

## Measurement of $CP$ violation in $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ decays at LHCb

F. MEIER ON BEHALF OF THE LHCb COLLABORATION

*Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany*

### Summary. —

Analysing a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of  $3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of  $pp$  collisions collected by the LHCb detector at the LHC  $CP$  violation in  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  and  $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  is measured. The results

$$S(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) = 0.731 \pm 0.035 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.020 (\text{syst}) ,$$

$$C(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) = -0.038 \pm 0.032 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.005 (\text{syst})$$

are consistent with the current world averages and with the Standard Model expectations. In  $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  the results

$$A_{\Delta\Gamma}(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) = 0.49 \pm_{0.65}^{0.77} (\text{stat}) \pm 0.06 (\text{syst}) ,$$

$$S(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) = -0.08 \pm 0.40 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.08 (\text{syst}) ,$$

$$C(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) = -0.28 \pm 0.41 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.08 (\text{syst})$$

reflect the first determination of these  $CP$  observables paving a new way towards the control of penguin pollutions in the determination of  $\sin 2\beta$ .

### 1. – Introduction

In spite of many decades of experimental scrutiny, the Standard Model of particle physics (SM) has proven to be a very successful theory. It describes the elementary particles and anti-particles as well as the fundamental forces which determine their interactions. Various predictions of the SM could be verified, *e.g.* the higgs boson has been discovered [1, 2] concluding the experimental confirmation of all fundamental particles predicted by the SM. Though, the observable matter–anti-matter asymmetry in the universe can not be explained by the SM right now. An access towards its understanding might be the study of  $CP$  violation which describes an asymmetry between processes under a conjugation of charge and parity. In the SM,  $CP$  violation is merely described by a single irreducible phase in the quark mixing sector. The unitary CKM matrix (named after N. Cabibbo, M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa) transforms the quark eigenstates of the weak force to the mass eigenstates. The unitary conditions which sum up to zero like

$$(1) \quad V_{ud}V_{ub}^* + V_{cd}V_{cb}^* + V_{td}V_{tb}^* = 0$$

can be interpreted as triangles in the complex plane whose area are a measure for the amount of  $CP$  violation. The parameters  $V_{xy}$  are the matrix elements determining quark transitions of the type  $x \rightarrow y$ . The angle  $\beta$  of one of these triangles can be defined as  $\beta \equiv \arg[-(V_{cd}V_{cb}^*)/(V_{td}V_{tb}^*)]$ . It is one of the most precisely known  $CP$  violation observables with a current world average of  $\sin 2\beta = 0.682 \pm 0.019$  [10]. This precision is dominated by the measurements of BaBar [3] and Belle [4]. LHCb has also performed a measurement of  $\sin 2\beta$  using  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_s^0$  decays based on the first third of the currently available data sample [5], but the achieved precision is not yet competitive. This measurement is now updated with the full Run I data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of  $3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of  $pp$  collisions. In addition, a measurement of  $CP$  violation in the decay of  $B_s^0$  mesons to  $J/\psi K_s^0$  is performed for the first time. In both analyses the final states are studied in the decay modes  $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  and  $K_s^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ .

## 2. – Time-dependent $CP$ asymmetry

The time evolution of the neutral  $B$  meson system can be described best in the system of mass eigenstates which differ in their mean masses ( $\Delta m$ ) and in case of the  $B_s^0$  mesons also in their lifetimes ( $\Delta\Gamma$ ). This superposition evokes the possibility of oscillations between the two flavour eigenstates. As  $J/\psi K_s^0$  is accessible to both flavour eigenstates  $CP$  violation can occur in the interference between direct decay and decay after mixing. The  $CP$  violation can be expressed via the time-dependent asymmetry


$$(2) \quad \mathcal{A}(t) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B}(t) \rightarrow J/\psi K_s^0) - \Gamma(B(t) \rightarrow J/\psi K_s^0)}{\Gamma(\bar{B}(t) \rightarrow J/\psi K_s^0) + \Gamma(B(t) \rightarrow J/\psi K_s^0)} = \frac{S \sin(\Delta m t) - C \cos(\Delta m t)}{\cosh(\Delta\Gamma t/2) + A_{\Delta\Gamma} \sinh(\Delta\Gamma t/2)}.$$

Three  $CP$  observables constitute the asymmetry:  $S$  which describes the mixing-induced contribution,  $C$  which represents the effect of direct  $CP$  violation and  $A_{\Delta\Gamma}$ . At the current level of precision the lifetime difference in the  $B^0$  system is compatible with zero [10]. In the analysis, it is usually fixed to zero and only the first two  $CP$  observables are floating.

