$ 90–150	Reference PRD 88, 052008 (2013)
DØ Channel $(V = W, Z \text{ and } \ell = e, \mu)$ $\overline{WH \to \ell \nu b \bar{b}}$ $ZH \to \ell \ell b \bar{b}$	$H ightarrow b ar{b}$	$ \begin{array}{r} \text{Luminosity}\\ (\text{fb}^{-1})\\ 9.7\\ 9.7 \end{array} $	$M_H (GeV)$ 90–150 90–150	Reference PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052010 (2013)
DØ Channel $(V = W, Z \text{ and } \ell = e, \mu)$ $\overline{WH \to \ell \nu b \bar{b}}$ $ZH \to \ell \ell b \bar{b}$ $ZH \to \nu \bar{\nu} b \bar{b}$	$H \rightarrow b \bar{b}$	$ \begin{array}{r} \text{Luminosity} \\ (\text{fb}^{-1}) \\ 9.7 \\ 9.7 \\ 9.5 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} M_{H} \ ({\rm GeV}) \\ \hline 90{-}150 \\ 90{-}150 \\ 100{-}150 \end{array}$	Reference PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052010 (2013) PLB 716, 285 (2012)
DØ Channel $(V = W, Z \text{ and } \ell = e, \mu)$ $\overline{WH \to \ell \nu b \bar{b}}$ $ZH \to \ell \ell b \bar{b}$ $ZH \to \nu \bar{\nu} b \bar{b}$ $H \to W^+ W^- \to \ell^+ \nu \ell^- \bar{\nu}$	$H ightarrow b ar{b}$	Luminosity (fb ⁻¹) 9.7 9.7 9.5 9.7	$\begin{array}{c} M_{H} \ ({\rm GeV}) \\ \\ 90{-}150 \\ 100{-}150 \\ 100{-}200 \end{array}$	Reference PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052010 (2013) PLB 716, 285 (2012) PRD 88, 052006 (2013)
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{DØ Channel } (V=W,Z \mbox{ and } \ell=e,\mu) \\ \hline \\ $	$H ightarrow b ar{b}$	Luminosity (fb ⁻¹) 9.7 9.7 9.5 9.7 7.3	$\begin{array}{c} M_{H} \ ({\rm GeV}) \\ 90{-}150 \\ 90{-}150 \\ 100{-}150 \\ 100{-}200 \\ 155{-}200 \end{array}$	Reference PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052010 (2013) PLB 716, 285 (2012) PRD 88, 052006 (2013) PLB 714, 237 (2012)
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{DØ Channel } (V=W,Z \mbox{ and } \ell=e,\mu) \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline$	$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$	Luminosity (fb ⁻¹) 9.7 9.7 9.5 9.7 7.3 9.7 9.7	$\begin{array}{c} M_{H} \ ({\rm GeV}) \\ \hline 90-150 \\ 90-150 \\ 100-150 \\ 100-200 \\ 155-200 \\ 100-200 \end{array}$	Reference PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052010 (2013) PLB 716, 285 (2012) PRD 88, 052006 (2013) PLB 714, 237 (2012) PRD 88, 052008 (2013)
DØ Channel $(V = W, Z \text{ and } \ell = e, \mu)$ $\overline{WH \to \ell \nu b \bar{b}}$ $ZH \to \ell \ell b \bar{b}$ $\overline{ZH \to \nu \bar{\nu} b \bar{b}}$ $\overline{H \to W^+ W^- \to \ell^+ \nu \ell^- \bar{\nu}}$ $H + X \to W^+ W^- \to \mu^\pm \tau_h^\mp + \le 1 \text{ jet}$ $H \to W^+ W^- \to \ell \nu q' \bar{q}$ $VH \to e e \mu / \mu \mu e + X$	$H \rightarrow b \bar{b}$ $H \rightarrow W^+ W^-$	Luminosity (fb ⁻¹) 9.7 9.7 9.5 9.7 7.3 9.7 9.7 9.7	$\begin{array}{c} M_{H} \ ({\rm GeV}) \\ \hline 90-150 \\ 90-150 \\ 100-150 \\ 100-200 \\ 155-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \end{array}$	Reference PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052010 (2013) PLB 716, 285 (2012) PRD 88, 052006 (2013) PLB 714, 237 (2012) PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052009 (2013)
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{DØ Channel } (V=W,Z \mbox{ and } \ell=e,\mu) \\ \hline \\ $	$H \rightarrow b \bar{b}$ $H \rightarrow W^+ W^-$	Luminosity (fb ⁻¹) 9.7 9.7 9.5 9.7 7.3 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7	$\begin{array}{c} M_{H} \ ({\rm GeV}) \\ \hline 90-150 \\ 90-150 \\ 100-150 \\ 100-200 \\ 155-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \end{array}$	Reference PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052010 (2013) PLB 716, 285 (2012) PRD 88, 052006 (2013) PLB 714, 237 (2012) PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052009 (2013) PRD 88, 052009 (2013) PRD 88, 052009 (2013)
