

# **Standard Model Physics at CMS**

Piergiulio Lenzi – INFN for the CMS collaboration

#### 8 Aprile 2015 Università di Roma Tor Vergata





• Impressive agreement



### QCD at the TeV scale



- PDFs and scale uncertainties are among the main systematics for Higgs cross section measurement
- QCD is ubiquitous source of background for any new physics signal
- The LHC has done a great deal of work during Run 1 to understand QCD at the energy frontier
  - Important input for PDF fits
  - Put into light the importance of state of the art theoretical predictions







### **Gluon PDF**



Central rapidities are particularly relevant for gluon PDF Forward rapidities and high pT are expected to have an impact on quark PDFs



4



## W+charm



- Probes the strange quark pdf
- Different PDFs predict different suppressions of s quark w.r.t. d quark



#### JHEP02(2014)013







### Hard QCD probes



- Associated production of vector bosons plus jets has been extensively used to test the predictions of pQCD
  - Several models compared to data

#### CMS-PAS-SMP-13-007





### Hard QCD probes



- Complex observables!
- The Run 1 statistics already allows

exploration of extreme phase space

#### corners

• Relevant for searches







## **Z+heavy flavor**



- Large theoretical uncertainties
- Important for searches
- Two main approaches
  - 4-flavor scheme: use PDFs without a b quark and produce all b quarks via matrix element
  - 5-flavor scheme: b quarks allowed in the initial state





- 5-F scheme gives the best description of Z+>=1b jet
  - Unclear why, for Z+1b both 4F and 5F should correspond to the same order
- 4-F scheme gives good description of Z+>=2b jets



### **Electroweak Z production**



- $O(a^4_{_{EWK}})$  production of di-leptons has been investigated
- Tiny cross section, the VBF like diagram interferes destructively with the others
- Main background is  $O(a_{EWK}^2 a_s^2) Z+2jets_{\underline{\alpha}}$
- Analysis is based both on an MVA approach and a data drive approach to estimate Z+2 jet from γ+2jets
- 5 sigma observation of this process!
  - Due to the large VBF destructive interference, this could be regarded as the first observation of VBF in CMS

$$\sigma = 226 \pm 26(stat.) \pm 35(syst.) \ f$$







### **Diboson production**



- Important test of the gauge sector of the SM
- Irreducible background for Higgs and searches
- Test of triple gauge couplings
  - Charged TGC (WWZ, WW $\!\gamma\!$ ) allowed in SM
  - Neutral TGC (ZZZ, ZZγ) forbidden in SM
- Anomalous couplings generally lead to larger cross sections, especially in the high transverse momentum tails

Coupling	Parameters	Channel
WWY	λγ, Δκγ	ww;wy
wwz	$\lambda Z, \Delta \kappa z, \Delta g_1^Z$	ww,wz
ZZγ	h₃ <sup>z</sup> , h₄ <sup>z</sup>	Zγ
Zyy	h₃Y, h₄Y	Zγ
ZZZ	f <sub>4</sub> z, f <sub>5</sub> z	ZZ
ZγZ	f4 <sup>Y</sup> , f5 <sup>Y</sup>	ZZ







### **Diboson production**







### WW production



CMS-PAS-SMP-14-016

- Recently updated with full 8 TeV statistics
- Requiring two charged leptons and MET
- Inclusive cross section

 $\sigma_{CMS} = 60.1 \pm 0.9(stat.) \pm 3.2(exp.) \pm 3.1(th.) \pm 1.6(lum.)$  pb

In good agreement with SM NNLO predictions  $59.8^{+1.3}_{-1.1}~{
m pb}$ • Also in a fiducial phase space Gehrmann, Grazzini et al

$p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet}$ threshold (GeV)	$\sigma_{0jet}$ measured (pb)	$\sigma_{0jet}$ predicted (pb)
20	$36.2 \pm 0.6 (\text{stat.}) \pm 2.1 (\text{exp.}) \pm 1.1 (\text{th.}) \pm 0.9 (\text{lum.})$	$36.7 \pm 0.1$ (stat.)
25	$40.8 \pm 0.7 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 2.3 \text{ (exp.)} \pm 1.3 \text{ (th.)} \pm 1.1 \text{ (lum.)}$	$40.9 \pm 0.1$ (stat.)
30	$44.0 \pm 0.7 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 2.5 \text{ (exp.)} \pm 1.4 \text{ (th.)} \pm 1.1 \text{ (lum.)}$	$43.9 \pm 0.1$ (stat.)

