



CMS Tracker Upgrade for HL-LHC: R&D Plans, Present Status and Perspectives

Fabio Ravera on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

28 May 2015

Frontier Detectors for Frontier Physics 13th Pisa Meeting on Advanced Detectors

Outline

- Motivations for the tracker detector upgrade for the HL-LHC
- Pixel Tracker
- Outer Tracker
- Level 1 Tracking and Performances
- Summary

Phase-2 Motivations

Major achievement of the LHC: Higgs boson discovery in 2012. But we want to go further...

Investigating the Higgs sector:

- Higgs couplings and properties
- Higgs self coupling by searching di-Higgs production
- Vector Boson Scattering properties

Standard Model (SM) measurements:

- Precision measurements
 (e.g. M_W, sinθ_W, α_s)
- Search for rare SM processes, enhanced by BSM (e.g. B_{s,d} → µ⁺µ⁻)
- Differential measurements of W, Z, di-bosons, top

Goal: increase the luminosity by a factor of ~10



Luminosity	300 fb ⁻¹	3000 fb ⁻¹
Coupling parameter	7-parameter fit uncertainties	
kγ	5-7%	2-5%
kg	6-8%	3-5%
kw	4-6%	2-5%
kz	4-6%	2-4%
ku	14-15%	7-10%
k _d	10-13%	4-7%
kı	6-8%	2-5%
Гн	12-15%	5-8%



CMS toward HL-LHC Upgrade



Tracking degradation of Phase-1 tracker if used in HL-LHC conditions:

- Impact parameter degradation > 50% after 500 fb⁻¹
- Data loss of \sim 7% at PU = 140

Phase-2 Pixel Detector Requirements:

- ~3 times the hit rate of Phase-1
- ~10 times the radiation dose of Phase-1
- ~10 times the particle fluence of Phase-1

Phase-2 Outer Tracker Requirements:

- ~6 times the radiation dose of Phase-1
- Trigger information at level 1 needed

Phase-2 Tracker Layout





Pixel Detector

Pixel Detector

Pixel Detector Requirements 100 x 25 µm²

Increase of granularity

- Motivation: pixel occupancy reduction, resolution on high-pt tracks and two-tracks separation improvement
- Solution: small pixel size (100×25 or 50×50 µm²)
- Hit rate up to 2 GHz/cm² \rightarrow 100 kHz/pixel
- Outer layers with larger pixels if necessary, with the same chip
- Read-Out Chip (ROC) technology: 65 nm CMOS (RD53 Collaboration)

Increase of forward acceptance

- Motivation: to cover jet region of Vector Boson Fusion and Vector Boson Scattering
- Coverage up to pseudo-rapidity $\eta \sim 4$ (now $\eta = 2.4$)
- 4 barrel layers + 10 forward disks (now 3 barrel layers + 2 disks)





50 x 50 µm²

connected bump

```
unconnected bump
```

Radiation Hardness

ROC radiation hardness

Expected dose: ~1 Grad @ r = 30 mm (most internal layer) 15 Solution: 65 nm CMOS technology

Sensor radiation hardness

Expected fluence: $\sim 2 \times 10^{16} n_{eq}/cm^2$ @ r = 30 mm Solution: reduction of the drift distance to reduce the charge lost by trapping:

Thin planar sensors

- 100 μ m < thickness < 200 μ m
- n-in-p implant
- for strip structures ~4000 e⁻ @ 800V after 1.3×10¹⁶ n_{eq}/cm²



3D sensors

- columnar electrodes
- higher radiation hardness

20

Ω**Γ**

5

KA geometry v.3.7.0.0

50

100

- lower depletion voltage
- ~7000 e⁻ @ 150V after 5×10¹⁵ n_{eq}/cm²



1MeV neutron equivalent in Silicon, 3000 fb⁻¹

150

Z [cm]

200

n-active edge

FBK_1E (0 n_/cm²)

