Quantum field theory on curved spacetime and backreaction effects

Nicola Pinamonti

Dipartimento di Matematica Università di Genova

Genova, Juny, 12th 2013

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Towards quantum gravity?

- General relativity and quantum field theories needs to be combined in some physical systems, (cosmology).
- We need: Quantum theory of gravity and matter

No satisfactory description.

- We can understand how that theory looks like analyzing some particular regimes [Hawking].
 - Quantum Fields on fixed curved spacetimes (Hawking Radiation, Particle Creation) good for the description of the metric fluctuations.
 - Backreaction in a semiclassical fashion

$$G_{ab}=8\pi\langle T_{ab}
angle$$

good for the description of "evolution" in cosmological models.

• It should work: when fluctuations of $\langle T_{ab} \rangle$ are negligible.

Plan of the talk

- QFT on curved spacetime: the algebraic approach.
- States and asymptotic properties.
- Semiclassical gravity and backreaction.
- Extended semiclassical gravity and CMB fluctuations.

Bibliography

- C. Dappiaggi, K. Fredenhagen, NP PRD 77, 104015 (2008)
- C. Dappiaggi, NP, V. Moretti, CMP 285, 1129-1163 (2009)
- C. Dappiaggi, NP, V. Moretti, JMP 50, 062304 (2009)
- C. Dappiaggi, T. P. Hack, NP, AHP 12, 1449-1489 (2011)
- NP, CMP **305**, 563-604 (2011)
- NP, D. Siemssen, [arXiv:1303.3241]

Free QFT on flat spacetime

Free relativistic massive Klein Gordon field on Minkowski space

 $-\Box\psi+m^2\psi=0.$

H one-particle Hilbert space: positive frequency part of real sol.
 Fock space and the vacuum |0>

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus (\mathcal{H} \otimes_{s} \mathcal{H}) \oplus \ldots$$

• Creation $a_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}$ and annihilation operators $a_{\vec{k}}$ and vacuum $|0\rangle$ $\left[a_{\vec{k}_{1}}, a_{\vec{k}_{2}}^{\dagger}\right] = i\delta\left(\vec{k}_{1} - \vec{k}_{2}\right), \quad a_{\vec{k}}|0\rangle = 0.$

Quantum field (operator valued distribution)

$$\hat{\phi}(t,\vec{x}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[\frac{e^{i\omega(\vec{k})t + i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}}{\sqrt{2\omega(\vec{k})}} a^{\dagger}_{\vec{k}} + \frac{e^{-i\omega(\vec{k})t - i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}}{\sqrt{2\omega(\vec{k})}} a^{\dagger}_{\vec{k}} \right] d^3\vec{k}$$

$$P\hat{\phi}(x) = 0 , \qquad \hat{\phi}^*(x) = \hat{\phi}(x) , \qquad [\hat{\phi}(x), \hat{\phi}(y)] = i\hbar\Delta(x, y) .$$

The algebraic approach

Why we need an algebraic approach?

- We don't have a preferred time!
- We don't have **symmetries** used to construct a vacuum state.
- Inequivalent representations arise very often.
- Base our theory on the choice of observables and on relations among them.
- The algebraic approach is what we need, permits to formulate QFT without have recourse to particular state or Hilbert space representation.

QFT: linear scalar field

Scalar field coupled to gravity on (M, g) globally hyperbolic (GH)

$$-\Box\varphi + \xi R\varphi + m^2\varphi = P\varphi = 0$$

• Exist unique **advanced** and **retarded fundamental solutions** Δ_A Δ_R on GH spaces. [Bär, Ginoux, Pfäffle].

An **unique** causal propagator $\Delta = \Delta_A - \Delta_R$ exists.

