

Results from the Dyson-Schwinger approach

Gernot Eichmann University of Giessen, Germany

MesonNet Meeting INFN, Frascati Sep 30, 2014

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Goal: compute hadron properties (ground state & excitations, form factors, scattering amplitudes, etc.) from quark-gluon substructure in QCD.

QCD's Green functions \leftrightarrow "Dyson-Schwinger approach":

Nonperturbative, covariant, all momentum scales, light and heavy quarks. But: truncations!

- Baryon spectroscopy from three-body Faddeev equation GE, Alkofer, Krassnigg, Nicmorus, PRL 104 (2010)
- Elastic & transition form factors for N and Δ GE, PRD 84 (2011); GE, Fischer, EPJ A48 (2012); GE, Nicmorus, PRD 85 (2012); ...
- Tetraquark interpretation for σ meson Heupel, GE, Fischer, PLB 718 (2012)
- Nucleon Compton scattering GE, Fischer, PRD 85 (2012) & PRD 87 (2013)
- Muon g-2 GE, Fischer, Heupel, Williams, in preparation









Em. gauge invariance requires



in consistency with baryon's Faddeev equation (2- and 3-body kernels):



Em. gauge invariance requires



in consistency with baryon's Faddeev equation (2- and 3-body kernels):

Meson Bethe-Salpeter equation:



Quark Dyson-Schwinger equation:



Kernels and quark propagator **not independent** (Or we would lose **chiral symmetry!)**

- PCAC: massless pion in chiral limit, GMOR
- vector current conservation, em. gauge invariance (quark-photon vertex!)
- ⇒ Symmetries provide deep connection between quark-gluon and hadron level!

Dyson-Schwinger equations

QCD Lagrangian: quarks, gluons (+ ghosts)

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(x) \left(i \partial \!\!\!/ + g A - M \right) \psi(x) - \frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_a$$

QCD & hadron properties are encoded in QCD's Green functions. Their quantum equations of motion are the Dyson-Schwinger equations (DSEs):



Dyson-Schwinger equations

QCD Lagrangian: quarks, gluons (+ ghosts)

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(x) \left(i \partial \!\!\!/ + g A - M \right) \psi(x) - \frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_a$$

QCD & hadron properties are encoded in QCD's Green functions. Their quantum equations of motion are the Dyson-Schwinger equations (DSEs):

• Quark propagator:



- = _____++
- Quark-gluon vertex:





Gluon propagator:



=

 Gluon selfinteractions, ghosts, ...

- Truncation ⇒ closed system, solveable.
 Ansätze for Green functions that are not solved (based on pQCD, lattice, FRG, ...)
- progress in determining elementary propagators and vertices

Fischer, Maas, Pawlowski, Annals. Phys. 324 (2009) GE, Williams, Alkofer, Vujinovic, PRD 89 (2014), ...

< ロ ト < 同 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

Dynamical quark mass

- Dynamical chiral symmetry breaking: generates "constituent-quark masses"
- Realized in quark Dyson-Schwinger eq:



Dressed quark propagator has nonperturbatively enhanced **quark mass function** (DSE, Lattice, ...)

$$S_0(p) = \frac{-i\not p + m}{p^2 + m^2} \quad \longrightarrow \quad S(p) = \frac{1}{A(p^2)} \frac{-i\not p + M(p^2)}{p^2 + M^2(p^2)}$$

Mass generation for light hadrons!



Fischer, J. Phys. G 32 (2006)

 $p^2 [GeV^2]$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Structure of the kernel

Most hadron studies so far in **rainbow-ladder:** tree-level vertex + effective coupling



Ansatz for effective coupling: Maris, Roberts, Tandy, PRC 56 (1997), PRC 60 (1999)

$$\alpha(k^{2}) = \alpha_{\rm IR}\left(\frac{k^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}, \eta\right) + \alpha_{\rm UV}(k^{2})$$

Adjust infrared scale Λ to physical observable, keep width η as parameter

√ DCSB, CVC, PCAC

- ⇒ mass generation
- ⇒ Goldstone theorem, massless pion in χ L
- ⇒ em. current conservation
- ⇒ Goldberger-Treiman
- ∼ **No pion cloud,** no flavor dependence, no $U_A(1)$ anomaly, no dynamical decay widths



Pion cloud: need infinite summation of t-channel gluons

Mesons

 Pseudoscalar & vector mesons: rainbow-ladder is good. Masses, form factors, decays, ππ scattering, PDFs

Maris, Roberts, Tandy, PRC 56 (1997), PRC 60 (1999); Bashir et al., Commun. Theor. Phys. 58 (2012)

Pion is Goldstone boson.



