



#### A. Yu. Smirnov

Max-Planck Institute for Nuclear Physics, Heidelberg, Germany

Neutrino telescopes, Venice, March 2015

### **On "predictions"**

Unusual discussion between several members of IAC (which reflects the present situation in the field) about necessity of the talk on predictions of the CP phase

> In the past: bad experience with "predictions" of the 1-3 mixing: too much theory emphasis on zero (or very small)  $\theta_{13}$

Predictions were largely misleading

Concerning CP: one can not say "model" predicts the phase. Already now all possible values of  $\delta_{\text{CP}}$  from 0 to  $2\pi$  are predicted

Theorists should wait (20 years ?) till of  $\delta_{CP}$  is measured with good enough precision , this may help them to construct a model which may predict something new...

#### Complete failure of THEORY, its uselessness!

 $\delta_{CP}$  is one of few unknown yet parameters for which What then we will understand prove? predictions still can be done

## **FFCONTINUE**

Zero (small) 1-3 was supported by TBM and flavor symmetries

This was appreciated/supported by large EXPERIMENTAL community that wanted to do neutrino factories

Even in the past there were a spectrum of predictions (see compilation in C. Albright, Mu Chum Chen hep-ph/0608137).

Quality and number Then discussion went to philosophical and moral issues of

What is the meaning of predictions What is the role of input, assumptions What is the role of theory in general etc. etc.



- Assumptions

- measured values

of parameters





of assumptions,

honesty

Concepts, principles Symmetries, etc

#### **Projecting into the Future**

Extrapolate progress and advances we made in recent years previous experience, lessons, etc.

Starting point also to check if I can trust myself

#### Neutrino -2008: Where are we? Where are we going?

0810.2668 [hep-ph]

XXIII Int conference on Neutrino physics and Astrophysics, Christchurch, New Zealand, May 2008

7 years later a number of statements are still valid



Three stories and some guesses



# 



<b>Prediction from</b> Quark-Lepton Complem	H. Minakata, A. Yu. S., Phys.Rev. D70 (2004) 073009 hep-ph/0405088
$\sin \theta_{13} = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \sin \theta_{C}(1 - 1)$	$ V_{cb} \cos \alpha$ ) + $V_{ub}$
in general: $\sin \theta_{23}$	CP-phase
<mark>่ ่₂sin²θ<sub>C</sub> = 2.54 +/- 0.02</mark>	× 10 <sup>-2</sup> C. Giunti, M. Tanimoto
<b>sin</b> <sup>2</sup> θ <sub>13</sub> = 2.18 +/- 0.10 2.15 +/- 0.13	Global fit, C. Gonzalez-Garcia et al Daya Bay (15% smaller, 3σ)
Reducing predicted value	
1. correction from V <sub>СКМ</sub> + 2. Non-maximal 2-3 mixing	2.54 → 2.11
2.54 → 2.28	<b>for sin</b> <sup>2</sup> θ <sub>23</sub> = 0.45



can be always written

**Deeper sense:** reflects

Quarks and leptons know about each other, Q L unification Some additional physics is involved in the lepton sector related to neutrino properties





Corrections of the order  $\lambda = \sin \theta_c$ 

Connection to quark sector is more complicated

Realized in the residual

discrete symmetry approach

"Sum rules" predictions

In general: no relations to masses

Prediction:C. Giunti, M. Tanimoto  
H. Minakata, A Y SIf
$$U_X = U_{23}(\pi/2) U_{12}$$
no 1-3 rotation (or very small) $\longleftrightarrow$  $U_{PMNS} = U_{CKM}^* U_{23}(\pi/2) U_{12}$  $= U_{12}(\theta_c)^* U_{23}(\pi/2) U_{12}$  $U_{PMNS} = U_{cKM}^* U_{23}(\pi/2) U_{12}$  $= U_{12}(\theta_c)^* U_{23}(\pi/2) U_{12}$ permutation - to reduce the lepton mixing matrix to the standard form $\bigstar$  $sin^2\theta_{13} \sim \frac{1}{2}sin^2\theta_c$  $\theta_{13} \sim \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_c$ can be obtained e.g. in the context of $U_X = \begin{bmatrix} U_{BM} \\ U_{TBM} \\ U_{GR} \end{bmatrix}$ QLC (Quark-Lepton Complementarity)  
TBM-Cabibbo scheme struction $M_X = \begin{bmatrix} U_{BM} \\ U_{TBM} \\ U_{GR} \end{bmatrix}$ QLC (Quark-Lepton Complementarity)  
TBM-Cabibbo scheme struction $M_X = U_{M} = U_{M} = U_{M}$  $M_X = M_X = M_X$ 

## Leptons & quarks

There is no convincing explanation of quark masses and mixing Can we solve the neutrino mass and mixing problem? Do efforts make sense?