Quantization: $\mathcal{A}(M) *$ -algebra generated by smeared linear fields:

$$arphi(\mathsf{P} f) = 0 \;, \qquad arphi(f)^* = arphi(\overline{f})\;, \qquad [arphi(f), arphi(g)] = i\Delta(f,g)$$

[Borchers Uhlmann], C* version given by [Dimock]

Local Covariant QFT

[Hollands, Wald, Bruentti, Fredenhagen, Verch]

$$\varphi(Pf) = 0$$
, $\varphi(f)^* = \varphi(\overline{f})$, $[\varphi(f), \varphi(g)] = i\Delta(f, g)$

It is a functorial procedure





and

$$\alpha_{\psi} \circ \alpha_{\psi'} = \alpha_{\psi \circ \psi'} , \quad \alpha_{\mathbb{I}_M} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}(M)} .$$

Identify the algebra of observables, and local fields over different space-times.

States are positive linear functionals over the algebra of fields

$$\omega:\mathcal{A}(M)
ightarrow\mathbb{C}$$

 Described by the expectation values on their *n*-point functions (correlation functions, distributions on *Mⁿ*)

$$\omega(\varphi(f_1),\ldots,\varphi(f_n)):=\omega_n(f_1\otimes\cdots\otimes f_n)$$

- For simplicity we consider **Gaussian states**, those described by ω_2 .
- Once a state is chosen, by GNS theorem, we recover the traditional picture. (𝔅_ω, π_ω, Ψ_ω).
- There are **no generally covariant** states.

Extended algebra of fields

• We need to include observables like energy density in the algebra.

$$T_{ab} = \partial_a \varphi \partial_b \varphi - g_{ab} \left(\partial_\mu \varphi^\mu \partial \varphi + \xi R \varphi^2 + m^2 \varphi^2 \right)$$

- Coinciding point limits of fields. Their expectation values are not well defined.
- We have to **regularize** before computing expectation values.
- In flat spacetime it is done by normal ordering

:
$$\varphi^2 := \varphi^2 - \langle 0 | \varphi^2 | 0 \rangle$$

- Restrict attention to a certain class of states, those whose 2-point functions have certain singular structure.
 - : T_{ab} : is local covariant
 - Conservation $\nabla_a \omega(: T^a{}_b:) = 0$
 - Coincides with normal ordering w.r.t. the vacuum on flat space

 Hadamard states are states over which the point splitting regularization works.

$$\begin{split} \omega_2(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{U(x_1, x_2)}{\sigma_\epsilon(x_1, x_2)} + V(x_1, x_2) \log\left(\frac{\sigma_\epsilon(x_1, x_2)}{\mu^2}\right) + W(x_1, x_2) \\ \omega_2 &= \mathcal{H} + W \end{split}$$

- *U*, *V* depends on the **local geometry**.
- σ is the squared geodesic.
- *W* is the state dependent part.

[de Witt, Brehme, Fulling, Kay, Wald...]

For this kind of states the **point splitting** procedure works.

 They can be used for example to obtain Hawking effect in a natural way. [Fredenhagen Haag]

Microlocal spectrum condition

A nicer characterization was given by Radzikowski

microlocal spectrum condition

It is a condition on the singular structure of ω_2 given in terms of the wave front set of the distribution

Definition

 $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{D}'(M^2)$ satisfies the microlocal spectrum condition (μSC) if

 $\mathsf{WF}(\omega_2) = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, k_1, k_2) \in T^*M^2 \setminus \{0\} \mid (x_1, k_1) \sim (x_2, -k_2), k_1 \triangleright 0 \right\}.$

It is a local (covariant) remnant of the **spectrum condition** (with respect to the first entrance, the singular directions are constrained on the forward light cone)

A two point function has Hadamard form if and only if it satisfies the micro local spectrum condition. [Radzikowski, Köhler, Brunetti, Fredenhagen].

