

Quark flavour observables in the flavor precision era

Fulvia De Fazio Bari

in collaboration with: P. Biancofiore, P. Colangelo (Bari) A.J. Buras, J. Girrbach (Munich)

What can be learnt about NP from flavour observables in the Flavour Precision Era (FPE)?

Ingredients of this contribution

- overview of existing problems
- sketch of forseen directions
- theory qb



Recent results for flavour observables deviating from SM predictions

BR($B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$) close to SM while **BR**($B_d \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$) higher than its SM value (LHCb + CMS)

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-9}$$
$$\mathcal{B}(B_d \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = (3.6 \pm {}^{1.6}_{1.4}) \times 10^{-10}$$

LHCb+ CMS

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)_{SM} = (3.65 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-9}$$

 $\mathcal{B}(B_d \to \mu^+ \mu^-)_{SM} = (1.06 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-10}$

Bobeth et al, 1311.0903

Bin analysis of angular observables in $\mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{K}^* \,\mu^+ \,\mu^-$ deviate from SM (LHCb)



$$\frac{1}{d\Gamma/dq^2} \frac{d^4\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_\ell d\cos\theta_K d\phi dq^2} = \frac{9}{32\pi} \bigg[\frac{3}{4} (1 - F_L) \sin^2\theta_K + F_L \cos^2\theta_K + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_L) \sin^2\theta_K \cos2\theta_\ell - F_L \cos^2\theta_K \cos2\theta_\ell + S_3 \sin^2\theta_K \sin^2\theta_\ell \cos2\phi + S_4 \sin2\theta_K \sin2\theta_\ell \cos\phi + S_5 \sin2\theta_K \sin\theta_\ell \cos\phi + S_6 \sin^2\theta_K \cos\theta_\ell + S_7 \sin2\theta_K \sin\theta_\ell \sin\phi + S_8 \sin2\theta_K \sin2\theta_\ell \sin\phi + S_9 \sin^2\theta_K \sin^2\theta_\ell \sin2\phi \bigg],$$



$$B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \ \tau \ \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$$

Recent BaBar measurements:

BaBar, PRL 109 (2012) 101802

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{-}(D) &= \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{-} \to D^{0}\tau^{-}\bar{\nu}_{\tau})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{-} \to D^{0}\ell^{-}\bar{\nu}_{\ell})} = 0.429 \pm 0.082 \pm 0.052 \ , \\ \mathcal{R}^{-}(D^{*}) &= \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{-} \to D^{*0}\tau^{-}\bar{\nu}_{\tau})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{-} \to D^{*0}\ell^{-}\bar{\nu}_{\ell})} = 0.322 \pm 0.032 \pm 0.022 \ , \\ \mathcal{R}^{0}(D) &= \frac{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^{0} \to D^{+}\tau^{-}\bar{\nu}_{\tau})}{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^{0} \to D^{+}\ell^{-}\bar{\nu}_{\ell})} = 0.469 \pm 0.084 \pm 0.053 \ , \\ \mathcal{R}^{0}(D^{*}) &= \frac{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^{0} \to D^{*+}\tau^{-}\bar{\nu}_{\tau})}{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^{0} \to D^{+}\ell^{-}\bar{\nu}_{\ell})} = 0.355 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.021 \end{aligned}$$

• BaBar quotes a 3.4 σ deviation from SM predictions

• main question arised : is this related to the enhancement of $B(B \rightarrow \tau v_{\tau})$?



Which direction should we follow in the Flavour Precision Era (FPE)?

Three points for discussion:

- what can be learnt about NP from flavour observables if the lightest NP messanger is a new Z' gauge boson?
- are there other observbles to be considered in an improved experimental environment to understand the deviation in P_5' ?
- are there other modes that can show an anomalous enhancement as R(D) and R(D*)?

Anatomy of Z' with FCNC in the FPE

- There exists a new neutral gauge boson Z' mediating tree-level FCNC processes $M_{z'}=1$ TeV 3 TeV
- Existing data constrain Z' couplings to quarks

$$\sum_{j\beta}^{Z'} i_{\alpha} = i\gamma_{\mu}\delta_{\alpha\beta} \left[\Delta_{L}^{ij}(Z')P_{L} + \Delta_{R}^{ij}(Z')P_{R} \right]$$

Four possible scenarios for such couplings can be considered

- 1. Left-handed Scenario (LHS) with complex $\Delta_L^{bq} \neq 0$ and $\Delta_R^{bq} = 0$,
- 2. Right-handed Scenario (RHS) with complex $\Delta_R^{bq} \neq 0$ and $\Delta_L^{bq} = 0$,
- 3. Left-Right symmetric Scenario (LRS) with complex $\Delta_L^{bq} = \Delta_R^{bq} \neq 0$,
- 4. Left-Right asymmetric Scenario (ALRS) with complex $\Delta_L^{bq} = -\Delta_R^{bq} \neq 0$