neutrino mass generation
and charged lepton and
quark mass generation
are independent

Yes, if

we will try to explain the difference of masses and mixing of neutrino and quarks, and not masses and mixing completely we still hope (as it was before) that neutrinos will uncover something simple and insightful which will allow to solve the guark mass riddle

### **Theta 1-3 and solar neutrinos**

*S. Goswami, A. Yu. S.:* hep-ph/0411359 Phys.Rev. D72 (2005) 053011

Effect is not just overall normalization of the flux Measuring 1-2 and 1-3 mixings







Solar pp-neutrinos

Neutrinos from the primary pp-reactions in the Sun BOREXINO Collaboration (G. Bellini et al.) Nature 512 (2014) 7515, 383



#### **Day-Night effect**

First Indication of Terrestrial Matter Effects on Solar Neutrino Oscillation

Super-Kamiokande collaboration (Renshaw, A. et al.) Phys.Rev.Lett. 112 (2014) 091805 arXiv :1312.5176



fluctuations?





#### **Oscillations of Be neutrinos in the Earth**

 $\Gamma_{Be}$  = 1.6 kev E = 862.27 kev  $\Gamma_{Be}$  /E = 1.86 10<sup>-3</sup>

 $\Gamma_{\rm Be} \sim \Delta E_{\rm T}$ 

Oscillatory period in the energy scale  $\Delta E_T = E I_v / L = E \frac{I_v}{2 R_E \cos \eta}$ 

Depending on nadir angle  $\eta$  level of averaging changes

A.N. Ioannisian, A.Y.S., D. Wyler, to appear



BOREXINO:

A<sub>DN</sub> = 0.001 +/- 0.012 (stat) +/- 0.007 (syst)

# Main features

Oscillations of mass eigenstates - pure matter effect

$$\varepsilon = \frac{2VE}{\Delta m_{21}^2} = 2.4 \ 10^{-3} \ (\rho/2.7g \ cm^{-3})$$
$$I_m = I_v [1 + c_{13}^2 \cos 2\theta_{12} \ \varepsilon + ...] = 28.5 \ km$$

$$I_{m} = I_{v} = 28.5 \text{ km}$$

#### Variations

$$A_{P} = (P - P_{D})/P_{D} = -c_{13}^{2} f(\Delta m_{21}^{2}, \theta_{12}, \theta_{13}) \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} dx V(x) \sin \phi_{x \to L}^{m}$$
  
f = 0.43  
Constant density

$$A_{\rm P} = -c_{13}^2 \varepsilon f \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\rm m} L \qquad \Delta_{\rm m} = 2\pi / I_{\rm m}$$



### **Neasurements**

Establishing oscillations, matter effect

Quasi- periodic variations during night

Determination of the line width

Precision measurements of  $\Delta m_{21}{}^2$ 

Tomography of the Earth interior

- Small scale structures, at the surface (mountains, oceans, ..)
- Non-sphericity of the Earth
- Density jumps in the mantle,
- Shape of the core,,,

Searches for sterile neutrinos

especially for  $\Delta m_{10}^2 \sim 10^{-7} \, \text{eV}^2 \qquad \sin^2 2\theta_s \sim 10^{-2}$ 





# DeepCore









B. Dasgupta, A Y.S. , Nucl.Phys. B884 (2014) 357 1404.0272 [hep-ph]

any value of the phase can be obtained Also taking  $U_X$  from seesaw

In contrast to quarks for Majorana neutrinos the RH rotation that diagonalizes  $m_D$  becomes relevant and contributes to PMNS

#### **CPV from U<sub>R</sub>**

In the LR symmetric basis minimal extension is the L-R symmetry:

> $U_R = U_L \sim V_{CKM}^*$ and no CPV in  $M_R$

Seesaw can enhance this small CPV effect, so that resulting phase in PMNS is large





#### M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, JHEP 1411 (2014) 052,1409.5439 [hep-ph]

Inverted Normal 3  $\Delta \chi^2$ 2 n 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.3 0.6 0.7  $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$  $\sin^2 \theta_{cc}$ Sol + Rea + Minos-Dis + T2K-App + T2K-Dis + Minos-App + Atmos 6  $\Delta \chi^2$ 90 270 360 180 0 90 270 180 δ<sub>CP</sub> δ

hase and 2-3 m

Contribution of different sets of experimental results to the determination of the mass ordering, the octant of  $\theta_{23}$  and of the CP violating phase.