Extended algebra of fields and Regularization Freedom

- Wick polynomials can be incorporated in the algebra of observables.
 Extended algebra of fields [Hollands Wald].
- Other choices of \mathcal{H} produce equivalent algebras.
- **Local fields** are determined up to some **regularization freedom**.
- Assuming some reasonable hypotheses the freedom is finite. [Hollands Wald]

$$\tilde{\varphi}^2 = \varphi^2 + \alpha R + \beta m^2$$

 $\tilde{T} = T + \alpha \Box R + \beta m^4 + \gamma m^2 R$.

- Over this extended algebra it is possible to define the **time ordered products**.
- The perturbative construction of interacting fields can be understood. [Kay, Hollands, Wald, Brunetti, Fredenhagen, Dütsch]

Backreaction: choice of a state as initial conditions

Influence of quantum fields on the metric by

$$G_{ab} = 8\pi \langle T_{ab} \rangle_{\omega}$$

- We would like to consider an **initial value problem**.
- We need a reference state, we should take a generic Hadamard state but how can we control it?

- Existence proofs of Hadamard states are based on deformation techniques.
- Adiabatic states. [Parker, Lüder Roberts, Junker Schrohe]
- States of low energy. [Olberman]
- We need to prescribe a state in a space-time independent way.

States out of asymptotic properties of the metric.

- It is difficult to prescribe Hadamard states just analyzing them on a Cauchy surface (Cauchy surfaces are acausal)
- If the initial surface is a null cone, we could prescribe initial values and construct states inside of the cone. [Christodoulou]
- Hörmander propagation of singularity theorem can be used to control the regularity of the obtained states.
- Usually the boundary has a larger symmetry, it is thus possible to select some preferred state.

This is the asymptotic form of many nice space times



Lareger symmetry?

If the boundary has a larger symmetry it is possible to select states invariant under this symmetry.

States that are **"asymptotic vacuum"** can be constructed [Sewell, Kay, Wald, Moretti, Dappiaggi ...]

The described procedure works in many situations:

- Asymptotically flat space-times ⇒ asymptotic vacuum.
- Schwarzschild spacetiems → Unruh states.
- Cones in regular regions of spacetime
- Asymptotically de Sitter spaces states.
- Flat Friedmann Robertson Walker Universes with null Big Bang structure ⇒ asymptotic conformal vacuum.

Application: Semiclassical equations in cosmology

- In first approximation the universe is **homogeneous** and **isotropic**.
- a spacetime $M = (I \times S, g)$
 - *I* is the interval of the *"cosmological time"*
 - S is a 3d manifold: the *"space"*, it has an high symmetry.
- Friedmann Robertson Walker metric

$$g = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \left[rac{dr^2}{1-\kappa r^2} + r^2 d\mathbb{S}^2(heta, arphi)
ight].$$

- Knowing a(t) is like knowing the "story" of the universe.
 Recent observations:
 - $\kappa \simeq 0 \implies$ Conformally Flat.
 - $\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = H$ the Hubble parameter (very small positive almost constant) (*de Sitter Universe*).

Cosmological Models

Standard Model of the Universe: Matter

- It takes the simple form $T_a^b = diag(-\rho, P, P, P)$
- Like a classical fluid (but $\frac{P}{\rho}$ is non constant).
- Einstein's equations become **Friedmann** equations $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$

$$3H^2 = 8\pi\rho - \frac{3\kappa}{a^2}, \qquad 3\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -4\pi(\rho + 3P)$$

• Once an initial condition is chosen for a, FRW eq. is equivalent to

$$-R = 8\pi T, \qquad \nabla^a T_{ab} = 0.$$

 \blacksquare We shall model quantum matter by φ and discuss

$$-R = 8\pi \langle T \rangle_{\omega}$$

▶ Obs. Prob.

