The uses of Holography: from superconductivity to emergent symmetries

Oriol Pujolàs

IFAE, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Based on

PRL 107, 181601 (arXiv:1105.5392) JHEP 1204, 135 (arXiv:1202.0006) arXiv:1305.0011

Università di Genova

July 11th 2013

Plan

- Holography basics
- Application 1) Superconductivity
- Application 2) Emergent symmetries
- Conclusions

- Holography basics

Most optimistic definition: QFTs with a gravity dual

e.g., SU(N)YM in the double limit $\begin{cases} \text{large N} \\ \text{large `t Hooft coupling} \\ \lambda = g_{YM}^2 N_c \end{cases}$

QFT geometrizes:

μ -> (local) extra dimension
 spacetime symmetries -> isometry group
 fixed point -> scale invariant geometry
 Quantum fields -> classical fields

Heemskerk, Penedones, Polchinski Sully'09 Sundrum '11 Fitzpatrick Kaplan '12 El-Showk Papadodimas'12

Simplest example: AdS – CFT



$$ds^{2} = \frac{\ell^{2}}{z^{2}} \left[dz^{2} - dt^{2} + dx_{d-1}^{2} \right]$$

Conformal boundary at z = 0 $\mu = 1/z$

Boundary conditions:

either
$$\left. oldsymbol{\phi}
ight|_{\partial M}$$
 or $\left. \partial_z \phi
ight|_{\partial M}$ must be specified

$$\phi = z^{\Delta_-} J + z^{\Delta_+} O$$

Dimensions – masses

$$\Delta(d-\Delta) = M^2 \ell^2$$

Global symmetries – local symmetries

conserved current J_{μ} : $A_{\mu} = a_{\mu} + z^{d-2}J_{\mu}$

$$T_{\mu\nu} : \qquad g_{\mu\nu} = z^{-2} \gamma_{\mu\nu} + z^{d-2} T_{\mu\nu}$$



$$Z[J] = \int D\psi \exp\left(-S_D[\psi] - JO_\psi\right) = \exp\left(-S_{D+1}^{class}\left[\phi; \phi \Big|_{\partial M} = J\right]\right)$$

correlators

Emergent phenomena



de-confined plasma / fluid / conductor

no mass gap

$$ds^{2} = \frac{\ell^{2}}{z^{2}} \left[\frac{dz^{2}}{f(z)} - f(z)dt^{2} + dx_{d-1}^{2} \right]$$
$$f(z) = 1 - \rho z^{d}$$
$$\rho \propto T^{d}$$

Black brane

$$\int t_E$$

 $\Delta t_E = 2\pi / T$

hydrodynamics: sound waves $c_s^2 = 1/3$ transport coefficients

Superconductor / conductor

Hartnoll Herzog Horowitz 'o8

$$\begin{array}{ll} {\rm charged} \\ {\rm condensate} \end{array} & \left< \mathcal{O} \right> \neq 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{O} \\ {\rm dimension} \ \Delta \\ {\rm charge} \end{array} & n \ e \end{array}$$

Holographically \rightarrow (charged-)scalar "hair"



$$M_{eff}^2 = M^2 - g^2 A_\mu A_\nu g^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\Phi(z) \to j \, \chi^{\Delta_-} + \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \, z^{\Delta_+}$$

confining vacuum / solid / insulator

finite mass gap: 1 / R

AdS Soliton



- Casimir Energy $E_C \propto 1 / R^d$

super-solid / super-insulator (insulator-SC)

AdS Soliton + scalar + large enough μ



conductor-insulator / de-confinement / Hawking-Page P.T.



Holographic SuperConductors



- Application 1) SC – Flux Periodicities

Cylindrical material threaded by 'axial' B-field

(<-> Scherk-Schwartz compactification)

$$W \equiv \exp\left(ie\oint A_{\mu}dx^{\mu}\right) = \exp\left(ie\int d\vec{S}\cdot\vec{B}\right)$$

$$A_{\mu} \rightarrow \left(\mu, \vec{0}, A_{\chi}\right)$$



effect 1) Little-Parks – SC jumps between fluxoids

fluxoid configurations:

$$\Phi = \rho \exp\left(i\frac{m}{R}\chi\right)$$
$$A_{\mu} = \left(\mu, \vec{0}, A_{\chi}\right)$$

$$m = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \partial_{\mu} \theta \, dx^{\mu}$$

gauge-inv (and quantized)

effect 1) Little-Parks – SC jumps between fluxoids

Free energy of *fluxoids* $\approx |D\Phi|^2 - M^2 |\Phi|^2 - \lambda |\Phi|^4$



=> transitions between fluxoids

Periodicity: $\Delta A_{\chi} = 1/2eR$

effect 1) Little-Parks – SC jumps between fluxoids



 $\Delta A_{\chi} = 1/2eR$ hallmark of 'pairing'

