PHYSICS at ILC/CLIC

2 11 11

Kitakami site for ILC

Barbara Mele (INFN Roma1) The LTS1, Isola d'Elba, 22 May 2014

ILC Candidate Location: Kitakami Area





- Higgs Physics (ILC + CLIC)
- Top Physics (ILC + CLIC)
- EW + QCD Physics (mostly ILC)



- · ILC TDR 2013 / CLIC CDR 2012
- Snowmass Studies 2013 (arxiv:1310.0763,1310.8361,1307.8265, 1307.3962,1311.2028,1310.5189,1310.6708)
- AWLC14, Fermilab, 12-16 May 2014
 <u>http://www.linearcollider.org/awlc14/</u>



e⁺e⁻ Linear Collider (LC) Projects

GILC (International Linear Collider)



- \bigcirc aimed at E_{cm} = 500 GeV \rightarrow 31 Km; possible extension to 1 TeV
- QRDR in 2007; TDR and Detailed Baseline Designs released in 2013; led by Global Design Effort in 2005-2013
 Ecm tunab

@CLIC (Compact Linear Collider)

- Inormal conducting accelerating structure : two-beam scheme (Drive Beam supplies RF power)
 - → gradient 100 MV/m (in development)
- from Higgs/top threshold up to 3 TeV (upgradable in steps)
 Staged Construction ;

 $E_{cm} = 350 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow 10 \text{ Km}, 1.4 \text{ TeV} \rightarrow 25 \text{ Km}, 3 \text{ TeV} \rightarrow 48 \text{ Km}$

- strong accelerator R&D program at CERN (CTF3)
- Solution of the stage (Physics and Detectors, http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.5940)

Starting from 2013 new (unified) Linear Collider Collaboration structure
 (→ LCC) has been set up, encompassing both ILC and CLIC. Covers both LC accelerator studies and LC physics and detector studies !
 (preparing the way for a single linear collider proposal...)

E_{cm} tunable, beam polarization... a lot of flexibility !

 $L \sim a few 10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$

LC potential complementary to LHC / HL LHC

- \bigcirc clean exp conditions! accurate th predictions! EW σ 's \rightarrow democracy! can reconst. any hadronic final state $\rightarrow \Delta m_{ii}$ and flavor-tagging help !!! Substraint Provide the Ample of Ampl
- Precision top physics (mass, width, asym.s, couplings)
 access to weakly interacting BSM states.
 like sleptons and emassion
- Geould detect what is "invisible" at LHC (untriggered operation \rightarrow could find unexpected signals that do not pass LHC trigger...)
- Severimental sensitivities well understood;
 - 2 detector concepts: ILD and SiD (\rightarrow CLIC-variants for higher E and bkgds)
- Generation for the second structure of the second s (HL and HE lead to high rates for photon induced processes \rightarrow pile-up of bkgds)

A program of precision Higgs couplings at the *ILC* : e^+e^- Linear Collider at 250 GeV < \sqrt{s} < 1000 GeV



possibly overlapping with HL-LHC !

Higgs Cross Sections at ILC



(ILC) <u>Energy/Luminosity scenarios</u>

Stage #	nickname	E _{cm} (1) (GeV)	Lumi (1) (fb ⁻¹)	E _{cm} (2) (GeV)	Lumi (2) (fb ⁻¹)	E _{cm} (3) (GeV)	Lumi (3) (fb ⁻¹)	Runtime (years)
1	ILC (250)	250	250					1.1
2	ILC (500)	250	250	500	500			2.0
3	ILC (1000)	250	250	500	500	1000	1000	2.9
4,5,6	ILC(LumUp)	250	1150	500	1600	1000	2500	5.8

At each stage, the accumulated luminosity of a given energy is listed. The runtimes listed consist of actual elapsed cumulative running time at the end of each stage. Assuming that the ILC runs for 1/3 of the time, then the actual time elapsed is equal to the runtime times 3.