Conservation equations for T_{ab} are satisfied: $\nabla_a \langle T^a{}_b \rangle_\omega = 0$ but (un)-fortunately the **trace** is different from the classical one.

$$\langle T \rangle_{\omega} := \frac{2[v_1]}{8\pi^2} + \left(-3\left(\frac{1}{6} - \xi\right)\Box - m^2\right) \langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\omega}.$$

More precisely ($\xi = 1/6$) [Wald 1978]

$$2[v_1] = \frac{1}{360} \left(C_{ijkl} C^{ijkl} + R_{ij} R^{ij} - \frac{R^2}{3} + \Box R \right) + \frac{m^4}{4}.$$

The renormalization freedom for T is

$$\langle T' \rangle_{\omega} = \langle T \rangle_{\omega} + \alpha \Box R + \beta m^4 + \gamma m^2 R.$$

In ⟨T⟩_ω, three contributions: T_{anomalies} + T_{ren.freedom} + T_{state}.
 α ⇒ cancel □R from the trace ⇒ Wald's prescription.
 β ⇒ like a cosmological constant.
 γ ⇒ ren. of Newton Constant.

- We can **not** cancel $T_{anomalies}$ completely.
- $T_{anomalies}$ is **not** a mixture of perfect fluids: $\rho = H^4$

Massive model

With $\kappa = 0$ and $\xi = 1/6$, the equation $-R = 8\pi \langle T \rangle$ becomes

$$-6\left(\dot{H}+2H^{2}\right)=-8\pi m^{2}\langle\varphi^{2}\rangle_{\omega}-\frac{1}{30\pi}\left(\dot{H}H^{2}+H^{4}\right)+\frac{m^{4}}{4\pi}$$

Physical input: We would like to use "vacuum states" i.e. $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\omega} = 0$ **Impossible:** Adiabatic states, have similar properties

[Parker, Parker Fulling, Lüders Roberts, Junker Schrohe, Olbermann]

Assume (for the moment) $T_{state} = 0$ We have only $T_{anomalies}$ and $T_{ren.freedom} = \beta m^2 + \gamma R$ The differential equation is an ordinary one \implies it can be solved

With some choice of γ and β H = 0 and $H = H_+$ are stable solutions.



- (m = 0) a length scale is introduced (proportional to G).
 Two fixed points instead of one. [Wald 80, Starobinsky 80, Vilenkin 85]
- Quantum effects are **not negligible** at least in the past.
- $(m \neq 0)$ H_+ is a renormalization constant. (It correspond to ren. of Newton constant)

Form of the initial singularity

Question

Where is the singularity t_0 in the Penrose diagram?

$$ds^2 = a^2 \left(-d\tau^2 + d\mathbf{x}^2 \right).$$

Classical solution Radiation dominated: $\tau = \tau_0 + A(t - t_0)^{1/2} \rightarrow \tau_0$ for $t \rightarrow t_0$ Horizon problem.

• Quantum Corrections $\rho = 1/a(t)^2$: $\tau = \tau_0 + \log(t - t_0) \rightarrow -\infty$ for $t \rightarrow t_0$ Singularity is light like.

Power law inflation with Null Big Bang (NBB) S⁻

Existence and uniqueness in the early universe

- Consider an asymptotic vacuum ω . (Initial cond. of the problem) \bigcirc Def.
- We search for solutions of $-R = 8\pi \langle T \rangle_{\omega}$ near **NBB** \Im^- .
- Indicating by $X := H^{-1}$, we rewrite the equation as:

$$rac{dX}{dt} = 1 - rac{X^2}{X_c^2 - X^2} + m^2 rac{C \ X^4}{X_c^2 - X^2} \langle arphi^2
angle_\omega \ .$$

It is **not** an ordinary differential equation.

- $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\omega}$ is a functional of $X = H^{-1}$.
- Let us rewrite the equation as $X = \mathcal{T}(X)$.

Theorem

 \mathcal{T} is a contraction map. An unique solution exits thanks to Banach fixed point theorem.