Predictions on correlations among flavour observables provide the path to identify which, in any, of them is realized in nature



Imposing the experimental constraints one finds the allowed oases for the parameters

 $0.48/\text{ps} \le \Delta M_d \le 0.53/\text{ps}, \quad 0.64 \le S_{\psi K_S} \le 0.72.$

 $16.9/\text{ps} \le \Delta M_s \le 18.7/\text{ps}, \quad -0.18 \le S_{\psi\phi} \le 0.18.$

$$s_{13}$$
, $s_{23} > 0$ & $0 < \delta_{23} < 2\pi$ $0 < \delta_{13} < 2\pi$



	\widetilde{s}_{23}	δ_{23}		1
$A_1(S1)$	0.0016 - 0.0061	$49^\circ - 129^\circ$	Big	
$A_2(S1)$	0.0176 - 0.0181	$87^{\circ} - 92^{\circ}$	Small	
$A_3(S1)$	0.0016 - 0.0061	$229^\circ-309^\circ$	Big	
$A_4(S1)$	0.0176 - 0.0181	$267^\circ-272^\circ$	Small	



How to find the optimal oasis?

The decay $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

SM effective hamiltonian \rightarrow one master function $Y_0(x_t)$

$$x_t = m_t^2 / M_W^2$$

$$Y_0(x_t) = \frac{x_t}{8} \left(\frac{x_t - 4}{x_t - 1} + \frac{3x_t \log x_t}{(x_t - 1)^2} \right)$$

independent on the decaying meson and on the lepton flavour

Z' contribution modifies this function to:











The decay $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$

$$H^{eff} = -4 \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \left\{ C_1 O_1 + C_2 O_2 + \sum_{i=3,..,6} C_i O_i + \sum_{i=7,..,10,P,S} \left[C_i O_i + C_i' O_i' \right] \right\}$$

Most relevant operators



How large should be the NP contributions to the relevant Wilson coefficients to explain the observed anomalies?

The result depends on how many coefficients are assumed to be affected by NP

W. Altmanshofer, D. Straub EPJC73 (2013) 2646

3





All fields propagate in the bulk, Higgs localized close to or on the IR brane

Gauge group enlarged to $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X \times P_{L,R}$

Agashe et al, PLB641 (06) 62 Carena et al.,NPB 759 (06) 202 Cacciapaglia et al PRD75 (07) 015003

Implies a mirror action of the two SU(2) groups Particle content:

SM particles+ their KK excitations New particles Zero modes identified with SM fields

Tree level FCNC in RS_c model



X= $A^{(1)}$ (1st KK of the γ) Z, Z_H, Z' (from mixing of 0- and 1-modes) $G^{(1)}$ (1st KK of the g)



New contributions to the Wilson coefficients



Fulvia De Fazio

Results



Results









Uncertainty on FF taken into account





RS_c Uncertainty reflects the variation of input parameters & FF errors

LHCb Data

- Deviations from SM results are possible
- Presently hidden by hadronic uncertainties
- The anomaly in data cannot be explained

$\boldsymbol{\tau}$ in the final state





No measurements available yet to test SM

Deviations in $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ distributions and correlations with other flavour observables in 331 models 331 Models: general features

P. Frampton, PRL 69 (92) 2889 F. Pisano & V. Pleitez, PRD 46 (92) 410





However: always present a new neutral Z'

Mediates tree level FCNC in the quark sector

Correlation between C₉ and BR(B_s $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$)

A.J. Buras, J. Girrbach, FDF JHEP 1402 (2014) 112



Fulvia De Fazio

Correlation between C₉ and BR(B_s $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$)

A.J. Buras, J. Girrbach, FDF JHEP 1402 (2014) 112



Fulvia De Fazio

$$B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \tau \, \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$$

strategy:

- consider a NP scenario that enhances semileptonic modes but not leptonic ones
- predict similar effects in other analogous modes



P. Biancofiore, P. Colangelo, FDF PRD 87 (2013) 074010

$$B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \ \tau \ \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$$

Including a new tensor operator in H_{eff} : is it possible to reproduce both R(D) and R(D^{*})?



$$B \rightarrow D^* \tau \overline{\nu_{\tau}}$$
asymmetry
$$A_{\Gamma P}(q^2) = \frac{\int_0^1 d\cos\theta_\ell \, \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_\ell} - \int_{-1}^0 d\cos\theta_\ell}{d}$$

Forward-Backward





The SM predicts a zero at $q^2 \approx 6.15 \text{ GeV}^2$ In NP the zero is shifted to $q^2 \in [8.1,9.3]$ GeV²





Difficult but promising observables:

- CP asymmetry in $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ (+ correlations) - $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \nu \overline{\nu}$
-
- B -> K^{*} τ⁺ τ⁻
- FB asymmetry in B -> D^{*} τv_{τ}
- B -> D^{**} $\tau \overline{v_{\tau}}$ + FB asymmetries