

# Flavour Physics possibilities at FCC-ee

### S. Monteil, Université Blaise Pascal – LPC Clermont-IN2P3-CNRS,

S. Monteil



#### Outline of the talk

- Introduction to the FCC project
- Few words on the *e*+*e* machine
- The Physics case at large.
- The Flavour Physics case:
  - Leptons
  - Quarks



• Starting from the former European HEP strategy 2013



 At the time the LHC Run II will have delivered its results, have an educated vision of the reach of future machines for the next round of the European Strategy in 2018.

## 1. Introduction to FCC: the scope of the project



- Forming an international collaboration to study:
- 100 TeV *pp*-collider (FCC-*hh*) as long term goal, defining infrastructure requirements.
- *e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>* collider (FCC-*ee*) as potential intermediate step.
- *p-e* (FCC-*he*) as an option.
- 80-100 km infrastructure in Geneva area.



 Conceptual design report and cost review for the next european strategy → 2018.

#### 1. Introduction to FCC



• 80-100 km infrastructure in Geneva area: A flavour of the location:



#### 1. Introduction to FCC



- 80-100 km infrastructure in Geneva area: not just a dream.
- Infrastructure studies ongoing. A 93 km racetrack:





#### S. Monteil



• Applies to all machine and experiment designs:

#### Proposal for FCC Study Time Line



#### 2. The $e^+e^-$ machine. Baseline design



- Physics from the Z pole to top pair production (90 400 GeV), crossing WW and ZH thresholds with unprecedented statistics everywhere.
- Two rings (top-up injection) to cope with high current and large number of bunches at operating points up to *ZH*.
- Not a straightforward extrapolation of LEP. Many Challenges:
  - Brehmsstrahlung@IP limits the beam lifetime at top energy.
  - Polarization of the beams (at least natural one for beam energy measurement - EWK precision measurements)
  - RF system must deal w/ contradictory requirements (high gradients (top) / high currents (*Z*).
- Baseline design is a target. Not an actual working machine.

#### 2. The $e^+e^-$ machine. Challenges



• To some extent, SuperKEKB is a testbench for FCC-ee:













- The energy allocation of the machine is to be worked out; still ...
- ... we're speaking here of 10<sup>12</sup>/10<sup>13</sup> Z, 10<sup>8</sup> WW, 10<sup>6</sup> H and 10<sup>6</sup> top

(FCC)

First look at the physics case of TLEP



#### The TLEP Design Study Working Group

M. Bicer,<sup>a</sup> H. Duran Yildiz,<sup>b</sup> I. Yildiz,<sup>c</sup> G. Coignet,<sup>d</sup> M. Delmastro,<sup>d</sup> T. Alexopoulos,<sup>e</sup>
C. Grojean,<sup>f</sup> S. Antusch,<sup>g</sup> T. Sen,<sup>h</sup> H.-J. He,<sup>i</sup> K. Potamianos,<sup>j</sup> S. Haug,<sup>k</sup>
A. Moreno,<sup>l</sup> A. Heister,<sup>m</sup> V. Sanz,<sup>n</sup> G. Gomez-Ceballos,<sup>o</sup> M. Klute,<sup>o</sup> M. Zanetti,<sup>o</sup>
L.-T. Wang,<sup>p</sup> M. Dam,<sup>q</sup> C. Boehm,<sup>r</sup> N. Glover,<sup>r</sup> F. Krauss,<sup>r</sup> A. Lenz,<sup>r</sup> M. Syphers,<sup>s</sup>
C. Leonidopoulos,<sup>t</sup> V. Ciulli,<sup>u</sup> P. Lenzi,<sup>u</sup> G. Sguazzoni,<sup>u</sup> M. Antonelli,<sup>v</sup> M. Boscolo,<sup>v</sup>
U. Dosselli,<sup>v</sup> O. Frasciello,<sup>v</sup> C. Milardi,<sup>v</sup> G. Venanzoni,<sup>v</sup> M. Zobov,<sup>o</sup> J. van der Bij,<sup>w</sup>
M. de Gruttola,<sup>x</sup> D.-W. Kim,<sup>y</sup> M. Bachtis,<sup>z</sup> A. Butterworth,<sup>z</sup> C. Bernet,<sup>z</sup> C. Botta,<sup>z</sup>
F. Carminati,<sup>z</sup> A. David,<sup>z</sup> L. Deniau,<sup>z</sup> D. d'Enterria,<sup>z</sup> G. Ganis,<sup>z</sup> B. Goddard,<sup>z</sup>
G. Giudice,<sup>z</sup> P. Janot,<sup>z</sup> J. M. Jowett,<sup>z</sup> C. Lourenço,<sup>z</sup> L. Malgeri,<sup>z</sup> E. Meschi,<sup>z</sup>
F. Moortgat,<sup>z</sup> P. Musella,<sup>z</sup> J. A. Osborne,<sup>z</sup> L. Perrozzi,<sup>z</sup> M. Pierini,<sup>z</sup> L. Rinolfi,<sup>z</sup>
A. de Roeck,<sup>z</sup> J. Rojo,<sup>z</sup> G. Roy,<sup>z</sup> A. Sciabà,<sup>z</sup> A. Valassi,<sup>z</sup> C.S. Vaaijer,<sup>z</sup>
J. Wenninger,<sup>z</sup> H. Woehri,<sup>z</sup> F. Zimmermann,<sup>z</sup> A. Blondel,<sup>aa</sup> M. Koratzinos,<sup>aa</sup>
P. Mermod,<sup>aa</sup> Y. Onel,<sup>ab</sup> R. Talman,<sup>ac</sup> E. Castaneda Miranda,<sup>ad</sup> E. Bulyak,<sup>ae</sup>
D. Porsuk,<sup>af</sup> D. Kovalskyi,<sup>ag</sup> S. Padhi,<sup>ag</sup> P. Facciol,<sup>ah</sup> J. R. Ellis,<sup>ai</sup> M. Campanelli,<sup>aj</sup>