Weaker assumptions

- If we prescribe ω₂ to be a vacuum exactly on a Cauchy surface, we are defining an adiabatic vacuum of order 0.
- For this kind of states (φ²)_ω and its first functional derivative, as a functional of a and H can be controlled by a and H.
- Local existence can be obtained again by means of Banach fixed point theorem. [np D. Siemssen, work in progress]



Semiclassical Einstein equations and stochastic gravity

Let's look again at

$$G_{ab} = 8\pi \langle T_{ab} \rangle_{\omega}$$

• The right hand side is a **probabilistic quantity**.

- If the variance of $\langle T_{ab} \rangle_{\omega}$ is not negligible, like for the **Brownian** motion \implies the equation can only make sense as a stochastic one. • Fluct
- The probabilistic distribution of φ^2 has been recently discussed by *[Fewster Ford Roman]*

Question

What is the impact of fluctuations?

- It is believed that quantum fluctuations seeds structure formation in the universe.
- [Verdaguer] obtains a scale free spectrum of the metric fluctuations (Bardeen potentials) considering a "linearized version" of ω_2^2 as a source.

 The CMB anisotropies should give constraints on this point [np, D. Siemssen]

Fluctuations

Stochastic approach

Einstein-Langevin equation [Verdaguer]

$$G_{ab}(x) = \omega(T_{ab}(x))$$

- We could interpret it as a **stochastic equation**.
- It is not easy to compute the **probability distribution** for $\omega(T_{ab})$.
- The correlations of $\omega(T_{ab}(x))$ are more complicated than in Wiener processes or Brownian motions.

We can equate their moments:

$$\langle G_{ab}(x) \rangle = \omega(T_{ab}(x))$$

$$\langle \delta G_{ab}(x_1) \delta G_{cd}(x_2) \rangle = Sym \left[\omega(\delta T_{ab}(x_1) \delta T_{cd}(x_2)) \right]$$

. . .

$$\langle \delta G^n(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \rangle = Sym[\omega(\delta T^n(x_1,\ldots,x_n)))]$$

$$\delta G_{ab} = G_{ab} - \langle G_{ab} \rangle , \qquad \delta T_{ab} = T_{ab} - \langle T_{ab} \rangle$$

Fluctuations

Graphical representation for $\delta \varphi^2$ in a Gaussian state



All these graphs are well defined distributions:

$$\omega_2^2(x,y)$$
 $\omega_2(x,y)\omega_2(y,z)$



- Semiclassical Einstein equations link quantum matter fluctuations with curvature fluctuations
- We can compare this model with observations!
- δG is not Gaussian (3-point function do not vanish ...)
- The non Gaussianity seems to be detected in CMB anisotropies.

Fluctuations

CMB Temperature fluctuations



- CMB anisotropies observed by the Planck space telescope.
- Produced at the time of matter/radiation decoupling.
- Usually explained by inflation.

CMB Temperature fluctuations

$$\Theta(\tau, \vec{x}, \vec{e}) = \frac{\delta T(\tau, \vec{x}, \vec{e})}{T(\tau)} = \sum_{\ell, m} \Theta_{\ell m}(\tau, \vec{x}) Y_{\ell m}(\vec{e})$$

 $\Theta_{\ell m}(\tau_0, \vec{x}_0)$ are statistically homogeneous random variables with correlations

$$\langle \Theta_{\ell m}(\tau_0, \vec{x_0}) \Theta_{\ell' m'}(\tau_0, \vec{x_0})^* \rangle = \delta_{\ell \ell'} \delta_{m m'} C_{\ell}$$

where, in terms of **Newtonian perturbations** Ψ ,

$$C_\ell = 4\pi \int_0^\infty T_\ell(k)^2 ig\langle \widehat{\Psi}(au_1,k) \widehat{\Psi}(au_1,k) ig
angle \ k^2 \ dk$$

In order to be coherent with observations, for small k it should be

$$\langle \widehat{\Psi}(au_1,k) \widehat{\Psi}(au_1,k)
angle pprox \mathcal{C}\left(rac{k_0}{k}
ight)^{3-\epsilon}$$

we shall compare this with results obtained in an inflationary model.

