



# The Importance of Accelerator Measurements for the Interpretation of UHECR data

Ralf Ulrich

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

<span id="page-0-0"></span>October 30th 2014, Rome

# Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Rays, Data





## Extensive Air Showers



J.Oehlschlaeger, R.Engel, FZKarlsruhr

#### Air showers are very extended cascades and contain a huge number of particles  $N \sim E_0/(O(1 \text{ GeV}))$

## Typical observables are:

- $X_{\text{max}}$  Slant depth of shower maximum
	- N<sup>e</sup> Number of electrons at ground level
	- $N_{\mu}$  Number of muons at ground level

#### At Ultra-High Energies

#### $X_{\text{max}}$  most precisely measured  $N_{\mu}$  most challenging to understand

# Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Rays, Experiments



1600 Water-Cherenkov Detectors, ≈3000km2

## Data and Reconstruction



# Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Rays, Questions



# Sources?



- $\bullet E_{\text{max}} \propto \beta_s zBL$
- Due to energy losses, sources cannot be "far" away  $({\sim \mathcal{O}(10 \,\mathrm{Mpc})})$
- There are only few very powerful "good" source candidates...
- **o** Iron easier to accelerate than proton
- Difficult to produce protons at  $F > 10^{20}$  eV
- **•** Unknown how useful directional information is (charge of particles? magnitude and structure of fields? distances?)

# Phenomenological Fits of the Energy Spectrum

- Data very precise over wide range in energy
- No simple model works
- ⊕ Also composition sensitive data disfavours simple models



# High Energy Neutrinos, Observations

- The first real astrophysical neutrino candidates
- Up to PeV energies
- Atmospheric prompt charm production?





Science 342 (2013) 1242856 PRL 111, 021103 (2013)





# High Energy Neutrinos, Questions

- What is the physics of the **most violent astrophysical** events?
- Where are ultra-high neutrinos produced in the universe?
- Neutrinos from galactic Supernova.
- How do neutrinos interact with their environment.



# High Energy Neutrinos, Experiments



#### Upgrades: larger (huger), and more precise

# High Energy Neutrino Production (Atmospheric)



Spectrum-weighted moments:  $Z=\int\limits_0^1$ 0  $x_{\rm F}^{\gamma} \cdot \frac{{\rm d}n}{{\rm d}x_{\rm F}} {\rm d}X_{\rm F}$ 

Neutrino flux:  $Φ<sub>ν</sub> \propto Z$ 



- In Extensive air showers: decay  $\leftrightarrow$  interaction
- Pions, Kaons, Charmed Mesons



More details e.g.: arXiv:9505417/hep-ph

## Interactions in Air Showers



#### **Requirements and Problems:**

- Interactions up to  $\sqrt{s} \sim 500 \text{ TeV}$  $\rightarrow$  Far bevond accelerator energies...
- $\triangleright$  Mainly soft physics  $+$  diffraction: forward region  $\rightarrow$  Difficult to instrument
	- $\rightarrow$  Only fixed target at lower energies...
- Target is air:  $p air$ ,  $\pi air$ , K-air, A-air, ...
	- $\rightarrow$  Typical target very different from air: Nuclear effects must be considered...

#### Ingredients:

- Theory:  $pQCD$  (hard) + Gribov-Regge (soft)
- A lot of phenomenology: Diffraction, String fragmentation, Saturation, Remnants, Nuclear effects.

#### Older models:

Glauber based, different mostly in remnants+diffraction, for example: QGSJet01 (Kalmykov, Ostapchenko) **SIBYLL** (Engel, Gaisser, Lipari, Stanev)

#### **Recent models:**

**QGSJetII** (Ostapchenko) Theory $++$ , Optimized for cosmic rays

**EPOS** (Werner, Pierog)  $Phenomenology++$ Optimized for LHC. RHIC (and cosmic ravs)

## Problems: Acceptance and Extrapolations

## $\Rightarrow$  Reduce extrapolation uncertainties in interaction models

#### Center-of-mass-energy

LHC, Central measurements plus forward region

- **Phase-space** 
	- **o** Nuclear Effects

LHC: compare p-p, Pb-p and e.g. p-O

 $\bullet$  high-x $F$ 

Fixed Target Experiments at SPS, but also with LHC beam

# Large Hadron Collider and Experiments



## Relevance of Collider Experiments



- Central  $(|\eta| < 1)$
- Endcap  $(1 < |\eta| < 3.5)$
- Forward  $(3 < |\eta| < 5)$ , HF
- CASTOR+T2 ( $5 < |\eta| < 6.6$ )
- FSC (6.6  $< |n| < 8$ )
- ZDC ( $|\eta| > 8$ ), LHCf
- How relevant are specific detectors at LHC for air showers?
- $\rightarrow$  Simulate parts of shower individually.



