Overview of CMS Upgrade Program

Mario Galanti (U. of Rochester) for the CMS Collaboration





The landscape of Flavour Physics towards the high intensity era Pisa – 9-10/12/2014

Summary

- LHC schedule and CMS upgrades
 - Run-2 preparation and Phase-1 upgrades
 - High-Luminosity LHC and Phase-2 upgrades
- Impact of CMS upgrades on B physics
- Studies of CMS B-physics performance for HL-LHC
 - Trigger capabilities
 - Estimate of CMS performance for $B^{0}_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu\mu$

LHC Run 1



- During Run 1 LHC has collided two proton beams with $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV (2010, 2011) and 8 TeV (2012)
- The total luminosity delivered to CMS was ~29 fb⁻¹ (6 fb⁻¹ in 2011 and 23 fb⁻¹ in 2012)
- The good data recorded by CMS was ~25 fb⁻¹



CMS detector



LHC and CMS upgrade schedule

LHC / HL-LHC Plan





LHC and CMS upgrade schedule

LHC / HL-LHC Plan





CMS upgrades

- Baseline (i.e. Run-1) CMS detector was designed to operate at a luminosity L≈10³⁴cm⁻² s⁻¹ with 25 ns of bunch crossing (average PU~25)
 - These conditions will be realized during **Run-2** after the LS1 in 2015-2017
- The Phase-1 upgrades will allow CMS to deal with L≈2×10³⁴cm⁻² s⁻¹ with 25 ns of bunch crossing (average PU~50)
 - These conditions should be realized in **Run-3** in 2019-2022
 - A total of **300 fb**⁻¹ **of pp data** should be collected at the end of Run-3
 - The most important upgrade for B physics will be **a new pixel detector**
- The Phase-2 upgrades involve major changes, in order to cope with a luminosity L≈5×10³⁴cm⁻² s⁻¹ with 25 ns of bunch crossing (average PU~140)
 - This is going to be realized in 2024 in the **HL-LHC runs**
 - Asymptotically, up to **3000 fb**⁻¹ **of pp data** should be collected in this scenario
 - B physics will benefit the most from a completely **new inner tracker**

Run-2 preparation

Detector changes being deployed during current Long Shutdown of LHC

<text>

New beam pipe with reduced diameter → ready for installation of upgraded pixels in 2017



M. Galanti – "Overview of CMS Upgrade Program"

New RPC

and CSC

stations

at high $|\eta|$

Phase-1 pixel upgrade



- In 2017 the **pixel detector** will be replaced
 - One more layer, closer to the beam pipe
 → more precise
 - Lower material budget
 → smaller multiple scattering
- Better performance for low p_T tracks, used for B physics



Phase-2 tracker upgrade



- The CMS tracker will be completely renewed for the High-Luminosity LHC runs starting in 2024
 - 6 barrel + 5 endcap layers + pixels
 - Lighter than the Phase-1 tracker \rightarrow better p_T resolution
- New design including pixels also in the outer part
 - 7004 PS(Pixel+Strip) modules (60% in the barrel)
 - 8344 2S(Strip+Strip) modules (50% in the barrel)



Offline tracking performance

- Performance of Phase-2 tracker evaluated with full Geant4 simulation of the detector
- Improved resolution for low- and medium- p_T muons, especially in the barrel
- Coverage up to $|\eta| < 4$ (in the hypothesis of an extended pixel detector)
- Reduced track fakes at high $|\eta|$



CMS p_T modules for trigger

Future CMS tracker will have L1 trigger capabilities for $p_T > 2$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ tracks



Pixels are logically OR-ed for finding coincidence in the r- ϕ plane, and the precise z-coordinate is retained in the pixel storage and provided to the trigger processors



L1 track trigger expected performance

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

z₀ resolution [cm]



- **High efficiency** for the reconstruction of muon and hadron tracks at L1
- Good resolution of track parameters
 - E.g. momentum, z₀
- Large reduction of L1 muon trigger rate matching L1 tracks to trigger primitives in muon chambers (plot normalized to present CMS muon trigger with threshold p_T>10 GeV)