LP = semiclassical effect





"LITTLE-PARKS degeneracy"

with
$$a_\chi \equiv m'/gR + \widetilde{a}_\chi$$
 ,

configurations: m = m' = kk = 0, 1, ..., N-1

define magnetic variety of QH

Cond Matt literature disscussing Flux Periodicities

- [2] V Vakaryuk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 167002 (2008).
 [3] F Loder *et al.*, Nat. Phys. 4, 112 (2008).
 [4] TC Wei, PM Goldbart Phys. Rev. B77, 224512 (2008).
- → Doubling of flux-period occurs for $R \leq \xi_0$
- As if AB-effect amongst the 2 electrons in the pair



Holography completely agrees:

BB: $R >> \xi_0 \approx 1/\mu \Rightarrow A-B$ suppressed

Sol: $R \approx \xi_0$ => A-B unsuppressed



Generically,

Generically, flux periodicity $\begin{bmatrix} \Delta \phi_B = 1/2e \text{ for conductors} \\ \Delta \phi_B = 1/e \text{ for insulators} \end{bmatrix}$

Not only at large N

CFT Interpretation

Large N is a classical limit $\langle AB \rangle = \langle A \rangle \langle B \rangle + 1/N$

Classical behaviour is recovered at large N if quantum state admits classical counterpart





CFT Interpretation

Large N is a classical limit $\langle AB \rangle = \langle A \rangle \langle B \rangle + 1/N$

Classical behaviour is recovered at large N if quantum state admits classical counterpart

BH/cond -> plasma -> has a classical counterpart => AB effects suppressed

Sol/ins -> confining vac. -> no classical counterpart => AB effects persists!

Montull OP Salvio Silva `11

Conclusions – 1)

Rather generic prediction:

Flux-threaded cylindrical SCs exhibit

1/e flux-periodicity – if normal phase is insulator

1/2e flux periodicity – if conductor

We'll see...?

Large-N no-hair theorems?

- Application 2) Emergent symmetries

can **Lorentz Invariance (LI)** be *accidental* ??



Context: $LV \rightarrow QFT$ of Gravity (Hořava Gravity) From phenomenological perspective, the recovery of LI at low energies is the most pressing issue

can Lorentz Invariance (LI) be *accidental* ??



Context: $LV \rightarrow QFT$ of Gravity (Hořava Gravity) From phenomenological perspective, the recovery of LI at low energies is the most pressing issue

1) Bounds on Lorentz Violation

Matter Sector CPT+ $\delta c_{\gamma}^2 \vec{B}^2$ $\delta c_{H}^{2} |D_{i}H|^{2}$ $\delta c_{\psi} \overline{\psi} \gamma^{i} D_{i} \psi$ Dim4 Observational bounds: $\begin{cases} |c_e - c_{\gamma}| < 10^{-15} ! \\ |c_p - c_{\gamma}| < 10^{-20} !! \end{cases}$ **FINE** TUNING

EFT expectation:

 $\delta c \sim 1 - 10^{-3} !!!$

Collins Perez Sudarsky Urrutia Vucetich '04

lengo Russo Serone 'og

Giudice Strumia Raidal '10

Anber Donoghue `11

Challenge: can one achieve naturally ~ 10⁻²⁰ suppression?

2) LV & RG

LI-fixed point is IR-attractive !!

Chadha Nielsen' 83

LI-fixed point is IR-attractive !!

Chadha Nielsen' 83

E.g., LV – Yukawa theory: $L = (\partial h)^2 + \overline{\psi} \gamma \cdot \partial \psi + gh \overline{\psi} \psi + \delta c's$



$$(4\pi)^{2} \frac{d \,\delta c}{d\log\mu} = \beta_{\delta c} g^{2} \,\delta c$$
$$(4\pi)^{2} \frac{d g}{d\log\mu} = \beta g^{3}$$



 $g^{2} = \frac{g_{0}^{2}}{1 - \beta g_{0}^{2} \log(\mu/M)}$

LI-fixed point is IR-attractive !!

Giudice Strumia Raidal' 10

E.g., LV – Standar Model (SME)







The RG flow by itself already provides a mechanism for the Emergence of LI

But (*in weakly coupled theories*) the emergence is too slow!

Suppression is only for a factor



let's accelerate the running by turning to strong coupling





Idea:
$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d \, \delta c}{d \log \mu} = \beta_{\delta c} g^2 \, \delta c$$

If strongly-coupled fixed point:

$$\delta c = \mu^{\frac{p_*g_*}{(4\pi)^2}} \delta c_0$$

power > 0 granted $(\beta_{\delta c} > 0)$

Unitarity bound

$$Dim\left(\partial_{\mu}\phi^{*}\partial_{\nu}\phi\right) \geq 4$$

LV deformation $\delta c u^{\mu} u^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi^* \partial_{\nu} \phi \implies \delta c$ irrelevant coupl

