# Reconstruction Performance of the ATLAS Muon Detector

FRONTIER DETECTORS FOR FRONTIER PHYSICS, 13th Pisa Meeting on Advanced Detectors (2015)

Muon reconstruction in LHC Run-I: Performance measured in Inner Detector (ID) & Muon Spectrometer (MS) using  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ ,  $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ ,  $\Upsilon \rightarrow \mu\mu$ 

Efficiency: ~ 99% over  $|\eta| < 2.5 \& p_T > 4 GeV$ 

Momentum scale (MS+ID muons) known to ±0.05% for  $|\eta| < 1$ , ±0.2% for  $|\eta| > 2.3$  (Z $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ ) Di-muon mass resolution: low  $p_T = 1.2\%$  (2%) & 2% (3%) at  $p_T \approx 100$  GeV for  $|\eta| < 1$  ( $|\eta| > 1$ ). Simulation reproduces data resolution within 3% to 10% depending on  $\eta$  and  $p_{\tau}$ .

## Muon Types

**Combined CB**: ID + MS Stand-Alone SA: MS only Segment-Tagged ST: ID track extrapolated to MS segment Calo-tagged: ID track associated with MIP-level dE/dX in calorimeter

#### Efficiency Measurement Method

Tag & Probe: two opposite charge,  $\Delta \phi > 2$  (back to back) track-isolated

#### Muon Reconstruction Efficiency



*Left:* Z-tag: CB isolated muon at  $p_T$ >24 GeV triggering the event, Z-probe: muon with  $p_T > 10$  GeV CB/SA for  $\epsilon$ (CB/SA | ID) or CaloTag  $\varepsilon$ (CB/ST | MS). Includes backgrounds: $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ , t-tbar,  $W(\rightarrow \mu + \nu) + jets$ 



muons in  $|\eta| < 2.5$  from Z, J/psi

Use both ID and MS to determine reconstruction efficiency.

#### MC Muon Momentum Correction

Corrections binned in  $(\eta, \varphi)$  regions of Det=ID or MS, where the momentum scale & resolution are ~uniform

$$p_{\rm T}^{\rm Cor, Det} = \frac{p_{\rm T}^{\rm MC, Det} + \sum_{n=0}^{1} s_n^{\rm Det}(\eta, \phi) (p_{\rm T}^{\rm MC, Det})^n}{1 + \sum_{m=0}^{2} \Delta r_m^{\rm Det}(\eta, \phi) (p_{\rm T}^{\rm MC, Det})^{m-1} g_m}$$

g<sub>m</sub>: normally distributed random variables (mean=0 width=1)

relative scale correction s<sub>n</sub>

 $s_0$ : term to model the  $p_T$ -scale dependent difference data-MC in the momentum reconstruction due to energy loss in the calorimeter ( $\rightarrow s_0^{ID}=0$ )

s<sub>1</sub>: imperfect knowledge of B-field integral and detector radial dimension

- $p_{T}$ -dependent momentum smearing  $\Delta r_{m} \rightarrow$  $\sigma(p_T)/p_T = r_0/p_T + r_1 + r_2 \cdot p_T$ components (summed in quadrature +)  $r_0$ : from dE/dX fluctuations in the traversed material ( $r_0^{ID}=0$ )
- $r_1$ : from multiple scattering, local B-field inhomogeneity, local radial displacement



*Right-Bottom*: reconstruction efficiency vs <#inelastic collisions>/bunch crossing

statistical uncertainty statistical + systematic uncertainties

Data distributions are well predicted by the MC simulation → scale factors = $\epsilon$ (Data)/ $\epsilon$ (MC) ≈ 1 in each (η, $\phi$ ) regions

 $\rightarrow$  no significant p<sub>T</sub> dependence

### **Di-Muon Mass Scale and Resolution**

10<sup>2</sup>

 $\overline{p}_{T}^{}, p_{T}^{*}$  [GeV]

10



 $10^{2}$ 

 $\overline{p}_{T}^{}$ ,  $p_{T}^{*}$  [GeV]



 $r_2$ : hit resolution, small misalignments

Total resolution smearing correction (over 18  $\eta$  detector regions  $p_T$  dependent) ID<10% MS<15%

*ID scale correction always* < 0.1% with uncertainty ranging from 0.02% (|η|<1) to 0.2% (|η|>2.3)

*MS scale correction* ≤ 0.1% except in barrel large sectors (≈0.3%) and for 1.2<|η|<1.5 (-0.4%)

Claudio Ferretti, for the ATLAS Collaboration

10

 $10^{2}$ 

 $\overline{p}_{T}^{}$ ,  $p_{T}^{*}$  [GeV]

**ATLAS** 

|ml<1

1.004

1.003

1.002

1.001

0.999

0.998

0.997

0.995

**D** The **D**