250

300

leeting on Advanced Detectors

1e+17

1e+16

1e+15

1e+14

electrodes

Iuence [cm⁻²

Pixel Detector Layout Studies



Inner BPIX: layer 1 and 2 **Outer BPIX:** layer 3 and 4

Inner FPIX: inner modules **Outer FPIX:** outer modules

- Too much d₀ degradation with pure squared pixels in BPIX
 → square pixels not suitable for the barrel
- Two extreme configurations, **#1** and **#5**, have comparable tracking performance
- Config. #5 has ~1/2 channels of #1

F. Ravera - 13th Pisa Meeting on Advanced Detectors

Configuration #1



Configuration #2



Pixel Detector Perspectives

Sensors:

- Thin Planar: submission expected in 2015 to study feasibility of small pixel, p-spray and p-stop insulations, radiation tolerance and resolution (in test-beam)
- 3D: 2 submissions expected in 2015:
 - CNM: trial of Phase-2 pixel size with thicker wafer
 - FBK: see talk of Prof. Gian-Franco Dalla Betta

Front-end ASIC:

• Good progress in RD53: see poster of Prof. Valerio Re

Tracker Layout:

• Ongoing full simulation studies to estimate hit resolution, occupancy and hit rates as a function of pixel pitch, thickness and electronic threshold

Outer Tracker

Radiation Hardness

- Expected fluence up to 1.5×10¹⁵ n_{eq}/cm²
- Investigation based on Hamamatsu test samples: •
 - standard, oxygen-rich ($[O] > 2 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) materials
 - p-in-n, n-in-p implant;
 - Float-zone, Magnetic Czochralski, Epi substrate; -
 - 300, 200, 100, 50 µm active thickness



- Conclusions:
 - **n-in-p** better: higher radiation tolerance with respect to p-in-n
 - **MCz sensors**: charge collection not dependent on annealing
 - **200 µm sensors** have lower current and same signal as 300 µm at highest dose
 - **cold operation** (-20°C) is needed at the high doses

p_T-module Concept

Trigger requirement:

Despite the foreseen improvements, muon and calorimeter-based L1 will not be able to stand the rates due to the PU and the limited resolution: e.g., in the muon case, no p_T threshold is effective in reducing the rate \rightarrow the Tracker must provide informations to the L1 system

Thanks to the CMS 3.8 T magnetic field, it is possible to discriminate high-p_T tracks



stub pass i = 4 mm Stub Stu

Stubs are processed in the back end to build L1 track primitives

@ 40 MHz (BX rate)
 @ 750 kHz (L1A rate)



PS and 2S Modules



Macro Pixel: $1.5 \text{ mm} \times 100 \mu \text{m}$ DC coupled Strip: $2.4 \text{ cm} \times 100 \mu \text{m}$ AC coupled Module area: $\sim 5 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$ Power: $\sim 6-8 \text{ W}$



F. Ravera - 13th Pisa Meeting on Advanced Detectors

2S modules: Strip + Strip

Strip: 5 cm \times 90 μ m AC coupled (both sides)

Module area: $\sim 10 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$

Power: ~4-5 W

Modules Prototypes

2×CBC functional module

Characteristics:

- 2 CBC chips (instead of 8)
- Electrical readout (not optical)
- No data concentrator
- Rigid hybrid
- Stub-finding logic
- Nominal noise and threshold
- Tested on beam (December 2013)





Outer Tracker Layout



Sensor spacing optimised as a function of p_T threshold (2 GeV), module position and sensor pitch. Further tuning performed by adjusting hit-matching windows

Outer Tracker Shopping List

~7100 PS modules: higher granularity, z/η info provided ~8400 2S modules: lower costs and lower power density, used at larger radii F. Ravera - 13th Pisa Meeting on Advanced Detectors

Outer Tracker Perspectives

Sensors:

- Several submissions ongoing from different vendors to evaluate different processes, materials (FZ or MCz), design aspects (slim edge, width/pitch variations, inter-strip insulation)
- Market survey
- Radiation qualification