## Secondaries of the first interaction in lab-system



- **•** Simulate first interaction with SIBYLL
- proton at  $10^{17}$ eV  $\rightarrow \sqrt{s_{NN}}=14$  TeV (LHC)
- Histogram particle densities above threshold of (0.3GeV for muons+hadrons and 0.003GeV for E.M.)

## Lateral Particle Density on Ground Level

Electron Density



• Air shower models so far only tuned to about  $10\%$ ! • Forward detectors are crucial.

## Lateral Particle Density on Ground Level

Muon Density



• Air shower models so far only tuned to about  $10\%$ ! • Forward detectors are crucial.

## Particle Densities at 1000 m From Shower Core

Density at 1000m



# Longitudinal Shower Development

Electron Profile



• Air shower models so far only tuned to about 10 % ! • Forward detectors are crucial.

# Longitudinal Shower Development

Muon Profile



• Air shower models so far only tuned to about 10 % ! • Forward detectors are crucial.

# Energy Density per Pseudorapidity



## Most energy is directed toward the forward region

## Acceptance for Charm Production at LHC



**LHCb**:  $\approx$ 7% of total production observed

# Model Tuning to LHC Data (at 7 TeV) **Impact**





## Caveats / Potential:

- Only central rapidities  $|\eta| < 2$
- Not highest possible center-of-mass energies
- Mainly proton-proton data

# Other Observables: Fluctuations



## Caveats:

- Very different compared to  $\langle X_{\rm max} \rangle$
- LHC tuning did improve the high energy end, but worsened the agreement at lower/medium energies

# Other Observables: Muon Production Height



## Status after tuning to 7 TeV:

- General model performance after first LHC tuning better, but not yet sufficient
- More aspects and more data needs to be taken into account
- Partly iron is now on the same level of model uncertainty than protons  $\rightarrow$  nuclear effects become more relevant!

## Correlations between Average and RMS



- All models compatible with a changing mass composition as a function of energy
- Some tension of a few models with the data

# Muon Content at Ground Level



Auger, arXiv-1408.1421 [atro-ph]

- More muons in air shower data than expected
- No consistency between different observables can be achieved
- $\rightarrow$  Possible cause: interaction physics in air showers models is not accurate

# (Forward)  $\rho^0$  Production, QGSJetII.3 $\rightarrow$ QGSJetII.4

Charge Exchange, Leading  $\pi^0/\rho^0$  production:



## Impact on Muons in Air Showers

Systematically change the leading  $\pi^0/\rho^0$  ratio in CONEX:

(SIBYLL, proton,  $10^{19.5}$  eV)

(f19 is the scaling factor for ratio at  $10^{19}$  eV, logarithmic energy dependence)



Ulrich, Engel, Baus, ISVHECRI 2014

# Forward  $\rho^0$  production, QGSJetII.4

Prediction of inclusive athmospheric muon fluxes as a test of hadronic interaction models



A.V. Lukyashin, ISVHECRI 2014

 $\Rightarrow$  Too many  $\rho^0$  produced now?

# Model Tuning to LHC Data up to 7 TeV



S. Ostapchenko, ISVHECRI 2014

Proton-Air Cross Section is one of the most important quantities for air shower modeling

## Proton-Proton  $\rightarrow$  Proton-Air, With Tevatron Data



Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 196 (2009) 335

## Proton-Proton  $\rightarrow$  Proton-Air, With LHC Data



Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 196 (2009) 335

## Muon Production in Extensive Air Showers



 $A + air \rightarrow$  hadrons  $p + air \rightarrow$  hadrons  $\pi$  + air  $\rightarrow$  hadrons

> $e^\pm \rightarrow e^\pm + \gamma$  $\gamma \rightarrow e^+ + e^-$

 $\pi^\pm \to \mu^\pm + \nu_\mu/\bar{\nu_\mu}$ 

Important energies: 10 - 1000 GeV



#### Air shower components: hadrons, electromagnetic, muons

## Parent Particles of Muons mother particle is equivalent to a secondary particle produced in e.g. a minimum bias p-N interaction. The most probable energy of the grandmother particle is within the range of the