$p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet}$ threshold (GeV)	$\sigma_{0jet,W \rightarrow \ell \nu}$ measured (pb)	$\sigma_{0jet,W \rightarrow \ell \nu}$ predicted (pb)
20	$0.223 \pm 0.004$ (stat.) $\pm 0.013$ (exp.) $\pm 0.007$ (th.) $\pm 0.006$ (lum.)	$0.228 \pm 0.001$ (stat.)
25	$0.253 \pm 0.005$ (stat.) $\pm 0.014$ (exp.) $\pm 0.008$ (th.) $\pm 0.007$ (lum.)	$0.254 \pm 0.001$ (stat.)
30	$0.273 \pm 0.005 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.015 (\text{exp.}) \pm 0.009 (\text{th.}) \pm 0.007 (\text{lum.})$	$0.274 \pm 0.001$ (stat.)



### WW production



#### CMS-PAS-SMP-14-016





## WW production



CMS-PAS-SMP-14-016

- This measurement is sensitive to aTGC for WWZ and WW $\!\gamma$ 
  - No deviations from SM have been observed and limits have been set





### Neutral aTGC



#### No deviation from SM observed so far...

Feb 2015				Mar 2	2015			
			ATLAS Limits CMS Prel. Limits CDF Limit CDF Limit				ATLAS Limits CMS Prel. Limits	
~		7.	0.015 0.016 4.045-1	٤Ŷ	H	ZZ	-0.015 - 0.015	4.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
$ \mathbf{h}_{a}^{\prime} $		2 i		<sup>1</sup> 4	<b>⊢</b> −−1	ZZ	-0.005 - 0.005	19.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
- 3	H	Ζγ	-0.003 - 0.003 5.0 fb <sup>-1</sup>		H	ZZ (2l2v)	-0.004 - 0.003	24 7 fb <sup>-1</sup>
	<b>⊢</b>	Zγ	-0.005 - 0.005 19.5 fb <sup>-1</sup>		H	ZZ (comb)	-0.003 - 0.003	24.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>
	H	Zγ	-0.022 - 0.020 5.1 fb <sup>-1</sup>	-7		77	-0.013 - 0.013	4.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
. 7	⊢i	Zγ	-0.013 - 0.014 4 6 fb <sup>-1</sup>	$  t_{\Delta}^{2}$	H	77	-0 004 - 0 004	19.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
n <sub>3</sub>	H	Ζγ	-0.003 - 0.003 5.0 fb <sup>-1</sup>		H	ZZ (2 2v)	-0.003 - 0.003	24 7 fb <sup>-1</sup>
		_, 7∨	-0.004 - 0.004 10 5 fb <sup>-1</sup>		H	ZZ (comb)	-0.002 - 0.003	24.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>
		-, 7√	-0.004 - 0.004 - 19.5  fb	cγ	<b>⊢</b> I	ZZ	-0.016 - 0.015	4.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
		7.		$  I_5'$	<b>⊢</b>	77	-0.005 - 0.005	19.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
$h_{x100}^{\gamma}$	<b>⊢−−−−</b> 1	Ζγ	-0.009 - 0.009 4.6 fb		H	ZZ(2 2v)	-0.003 - 0.004	24 7 fb <sup>-1</sup>
14/100	н	Ζγ	-0.001 - 0.001 5.0 fb <sup>-1</sup>			ZZ(comb)	-0.003 - 0.003	$24.7 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
	H	Zγ	-0.004 - 0.004 19.5 fb <sup>-1</sup>	7		77		24.7 IU 1 C fb <sup>-1</sup>
17 100	<b>⊢−−−−</b> 1	Zγ	-0.009 - 0.009 4 6 fb <sup>-1</sup>	f <sup>z</sup>	· · ·	77	-0.013 - 0.013	4.0 10
h <sub>4</sub> x100		Z∿	0.001 + 0.001 = 0.001	5	E-1		-0.004 - 0.004	19.6 fb '
1 7		~ 7			⊢I	ZZ (212V)	-0.003 - 0.003	24.7 fb <sup>-</sup>
	<u> </u>	Ζγ	-0.003 - 0.003 19.5 fb <sup>-1</sup>		H	∠∠ (comb)	-0.002 - 0.002	24.7 fb⁻¹
		0.5				0.5	1 15	×10 <sup>-1</sup>
-0.5	U	0.5	aTGC Limits @95% C.L.	-1	0.0 0	aTG	aC Limits @95	% C.L.