Front-end ASICs:

- Good progresses on p_T module ASICs
- Different prototypes for 2S module ASICs already available, new version submissions expected in 2016
- PS ASICs under development, test on prototypes ongoing and new designs in progress

Modules:

- Beam test in the summer on irradiated 2S prototype 2xCBC
- Beam test in autumn on unirradiated 2S full-size module

Tracker Layout:

- Study of different Outer Tracker geometries using the tkLayout simulation tool, for example tilting PS modules in the barrel as a function of η

Level 1 Tracking and Performances

Level 1 Tracking for Phase-2

Extreme challenge: reconstruct O(100) tracks from ~15000 stubs at 40 MHz

Associative Memories

Track matching with a 10⁸ pattern database (custom processor)



Fitting method: PCA, Hough transform or Retina

Slices: $48 = 8 \times 6 (\phi \times \eta)$ MUX Time: Load balancing

Time Multiplexed Trigger

Multiple detectors send data to a single destination for complete event processing



Fitting method: under study

Slices: $5 = 5 \times 1 (\phi \times \eta)$ MUX Time: 24 Bunch Crossing M

Tracklet Algorithm

Track seeded by finding pairs of stubs in adjacent layers (tracklet)



Fitting method: linearised χ^2

Slices: $28 = 28 \times 1 (\phi \times \eta)$ MUX Time: 4 Bunch Crossing

L1-Tracking Performances



Good track-parameter resolutions obtained by L1-tracking simulation, here using tracklet algorithm (similar figures for Associative Memories)

L1-Tracking Perspectives

Next steps:

- Preparing demonstrators in one-two years
- Firmware for data sourcing preparation in progress

Associative Memories:

- Production of AM chip and mezzanine prototypes in progress
- Before AM chip arrival, AM functionality will be tested on FPGA (already implemented)
- Optimisation of the AM pattern bank ongoing
- Simulation of different track fitting methods ongoing

Time Multiplexed Trigger:

- Implementation feasibility of track finding algorithm under study
- MP7 demonstrator in preparation for first proof of concept (by August)

Tracklet Algorithm:

- Improving electron tracking efficiency
- Study of the barrel-endcap transition region
- Study of fake rate

See talk of Prof. Geoff Hall, posters of Dr. Giacomo Fedi and Davide Cieri

Phase-2 Tracker Performances





Track seed from pixel detector, seeds from p_T modules not considered: Improvements expected!

 η a Meeting on Advanced Detectors

Summary

Phase-2 Tracker Key Features to Address Physics Challenges

- η coverage extended from 2.4 to ~4
- Transverse momentum resolution increased
- Level 1 tracking

→ Pixel Detector

- Being defined, very challenging
- Pixel size under discussion
- Front-end development by RD53
- Radiation damage studies on sensors and electronics in progress
- Thin planar and 3D sensor submissions foreseen this year

Outer Tracker

- Irradiated 2S prototypes at beam test in summer
- PS prototypes will be available soon
- Layout configuration almost defined; detailed simulations ongoing

Level 1 Tracking

- 3 R&D strands:
 - Associative Memories
 - Time Multiplexed Trigger
 - Tracklet Algorithm
- Good efficiency and transverse momentum resolution obtained with simulations
- Hardware demonstrators under study

Backup

Compact Muon Solenoid



Current Outer Tracker



Volume: 24.4 m³ Strip length 10 cm (innermost) to 20 cm (outermost) Strip pitches 80 μ m (innermost) to 205 μ m (outermost) Qualified up to 2.1×10¹⁴ n_{eq}/cm² Readout chip: APV25 (0.25 µm IBM CMOS) 128 Channels Qualified up to 50 Mrad