ABSTRACT: The discovery by the ATLAS and CMS experiments of a new boson with mass around 125 GeV and with measured properties compatible with those of a Standard-Model Higgs boson, coupled with the absence of discoveries of phenomena beyond the Standard Model at the TeV scale, has triggered interest in ideas for future Higgs factories. A new circular e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collider hosted in a 80 to 100 km tunnel, TLEP, is among the most attractive solutions proposed so far. It has a clean experimental environment, produces high luminosity for top-quark, Higgs boson, W and Z studies, accommodates multiple detectors, and can reach energies up to the  $t\bar{t}$  threshold and beyond. It will enable measurements of the Higgs boson properties and of Electroweak Symmetry-Breaking (EWSB) parameters with unequalled precision, offering exploration of physics beyond the Standard Model in the multi-TeV range. Moreover, being the natural precursor of the VHE-LHC, a 100 TeV hadron machine in the same tunnel, it builds up a long-term vision for particle physics. Altogether, the combination of TLEP and the VHE-LHC offers, for a great cost effectiveness, the best precision and the best search reach of all options presently on the market. This paper presents a first appraisal of the salient features of the TLEP physics potential, to serve as a baseline for a more extensive design study.

- This initial study focused primarily on the Higgs Physics (w/ full simulation but CMS detector).
- EWK precision tests examined from LEP (*Z*, *W*) or LC (top) extrapolations so far.
- The Design Study aims at reaching a fully educated view of the Physics Case from realistic detector simulation studies.
- Explore all the Physics possibilities including Flavours.

JHEP

 $\vdash$ 

 $\widehat{\mathbb{N}}$ 

 $\vdash$ 

 $\vdash$ 

 $\mathcal{O}$ 

 $\square$ 

#### 3. The *e*<sup>+</sup>*e*<sup>-</sup> Physics case at large: Study organisation



- The Physics coordination is organized in experimental studies (convened by Blondel and Janot) and phenomenology studies (Ellis and Grosjean).
- Seven Physics Working Groups for Experiments.

#### FCC-ee Experiments : A four-year study (4)

- Eleven working groups have been set up to this end
  - WG1: Electroweak physics at the Z pole (R. Tenchini)
  - WG2: Di-boson production and W mass measurement (R. Tenchini)
  - WG3: H(126) properties (M. Klute, K. Peters)
  - WG4: Top quark physics (P. Azzi)
  - WG5: QCD and γγ physics (D. d'Enterria, P. Skands)
  - WG6: Flavour physics (S. Monteil, J. Kamenik)
  - WG7: Experimental signatures of new physics (M. Pierini, C. Rogan)
  - WG8: Experimental environment (N. Bacchetta)
  - WG9: Offline software and computing (F. Gianotti, P. Janot)
  - WG10: Online software (C. Leonidopoulos)
  - WG11: Detector designs (A. Cattai, G. Rolandi)
    - The groups are not closed entities / boxes
      - → Each group is expected to work closely with all the others