Projectiles in air showers that lead to muon production



## Hadronic Interactions in EAS



**•** Pion cascade in air

 $\bullet$  Pions decay into muons with a peak around  $\sim$  35 GeV

# Forward Detectors

#### TOTEM



- TOTEM: Very forward particle production and elastic
- LHCf: Very forward photon, pi<sup>0</sup>, neutrons
- CASTOR: Very forward energy, diffraction



# **CASTOR**

# Relevant for Astroparticle Physics

## TOTEM, LHCf, TOTEM+CMS

- Total, elastic, inelastic, diffractive cross-sections
- Forward photon and neutron spectra.
- **o** Diffraction
- **•** Generell particle production characteristics

For example:





# TOTEM/T2 + CMS/CASTOR





#### Particle Reconstruction



#### Jets, leptons and resonances at  $\eta$  up to 6.6

# Cosmic Ray Models and Recent LHC Data: CMS

Very forward underlying event:



JHEP 1304 (2013) 072

#### In CMS:

- Used for pPb and PbPb (and forward pp) detector studies and correction factors
- Where relevant, also event generator comparisons are performed

# Cosmic Ray Models and Recent LHC Data: TOTEM



Forward charged multiplicities: Europhys.Lett. 98 (2012) 31002

# Cosmic Ray Models and Recent LHC Data: LHCb



Eur.Phys.J. C73 (2013) 2421

#### Comparison on event generator level:

- Forward energy flow
- **•** Forward Lambda production, strangeness
- More in preparation...

#### Tool: CRMC http://www.auger.de/∼rulrich/crmc.html

# Proton-Oxygen Data at LHC

- Asymmetric heavy-ion run with proton-oxygen nuclei
- After LS1,  $\sqrt{s} = 9$  TeV (Proton beam at 3.5 TeV)
- Oxygen very close to atmospheric material of extesive air shower production (nitrogen)



#### PRD 83 (2011) 054026

# Fixed Target with LHC Beam

#### Bent crystal, UA9:



e.g. PRL 87 (2001) 094802

## A Fixed Target ExpeRiment at LHC

arXiv/hep-ph 1207.3507

- **Precision QCD**
- $\bullet$  W/Z studies,
- Quarkonia physics
- Cosmic Rays, Neutrino Production

#### First steps

IDHEFIX Proposal in H2020, 1st AFTER Week in Nov 2014

#### Note:

There is no simple experiment, for a precise and relevant measurement...

Scientific motivation:

How accurate is the modeling of shower development with CORSIKA? Both: Electromagnetic and Muon component.

Explore the origin of the air shower muon problem with p-C,  $\pi$ -C shower measurement?

Constrain the prompt charm high-energy muon production very precisely up to TeV energies.

#### Note:

There is no simple experiment, for a precise and relevant measurement...

#### Scientific motivation:

How accurate is the modeling of shower development with CORSIKA? Both: Electromagnetic and Muon component.

Explore the origin of the air shower muon problem with p-C,  $\pi$ -C shower measurement?

Constrain the prompt charm high-energy muon production very precisely up to TeV energies.



#### Advantages:

- $\bullet$  Directly test CORSIKA  $+$  hadronic models !
- Very obvious and clear connection to UHECR physics
- For the first time: end-to-end calibration of air shower development
- Detectors relatively simple and maybe can be partly reused from other experiments. At least the technology.
- Pierre Auger Software framework (Offline) can be used almost 1:1. Simulation, reconstruction and analysis almost identical to Auger.

#### Challenges:

- Pion beam
- Calibration of detector systems. Best with pure electron and muon beams.

# Sensitivity to Interaction Physics

- Wide range of energies, reaching beyond accelerators
- Uncertainty: extrapolation of hadronic interactions
	- Phase space (!)
	- Energy

#### $\rightarrow$  Very different impact on different EAS observables:

 $X_{\text{max}}$  Very high energy interactions Muons Low energy interactions



# Summary



Hadrons **Muons** Flectrons Iron,  $lgE/eV = 16$  $t = 0$   $\mu s$ 



⇒ Astrophysics at accelerators

- ⇒ Air Shower Muon Problem
- ⇒ Prompt-charm production, PeV neutrino