#### Genesis of determination

Solar Reactors MINOS dis + T2K - Dis + T2K-App + MINOS-App + Atmospheric



### **Distinguishability for CP**

Quick estimator (metric) of discovery potential

For each energy-zenith angle bin ij relative CP-difference

 $|S_{ii}|$ 

$$S_{ij} = \frac{N_{ij}^{\delta} - N_{ij}^{\delta=0}}{\sqrt{N_{ij}^{\delta=0}}}$$

E. Kh. Akhmedov, S. Razzaque, A. Y. S. arXiv: 1205.7071

no fluctuations

If is true value  $\rightarrow N_{ij}^{\delta}$  corresponds to ``true" value of events  $\rightarrow N_{ij}^{\delta=0}$  ``measured" number of events

distinguishability of different values of CP-phase

Total distinguishability  $S^{tot} = [\Sigma_{ij} S_{ij}^2]^{1/2}$ 



After smearing over neutrino energy and direction

> $v_{\mu}$ - CC events (track + cascade)

S-distributions for different values of  $\delta$ 

Super PINGU 1 year

S. Razzaque, A.Y.S. arXiv: 1406.1407 v2 hep-ph

### **S-distributions**



After smearing over neutrino energy and direction

 $v_e$  - CC events (cascades)

S-distributions for different values of δ

Super PINGU 1 year

S. Razzaque, A.Y.S. arXiv: 1406.1407 v2 hep-ph

# Sensitivity of SuperPINGU

Effect of correlated systematic errors

Flavor misidentification can further reduce distinguishability by factor 1.5 - 2

Still  $S_{\sigma} \sim 3-4$  for  $\delta = \pi$ after 4 years of exposure



# Northo Portal

Prospects in theory







# Fredecing The fredecing



#### Sterile Neutrinos

Checks of existence of 1 eV steriles is the must. ... May be by smaller prize, smaller numbers of experiments. If results are negative? Where are the IceCube results on sterile?

Searches for new neutrino states (sterile, partially sterile) will continue anyway. Goal -upper bounds on mixing as function of mass. For 1 eV bound on mixing at the level  $\sin^2 \theta_{aS} < 10^{-3}$  is important to exclude substantial influence on the the 3v picture.

7 kev sterile : further checks of the 3.5 kev line, Does not play any role in generation of masses of light neutrinos. Probably not a right handed component but some new fermion on the top of 3 RH neutrinos

#### **LHC 14**

Tests of the low scale mechanisms of neutrino mass generation

Discovery of almost any kind on new physics will have impact on neutrino physics

No new physics result is possible

LFV-processes

Chance to see something?

Dedicated experiments like SHiP

Double beta decay searches Are and will be of the highest priority

Also point of the	Active are of research be further explored cossible connections to Dark radiation, Dark energy rinos as probe of Dark Universe: h energy cosmic neutrinos, ic supernova neutrinos
Very light sector which may include	- new scalar bosons, majoron, axions, - new fermions (sterile neutrinos, partially sterile), - new gauge bosons (e.g. Dark photons) - gravitinos
Neutrinos and Hidden/Dark Sector Interaction via neutrino portal	
	New experimental techniques for low energy physics



 $\begin{array}{c} 2 & 3 & \text{mixing} \\ \hline 2 & 3 & \text{mixing} \\ \hline 3 & \text{mixing} \\ \hline 1 & \text{test various relation is required} \\ \end{array}$ 

Multimegaton atmospheric neutrino detectors with low threshold

Enormous physics/discovery potential Also searches for sterile neutrinos, non-standard interactions, violation of fundamental symmetries



J-PARK-SK, NOvA: accumulation of evidence for -90 deg.?

Next: LBNF, ESS?

A possibility to measure the phase using multi- megaton scale atmospheric neutrino detectors should be explored

Specific values like  $0, \pi, \pi/2$  may have more straightforward implications (still not unique)

+/-  $\pi/2$  can be related (by symmetry) with maximal 2-3 mixing, quasi-degeneracy of mass states ...



Comparison with quark phase will be interesting Even in unification approach they can be very different. Substantial deviation of  $\delta_{CP}$  from 0,  $\pi$ , will testify for new sources of CP in lepton sector Solar Neutrin

Day-night asymmetry

To be further studied

Upturn of spectrum Precise measurements of the pp-neutrino flux **CNO** neutrinos

Earth matter effect on Be neutrinos Seasonal variations of Boron neutrinos in Antarctica (MICA)



Hopefully a signal will arrive soon

Partially... although knowledge of 1-3 mixing simplifies many things

Still role collective effects in neutrino oscillations is not completely understood... Lepton asymmetry in emission?



After 1-3 symmetry or no symmetry behind the lepton mixing and masses. Symmetry: accidental or real with new structures?

Inverted mass hierarchy, degenerate spectrum, special values of CP phase would testify for symmetry

Some new realizations of flavor symmetries?

Grand Unification, high (GUT) scale seesaw, additional hidden sector (at GUT-Planck scale) flavor symmetries at high scales – still appealing scenario

Other possibilities: scales on new physics, mechanisms of neutrino mass generation, etc. are possible

No simple solution is expected and different type of new physics (e.g. CKM new physics and neutrino new physics) can be involved

New experimental input is needed for further progress!