H-strahlung vs	WW-fusion	(√S ~ 25	50 GeV - 3	TeV)	expected #	of events
	250 GeV	350 GeV	500 GeV	1 TeV	1.5 TeV	3 TeV
$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH)$	240 fb	129 fb	57 fb	13 fb	6 fb	1 fb
$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow H\nu_e\overline{\nu}_e)$	8 fb	30 fb	75 fb	210 fb	309 fb	484 fb
Int. <i>L</i>	$250{\rm fb}^{-1}$	$350{\rm fb}^{-1}$	$500 {\rm fb}^{-1}$	$1000 {\rm fb}^{-1}$	1500fb^{-1}	2000fb^{-1}
# ZH events	60,000	45,500	28,500	13,000	7,500	2,000
# $Hv_e \overline{v}_e$ events	2,000	10,500	37,500	210,000	460,000	970,000

$ee \rightarrow HZ$ allows model-indepen. g_{HXX} measurements





$$\Delta \left(\sigma_{\rm HZ} \frac{\Gamma_{\rm vis}}{\Gamma} \right) = \pm 1.7 \% \quad \bullet \quad \Delta \left(\sigma_{\rm HZ} \frac{\Gamma_{\rm invis}}{\Gamma} \right) = \pm 0.6 \%$$

$$\Delta(\sigma_{\rm HZ}) = \pm 1.8 \%$$

(CLIC beam spectrum, 500 fb⁻¹ @ 350 GeV, no polarisation)

★ Combined with leptonic recoil mass

 $\Delta(g_{\rm HZZ}) \approx \pm 0.8 \%$

"almost model independent"

smaller- σ processes

give access to g_{Htt} and H self-coupling ($\rightarrow \lambda \rightarrow$ Higgs mechanism)



top Yukawa coupling





• Δy_{top}/y_{top} ~10%

4

√S ~ 500 GeV 1/ab P_e(-0.8,0.3)

+ + H f

$e^+e^- \rightarrow t \,\overline{t} \, H$ Philipp Roloff & Yuji Sudo

• CLIC 1.4 TeV



Hadronic channel: $S / \sqrt{S} + B = 8.36$ $\Delta(\sigma(t\bar{t}H)) = 12.0\%$ Combined: $\Delta(\sigma(t\bar{t}H)) = 8.1\%$ $\rightarrow \Delta(g_{ttH}) = 4.3\%$ Semileptonic channel: S / \sqrt{S} + B = 9.17 $\Delta(\sigma(t\bar{t}H))$ = 10.9%

 \rightarrow Precision on g_{ttH} would improve to better than 4% with -80% electron polarisaion

(0.5 without contribution from Higgsstrahlung)

For comparison: $\Delta(g_{ttH}) = 4.3-4.5\%$ expected at 1 TeV ILC (form ILC TDR)

• ILC 500 GeV

- direct top Yukawa coupling measurement with tth channel
- $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ ILC, L = 500 fb⁻¹, Mh = 125 GeV
- cut based event selection and counting analysis
- tth \rightarrow 8jets S/ $\sqrt{S+B}$ = 2.04
- tth \rightarrow In+6jets S/ $\sqrt{S+B}$ = 2.42
- combine → significance = 3.16

$$|\Delta g_t/g_t| = 15.7\%$$

• In the cases of lumi-up or $\sqrt{s} = 520$ GeV, significance reaches 5 (L = 1600 fb⁻¹)

\sqrt{s}	$: S/\sqrt{S} +$	\overline{B} : $ \Delta g_t/g_t $ %
490	: 2.06	: 24.2
500	: 3.16	: 15.7
510	: 4.19	: 11.9
520	: 5.12	: 9.76
530	: 5.96	: 8.38
540	: 6.70	: 7.45
550	: 7.33	: 6.81

Triple Higgs coupling



0.3 $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow ZHH$ 0.25 $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow v \overline{v} HH$ (WW fusion) $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow v \overline{v} HH$ (Combined) M(H) = 120 GeV Cross Section / fb 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.05 0 1000 1200 1400 400 600 800 Center of Mass Energy / GeV

including HH → bbbb, bbWW*

	ILC500	ILC500-up	ILC1000	ILC1000-up	CLIC1400	CLIC3000
$\sqrt{s} \; ({\rm GeV})$	500	500	500/1000	500/1000	1400	3000
$\int \mathcal{L} dt \; (\mathrm{fb}^{-1})$	500	1600^{\ddagger}	500 + 1000	$1600 + 2500^{\ddagger}$	1500	+2000
$P(e^-, e^+)$	(-0.8, 0.3)	(-0.8, 0.3)	(-0.8, 0.3/0.2)	(-0.8, 0.3/0.2)	(0,0)/(-0.8,0)	(0,0)/(-0.8,0)
$\sigma\left(ZHH ight)$	42.7%		42.7%	23.7%	—	—
$\sigma\left(u ar{ u} H H ight)$	_	—	26.3%	16.7%		
λ	83%	46%	21%	13%	28/21%	16/10%