### **Vector boson scattering**



- Important process to probe EWSB
- The role of the Higgs in EWSB is essential to preserve unitarity of VBS cross section
- Same sign WW scattering provides the best S/B









## VBS in WWjj same sign

Events / bin



- Look into the high di-jet mass tail of events with two same sign leptons
- Backgrounds
  - Non-prompt leptons (75%)
  - WZ
  - Wong sign, DPS, VVV
- Result in agreement with SM
  - 2σ observed, 3.1σ expected
- Limits put on alternative models, such as H<sup>++</sup> and aQGC

 $\sigma_{fid}(W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj) = 4.0^{+2.4}_{-2.0}(stat)^{+1.1}_{-1.0}(syst)fb$ 



#### PhysRevLett.114.051801







### Conclusion





Candidate VBS event in the di-muon channel

- An impressive amount of SM measurement has been carried out by CMS on LHC Run 1 data
- Next main goals for precision measurement will be
  - W mass, triple and quartic gauge couplings, VBS
  - And an ever improving precision on QCD measurements
- ...hoping to find hints of new physics









### Jet reconstruction (CMS)



- Jets are reconstructed with the anti-kt algorithm, with radius of 0.5 or 0.7
- 3 available algorithms for jet reconstruction
  - Calo-Jets: use only the calorimeter towers
  - Jet-Plus-Track Jets: improve the calorimeter jets using the tracks in the jet cone
  - Particle-Flow jets: uses particle flow candidates as input to the clustering algorithm
    - Particle flow reconstruction:
      - global event reconstruction
      - Identifies muons, electrons, taus, photons, charged hadron, neutral hadrons
      - Combines the information from all detectors



### Jet reconstruction (CMS)



Jets are reconstructed with the anti-kt algorithm, with radius of 0.5 or 0.7

3 available algorithms for jet reconstruction

Calo-Jets: use only the calorimeter towers

Jet-Plus-Track Jets: improve the calorimeter jets using the tracks in the jet cone

Particle-Flow jets: uses particle flow candidates as input

to the clustering algorithm

Particle flow reconstruction:

- global event reconstruction
- Identifies muons, electrons, taus, photons, charged hadron, neutral hadrons
- Combines the information from all detectors



## Jet energy scale (CMS)



#### We use a multi-step procedure to correct the energy of our jets

$$C_{\mu} p_{\mu}^{cor} = C \cdot p_{\mu}^{raw} \cdot \mathsf{nts} f C = C_{offset}(p_T^{raw}) \cdot C_{MC}(p_T', \eta) \cdot C_{rel}(\eta) \cdot C_{abs}(p_T'')$$

The method uses correction factors extracted from the full simulation of CMS,  $\rm C_{_{MC}}$ 

Residual differences with respect to data are accounted for as further scaling factors

- C<sub>rel</sub> accounts for non-uniformity in eta. It is obtained applying on data and MC the di-jet balance method
- $C_{abs}$  accounts for residual absolute scale differences between data and MC. It is obtained applying on data and MC the  $\gamma$ +jet and Z +jet pT balancing

In this MC + residual method effects like the presence of additional radiation spoiling dijet or  $\gamma$ +jet and Z +jet balancing enter only at second order



# Jet energy scale (CMS)



Total systematic uncertainty on the energy scale for particleflow jets





### Jet energy resolution (CMS)



#### Determined with di-jet and $\gamma$ +jet pT balance Plots show two example regions in $\eta$ Resolution is of the order of 10% around 50 GeV





#### Jet rates

Normalized to the inclusive cross section

n/(n-1) jets

The comparison to the predictions of multi-leg matrix element + parton shower (Madgraph) shows good agreement