Phase-1 Pixel detector

- The Phase-1 pixel detector is an improved version of the current pixel detector
- 4 layer / 3+3 disks (100×150 µm², n-in-n): improved track resolution and efficiency
- New readout chip: reduced dynamic inefficiency at high rate and PU
- Reduced material: CO₂ cooling, new cabling and DC-DC powering scheme
- It will be installed during the 2016 Technical Stop; a pilot disk 3 blade is already installed for testing



The LHC Timeline



We are here

Installation of the new CMS Phase-1 pixel detector

during extended winter shut-down

HL-LHC environment:

- Upgrade of low-β triplets and installation of crab-cavities to optimise bunch overlaps
- $L_{inst} = 5 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (~10 times Run 1) $\rightarrow L_{tot}$ ~ 3000 fb⁻¹ in 10 years
- Bunch crossing (BX) 25 ns (double BX rate of Run 1)
- Pile Up (PU) ~140 (~6 times Run 1)

Limitation of the Current Tracker

Phase-2 pixel tracker requirements with respect to the Phase-1

- Hit rate ~5 times larger
- Radiation dose ~3 times larger
- Radiation fluence ~10 times larger

Phase-2 outer tracker requirements with respect to the Phase-1

- Radiation dose ~6 times larger
- Trigger information needed





Tracking degradation:

- Impact parameter degradation > 50% after 500 fb⁻¹
- Data loss of \sim 7% at PU = 140
- Muon and calorimeter-based Level 1 (L1) trigger will not withstand the rates due to Pile-Up (PU) and limited resolution

F. Ravera - 13th Pisa Meeting on Advanced Detectors

HL-LHC CMS Upgrade

CALORIMETERS

ECAL Barrel Replace FE electronics

New Endcap Calorimeters

Radiation tolerant - high granularity Investigate coverage up to η ~ 4

NEW TRACKER

Radiation tolerant - high granularity - less material Tracks in hardware trigger (L1) Coverage up to $\eta \sim 4$

TRIGGER/DAQ

L1 (hardware) with tracks and rate up ~ 500 kHz to 1 MHz Latency ≥ 10 µs HLT output up to 10 kHz

MUON

Replace DT FE electronics Complete RPC coverage in forward region (new GEM/RPC technology) Investigate Muon-tagging up to $\eta \sim 4$

Alternative Outer Tracker Layout



DAQ Concept

Low/High Voltage Power Supply



Stub Rate



F. Ravera - 13th Pisa Meeting on Advanced Detectors

L1 Track Fitting

Tracking plane x*S*_{*ijk*} distance between cluster in layer *k* and track receptor *i*,*j* $s_{ijk} = \left| x_k - x_{j+} - x_{i-} \frac{z_k - z_+}{z_-} \right|$ cluster of hits track receptors *i*,*j* Track identified Retina response z x_+ Excitation of the cellular unit i,j $W_{ij} = \sum_{k} \exp(-\frac{s_{ijk}}{2\sigma^2})$ if $s_{ijk} < 2\sigma$ $W_{ij} = 0$ if $s_{iik} > 2\sigma$ x_{-} [Nicola Neri, TREDI 2015]

RETINA

Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Orthogonal linear transformation of variables in a new cartesian coordinate system in which variable with maximum variance is projected on the first axis, second maximum variance on second axis and so on. Algorithm can be implemented efficiently on FPGA.

Hough transformation

In a real space there are ∞ circle passing by a points and the axis origin. Circle center lies on a straight line in the Hough Space.



Hits lying on a circle will correspond to lines meeting at the same point in the Hough Space



CO₂ Cooling

Advantages of CO₂:

- Large latent heat
- Low viscosity
- Very small pipes → Low mass
- Cheaper than fluorocarbon refrigerant
- Low impact on environment

 CO_2 cooling foreseen for Phase-1 upgrade The technology in principle allows scaling to full tracker (between 5× and 10× w.r.t. pixel)



2-phase evaporating cooling concept





F. Ravera - 13th Pisa Meeting on Advanced Detectors

Phase-2 Tracker Performances



F. Ravera - 13th Pisa Meeting on Advanced Detectors