B physics studies

- The B-physics performance of the CMS Phase-1 and Phase-2 upgraded detectors has been estimated by looking at one benchmark channel: search for B⁰→μμ decays and measurement of the B⁰_(S)→μμ branching fraction
- Intrinsically important: observing the B⁰ \rightarrow µµ decay and determining precisely its branching fraction can pose stringent limits to new physics models
- Comprising several other **possible measurements at HL-LHC**: cross section ratios, polarization, etc.
- The results can give hints on the CMS performance in **similar heavyflavor physics channels**: B hadron and quarkonia decays to muons(+hadrons), searches for LFV decays including muons, etc.

Analysis setup

- Analysis performance evaluated in two scenarios:
 - **Phase-1**: corresponding to the expected performance of the CMS detector after the Phase-1 upgrades and to 300 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity
 - Phase-2: corresponding to the expected performance of the CMS detector after the full Phase-2 upgrades and to 3000 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity
- In both cases the public results of the CMS Run-1 B_s→µµ analysis were used as a starting point, incorporating also the improvements present in the CMS-LHCb combination just published. These improvements are:
 - Changes in the way the signal efficiency depends on proper life time (increases B_s signal yield)
 - Change in the shape of the semi-leptonic background due to the use of an improved theoretical model

$\rightarrow \mu\mu$ Run-1 analysis

- Main ingredients of Run-1 analysis
 - Low μ trigger p_T thresholds (3 GeV at L1) •
 - Muon and di-muon vertex isolation
 - Displaced vertex
 - Di-muon vertex aligned with flight path •
 - Low muon fake rate $\varepsilon(\mu|\pi) < 0.15\%$ •



M. Galanti - "Overview of CMS Upgrade Program"

PRL 111 (2013) 101804 arXiv:1411.4413

🔶 data

3D

CMS - L = 5 fb⁻¹ \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV, L = 20 fb⁻¹ \sqrt{s} = 8 TeV

 $\alpha_{_{3D}}$

L1 trigger for $B^{0}_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu \mu$ in Phase-2 (1)

- One of the main issues for the Phase-2 scenario will be the triggering of low- $p_{\scriptscriptstyle T}$ muons
- The performance of a low- p_T di-muon L1 trigger algorithm exploiting the triggering capabilities of the upgraded CMS tracker was simulated with Geant4
- A prototype trigger algorithm having the same p_T threshold of the one used in Run-1 was built and tested
 - 2 opposite-charge L1 "Tk muons", reconstructed from matching the L1 tracks and L1 standalone muons
 - $p_{T}(\mu) > 3 \text{ GeV}$
 - $|\eta(\mu)| < 2$
 - $p_T(\mu\mu) > 4 \text{ GeV}$
 - |η(μμ)| < 2
 - $\Delta d_z(\mu\mu) < 1 \text{ cm}$
 - 3.9 < m(μμ) < 6.9 GeV

Important selections to reduce L1 rate by eliminating background from PU and non interesting muon pairs

L1 trigger for $B^{0}_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu\mu$ in Phase-2 (2)

- Mass resolution at L1 estimated to be ≈ 70 MeV using Gaussian fits to the signal peaks
- Trigger rate in the HL-LHC conditions (average of 140 PU events) is estimated to be a few hundred Hz
 - It constitutes only a tiny fraction of the total L1 bandwidth (~1MHz)
- Performances of the upgraded CMS L1 trigger found to be more than sufficient to implement an efficient trigger algorithm for B→µµ having the same p_T threshold of the L1 trigger used in LHC Run 1