> Pure parton shower (pythia) fails to predict multi-jet final states

Given the pT threshold the sensitivity to underlying event is negligible

### W/Z+jets: rates





2



3

Δ

ale

ire





### **Inclusive jets**



Measurement of inclusive jets at 8 TeV

• Data are compared with the predictions at NLO (NLOJet++), including nonperturbative (NP) corrections obtained with a shower MC



Phys.Rev. D86 (2012) 014022 (ATLAS) 3 orders of magnitude



## **Inclusive jets**



Very interesting comparison between 7 TeV and 2.76 TeV

• Has power to constrain PDFs in the central region

 $\mathbb{C}N$ 





### W+charm



- CMS tends to favor s suppression
  - Some tension between CMS and ATLAS on this measurement
     Alekhin et al





### **Isolated Photons**



#### Useful for gluon constraint

#### Phys. Rev. D 89, 052004 (2014)





## Impact of LHC on PDF

#### Impact of LHC data





NNLO,  $\alpha_{s} = 0.118$ ,  $Q^{2} = 10^{4} \text{ GeV}^{2}$ 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.15 No LHC data  $\tilde{v}$  1.15  $\tilde{v}$  1.15  $\tilde{v}$  1.15  $\tilde{v}$  0.95  $\tilde{v}$  General Compare global NNPDF3.0 fit with a fit without LHC data

PDF uncertainties on large-x gluon reduced due to top quark and jet data

PDF uncertainties on light quarks reduced from the Drell-Yan and W+charm data

The **description of all new LHC data**, already good in NNPDF2.3, is further improved in NNPDF3.0



Istituto Nazionale i Fisica Nucleare

# $a_s$ determination



Several measurements using different observables

- Inclusive jets, R32, 3-jet mass tī cross section
- Running probed up to the TeV scale



# a determination



-Several measurements using different observables

- Inclusive jets, R32, 3-jet mass tī cross section
- Running probed up to the TeV scale





# **Photon + jets**



- Jet pt > 30 GeV, |ŋ|<2.4
- Good agreement with NLO QCD
- Also good agreement with Sherpa
  - Including extended matrix element + parton shower approach to photons





### **Photon+jets**



**Differe**ntial in jet multiplicity and HT

- Interesting test for ME+PS
- Studied ratios also, reduced experimental (and theoretical) systematics

- ~30% discrepancy, not flat in proton discrepancy Nazionale
  - Better description of the 2j over 1 jet ratio





#### Event shapes in V+jets Phys. Lett. B 722 (201

- Central transverse thrust in Z+jets
- Built out of the Z and the jets with pT >50 GeV, |η|<2.4</li>
- Both inclusively, and in a boosted topology where pt(Z)>150 GeV



CMS,  $\sqrt{s} = 7$ CMS,  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV, L = 5.0 fb jets ≥ 1 /o do/dln1  $\rightarrow$  I<sup>+</sup>I, p<sup>Z</sup> > 150 GeV, N  $/\sigma d\sigma/dln\tau$  $\rightarrow I^{\dagger}I$ ,  $p_{\tau}^{z} > 0$  GeV, N ietr ≥ i 0.18 0.16 Data --- MAD G RAPH 0.16 0.14 MAD GRAPH SHERPA SHERPA 0.14 --- POWHEG (Z+1j) 0.12 POWHEG (Z+1j) 0.12 PYTHIA 6 (Z2) 0.1 0.1 0.08 0.08 0.06 0.06 (a) (b) 0.04 0.04 0.02 0.02 -12 -10 -8 -10 -12 -8 -6 -4 -2  $\ln \tau_{\tau}$ ratio to MAD GRAPH 1.4 CMS, Vs = 7 TeV, L = 5.0 fb ratio to MAD GRAPH 1.4 CMS,  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}, L = 5.0 \text{ fb}$ 1.3 ////, MAD GRAPH stat. uncertainty 1.3 MAD GRAPH stat. uncertainty 1.2 1.2 1.1 0.9 0.9 (c) 0.8 0.8 0.7 0.7 -12 -10 -8 -6  $\ln \tau_{\tau}$ -12 -10 -8 -4

τ**→**0.36

$$au_{\perp} \equiv 1 - \max_{ec{n}_T} rac{\sum_i |ec{p}_{\perp,i} \cdot ec{n}_T|}{\sum_i p_{\perp,i}}$$

The region dominated by multijet topologies shows agreement with LO+PS (Madgraph)

NLO +PS is also good, with a slight tendency to overshoot

Instead, in pencil-like topologies powheg shows best agreement

 $\ln \tau_{\tau}$ 







Several measurements, differential, up to 7-8 jets with full Run1 stat!