- Need to go beyond rainbow-ladder for excited, scalar, axialvector mesons, η-η', etc.
 Fischer, Williams & Chang, Roberts, PhL 103 (2009) Alkofer etal., EPJ 883 (2008), Bhagvat etal., PRC 76 (2007)
- Heavy mesons Blank, Krassnigg, PRD 84 (2011), Fischer et al., 1409.5076



Gernot Eichmann (Uni Giessen)

Baryons



< ロ ト < 同 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

Beyond rainbow-ladder?

• Rainbow-ladder:

"s waves" ok, but "p waves" too low, excited states problematic

• Gluonic corrections (e.g. from 3g vertex):



- large repulsive shifts for p-wave mesons Fischer, Williams & Chang, Roberts, PRL 103 (2009)
- ▷ also for baryons? Could be understood from quark-diquark structure ⇒ N* level ordering! Chen et al, FBS 53 (2012)



P = - P = + P = + P = -

Beyond rainbow-ladder?

• Rainbow-ladder:

"s waves" ok, but "p waves" too low, excited states problematic

• Gluonic corrections (e.g. from 3g vertex):



- ▷ large repulsive shifts for p-wave mesons Fischer, Williams & Chang, Roberts, PRL 103 (2009)
- ▷ also for baryons? Could be understood from quark-diquark structure ⇒ N* level ordering! Chen et al, FBS 53 (2012)



P = - P = + P = + P = -

Beyond rainbow-ladder?

• Rainbow-ladder:

"s waves" ok, but "p waves" too low, excited states problematic

• Gluonic corrections (e.g. from 3g vertex):



- ▷ large repulsive shifts for p-wave mesons Fischer, Williams & Chang, Roberts, PRL 103 (2009)
- ▷ also for baryons? Could be understood from quark-diquark structure ⇒ N* level ordering! Chen et al, FBS 53 (2012)
- Pion cloud, coupled-channel effects? Clearer signals expected for form factors Sanchis-Alepuz, Fischer, Kubrak, PLB 733C (2014)





P = - P = + P = + P = -

 3-body forces? 3-gluon vertex has zero crossing (DSE & lattice!)

Huber, von Smekal, JHEP 1304 (2013) GE, Williams, Alkofer, Vujinovic, PRD 89 (2014)



Electromagnetic form factors

Nucleon charge radii:

isovector (p-n) Dirac (F1) radius



• Pion-cloud effects missing in chiral region (⇒ divergence!), agreement with lattice at larger quark masses.

Nucleon magnetic moments:

isovector (p-n), isoscalar (p+n)



Exp: $\kappa^{s} = -0.12$ Calc: $\kappa^{s} = -0.12(1)$ GE, PRD 84 (2011)

< ロ ト < 同 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

Large Q^2



- Faddeev result consistent with data: OAM in nucleon amplitude
- Underway: investigate **two-photon effects** via Compton scattering amplitude

Electric proton form factor

at large momenta GE, PRD 84 (2011)

• Rosenbluth method suggested G_E/G_M = const., in agreement with perturbative scaling

Polarization experiments at JLAB showed **falloff** in G_E/G_M , with possible **zero crossing**

 Difference likely due to two-photon corrections
 Guichon, Vanderhaeghen, PRL 91 (2003)



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Nucleon- Δ - γ transition



- Magnetic dipole transition (G^{*}_M) dominant: quark spin flip (s wave). "Core + 25% pion cloud"
- Electric & Coulomb quadrupole transitions small & negative, encode deformation.