The decay  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_s^0$  is dominated by a  $\bar{b} \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$  transition which can be described via a tree diagram as shown in fig. 1a. Feynman diagrams involving loop topologies are suppressed. Their contributions are negligible at the current level of experimental precision as well as direct  $CP$  violation in the decay leading to  $C \approx 0$  and  $S \approx \sin 2\beta$ . Related through U-spin symmetry the decay  $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_s^0$  can be described with a very similar Feynman diagram exchanging d-quarks with s-quarks and vice versa. With these changes the loop diagram (see fig. 1b) is not suppressed any more, hereby giving access to possible higher-order contributions.

## 3. – LHCb Detector

The LHCb detector [6, 7] is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range  $2 < \eta < 5$ , designed for the study of particles containing  $b$ - or  $c$ -quarks. The detector includes a high-precision tracking system consisting of a silicon-strip vertex detector surrounding the  $pp$  interaction region, a large-area silicon-strip detector located upstream of a dipole magnet, and three stations of silicon-strip detectors and straw drift tubes placed downstream of the magnet. The excellent vertex and tracking system results in a decay time resolution of  $\sim 45 \text{ fs}$ , a momentum resolution of  $\Delta p/p = 0.4 - 0.6\%$  and an impact resolution of  $\sim 20 \mu\text{m}$ . Depending on

Figure 1.: Feynman diagrams of  $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  decays.

the detector response two different type of  $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  decays are considered: the first involving  $K_S^0$  mesons that decay early enough for the daughter pions to be reconstructed in the vertex detector; and the second consisting of  $K_S^0$  candidates that decay later such that track segments of the pions cannot be formed in the vertex detector. These categories are referred to as *long* and *downstream*, respectively. The long category has better mass, momentum and vertex resolution than the downstream category.

Different types of charged hadrons are distinguished using information from two ring-imaging Cherenkov detectors. Photon, electron, and hadron candidates are identified by a calorimeter system consisting of scintillating-pad and preshower detectors, an electromagnetic calorimeter, and a hadronic calorimeter. Muons are identified by a system composed of alternating layers of iron and multiwire proportional chambers. The online event selection system (trigger) [8] consists of a hardware stage, based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems, followed by a software stage.

#### 4. – Flavour Tagging

For time-dependent tagged analyses of  $CP$  violation it is essential to have information on the initial flavour of each reconstructed candidate. For this purpose two different types of algorithms are used at LHCb. The opposite-side (OS) tagging algorithms exploit the fact that in the  $pp$  collisions the b-quarks are predominantly produced in  $b\bar{b}$ -pairs. Therefore, the production flavour can be inferred from a study of the b-quark which is not involved in the signal decay. In the decay chain of the opposite-side b-quark particles like kaons, muons or electrons, can be produced whose charge determine the flavour of the b-quark. While these algorithms can be used for both  $B^0$  and  $B_s^0$  mesons the second type of tagging algorithms, referred to as same-side (SS) taggers, are specific for the two B meson types as they make use of the spectator quark which is a d-quark or an s-quark, respectively. Combined with a u-quark pions or kaons are produced whose charge again determines the b-quark flavour.

There are three quantities that indicate the performance of the flavour tagging: The tagging efficiency  $\varepsilon_{\text{tag}}$  states how often the tagging algorithms have been able to deduce a tagging decision. At LHCb it is in the order of 30 – 40 %. The mistag probability  $\omega$  is the probability of a tag decision to be wrong. An estimate on this value is given by neural nets analysing the topology of the event. The tagging power  $\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = \varepsilon_{\text{tag}}(1 - 2\omega)^2 = \varepsilon_{\text{tag}}D^2$  gives the fraction of perfectly tagged events which would have the same statistical power as the existing data sample. In case of the two  $B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  analyses the tagging power is between 3 and 4 %.