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{DØ Channel } (V=W,Z \mbox{ and } \ell=e,\mu) \\ \hline \\ $	$H \rightarrow b \bar{b}$ $H \rightarrow W^+ W^-$	Luminosity (fb ⁻¹) 9.7 9.7 9.5 9.7 7.3 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7	$\begin{array}{c} M_{H} \ ({\rm GeV}) \\ \hline 90-150 \\ 90-150 \\ 100-150 \\ 100-200 \\ 155-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \end{array}$	Reference PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052010 (2013) PLB 716, 285 (2012) PRD 88, 052006 (2013) PLB 714, 237 (2012) PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052009 (2013)
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{DØ Channel } (V=W,Z \mbox{ and } \ell=e,\mu) \\ \hline \\ $	$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ $H \rightarrow W^+W^-$	Luminosity (fb ⁻¹) 9.7 9.7 9.5 9.7 7.3 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 8.6	$\begin{array}{c} M_{H} \ ({\rm GeV}) \\ \hline 90-150 \\ 90-150 \\ 100-150 \\ 100-200 \\ 155-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-150 \end{array}$	Reference PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052010 (2013) PLB 716, 285 (2012) PRD 88, 052006 (2013) PLB 714, 237 (2012) PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052009 (2013)
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{DØ Channel } (V=W,Z \mbox{ and } \ell=e,\mu) \\ \hline \\ $	$H \rightarrow b \bar{b}$ $H \rightarrow W^+ W^-$ $H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$	Luminosity (fb ⁻¹) 9.7 9.7 9.5 9.7 7.3 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 8.6 9.7	$\begin{array}{c} M_{H} \; ({\rm GeV}) \\ \\ 90 - 150 \\ 100 - 150 \\ 100 - 200 \\ 155 - 200 \\ 100 - 200 \\ 100 - 200 \\ 100 - 200 \\ 100 - 200 \\ 100 - 150 \\ 105 - 150 \end{array}$	Reference PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052010 (2013) PLB 716, 285 (2012) PRD 88, 052006 (2013) PLB 714, 237 (2012) PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052009 (2013)
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{DØ Channel } (V=W,Z \mbox{ and } \ell=e,\mu) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ WH \rightarrow \ell \nu b \bar{b} \\ \hline \\ ZH \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} b \bar{b} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ H \rightarrow W^+ W^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu \ell^- \bar{\nu} \\ H + X \rightarrow W^+ W^- \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau_h^\mp + \leq 1 \mbox{ jet} \\ H \rightarrow W^+ W^- \rightarrow \ell \nu q' \bar{q} \\ \hline \\ VH \rightarrow e e \mu / \mu \mu e + X \\ VH \rightarrow e e^\pm \mu^\pm + X \\ \hline \\ VH \rightarrow \ell \nu q' \bar{q} q' \bar{q} \\ \hline \\ VH \rightarrow \tau_h \tau_h \mu + X \\ H + X \rightarrow \ell \tau_h j j \\ \hline \\ H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \end{array}$	$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ $H \rightarrow W^+W^-$ $H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	Luminosity (fb ⁻¹) 9.7 9.7 9.7 7.3 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 8.6 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7	$\begin{array}{c} M_{H} \; ({\rm GeV}) \\ \\ 90-150 \\ 100-150 \\ 100-200 \\ 155-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-150 \\ 105-150 \\ 100-150 \end{array}$	Reference PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052010 (2013) PLB 716, 285 (2012) PRD 88, 052006 (2013) PLB 714, 237 (2012) PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052009 (2013) PRD 88, 052007 (2013)