Toy experiments setup

- The offline analysis performance was evaluated with toy MC experiments
- The toy experiments use the invariant mass resolution coming from the full Geant4 simulation of the CMS detector as input:
 - In the case of the Phase-1 scenario, this is roughly equal to the resolution measured with the Run-1 CMS detector, i.e. \approx 42 MeV when both muons are in the barrel ($|\eta| < 1.4$)
 - In the case of the Phase-2 scenario, this is ≈ 28 MeV when both muons are in the barrel (|η| < 1.4), with an improvement of a factor 1.5 with respect to the Phase-1 scenario
- Other inputs to the toy experiments come from extrapolations from the Run-1 analysis (detailed in the next slides)
- Input signal branching fractions from **Standard Model predictions** are assumed everywhere
 - $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow \mu \mu) = (1.06 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-10}$
 - $\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow \mu \mu) = (3.66 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-9}$

Assumptions (1)

- For Phase-1, the same trigger and PU performance of the Run-1 analysis is assumed
- For Phase-2, reduced efficiencies due to 140 pileup events are expected
 - Assume 30% loss in the isolation efficiency
 - 2.5% loss per μ reconstruction efficiency
 - The resolution in the endcap (0.5 to 1 mm) is comparable to the average vertex separation
 - Reduced impact of this region in the analysis in discerning B_d from B_s peak



- The toy MC estimates are **only computed for the barrel (i.e.** $|\eta(\mu)| < 1.4$)
 - To ease the comparison, the same cut is applied to both Phase-1 and Phase-2 estimates

Assumptions (2)

- Conservative **analysis assumptions** (Phase-1 and Phase-2 extrapolations):
 - No improvements in the analysis technique
 - The same hadron fake rate performance of Run-1
- **Systematics** as a function of integrated luminosity:
 - fs/fu = 5% [now is 9%]
 - Normalization ($B^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi K^{\pm}$) = 3% \oplus 5%/ $\sqrt{(L/20 \text{ fb}^{-1})}$ [now 5% from yields and 3% from BR]
 - Peaking background uncertainty = $10\% \oplus 50\%/\sqrt{(L/20 \text{ fb}^{-1})}$
 - Semileptonic background uncertainty = $20\% \oplus 50\%/\sqrt{(L/20 \text{ fb}^{-1})}$

Results for Phase-1

- Result of the toy experiments for the Phase-1 scenario is shown in the plot
 - The resolution is not good enough to allow discriminating well the B_s and B^o peaks
- The toy experiments also give the following estimates for 300 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity



- $d[\mathcal{B}(B_d \rightarrow \mu \mu) / \mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow \mu \mu)]: 50\%$
- B^0 →μμ significance: ≈2.2 σ
- The significance expected for the SM branching fractions is still too low for claiming the B^o evidence



Results for Phase-2

- Result for the Phase-2 scenario is shown in the plot
- The resolution is much improved with respect to Phase-1 and the B_s and B^o peaks are now well separated
- This is reflected in the significance of B^o observation and in the precision achievable in the measurement of its branching fraction (decreased from ~50% to ~20%)
 - $d\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{B}_{s} \rightarrow \mu\mu)$: 11%
 - dB(B⁰→μμ): 18%
 - $d[\mathcal{B}(B_d \rightarrow \mu \mu)/\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow \mu \mu)]: 21\%$
 - $B^0 \rightarrow \mu \mu$ significance: $\approx 6.8 \sigma$



Conclusions

- CMS is performing an **extensive upgrade program** that will last ~10 years
- This program will allow CMS to **cope with the next LHC runs conditions**
 - Increased center-of-mass energy
 - Increased pile-up (up to 140 per bunch crossing)
- **CMS B-physics performance** will benefit mostly from
 - The **pixel and strip tracker upgrades**, improving the IP and momentum resolution
 - The **L1 trigger upgrades**, allowing efficient trigger of low- p_T processes in a high-density environment
- $B^{0}_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu \mu$ used as benchmark channel to estimate CMS B performance
 - **Phase-1 upgrades** will allow a precise measurement of the B_s observables
 - **Phase-2 upgrades** will be needed to trigger B decays at HL-LHC and precisely measure the B^o observables

Studies show that CMS is expected to be very competitive in B physics also in the future