Keeping strong links with the relevant machine and theory groups

Patrick Janot

FCC-ee Physics Workshop: Goals of the first year 19-Jun-2014





# Physics reach related to the luminosity figure - ElectroWeak Precision tests: *Z* pole and *WW* and top pairs thresholds

Observable	Measurement	Current precision	TLEP stat.	Possible syst.	Challenge	
m <sub>z</sub> (MeV)	Lineshape	91187.5 <b>± 2.1</b>	0.005	< 0.1	QED corr.	
Γ <sub>z</sub> (MeV)	Lineshape	2495.2 <b>± 2.3</b>	0.008	< 0.1	QED corr.	
R <sub>I</sub>	Peak	20.767 <b>± 0.025</b>	0.0001	< 0.001	Statistics	
R <sub>b</sub>	Peak	0.21629 <b>± 0.00066</b>	0.000003	< 0.00006	g → bb	
N <sub>v</sub>	Peak	2.984 <b>± 0.008</b>	0.00004	< 0.004	Lumi meast	
$\alpha_s(m_Z)$	R <sub>I</sub>	0.1190 <b>± 0.0025</b>	0.00001	0.0001	New Physics	
m <sub>w</sub> (MeV)	Threshold scan	80385 <b>± 15</b>	0.3	< 0.5	QED Corr.	
N <sub>v</sub>	Radiative returns e⁺e⁻→γΖ, Ζ→νν, II	2.92 <b>± 0.05</b> 2.984 <b>± 0.008</b>	0.001	< 0.001	?	
$\alpha_{s}(m_{W})$	$B_{had} = (\Gamma_{had} / \Gamma_{tot})_{W}$	B <sub>had</sub> = 67.41 ± 0.27	0.00018	< 0.0001	CKM Matrix	
m <sub>top</sub> (MeV)	Threshold scan	173200 <b>± 900</b>	10	10	QCD (~40 MeV)	
$\Gamma_{ m top}$ (MeV)	Threshold scan	?	12	?	$\alpha_{s}(m_{Z})$	
$\lambda_{top}$	Threshold scan	μ = 2.5 <b>± 1.05</b>	13%	?	$\alpha_{s}(m_{Z})$	

S. Monteil



#### Physics reach related to the luminosity figure - Higgs.

		$\bigcap$				$\bigcap$	)		
Facility		ILC		ILC(LumiUp)	TLE	P (4 IP)		CLIC	
$\sqrt{s} \; (\text{GeV})$	250	500	1000	250/500/1000	240	350	350	1400	3000
$\int \mathcal{L} dt \ (\mathrm{fb}^{-1})$	250	+500	+1000	$1150 + 1600 + 2500^{\ddagger}$	10000	+2600	500	+1500	+2000
$P(e^-,e^+)$	(-0.8, +0.3)	(-0.8, +0.3)	(-0.8, +0.2)	(same)	(0,0)	(0,0)	(-0.8, 0)	(-0.8, 0)	(-0.8, 0)
$\Gamma_H$	12%	5.0%	4.6%	2.5%	1.9%	1.0%	9.2%	8.5%	8.4%
$\kappa_\gamma$	18%	8.4%	4.0%	2.4%	1.7%	1.5%	-	5.9%	${<}5.9\%$
$\kappa_g$	6.4%	2.3%	1.6%	0.9%	1.1%	0.8%	4.1%	2.3%	2.2%
$\kappa_W$	4.9%	1.2%	1.2%	0.6%	0.85%	0.19%	2.6%	2.1%	2.1%
$\kappa_Z$	1.3%	1.0%	1.0%	0.5%	0.16%	0.15%	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%
$\kappa_{\mu}$	91%	91%	16%	10%	6.4%	6.2%	-	11%	5.6%
$\kappa_{ au}$	5.8%	2.4%	1.8%	1.0%	0.94%	0.54%	4.0%	2.5%	$<\!\!2.5\%$
$\kappa_c$	6.8%	2.8%	1.8%	1.1%	1.0%	0.71%	3.8%	2.4%	2.2%
$\kappa_b$	5.3%	1.7%	1.3%	0.8%	0.88%	0.42%	2.8%	2.2%	2.1%
$\kappa_t$	_	14%	3.2%	2.0%	—	13%	_	4.5%	$<\!\!4.5\%$
$BR_{inv}$	0.9%	< 0.9%	< 0.9%	0.4%	0.19%	< 0.19%			
		$\bigcirc$					/		



- Is there a Flavour case in this big picture?
- At least, there are obvious flavour-related questions to be examined.
- I'd like to convince you that the answer is definitely yes.
- Starting with leptons.