	independe	nt determ	ninations	of the Higgs b	oson couplir	ngs		
	Mode	ILC(250)	ILC(500)	ILC(1000)	ILC(LumUp) ILC	versus	LHC
	$\sqrt{s} \; (\text{GeV})$	250	250 + 500	250 + 500 + 1000	250 + 500 + 10	00		
	$L (fb^{-1})$	250	250 + 500	250 + 500 + 1000	1150 + 1600 + 2	500		
-	$\gamma\gamma$	$18 \ \%$	8.4 %	4.0~%	2.4~%			
	gg	6.4~%	2.3~%	1.6~%	0.9~%			
	WW	4.9~%	1.2~%	1.1~%	0.6~%			
	ZZ	1.3~%	1.0~%	1.0~%	0.5~%			
	$t\overline{t}$	_	14~%	3.2~%	2.0~%			
	$b\overline{b}$	5.3~%	1.7~%	1.3~%	0.8~%		$\kappa_A = q(hA\overline{A})$	$\overline{A})/(SM)$
	$\tau^+ \tau^-$	5.8~%	2.4~%	1.8~%	1.0~%			
	$c\overline{c}$	6.8~%	2.8~%	1.8~%	1.1~%	I HC	7 naram	e fit
	$\mu^+\mu^-$	91~%	91~%	16~%	10~%			5 11
	Γ_T	12~%	5.0~%	4.6~%	2.5~%	Luminosity	$300~{\rm fb}^{-1}$	$3000 {\rm ~fb^{-1}}$
	hhh	_	83~%	21~%	13 % C	oupling parameter	7-para	meter fit
•	BR(invis.)	$< 0.9 \ \%$	$< 0.9 \ \%$	$< 0.9 \ \%$	< 0.4 %	κ_{γ}	5 - 7%	2 - 5%
-				or the invisible bra	unching ratio	κ_g	6-8%	3-5%
	the number	Δr	-i/Fi=0.5%. F 95% confide	or the invisible bra	inching ratio,	κ_W	4-6%	2-5%
	the number	s quoted are	55% connuc	ince upper inities.		κ_Z	4-6%	2-4%
						κ_u	14 - 15%	7-10%
	arXiv:131	10.8361				κ_d	10-13%	4-7%
						κ_ℓ	6-8%	2-5%
						Γ_H	12 - 15%	5-8%
							additional para	ameters (see tex
						$\kappa_{Z\gamma}$	41 - 41%	10 - 12%
						κ_{μ}	23-23%	8-8%
						$\mathrm{BR}_{\mathrm{BSM}}$	< 14 - 18%	< 7 - 11%