Fluctuations

Perturbations around an inflationary spacetime

• We start with a de Sitter spacetime

$$\overline{g} = rac{1}{(H au)^2} \left(-d au^2 + dec{x}^2
ight)$$

• Let's add Newtonian perturbations $\overline{g}
ightarrow g = \overline{g} + \epsilon \widetilde{g}$:

$$g = rac{1}{(H au)^2} \left(-(1+2\Psi)d au^2 + (1-2\Psi)d ax^2
ight)$$

Linear perturbation of scalar curvature

$$\delta G = \overline{g}^{ab} \left(G_{ab} - \langle G_{ab} \rangle \right) = 6 (H\tau)^4 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} - \frac{1}{3} \vec{\nabla}^2 \right) \frac{\Psi}{(H\tau)^2}$$

Inverting (with retarded propagator) we get

$$\langle \Psi(x_1)\Psi(x_2)\rangle = m^4 \left(\underbrace{x_1}_{\bullet \checkmark \bullet} \underbrace{x_2}_{\bullet \checkmark \bullet} + \underbrace{x_1}_{\bullet \bigstar \bullet} \underbrace{x_2}_{\bullet \checkmark \bullet} \right)$$

Power spectrum of Ψ : $P(\tau, k)$

Is obtained computing the spatial Fourier transform of $\langle \Psi(x_1)\Psi(x_2) \rangle$

where the state is

$$\omega_2(x_1, x_2) = rac{U}{\sigma_\epsilon} + ext{less singular term} = H^2 au_1 au_2 \ \omega_{\mathbb{M}}(x_1, x_2) + ext{less singular term}$$

and the square of the two-point function

$$\widehat{\omega_{2}^{2}}(au_{1}, au_{2},ec{k})=rac{1}{16\pi^{2}}\int_{k}^{\infty}e^{-ip(au_{1}- au_{2})}dp$$

We can consider the tree contribution to the power spectrum separately.

$$\langle \widehat{\Psi}(\tau,k) \widehat{\Psi}(\tau,k) \rangle pprox rac{1}{k^3} \mathcal{P}_0(k au)$$

Fluctuations

The rescaled power spectrum



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Which is consistent with observations.

Summary

- Algebraic Quantum Field Theory over curved spacetime is a solid theory.
- Semiclassical Backreaction can also be analyzed.
- Fluctuations can be studied in the same framework.
- Comparison with observation in cosmology **can be made**.

Thanks a lot for your attention!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Appendix

Let \mathcal{B} be the past null boundary of some cone \mathcal{C} .

Project the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ on \mathcal{B} using the causal prop.

$$\iota:\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})\mapsto\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B})$$
 $\iota(arphi(f))=arphi_{\mathcal{B}}(\Delta\restriction_{\mathcal{B}}(f))$

The action on the symplectic structure is a symplectomorphism.

$$[\varphi(f),\varphi(h)] = i\Delta(f,h) = [\varphi_{\mathcal{B}}(\Delta \restriction_{\mathcal{B}} (f)),\varphi_{\mathcal{B}}(\Delta \restriction_{\mathcal{B}} (h))]$$

Pullback states (functionals) from the boundary

$$\iota^*(\omega_{\mathcal{B}}) = \omega_{\mathcal{C}}$$

We can analyze the singular structure of the two-point function

$$\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^2 = \left(\Delta \restriction_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \Delta \restriction_{\mathcal{B}}\right) \circ \omega_{\mathcal{B}}^2 .$$

If $WF(\omega_{\mathcal{B}}^2)$ does not contain "negative frequencies", **propagation of singularity** theorem implies that the pulled back state is Hadamard. [Hollands, Moretti, Dappiaggi, Hack ...] Back



Appendix

Cosmological scenario: Observation

If we use Radiation, Dust and cosmological constant to model the present day observations:

- Radiation is less important. $ho_R \sim a(t)^{-4}$
- We look for a mixture of $ho_M \sim a(t)^{-3}$ and $ho_\Lambda \sim C$

We have a problem

in modeling CMB and Supernovae red-shift observation:

Total Energy density is: \sim 74% Cosmological constant, \sim 26% Dust.