The output of the neural nets predicting the mistag probability have to be calibrated using flavour-specific decay channels, *i.e.* decay modes where the flavour at decay can definitely be determined by the charge of the decay products of the signal decay. Typically, a linear calibration is applied taking mistag asymmetries between the two flavours into account as well. For the OS tagging calibration especially  $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$  decays are used, for the  $SS\pi$  calibration  $B \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*0}$  decays and for the  $SSK$  calibration  $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^-$  decays.

## 5. – Measurement of $CP$ violation in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_s^0$ decays [9]

Compared to the previous LHCb analysis of  $CP$  violation in  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_s^0$  decays [5] some major improvements in the analysis strategy are achieved. Especially by including the  $SS\pi$  tagger the tagging power is increased from  $(2.38 \pm 0.07)\%$  to  $(3.02 \pm 0.05)\%$ . Using additional trigger criterions increases the signal efficiency by about 14 percentage points. Another large effect arises from optimising the selection strategy rather on a high signal efficiency than on a strong background rejection. In the cut-based selection each cut is required to have a signal efficiency of at least 99%. Cuts are applied on kinematic observables like the momentum and transverse momentum of the pions and muons or the invariant mass of the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  and  $\mu^+\mu^-$  system. The decay vertices of  $J/\psi$  and  $K_s^0$  have to be well separated from any PV and fulfil some fit quality. To eliminate  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \Lambda$  background contributions the particle identification requirement on the pions is tightened if the invariant mass under a  $p\pi^-$  ( $\pi^+\bar{p}$ ) mass hypothesis is compatible with the  $\Lambda$  mass. The rather long flight distance of the  $K_s^0$  is exploited cutting on the decay time significance, *i.e.* the decay time of the  $K_s^0$  divided by its fit uncertainty. Almost all cut values are different for long and downstream candidates.

In total,  $41560 \pm 270$  tagged signal candidates can be used for the time-dependent analysis.

In an unbinned maximum-likelihood fit the best fit values for the 83 floating parameters of a seven dimensional probability density function (PDF) describing the distributions of the invariant mass, the decay time, the decay time error, the OS and  $SS\pi$  tags and mistags are determined. This simultaneous fit is split in 24 disjoint categories being the two centre-of-mass energies (7 and 8 TeV), the  $K_s^0$  type (long and downstream), two trigger configurations, and the three flavour tagging categories (exclusively tagged by OS or  $SS\pi$  and tagged by both). The mass difference  $\Delta m_d$ , the flavour tagging calibration parameters and the production asymmetry are constrained in the fit. The value for the latter is taken from ref. [12] and adapted to the momentum and pseudorapidity distributions given in the data sample. It is also accounted for the difference between a centre-of-mass energy of  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 8 TeV. In the end, a correction on the fit results for  $S$  and  $C$  is applied to account for  $CP$  violation in the  $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$  mixing and for the difference in the nuclear cross- sections in material between  $K^0$  and  $\bar{K}^0$  states [13, 14].

To evaluate the systematic uncertainties on the  $CP$  observables  $S$  and  $C$  various studies with pseudoexperiments are performed. Modifying the PDF in the simulation of the pseudoexperiments with the possible systematic effect under study and fitting with the nominal setup reveals several significant sources of systematic uncertainties. The largest uncertainty on  $S$  of  $\pm 0.0179$  arises from a potential tagging asymmetry in the background distribution. On  $C$  the systematic uncertainty on the value for  $\Delta m_d$  introduces the largest uncertainty with  $\pm 0.0034$ . Systematic uncertainties on the flavour tagging calibration parameters are responsible for the second largest systematic uncertainties both on  $S$  ( $\pm 0.0062$ ) and on  $C$  ( $\pm 0.0024$ ). Further systematic uncertainties are found to originate from the uncertainty on the length scale of the vertex detector and the assumption of  $\Delta\Gamma_d = 0$  which is tested by generating pseudoexperiments with its current uncertainty of  $\pm 0.007 \text{ ps}^{-1}$  [10]. The total systematic uncertainties are calculated by summing up the single uncertainties in quadrature resulting in  $\pm 0.020$  for  $S$  and  $\pm 0.005$  for  $C$ .