Summary of expected accuracies $\Delta g_i/g_i$ and Γ_T for model

ILC vs HL-LHC + ILC

Heinemeyer, 1405.3781







Higgs studies update

			Stat	tistical precis	sion
Channel	Measurement	Observable	350 GeV 500 fb ⁻¹	1.4 TeV 1.5 ab ⁻¹	3.0 TeV 2.0 ab ⁻¹
ZH	Recoil mass distribution	mц	120 MeV	_	_
ZH	$\sigma(HZ) \times BR(H \rightarrow invisible)$	Γinv	0.6%	_	_
ZH	$H \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ mass distribution	$m_{\rm H}$	tbd	_	_
$H\nu_e\overline{\nu}_e$	$H \to b \overline{b}$ mass distribution	m _H	_	40 MeV*	33 MeV*
ZH	$\sigma(\mathrm{HZ}) imes BR(\mathrm{Z} o \ell^+ \ell^-)$	$g^2_{\rm HZZ}$	4.2%		_
ZH	$\sigma(\mathrm{HZ}) \times BR(\mathrm{Z} \to \mathrm{q}\overline{\mathrm{q}})$	$g_{\rm HZZ}^2$	1.8%	_	_
ZH	$\sigma(\mathrm{HZ}) \times BR(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{b}\overline{\mathrm{b}})$	$g_{\rm HZZ}^2 g_{\rm Hbb}^2 / \Gamma_{\rm H}$	$1\%^{\dagger}$	_	_
ZH	$\sigma(\mathrm{HZ}) \times BR(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{c}\overline{\mathrm{c}})$	$g_{\rm HZZ}^2 g_{\rm Hcc}^2 / \Gamma_{\rm H}$	5% [†]	_	_
ZH	$\sigma(\mathrm{HZ}) \times BR(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{gg})$		$6\%^{\dagger}$	_	_
ZH	$\sigma(\mathrm{HZ}) \times BR(\mathrm{H} \to \tau^+ \tau^-)$	$g_{ m HZZ}^2 g_{ m H\tau\tau}^2 / \Gamma_{ m H}$	5.7%	-	_
ZH	$\sigma(\mathrm{HZ}) \times BR(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{WW}^*)$	$g_{\rm HZZ}^2 g_{\rm HWW}^2 / \Gamma_{\rm H}$	$2\%^{\dagger}$	-	_
ZH	$\sigma(\mathrm{HZ}) \times BR(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{ZZ}^*)$	$g_{\rm HZZ}^2 g_{\rm HZZ}^2 / \Gamma_{\rm H}$	tbd	-	_
$Hv_e \overline{v}_e$	$\sigma(\mathrm{Hv}_{\mathrm{e}}\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{e}}) \times BR(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{b}\overline{\mathrm{b}})$	$g_{\rm HWW}^2 g_{\rm Hbb}^2 / \Gamma_{\rm H}$	3%†	0.3%	0.2%
$Hv_e \overline{v}_e$	$\sigma(\mathrm{Hv}_{\mathrm{e}}\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{e}}) \times BR(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{c}\overline{\mathrm{c}})$	$g_{\rm HWW}^2 g_{\rm Hcc}^2 / \Gamma_{\rm H}$	_	2.9%	2.7%
$Hv_e \overline{v}_e$	$\sigma(\mathrm{Hv}_{\mathrm{e}}\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{e}}) \times BR(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{gg})$			1.8%	1.8%
$Hv_e \overline{v}_e$	$\sigma(\mathrm{Hv}_{\mathrm{e}}\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{e}}) \times BR(\mathrm{H} \to \tau^{+}\tau^{-})$	$g_{\rm HWW}^2 g_{\rm H\tau\tau}^2 / \Gamma_{\rm H}$	_	3.7%*	tbd
$Hv_e \overline{v}_e$	$\sigma(\mathrm{Hv}_{\mathrm{e}}\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{e}}) \times BR(\mathrm{H} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-})$	$g_{\rm HWW}^2 g_{\rm HWW}^2 / \Gamma_{\rm H}$		38%	16%
$Hv_e \overline{v}_e$	$\sigma(\mathrm{Hv}_{\mathrm{e}}\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{e}}) \times BR(\mathrm{H} \to \gamma\gamma)$		_	15%	tbd
$Hv_e \overline{v}_e$	$\sigma(\mathrm{Hv}_{\mathrm{e}}\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{e}}) \times BR(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{Z}\gamma)$		_	42%	tbd
$Hv_e \overline{v}_e$	$\sigma(\mathrm{Hv}_{\mathrm{e}}\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{e}}) \times BR(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{WW}^{*})$	$g_{\rm HWW}^4/\Gamma_{\rm H}$	tbd	$1.1\%^{*}$	$0.8\%^{*}$
$Hv_{e}\overline{v}_{e}$	$\sigma(Hv_e \overline{v}_e) \times BR(H \to ZZ^*)$	$g_{\mu\nu\nu}^2 g_{\mu\tau\tau}^2 / \Gamma_{\rm H}$	_	3%†	$2\%^{\dagger}$
He ⁺ e ⁻	$\sigma(\mathrm{He^+e^-}) \times BR(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{b}\overline{\mathrm{b}})$	$g_{\rm HZZ}^2 g_{\rm Hbb}^2 / \Gamma_{\rm H}$	—	$1\%^{\dagger}$	$0.7\%^{\dagger}$
tīH	$\sigma(t\bar{t}H) \times BR(H \rightarrow b\bar{b})$	$g^2_{ m Htt}g^2_{ m Hbb}/\Gamma_{ m H}$	_	8%	tbd
$HHv_e\overline{v}_e$	$\sigma(\mathrm{HHv_e}\overline{\mathrm{v}}_\mathrm{e})$	<i>g</i> HHWW	_	7%*	3%*
$HHv_e \overline{v}_e$	$\sigma(\mathrm{HHv_e}\overline{\mathrm{v}}_\mathrm{e})$	λ	—	32%	16%
$HHv_{e}\overline{v}_{e}$	with $-80\% e^{-}$ polarization	λ	_	24%	12%

