



# The Importance of Accelerator Measurements for the Interpretation of UHECR data

Ralf Ulrich

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

October 30th 2014, Rome

# Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Rays, Data



DIERRE



### Extensive Air Showers



J.Oehlschlaeger, R.Engel, FZKarlsruhe

# Air showers are very extended cascades and contain a huge number of particles $N \sim E_0/(O(1 \text{ GeV}))$

#### Typical observables are:

- X<sub>max</sub> Slant depth of shower maximum
  - N<sub>e</sub> Number of electrons at ground level
  - N<sub>μ</sub> Number of muons at ground level

#### At Ultra-High Energies

 $X_{\rm max}$  most precisely measured

#### $N_{\mu}$ most challenging to understand

# Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Rays, Experiments



1600 Water-Cherenkov Detectors, ≈3000 km<sup>2</sup>

#### Data and Reconstruction



# Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Rays, Questions



## Sources?



•  $E_{\rm max} \propto \beta_s z B L$ 

- Due to energy losses, sources cannot be "far" away  $(\sim \mathcal{O}(10 \text{ Mpc}))$
- There are only few very powerful "good" source candidates...
- Iron easier to accelerate than proton
- Difficult to produce protons at  $E > 10^{20} \, {\rm eV}$
- Unknown how useful directional information is (charge of particles? magnitude and structure of fields? distances?)

# Phenomenological Fits of the Energy Spectrum

- Data very precise over wide range in energy
- No simple model works
- $\oplus$  Also composition sensitive data disfavours simple models



# High Energy Neutrinos, Observations

- The first real astrophysical neutrino candidates
- Up to PeV energies
- Atmospheric prompt charm production ?





Science 342 (2013) 1242856 PRL 111, 021103 (2013)





# High Energy Neutrinos, Questions

- What is the physics of the most violent astrophysical events?
- Where are ultra-high neutrinos produced in the universe?
- Neutrinos from galactic Supernova.
- How do neutrinos interact with their environment.



# High Energy Neutrinos, Experiments



Upgrades: larger (huger), and more precise

# High Energy Neutrino Production (Atmospheric)



Spectrum-weighted moments:  $Z = \int_{0}^{1} x_{\rm F}^{\gamma} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}x_{\rm F}} \mathrm{d}X_{\rm F}$ 

Neutrino flux:  $\Phi_{\nu} \propto Z$ 

- Meson or muon decay, e.g.  $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
- In Extensive air showers: decay ↔ interaction
- Pions, Kaons, Charmed Mesons



More details e.g.: arXiv:9505417/hep-ph

# Interactions in Air Showers



#### **Requirements and Problems:**

- ► Interactions up to √s ~ 500 TeV → Far beyond accelerator energies...
- ► Mainly soft physics + diffraction: forward region → Difficult to instrument...
  - $\rightarrow$  Only fixed target at lower energies...
- Target is air: p-air,  $\pi$ -air, K-air, A-air, ...
  - $\rightarrow$  Typical target very different from **air**: Nuclear effects must be considered...

#### Ingredients:

- Theory: pQCD (hard) + Gribov-Regge (soft)
- A lot of phenomenology: Diffraction, String fragmentation, Saturation, Remnants, Nuclear effects, ...

#### Older models:

Glauber based, different mostly in remnants+diffraction, for example: QGSJet01 (Kalmykov, Ostapchenko) SIBYLL (Engel, Gaisser, Lipari, Stanev)

#### Recent models:

QGSJetII (Ostapchenko) Theory++, Optimized for cosmic rays

EPOS (Werner, Pierog) Phenomenology++ Optimized for LHC, RHIC (and cosmic rays)

#### **Problems:** Acceptance and Extrapolations

#### $\Rightarrow$ Reduce extrapolation uncertainties in interaction models

#### Center-of-mass-energy

LHC, Central measurements plus forward region

#### Phase-space

Nuclear Effects

LHC: compare p-p, Pb-p and e.g. p-O

high-x<sub>F</sub>

Fixed Target Experiments at SPS, but also with LHC beam

# Large Hadron Collider and Experiments



# Relevance of Collider Experiments



- Central  $(|\eta| < 1)$
- Endcap  $(1 < |\eta| < 3.5)$
- Forward (3 <  $|\eta|$  < 5), HF
- CASTOR+T2 (5 <  $|\eta|$  < 6.6)
- FSC ( $6.6 < |\eta| < 8$ )
- ZDC ( $|\eta| > 8$ ), LHCf
- How relevant are specific detectors at LHC for air showers?
- $\rightarrow$  Simulate parts of shower individually.