In conclusion, the  $CP$  observables are measured to be

$$\begin{aligned} S &= 0.731 \pm 0.035 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.020 \text{ (syst)}, \\ C &= -0.038 \pm 0.032 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.005 \text{ (syst)}, \end{aligned}$$

with a statistical correlation coefficient  $\rho(S, C) = 0.483$ . For the purpose of illustrating the fit results the time-dependent signal-yield asymmetry is given in fig. 2.




Figure 2.: Time-dependent signal-yield asymmetry  $(N_{\bar{B}^0} - N_{B^0})/(N_{\bar{B}^0} + N_{B^0})$ . Here,  $N_{B^0}$  ( $N_{\bar{B}^0}$ ) is the number of  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  decays with a  $B^0$  ( $\bar{B}^0$ ) flavour tag. The data points are obtained with the *sPlot* technique [15], assigning signal weights to the events based on a fit to the reconstructed mass distribution. The solid curve is the projection of the signal PDF.

This result is in good agreement with the previous measurements by the BaBar and Belle collaborations [3, 4] while providing a similar precision.

## 6. – Measurement of the time-dependent $CP$ asymmetries in $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ [16]

Due to the 25 times lower branching fraction and the four times lower production fraction in  $pp$  collisions there are 100 times fewer  $B_s^0$  than  $B^0$  candidates. LHCb’s mass resolution does not allow for a complete separation of the two. Therefore, it is unavoidable to include  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  decays when studying  $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  decays and the  $J/\psi K_S^0$  mass range is analysed from 5180 – 5520 MeV/ $c^2$  (see fig. 3). In the offline selection the more populated  $B^0$  peak is used as the signal template as the kinematic difference to the  $B_s^0$  decays is negligible. After an initial selection which removes obvious background candidates a two-stage neural net is implemented where the first one is made for eliminating backgrounds from  $B \rightarrow J/\psi K^*$  decays and the second for the suppression of combinatorial background.

The PDF used in the unbinned maximum likelihood fit is a sum of a  $B^0$  signal component, a  $B_s^0$  signal component and a combinatorial background. The long and the downstream samples are modelled separately but fitted simultaneously. The common parameters are the five  $CP$  observables ( $A_{\Delta\Gamma}$ ,  $S$  and  $C$  of the  $B_s^0$  system;  $S$  and  $C$  of the  $B^0$  system), the lifetimes  $\tau_{B^0}$  and  $\tau_{B_s^0}$ , and the mass and lifetime difference parameters ( $\Delta m_d$ ,  $\Delta m_s$ ,  $\Delta\Gamma_s$ ) which are constrained to their world averages [10].

Significant systematic uncertainties on  $A_{\Delta\Gamma}$  arise from the mass modelling, the decay-time acceptance and the decay-time resolution. The latter has also a systematic influence on  $S$  and  $C$ , as well as the tagging calibration and the correlation between invariant mass and decay time which is neglected in the fit.




Figure 3.: Mass distribution of B candidates at different stages of the event selection for the (left) long  $K_S^0$  and (right) downstream  $K_S^0$  sample. The data sample after initial selection (red, +), after the first neural net (green,  $\times$ ) and after the second neural net (black,  $\bullet$ ) are shown. Overlaid are projections of the fit. Shown components are  $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  (dark blue, dashed),  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  (red, dotted) and combinatorial background (turquoise, dash-dotted).

The results of the  $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$   $CP$  asymmetries

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\Delta\Gamma}(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) &= 0.49 \pm 0.77_{0.65}^{\text{stat}} \pm 0.06 \text{ (syst)} , \\ S(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) &= -0.08 \pm 0.40 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.08 \text{ (syst)} , \\ C(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) &= -0.28 \pm 0.41 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.08 \text{ (syst)} \end{aligned}$$

represent the first determination of these observables.