- Comparison with LO ME+PS and multi leg NLO +PS
- Nice agreement with ME+PS for multiplicity
- Some discrepancies in jet pT spectra below 300-400 GeV







#### CMS-SMP-13-007 NFN

Remarkable agreement also at very high multiplicity

• Data/Theory rather flat



1

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare







Leading jet pT in Z+jets

• Differential in jet rapidity: some discrepancies begin to arise



42





- Madgraph+Pythia tends to predict harder spectra above ~100GeV
- Sherpa (NLO up to the second jet) shows a few single bin discrepancies



Leading jet p\_ [GeV]

Leading jet p\_ [GeV]

Leading jet p, [GeV]

| N F N

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare







- ΔΦ between the Z and the leading jet
- Jet reconstruction: jet pT >  $50 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.4$
- Good agreement with LO+PS
- Also very nice agreement with NLO+PS









Ratios pt(Z)/HT or pt(Z)/pt(j) are important for searches and are challenging to predict

• Large logarithms, missing higher orders



ΙΝΓΝ

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare



- Important for searches
- At large momenta effects due to the Z mass can be neglected and ratios should flatten
- Measurement in 4 bins
  - >1,2,3 jets and HT>300 GeV
- Comparison with ME+PS is rather flat
  - ~20% off
  - It will be interesting to see how NLO+PS does





- Important for searches
- At large momenta effects due to the Z mass can be neglected and ratios should flatten
- Measurement in 4 bins
  - >1,2,3 jets and HT>300 GeV
- Comparison with ME+PS is rather flat
  - ~20% off
  - It will be interesting to see how NLO+PS does





- Important for searches
- At large momenta effects due to the Z mass can be neglected and ratios should flatten
- Measurement in 4 bins
  - >1,2,3 jets and HT>300 GeV
- Comparison with ME+PS is rather flat
  - ~20% off
  - It will be interesting to see how NLO+PS does





- Important for searches
- At large momenta effects due to the Z mass can be neglected and ratios should flatten
- Measurement in 4 bins
  - >1,2,3 jets and HT>300 GeV
- Comparison with ME+PS is rather flat
  - ~20% off
  - It will be interesting to see how NLO+PS does





#### CMS-PAS-SMP-13-005 Phys. Lett. B 740 (2015) 250



#### **Signature**

- Two lepton pairs peaking at M<sub>z</sub>.
- l=e,μ l'=e,μ,τ

#### **Selections**

- Two same-flavor and oppositesign isolated leptons from each Z.
- Lepton pair retained if 60<M<sub>11</sub><120 GeV.</li>
- At least one lepton with  $p_T > 20$ GeV and one with  $p_T > 10$  GeV.

#### **Backgrounds**

- Mostly rejected by isolation and identification criteria.
- The remnants are Z/WZ+jets.



Anomalous couplings effects simulated with SHERPA and used to set limits on ZZZ and ZZ $\gamma$  couplings.



#### CMS-PAS-SMP-12-024



51

Phys. Lett. B 721 (2013) 190-211

#### **Signature**

• Two oppositely charged electrons or muons with  $p_T > 20$  GeV plus MET.

#### **Selections**

- Jet veto and anti top-tagging to suppress top background.
- E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup>>45 GeV in same flavor final state to suppress DY.
- E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup>>20 GeV in opposite flavor.
- Extra lepton veto.

#### **Backgrounds**

- $t\bar{t}$  and tW
- VV
- Z/W + jets



 $\sigma = 69.9 \pm 2.8(stat) \pm 5.6(syst) \pm 3.1(lumi) pb$ 

Inclusive cross section slightly higher than the SM NLO expectation of 57.3<sup>+2.3</sup>, pb.

#### ATLAS result

 $\sigma = 71.4 \pm 1.2(stat) \pm 5.0(syst) \pm 2.2(lumi) pb$ 



300

200 100F

Data/MC 1 0.8

0.6

• V detected through leptonic decay.