### Secondaries of the first interaction in lab-system



- Simulate first interaction with SIBYLL
- proton at  $10^{17} \mathrm{eV} 
  ightarrow \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 14 \, \mathrm{TeV}$  (LHC)
- Histogram particle densities above threshold of (0.3GeV for muons+hadrons and 0.003GeV for E.M.)

# Lateral Particle Density on Ground Level

Electron Density



Air shower models so far only tuned to about 10 % !
Forward detectors are crucial.

# Lateral Particle Density on Ground Level

Muon Density



Air shower models so far only tuned to about 10 % !
Forward detectors are crucial.

### Particle Densities at 1000 m From Shower Core

Density at 1000m



# Longitudinal Shower Development

**Electron Profile** 



Air shower models so far only tuned to about 10 % !
Forward detectors are crucial.

# Longitudinal Shower Development

Muon Profile



Air shower models so far only tuned to about 10 % !
Forward detectors are crucial.

# Energy Density per Pseudorapidity



#### Most energy is directed toward the forward region

#### Acceptance for Charm Production at LHC



**LHCb**:  $\approx$ 7 % of total production observed

# Model Tuning to LHC Data (at 7 TeV)





# Caveats / Potential:

- Only central rapidities  $|\eta| < 2$
- Not highest possible center-of-mass energies
- Mainly proton-proton data

# Other Observables: Fluctuations



#### Caveats:

- Very different compared to  $\langle X_{
  m max} 
  angle$
- LHC tuning did improve the high energy end, but worsened the agreement at lower/medium energies

# Other Observables: Muon Production Height



#### Status after tuning to 7 TeV:

- General model performance after first LHC tuning better, but not yet sufficient
- More aspects and more data needs to be taken into account
- Partly iron is now on the same level of model uncertainty than protons → nuclear effects become more relevant!

#### Correlations between Average and RMS



- All models compatible with a changing mass composition as a function of energy
- Some tension of a few models with the data

# Muon Content at Ground Level



Auger, arXiv-1408.1421 [atro-ph]

- More muons in air shower data than expected
- No consistency between different observables can be achieved
- $\rightarrow$  Possible cause: interaction physics in air showers models is not accurate

# (Forward) $\rho^0$ Production, QGSJetII.3 $\rightarrow$ QGSJetII.4

Charge Exchange, Leading  $\pi^0/\rho^0$  production:



# Impact on Muons in Air Showers

#### Systematically change the leading $\pi^0/\rho^0$ ratio in CONEX:

(SIBYLL, proton, 10<sup>19.5</sup> eV)

(f19 is the scaling factor for ratio at  $10^{19} eV$ , logarithmic energy dependence)



Ulrich, Engel, Baus, ISVHECRI 2014

Forward  $\rho^0$  production, QGSJetII.4

Prediction of inclusive athmospheric muon fluxes as a test of hadronic interaction models



A.V. Lukyashin, ISVHECRI 2014

 $\Rightarrow$  Too many  $\rho^0$  produced now?

# Model Tuning to LHC Data up to 7 TeV



S. Ostapchenko, ISVHECRI 2014

Proton-Air Cross Section is one of the most important quantities for air shower modeling

#### Proton-Proton $\rightarrow$ Proton-Air, With Tevatron Data



Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 196 (2009) 335

#### Proton-Proton $\rightarrow$ Proton-Air, With LHC Data



Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 196 (2009) 335

#### Muon Production in Extensive Air Showers



 $A + air \rightarrow hadrons$  $p + air \rightarrow hadrons$  $\pi + air \rightarrow hadrons$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} e^{\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm} + \gamma \\ \gamma \rightarrow e^{+} + e^{-} \end{array}$ 

 $\pi^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} + \nu_{\mu}/\bar{\nu_{\mu}}$ 