## 7. – Conclusion

The most precise measurement of time-dependent  $CP$  violation at a hadron collider is achieved in the study of  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  decays. In fig. 4 the uncertainty bands for  $\sin 2\beta$  of the world average and of LHCb's result are marked. It is visible that LHCb's result is in better agreement with the measurements of other quantities constraining the CKM triangle than the previous determinations of  $\sin 2\beta$  by the  $B$ -factories. However, the statistical limitation still requires more data to validate this trend.

As most of the systematic uncertainties in the analysis of  $CP$  violation in  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  scale with the statistical precision further improvements in the sensitivity can be expected with the upcoming Run II of the LHCb experiment. Then, a control of penguin pollutions will become more and more relevant. A step towards this goal is achieved through the first determination of  $CP$  violation in  $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  decays.




Figure 4.: CKM triangle in the  $\eta - \rho$  plane. The dark blue area shows the uncertainty band of  $\sin 2\beta$  including LHCb's latest result, while the hatched area shows the LHCb measurement only.

## REFERENCES

- [1] ATLAS COLLABORATION, G. AAD *et al.*, Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, *Phys. Lett. B*, **716** (2012) [arXiv:1207.7214](#)
- [2] CMS COLLABORATION, S. CHATRCHYAN *et al.*, Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, *Phys. Lett. B*, **716** (2012) [arXiv:1207.7235](#)
- [3] BABAR COLLABORATION, B. AUBERT *et al.*, Measurement of time-dependent  $CP$  asymmetry in  $B^0 \rightarrow c\bar{c}K^{(*)0}$  decays, *Phys. Rev. D*, **79** (2009) [arXiv:0902.1708](#)
- [4] BELLE COLLABORATION, I. ADACHI *et al.*, Precise measurement of the  $CP$  violation parameter  $\sin 2\phi_1$  in  $B^0 \rightarrow (c\bar{c})K^0$  decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **108** (2012) [arXiv:1201.4643](#)
- [5] LHCb COLLABORATION, R. AAJ *et al.*, Measurement of the time-dependent  $CP$  asymmetry in  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  decays, *Phys. Lett. B*, **721** (2013) [arXiv:1211.6093](#)
- [6] LHCb COLLABORATION, A. A. ALVES, JR. *et al.*, The LHCb detector at the LHC, *JINST*, **3** (2008)
- [7] LHCb COLLABORATION, R. AAJ *et al.*, LHCb detector performance, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, **30** (2015) [arXiv:1412.6352](#)
- [8] R. AAJ *et al.*, The LHCb trigger and its performance in 2011, *JINST*, **8** (2013) [1211.3055](#)
- [9] LHCb COLLABORATION, R. AAJ *et al.*, Measurement of  $CP$  violation in  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$  decays, *LHCb-PAPER-2015-004*, (submitted to *Phys. Rev. Lett.*) [arXiv:1503.07089](#)
- [10] PARTICLE DATA GROUP, K. A. OLIVE *et al.*, Review of particle physics, *Chin. Phys. C*, **38** (2014)
- [11] W. D. HULSBERGEN, Decay chain fitting with a Kalman filter, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A*, **552** (2005) 566, [arXiv:physics/0503191](#)
- [12] LHCb COLLABORATION, R. AAJ *et al.*, Measurement of the  $\bar{B}^0-B^0$  and  $\bar{B}_s^0-B_s^0$  production asymmetries in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV, *Phys. Lett. B*, **739** (2014) 218, [arXiv:1408.0275](#)
- [13] W. FETSCHER *et al.*, Regeneration of arbitrary coherent neutral kaon states: A new method for measuring the  $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$  forward scattering amplitude, *Z. Phys. C*, **72** (1996) 543
- [14] B. R. KO, E. WON, B. GOLOB, AND P. PAKHLOV, Effect of nuclear interactions of neutral kaons on  $CP$  asymmetry measurements, *Phys. Rev. D*, **84** (2011) [arXiv:1006.1938](#)
- [15] M. PIVK AND F. R. LE DIBERDER, sPlot: A statistical tool to unfold data distributions, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A*, **555** (2005) [arXiv:physics/0402083](#)
- [16] LHCb COLLABORATION, R. AAJ *et al.*, Measurement of the time-dependent  $CP$  asymmetries in  $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ , *LHCb-PAPER-2015-005*, (submitted to JHEP) [arXiv:1503.07055](#)