- With the advent of the discovery of a SM-like BEH boson, there is a strong case for the existence of right-handed neutrinos possibly below or at the electroweak scale.
- A high-luminosity Z factory with  $10^{12} / 10^{13} Z$  offers the opportunity to scan their parameter space below the electroweak scale.
- The sterile neutrinos can be searched for directly through their decays or indirectly through the charged lepton flavour-violating *Z* decays. Will give examples of both.
- Yukawa for charged fermions

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = Y_{ij}^d \bar{Q}_{Li} \phi d_{Rj} + Y_{ij}^u \bar{Q}_{Li} \tilde{\phi} u_{Rj} + Y_{ij}^\ell \bar{L}_{Li} \phi \ell_{Rj} + \text{h.c.}$$

• Most general Lag. form for neutrals  $\mathcal{L}_N = \frac{M_{ij}}{2} \bar{N}_i^c N_j + Y_{ij}^{\nu} \bar{L}_{Li} \phi N_j$ 

#### 4. Flavours at the Z: the lepton Physics Case



- Most general form for neutrals L  $\mathcal{L}_N = rac{M_{ij}}{2} ar{N}_i^c N_j + Y_{ij}^{
  u} ar{L}_{Li} \phi N_j$
- Somehow, the only (provocative) question is how many?





- Direct search (Serra, Blondel, Graverini, Shaposhnikov) based on nuMSM model from Asaka and Shaposhnikov arXiv:hep-ph/0505013
- The sterile neutrinos are produced from mixing with active neutrinos out of the *Z* decay.
  - Sterile neutrinos are produced by  $Z^0 \to \nu \overline{\nu}$  with a neutrinos mixing with the sterile
- The *N* decay lifetime depends on the mass of the sterile and the mixings • Number of  $N = 2 \times N_{Z0} \times BR(Z^0 \to \nu \overline{\nu}) \times U^2 \times Eff(U^2, M)$



 $N \to \ell^+ \ell'^- \nu, N \stackrel{\bullet}{\to} \stackrel{\text{The decays are } N \to \ell^+ \ell^{-\prime} \nu \text{ and } N \to \ell q \bar{q} \text{ (lepton and two jets)}}{\stackrel{\bullet}{\to} Q \bar{q} \ell' \ell, N \stackrel{\bullet}{\to} Q \bar{q} \nu}$ S. Monteil Flavours @ FCC-ee 19



 The parameter space which can be accessed (Serra, Blondel, Graverini, Shaposhnikov) [sensitivity figure supposing so far background-free reconstruction].





 Lepton Flavour-violating Z decays in the SM with lepton mixing are typically

 $\mathcal{B}(Z \to e^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}) \sim \mathcal{B}(Z \to e^{\pm} \tau^{\mp}) \sim 10^{-54} \text{ and } \mathcal{B}(Z \to \mu^{\pm} \tau^{\mp}) \sim 4.10^{-60}$ 

- Any observation of such a decay would be an indisputable evidence for New Physics.
- Current limits at the level of ~10<sup>-6</sup> (from LEP and recently Atlas, *e.g.* DELPHI, Z. Phys. C73 (1997) 243 ATLAS, CERN-PH-EP-2014-195 (2014))
- The FCC-*ee* high luminosity Z factory would allow to gain up to six orders of magnitude ...
- Complementary to the direct search for steriles.
- The following plots are based on a work from V. De Romeri et al.



• Processes

 $\nu_i$ 



• Examples of model realisations: physical states: 3 + N extra Majorana W Flavours @ FCC-ee 22



• Examples of model realisations: 3 + 1 effective model



• The blue points correspond to the realisations of the parameter space not disfavoured by any of the above-mentioned constraints.

S. Monteil

Flavours @ FCC-ee



• Examples of model realisations: Inverse See-Saw



 The blue points correspond to the realisations of the parameter space not disfavoured by any of the above-mentioned constraints. Red are points disfavoured by cosmological constraints.