Important energies: 10 - 1000 GeV

	beam particle	secondary
pion	72.3%	89.2 %
nucleon	20.9%	-
kaon	6.5%	10.5 %

#### Air shower components: hadrons, electromagnetic, muons

#### Parent Particles of Muons

Projectiles in air showers that lead to muon production



# Hadronic Interactions in EAS



- Pion cascade in air
- $\bullet\,$  Pions decay into muons with a peak around  $\sim 35\,{\rm GeV}$

# Forward Detectors

#### TOTEM



- TOTEM: Very forward particle production and elastic
- LHCf: Very forward photon, pi<sup>0</sup>, neutrons
- CASTOR: Very forward energy, diffraction



# CASTOR

# Relevant for Astroparticle Physics

#### TOTEM, LHCf, TOTEM+CMS

- Total, elastic, inelastic, diffractive cross-sections
- Forward photon and neutron spectra.
- Diffraction
- Generell particle production characteristics

For example:





# TOTEM/T2 + CMS/CASTOR





#### Particle Reconstruction



#### Jets, leptons and resonances at $\eta$ up to 6.6

# Cosmic Ray Models and Recent LHC Data: CMS

Very forward underlying event:



JHEP 1304 (2013) 072

#### In CMS:

- Used for pPb and PbPb (and forward pp) detector studies and correction factors
- Where relevant, also event generator comparisons are performed

# Cosmic Ray Models and Recent LHC Data: TOTEM



Forward charged multiplicities: Europhys.Lett. 98 (2012) 31002

# Cosmic Ray Models and Recent LHC Data: LHCb



Eur.Phys.J. C73 (2013) 2421

#### Comparison on event generator level:

- Forward energy flow
- Forward Lambda production, strangeness
- More in preparation...

#### Tool: CRMC http://www.auger.de/~rulrich/crmc.html

# Proton-Oxygen Data at LHC

- Asymmetric heavy-ion run with proton-oxygen nuclei
- After LS1,  $\sqrt{s} = 9 TeV$  (Proton beam at 3.5 TeV)
- Oxygen very close to atmospheric material of extesive air shower production (nitrogen)



#### PRD 83 (2011) 054026

# Fixed Target with LHC Beam

#### Bent crystal, UA9:



e.g. PRL 87 (2001) 094802

#### A Fixed Target ExpeRiment at LHC

arXiv/hep-ph 1207.3507

- Precision QCD
- W/Z studies,
- Quarkonia physics
- Cosmic Rays, Neutrino Production

#### First steps

IDHEFIX Proposal in H2020, 1st AFTER Week in Nov 2014

#### Note:

There is no simple experiment, for a precise and relevant measurement...

Scientific motivation:

How accurate is the modeling of shower development with CORSIKA? Both: Electromagnetic and Muon component.

Explore the origin of the air shower muon problem with p-C,  $\pi\text{-C}$  shower measurement?

Constrain the prompt charm high-energy muon production very precisely up to TeV energies.

#### Note:

There is no simple experiment, for a precise and relevant measurement...

#### Scientific motivation:

How accurate is the modeling of shower development with CORSIKA? Both: Electromagnetic and Muon component.

Explore the origin of the air shower muon problem with p-C,  $\pi\text{-C}$  shower measurement?

Constrain the prompt charm high-energy muon production very precisely up to TeV energies.



#### Advantages:

- Directly test CORSIKA + hadronic models !
- Very obvious and clear connection to UHECR physics
- For the first time: end-to-end calibration of air shower development
- Detectors relatively simple and maybe can be partly reused from other experiments. At least the technology.
- Pierre Auger Software framework (Offline) can be used almost 1:1. Simulation, reconstruction and analysis almost identical to Auger.

#### **Challenges:**

- Pion beam
- Calibration of detector systems. Best with pure electron and muon beams.

# Sensitivity to Interaction Physics

- Wide range of energies, reaching beyond accelerators
- Uncertainty: extrapolation of hadronic interactions
  - Phase space (!)
  - Energy

#### $\rightarrow$ Very different impact on different EAS observables:

 $X_{\max}$  Very high energy interactions Muons Low energy interactions







 $\Rightarrow Astrophysics at accelerators$  $\Rightarrow Air Shower Muon Problem$ 

⇒ Prompt-charm production, PeV neutrino