Ratios reproduced without pion cloud: OAM from relativistic p waves in the quark core! GE & Nicmorus, PRD 85 (2012)



Quark-photon vertex

Current matrix element: $\langle H|J^{\mu}|H\rangle =$

• Structure of quark-photon vertex:

$$\Gamma^{\mu}(k,Q) = \left[i\gamma^{\mu}\sum_{A} + 2k^{\mu}(i\not k \Delta_{A} + \Delta_{B})\right] + \left[i\sum_{j=1}^{8}f_{j}\tau_{j}^{\mu}(k,Q)\right]$$

Ball-Chiu vertex, depends only on quark propagator Ball, Chiu, PRD 22 (1980)

necessary for electromagnetic gauge invariance! Transverse part: vanishes at $Q \rightarrow 0$, no kin. singularities; contains ρ -meson poles & anomalous magnetic moment

Kizilersu et al, PRD 92 (1995); GE, Fischer, PRD 87 (2013)

$$Q^{\mu} \Gamma^{\mu}(k,Q) = S^{-1}(k+\frac{Q}{2}) - S^{-1}(k-\frac{Q}{2})$$
• Calculated from rainbow-ladder Bethe-Salpeter equation

$$\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} Q \\ \hline \end{array}}_{k} = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} Q^{2} \\ \end{array}}$$

Quark-photon vertex has ρ-meson poles: 'vector-meson dominance'

Quark-photon vertex

Structure of quark-photon vertex is reflected in form factors.





Pion form factor



Spacelike and timelike region:

A. Krassnigg (Schladming 2010) extension of Maris & Tandy, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 161 (2006)

Include **pion cloud:** Kubrak et al., in preparation

Sep 30, 2014 14 / 23

Sac

Light scalar mesons (0⁺⁺) don't fit into the conventional meson spectrum:



- Why are σ, κ so light compared to a₀, f₀?
 Why are the masses of a₀, f₀ degenerate?
- Why do they have so different **decay widths**? $\Gamma(\sigma, \kappa) \approx 550 \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma(a_0, f_0) \approx 50-100 \text{ MeV}$
- Why do both f₀ and a₀ couple to KK? (hidden strange-quark content of a₀?)
- Scalar mesons ~ p-waves, should have masses similar to axial-vectors: a₁, f₁ ~ 1.3 GeV

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• Increasing evidence for non- $q\bar{q}$ nature from dispersive analyses, linear σ model, ... Pelaez, Mod. Phys. Lett. A19 (2004) & PoS CD12 (2013), Parganlija et al., PRD 87 (2013),...

Could these be light tetraquark (diquark-antidiquark) states? Jaffe 77



- Explains **mass ordering**: *f*₀ and *a*₀ have same strangeness content
- Explains decay widths: f₀ and a₀ decay into KK; "OZI-superallowed" mechanism leads to large widths for σ, κ:



 Actual scalar qq ground states would be "1st radially excited" nonet ~ 1.3-1.5 GeV

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Start from four-quark bound-state equation:



Keep only qq, $q\overline{q}$ interactions with separable T-matrix. Obtain coupled **diquark-antidiquark / meson-meson** equations:

Heupel, GE, Fischer, PLB 718 (2012)



So far: • 0⁺⁺, isoscalar, 4 identical quarks: nnnn, ssss, cccc,

- · keep only pseudoscalar meson and scalar diquark
- ⇒ meson molecule with diquark-antidiquark admixture

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Tetraquark masses:

Heupel, GE, Fischer, PLB 718 (2012)

- up/down: *m* ~ 400 MeV Light tetraquark because it carries traces of the pion! \Leftrightarrow exp. σ/f_0 (500)?
- $m \sim 1.2 \text{ GeV}$ • strange:
- charm: $m \sim 5.3 \text{ GeV}$



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Sac



First results from genuine four-body equation

(rainbow-ladder & s waves only) Heupel, GE, Fischer, in preparation



- No explicit pions and diquarks here, but results almost identical!
- No mixing with $q\bar{q}$ yet: "pure" tetraquark
- σ: 400 MeV, κ: 601 MeV, a₀, f₀: 785 MeV

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Sac

Hadron scattering

Can we extend this to **four-body scattering** processes? GE, Fischer, PRD 85 (2012)