#### 4. Flavours at the *Z*: the quarks Physics Case



- The CP violation and rare b-decays landscape has to be examined from the anticipated results of both the LHCb upgrade and the Belle II experiments.
- LHCb sees all species of *b*-particles (and charm in abundance) and is especially good at rare decays with muons and fully charged decay modes. Less efficient for electrons, neutrals, missing energy, hadronic multibody decays.
- Belle II should explore deeply/widely the Bd and Bu meson systems. Might also run above the Υ(5S) threshold but can't resolve the oscillation of Bs meson.
- The latter highs and lows define a path to complete the picture in the event nothing new is observed meanwhile. And there are more flavour subjects with intrinsic interest for FCC-*ee*.



- A possible/appealing realm for FCC-*ee* in the classic flavours is therefore provided by the following triptych most likely unique to FCC*ee*:
  - 1) Any leptonic or semileptonic decay mode involving *Bs*, *Bc* or *b*-baryon (those are coming polarized), including electrons.
  - 2) Any decay mode involving *Bs*, *Bc* or *b*-baryon with neutrals.
  - 3) Multibody (means 4 and more) hadronic *b*-hadron decays.
- We highlighted flagship modes for each category in order to build the Physics Work Packages.



1) Any leptonic or semileptonic decay mode involving  $B_s$ ,  $B_c$  or *b*-baryon, including electrons, in no particular order:

•  $B_{d,s} \rightarrow ee, \mu\mu, \tau\tau$ : if the second will be mostly covered by LHCb and CMS, the first can be searched for with a similar precision. The latter  $B_s \rightarrow \tau\tau$  is most likely unique to FCC-*ee* and subjected to third family specific couplings.

• Leptonic decays in direct annihilation  $B_{u,c} \rightarrow \mu v_{\mu}, \tau v_{\tau}$ . The latter is a chance to get  $|V_{cb}|$  with mild theoretical uncertainties.

• If the baseline machine is to be confirmed with the crab-waist option, the flavours scope with  $10^{13} Z$  is likely to change dramatically. For instance, it will be possible to get  $|V_{ub}|$  theory-free (well, strong isospin symmetry only ...) out of ratios of rare decays. Not mentioning that the large boost at the *Z* can be beneficial for classical methods.



2) Any decay mode involving *Bs, Bc* or *b*-baryon with neutrals.

•  $B_{d,s} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : theoretically difficult.

•  $B_s \rightarrow K_S K_S$ : *CP* violation studies. Also interesting for downstream tracking of  $V^0$  in general.

•  $B \rightarrow XII (s\tau\tau \text{ at first})$ : rare FCNC complementing the  $B_d$  at *B*-factories.

3) Multibody (4 and more) hadronic *b*-hadron decays.

- $B_s \rightarrow \psi \eta'$  or  $\eta_c \Phi$ : flavour tagging required for weak mixing phase.
- $B_s \rightarrow D_s K$ : PID definitely required to isolate the signal.
- Modes to be used to define the Particle Identification needs.



- Understand the experimental precision with which rare decays of *c* and *b*-hadrons and CP violation in the heavy-quark sector could be measured with 10<sup>12</sup> Z, as well as the potential sensitivity to new physics, and compare to the ultimate potential of the (soon to be) running LHCb upgrade and Belle II experiments. Examine the relevance of a dedicated PID (*π*/*K*/*p* separation) detector,
- The very same objective stands for the rare lepton decays.
- Examine the physics reach of lepton flavour violating processes and neutrino-related Physics unique to the FCC-*ee*.
- Have a platform to think of beyond standard observables.
- "What would like to do/see with/in 10<sup>12</sup> / 10<sup>13</sup> Z?" makes a nice playground to start with.



- An effort for a design study of a large *pp* and *ee* collider is getting structured in order to provide an educated view of the Physics reach of such a facility for the next update of the HEP European strategy (2018).
- This design study, denoted FCC, is hosted by CERN.
- The *ee* collider should provide experiments with an unprecedented luminosity from the *Z* pole to the top pair threshold.
- The flavour Physics, as an indissociable part of the electroweak symmetry breaking understanding, is a natural and obvious contributor.
- We are just starting to explore the possibilities, in particular with 10<sup>12</sup> / 10<sup>13</sup> Z.



- The FCC software is getting up. A good moment to contribute.
- Aim at gathering small teams of experimentalists and theoreticians.
- Information on FCC and FCC-*ee* can be found there :

http://tlep.web.cern.ch/

• A dedicated e-list for the Flavours WG is set-up here for CERN users:

https://e-groups.cern.ch/e-groups/Egroup.do?egroupId=10116182&tab=3

• Otherwise get in touch with

jernej.kamenik@ijs.sikamenik or monteil@in2p3.fr.