#### **Selections**

- Analysis divided into three lepton categories.
- $Z \rightarrow ll$  : isolated and oppositely charged leptons with  $60 < M_u < 120$ GeV.
- $W \rightarrow lv$  : single isolated lepton +  $E_{T}^{miss}$ >45 GeV
- $Z \rightarrow \upsilon \upsilon$  :  $E_{T}^{miss} > 100$  GeV.
- $p_T^V > 100$  GeV in each channel.

MCFM NLO cross section =  $22.3 \pm 1.1$  pb In agreement also with  $WZ \rightarrow 3lv$  channel

 $\sigma(WZ) = 30.7 \pm 9.3(stat) \pm 7.1(syst) \pm 4.1(theo) \pm 1.0(lumi) pb$ 



# **Pib**oson cross sections results









Charged aTGCs described using the LEP parameterization.

arXiv:hep-ph/96012



In SM all neutral TGCs are zero at tree level. Limits are set on ZZZ and ZZ $\gamma$  couplings using anomalous parameters  $f_4^V$  and  $f_5^V$ .

			ATLAS Limits H
εγ		ZZ	-0.015 - 0.015 4.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
$f_4$	H	ZZ	-0.004 - 0.004 19.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
	н	ZZ (2l2v)	-0.004 - 0.003 5.1, 19.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
۴Z	<b>⊢−−−−</b>	ZZ	-0.013 - 0.013 4.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
4	н	ZZ	-0.004 - 0.004 19.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
	н	ZZ (2l2v)	-0.003 - 0.003 5.1, 19.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
εŶ	<b>⊢−−−−− </b>	ZZ	-0.016 - 0.015 4.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
5	⊢I	ZZ	-0.005 - 0.005 19.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
	Н	ZZ(2l2v)	-0.004 - 0.004 5.1, 19.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
۶Z	⊢	ZZ	-0.013 - 0.013 4.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
5	⊢I	ZZ	-0.005 - 0.005 19.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
	H	ZZ (2l2v)	-0.004 - 0.003 5.1, 19.6 fb <sup>-1</sup>
-0.8	5 0	0.5	1 1.5 x10 <sup>-1</sup>
		aTO	GC Limits @95% C.L.

No evidence of anomalous triple gauge couplings is observed

• Important process to probe the EWSB mechanism.

- The role of the Higgs boson in EWSB is essential to preserve the unitarity of VBS cross section.
- Same sign VBS provides the best S/B.





ΙΝΓΝ





CMS-PAS-SMP-13-015



#### Signature & selections

- Similar to  $H \rightarrow WW$  analysis in VBF channel.
- Two leptons with same charge.
- Two forward jets with  $M_{jj} > 500 \text{ GeV}$ and  $|\Delta \eta_{ij}| > 2.5$ .
- M<sub>11</sub>>50 GeV to reduce W+jets and top backgrounds.
- $E_{T}^{miss} > 40 \text{ GeV}.$
- Z/top veto. Backgrounds
- Nonprompt leptons (75%).
- *WZ→3lv* (15%).
- Wrong-sign, DPS and VVV (10%).

 $\sigma_{fid}(W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj) = 4.0^{+2.4}_{-2.0}(stat)^{+1.1}_{-1.0}(syst)fb$ 

# MadGraph+VBFNLO correction: 5.8 ± 1.2 fb



#### **Results**

 Results in agreement with SM with an observed (expected) significance of the W<sup>±</sup>W<sup>±</sup>jj process of 2.0σ (3.1σ).





#### **Limits on alternative models**

 An excess of events could be also interpreted in terms of aQGCs or models with doubly-charged Higgs boson.







$$\mathcal{O}_{WWW} = \frac{c_{WWW}}{\Lambda^2} \operatorname{Tr}[W_{\mu\nu}W^{\nu\rho}W_{\rho}^{\mu}],$$
$$\mathcal{O}_W = \frac{c_W}{\Lambda^2}(D^{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}W_{\mu\nu}(D^{\nu}\Phi),$$
$$\mathcal{O}_B = \frac{c_B}{\Lambda^2}(D^{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}B_{\mu\nu}(D^{\nu}\Phi).$$