Compton scattering, DVCS, 2y physics



Meson photo- and electroproduction



Nucleon-pion scattering



 $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$ annihilation



Meson production



Pion Compton scattering

⇒ Nonperturbative description of hadron-photon and hadron-meson scattering

18/23

Compton scattering



• RCS, VCS: nucleon polarizabilities



- DVCS: handbag dominance, GPDs
- Forward limit: structure functions in DIS
- Timelike region: pp annhihilation at PANDA
- Spacelike region: two-photon corrections to nucleon form factors, proton radius puzzle?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Sac

Compton scattering

Nucleon Compton scattering amplitude at hadron level:



and at quark level (rainbow-ladder, modulo crossing & permutation): GE, Fischer, PRD 85 (2012)



Gernot Eichmann (Uni Giessen)

Sep 30, 2014 20 / 23

Compton scattering

Quark Compton vertex:

- ⇒ handbag diagrams + all t-channel poles (scalar, pion, ...). $\pi\gamma\gamma$ transition form factor from residue at pion pole: GE & Fischer, PRD 87 (2013) - Direct rainbow-ladder calculation: Maris, Tandy, PRC 65 (2002)
- ⇒ not em. gauge invariant, but comparable to ,structure part' at nucleon level? Need tensor basis free of kin. singularities! Tarrach, Nuovo Cim. 28 (1975), GE & Fischer, PRD 87 (2013) & PoS. Conf. X (2012)





Muon g-2

• Muon anomalous magnetic moment: total SM prediction deviates from exp. by ~3 σ

$$\int_{p}^{q} = ie \, \bar{u}(p') \left[F_1(q^2) \, \gamma^{\mu} - F_2(q^2) \, \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2m} \right] u(p)$$

• Theory uncertainty dominated by **QCD:** Is QCD contribution under control?



¥



Hadronic light-by-light scattering

$a_{\mu} [10^{-10}]$	Jegerlehner, Nyffeler, Phys. Rept. 477 (2009)						
Exp:	11	659	208.9	(6.3)	_		
QED:	11	658	471.9	(0.0)			
EW:			15.3	(0.2)			
Hadronic:							
 VP (LO+HO) 			685.1	(4.3)			
• LBL			10.5	(2.6)	?		
SM:	11	659	182.8	(4.9)	-		
Diff:			26.1	(8.0)			

 LbL amplitude: ENJL & MD model results Binens 1995, Hakavava 1995, Knecht 2002, Melnikov 2004, Prades 2009, Jegerlehner 2009, Pauk 2014



Muon g-2

• Muon anomalous magnetic moment: total SM prediction deviates from exp. by ~3 σ

$$\int_{p}^{p} = ie \, \bar{u}(p') \left[F_1(q^2) \, \gamma^{\mu} - F_2(q^2) \, \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2m} \right] u(p)$$

• Theory uncertainty dominated by **QCD:** Is QCD contribution under control?







$a_{\mu} [10^{-10}]$	Jegerlehner, Nyffeler, Phys. Rept. 477 (2009)						
Exp:	11	659	208.9	(6.3)	_		
QED:	11	658	471.9	(0.0)			
EW:			15.3	(0.2)			
Hadronic:							
 VP (LO+HO) 			685.1	(4.3)			
• LBL			10.5	(2.6)	?		
SM:	11	659	182.8	(4.9)	-		
Diff:			26.1	(8.0)			

• LbL amplitude at quark level, derived from gauge invariance: GE, Fischer, PRD 85 (2012), Goecke, Fischer, Williams, PRD 87 (2013)



- no double-counting, gauge invariant!
- need to understand structure of amplitude GE et al., in preparation

Summary

- Progress in calculating hadron properties from the guark-gluon level
- Rainbow-ladder ok for meson (0-, 1-, ...) and baryon (1/2+, 3/2+) ground states: masses, electromagnetic properties
- Interesting physics encoded in higher n-point functions: Compton scattering, light-by-light amplitude, ...
- Progress in going beyond rainbow-ladder (necessary for 'p waves', excited states, etc.)

Interplay between experiment and theory:

- · Hadron masses, wave functions, form factors and scattering amplitudes from QCD
- Refined tools for understanding fundamental properties of QCD from experiment

23/23