Toy model #1: Randall-Sundrum holography





Dual to a CFT with UV cutoff (coupling to LV, gravity, $M_{\rm Lifshitz},\,M_{\rm P}$) and IR cutoff ($\Lambda_{\rm QCD}~$) $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ RG scale

 $L = L_{CFT}(O_{\Delta}) - \phi \left(w^2 - c^2 k^2\right) \phi + \lambda \phi O_{\Delta}$

RS Realizes a CFT with an operator $O_{\!\Delta}\,$ and a LV source ϕ

$$L = L_{CFT}(O_{\Delta}) - \phi \left(w^2 - c^2 k^2\right) \phi + \lambda \phi O_{\Delta}$$

(for $\Delta > 2 - standard quantization)$

 $\left(\Box_{5}-M^{2}\right)\Phi=0$

 $\begin{array}{c} & \textbf{if } \lambda \textbf{ relevant } (\Delta < 3) \\ & \textbf{=> Emergent Ll} \end{array}$

 $G_{\phi}(w,k)^{-1} \simeq w^2 - c^2 k^2 + \lambda^2 (p^2)^{\Delta-2}$

probe scalar with LV boundary

$$\partial_5 \Phi = (w^2 - c^2 k^2) \Phi$$

Schematic form of the dispersion relations:

Bednik OP Sibiryakov '13

$$w_i^2(k^2) \simeq m_i^2 + \delta c_i^2 k^2 + \sum \frac{k^{2+2n}}{M_{(i,n)}^{2n}}$$

$$\frac{\delta c_i^2}{\lambda^2} \sim \frac{\delta c_{UV}^2}{\lambda^2} \left(\frac{\Lambda_{IR}}{\Lambda_{UV}}\right)^{2(3-\Delta)}$$

power-law suppressed!

for relevant couplings ($\Delta < 3$)

(Optimal case, $\Delta = 2$)



Toy model #2: Lifshitz flows



Lifshitz Holography

Kachru Liu Mulligan '08



Lifshitz Holography

 $ds^{2} = g(r)\frac{\ell^{2}}{r^{2}}dr^{2} + \frac{r^{2}}{\ell^{2}}d\vec{x}^{2} - f(r)\frac{r^{2z}}{\ell^{2z}}dt^{2}$

Kachru Liu Mulligan '08



Lifshitz flows

The flow imprints modified scaling into the scalar propagator

$$\delta G_{\phi}(w,k)^{-1} \simeq (p^2)^{\Delta-2} \left[1 + w^2 \left(\frac{(p^2)^{(\Delta_1-5)}}{\Lambda_*^{2(\Delta_1-4)}} + \frac{(p^2)^{(\Delta-2)}}{\Lambda_*^{2(\Delta-1)}} + \dots \right) + \dots \right]$$

... and into the dispersion relations of bound states

$$\delta c^{2} \simeq \begin{cases} (\Lambda_{IR} L_{UV})^{2(\Delta_{1}-4)} \\ (\Lambda_{IR} L_{UV})^{2(3-\Delta)} \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\text{Bednik OP}} \\ \text{Sibiryakov `13} \end{cases}$$

 $\Delta_1 \leq 4.35$ in the simplest model – not very large suppression

(model dependent)

Conclusions – 2)

QFT (RG engine) Strong coupling





Conclusions – 2)

RG + Strong Dynamics => fast Emergence of LI is possible

Emergent LI may not be an exceptional phenomenon

The leading LV corrections are characterized by an exponent determined by the *LILVO – least irrelevant LV operator*

$$\delta c \sim \left(\frac{\Lambda_{IR}}{\Lambda_{UV}}\right)^{\Delta_{LILVO}-4}$$

-> how large can Δ_{LILVO} be ??

Discussion

Applications to Condensed Matter

Is ELI already at work in some material? Graphene...??

- QED₃ has been argued to display ELI since long ago

Related phenomenon: emergence of isotropy

Discussion

Implications in Particle Physics / Non-Relativistic Gravity

compositeness – at low Energies ~ 100 TeV more strongly tested species -> more composite

Limits on compositeness in SM? $\Lambda \ge few 10 TeV$

Realizing a composite SM? – no problem with fermions & H

Discussion

Several QFT-mechanisms for Emergence of LI exist

Non Relativistic SUSY

- Groot-Nibelink Pospelov '04
- Large N species (extra dim) Anber & Donoghue '11
- Separation of scales Pospelov & Shang '10

Via naturalness, NRQG becomes very predictive: new physics at *much lower* energies



Thank you!

Quantum Hair

Krauss Wilczek '89

QH Example) discrete Z_n gauge charge

 $\begin{array}{ll} U(1) \xrightarrow{} Z_n \text{ by charge-n condensate} \\ \psi & e \\ \phi & g \equiv ne \quad \langle \phi \rangle \neq 0 \end{array} \quad (\text{In SCs, } n = 2 \text{)} \end{array}$

U(1) Higgsed => electric charge is short-range but (q mod n) measurable at infinity by CS-AB