Known matter: only \sim 4%.



Appendix

Asymptotic vacuum in cosmological spaces with NBB

The pure, homogeneous and isotropic

$$\omega_2(x,y) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\overline{\chi_k}(x_0)}{a(x_0)} \frac{\chi_k(y_0)}{a(y_0)} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(x-y)} d\mathbf{k} ,$$

 $\forall k \geq$ 0, χ_k is a smooth function satisfying

$$\chi_k''(\tau) + (m^2 a(\tau)^2 + k^2) \chi_k(\tau) = 0,$$
$$\overline{\chi_k} \frac{d}{d\tau} \chi_k - \frac{d}{d\tau} \overline{\chi_k} \chi_k = i.$$

Condition for being an asymptotic vacuum

$$\lim_{\tau \to -\infty} \chi_k(\tau) e^{ik\tau} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} , \qquad \lim_{\tau \to -\infty} \chi'_k(\tau) e^{ik\tau} = -i\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} .$$

It is an Hadamard state. • Back

Comparison with the ACDM model

• The late time behavior is **not** under control \implies some assumptions Before "local vacuum": $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\omega} \sim 0$ with certain α and β Now "local thermal state": $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\omega} \sim \frac{T^3}{a^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{a^5}\right)$

(A minimal model with two fields a massive scalar field a massless one)

$$H^2 = H_*^2 \pm \sqrt{H_*^4 - rac{C_1}{a^4} - C_2 - C_3 rac{T^3}{a^3}}$$

lower branch if H⁴_{*} is very large we get ΛCDM plus quantum correction
 Phenomenological law for μ(z) (distance modulus, difference between absolute and apparent magnitude) w.r.t. red-shift z = ¹/_a - 1 (temporal distance) for the SN1a explosions.

$$\mu(z) = 5 \log \left((1+z) \int_0^z \frac{1}{H(z')} dz' \right) + K$$

• Compare it with observations: best fit is obtained by minimizing χ^2 .



・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ モ ト ・ モ ト

э.

Union2 supernova compilation [Amanullah et al. 2010]

- Upper branch: **"gravity is repulsive"**, Newton constant is too large ⇒ rule out.
- Lower branch with relaxed conditions $T = \cdots + \alpha \Box R$,



Analysis of the fluctuations

The solution is meaningful provided the variance of $T_{\mu}{}^{\mu}$ is small

■ The anomaly is a *C*-number

 \blacksquare The variance of $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$

$$\Delta_{\omega}(\varphi^2) := \omega(\varphi^2 \star_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi^2) - \omega(\varphi^2)\omega(\varphi^2)$$

diverges: it is proportional to $\omega_2 \cdot \omega_2(x, x)$

When smeared the situation is better, consider the family centered in x_{τ}

$$f_{\delta t,\delta x}(\tau',\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\delta t \delta x^3} f\left(\frac{(\tau'-\tau)}{\delta t} + \tau, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\delta x}\right), f(x_{\tau}) = 1, \qquad \int_M f \ d\mu(g) = 1$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



We study the limit

$$\lim_{\delta_t\to 0}\lim_{\delta_x\to\infty}\left[R(f_{\delta_t,\delta_x})+8\pi\langle T\rangle_\omega(f_{\delta_t,\delta_x})\right]=R(x_\tau)+8\pi\langle T\rangle_\omega(x_\tau)$$

Theorem

We have

$$\lim_{\delta x \to \infty} \Delta_{\omega_{1,0}}(\varphi^2(f_{\delta_t,\delta_x})) = 0 \; .$$

In a weaker sense, the solution we have found is meaningful also when H is very large.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

back