DØ Channel $(V = W, Z \text{ and } \ell = e, \mu)$ $\overline{WH \to \ell \nu b \bar{b}}$ $ZH \to \ell \ell b \bar{b}$ $\overline{ZH \to \nu \bar{\nu} b \bar{b}}$ $\overline{H \to W^+ W^- \to \ell^+ \nu \ell^- \bar{\nu}}$ $H + X \to W^+ W^- \to \mu^\pm \tau_h^\mp + \leq 1 \text{ jet}$ $H \to W^+ W^- \to \ell \nu q' \bar{q}$ $VH \to e e \mu / \mu \mu e + X$ $VH \to e^\pm \mu^\pm + X$ $VH \to \ell \nu q' \bar{q} q' \bar{q}$ $\overline{VH \to \tau_h \tau_h \mu + X}$ $H + X \to \ell \tau_h j j$ $\overline{H \to \gamma \gamma}$ DØ grand combination	$H \rightarrow b \bar{b}$ $H \rightarrow W^+ W^-$ $H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ all DØ	Luminosity (fb ⁻¹) 9.7 9.7 9.5 9.7 7.3 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 8.6 9.7 9.7 9.7 7.3–9.7	$\begin{array}{c} M_{H} \ ({\rm GeV}) \\ \hline 90-150 \\ 90-150 \\ 100-150 \\ 100-200 \\ 155-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-150 \\ 105-150 \\ 100-150 \\ 90-200 \end{array}$	Reference PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052010 (2013) PLB 716, 285 (2012) PRD 88, 052006 (2013) PLB 714, 237 (2012) PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052009 (2013) PRD 88, 052007 (2013) PRD 88, 052007 (2013) PRD 88, 052007 (2013) PRD 88, 052011 (2013)
DØ Channel $(V = W, Z \text{ and } \ell = e, \mu)$ $\overline{WH \to \ell \nu b \overline{b}}$ $ZH \to \ell \ell b \overline{b}$ $\overline{ZH \to \nu \overline{\nu} b \overline{b}}$ $H \to W^+ W^- \to \ell^+ \nu \ell^- \overline{\nu}$ $H + X \to W^+ W^- \to \mu^\pm \tau_h^\mp + \leq 1 \text{ jet}$ $H \to W^+ W^- \to \ell \nu q' \overline{q}$ $VH \to e e \mu / \mu \mu e + X$ $VH \to e^\pm \mu^\pm + X$ $VH \to \ell \nu q' \overline{q} q' \overline{q}$ $VH \to \tau_h \tau_h \mu + X$ $H + X \to \ell \tau_h j j$ $\overline{H \to \gamma \gamma}$ DØ grand combination	$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ $H \rightarrow W^+W^-$ $H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ all DØ	Luminosity (fb ⁻¹) 9.7 9.7 9.5 9.7 7.3 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 8.6 9.7 9.7 9.7 7.3–9.7	$\begin{array}{c} M_{H} \ ({\rm GeV}) \\ \hline 90-150 \\ 90-150 \\ 100-150 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-200 \\ 100-150 \\ 100-150 \\ 100-150 \\ 90-200 \end{array}$	Reference PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052010 (2013) PLB 716, 285 (2012) PRD 88, 052006 (2013) PLB 714, 237 (2012) PRD 88, 052008 (2013) PRD 88, 052009 (2013) PRD 88, 052007 (2013) PRD 88, 052007 (2013) PRD 88, 052007 (2013)

Imperial College London



Tevatron combination





95% CL limit @ m_H = 125 GeV: 1.06 x σ (SM) expected, 2.44 x σ (SM) observed

Imperial College London





• Log-likelihood ratio (LLR)

- Relative agreement of B-only and S+B hypotheses
- Throw pseudo-data to populate B-only and S+B models
 - Compare to observed

- Expected S+B shows good sensitivity up to ~185 GeV
- ~3 σ excess at 120-125 GeV
 - Consistent with SM Higgs









Tevatron: Couplings

 Posterior probability densities





• Consider admixture of 0⁺ & 0⁻ (or 2⁺), set limits on 0⁻ (or 2⁺) fraction





W' Search



- Can relax assumption of universal weak coupling
 - Re-interpret cross section limit as limit on g_w'