Several updates from the Snowmass paper, comprehensive Higgs paper in preparation

* preliminary +estimates

(Roloff et al)



ILC, CLIC vs LHC, HL-LHC 7 parameter fit

Facility	LHC	HL-LHC	ILC500	ILC500-up	ILC1000	ILC1000-up	CLIC
$\sqrt{s} \; (\text{GeV})$	14,000	$14,\!000$	250/500	250/500	250/500/1000	250/500/1000	350/1400/3000
$\int \mathcal{L} dt \; (\mathrm{fb}^{-1})$	300/expt	3000/expt	250 + 500	1150 + 1600	250 + 500 + 1000	1150 + 1600 + 2500	500 + 1500 + 2000
κ_{γ}	5 - 7%	2 - 5%	8.3%	4.4%	3.8%	2.3%	$-/5.5/{<}5.5\%$
κ_{q}	6 - 8%	3-5%	2.0%	1.1%	1.1%	0.67%	3.6/0.79/0.56%
κ_W	4 - 6%	2-5%	0.39%	0.21%	0.21%	0.2%	1.5/0.15/0.11%
κ_Z	4 - 6%	2 - 4%	0.49%	0.24%	0.50%	0.3%	0.49/0.33/0.24%
κ_ℓ	6-8%	2 - 5%	1.9%	0.98%	1.3%	0.72%	$3.5/1.4/{<}1.3\%$
$\kappa_d = \kappa_b$	10 - 13%	4 - 7%	0.93%	0.60%	0.51%	0.4%	1.7/0.32/0.19%
$\kappa_u = \kappa_t$	14 - 15%	7-10%	2.5%	1.3%	1.3%	0.9%	3.1/1.0/0.7%

Snowmass, 1310.8361

Vector Boson Fusion Processes A stress test for W/Z separation at high energies



In multi-jet environment, however, M_{JJ} resolution is often controlled by confusion in jet-clustering but by PFA!





- The top quark mass is not a physical observable: must know what scheme it is measured in.
- At hadron colliders, tension between kinematic reconstruction (excellent sensitivity, poorly defined) and cross-section (well-defined theoretically, poor sensitivity).
- New ideas may push LHC measurement of MS-bar top mass to an uncertainty < 1 GeV
- e.g. σ(tt+jet) vs. m(tt+jet)
 better sensitivity than σ(tt).



Threshold scan

```
F. Simon
```

- Dedicated running at threshold for ultimate precision.
- Important effects from ISR and luminosity spectrum.



- Statistical uncertainty ~ 30 MeV.
- 10-20% difference ILC/CLIC < systematic O(100 MeV)

Top width, Yukawa coupling

 Sensitivity to top Yukawa coupling through virtual Higgs exchange.

T. Horiguchi



Simultaneous extraction of mass, width, Yukawa coupling by a fit to threshold cross-section.

	(2 + 1) param fit	3 param fit
m _t	19 MeV	29 MeV
Γ _t	38 MeV	39 MeV
y t	4.6%	5.9%

EW corrections

- Goal of luminosity measurement: O(0.1%) uncertainty
 - theory should be known at least as well, but NLO EW corrections to $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ are >1%: need NNLO.
- Step towards NNLO is NLO EW for $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$
 - recently computed in GRACE-LOOP



Strong coupling



- Measurements of α_s a staple of lepton colliders.
- pQCD NNLO+NNLL, extractions limited by understanding of hadronization corrections (generators/analytic).

• automatic gain at future LC, scale as $1/\sqrt{s}$

Outlook

- Higgs resonance at 126 GeV opened up the stage of particle-properties determination, and made the Physics Case for future accelerators stronger than ever !
- Iteoretical arguments supporting the importance of sub-percent Higgs coupling precision continues to grow ...
- HL-LHC can improve g_{HXX} accuracy at the 2%-14% level (modulo actual detector performance in HL experimental environment)
- ILC and CLIC can reach model independent 0.5 3% precision on Higgs couplings and 0.2 - 1% precision assuming same model dependence as LHC
- Q great potential on top mass and couplings ($\Delta m_{top} \sim 100$ MeV)
- Same on EWPO (did not cover GigaZ option...)
- We theory uncertainties can be reduced (with some effort) to a level wellmatched to the ILC precision
- Int of activity toward more realistic ILC/CLIC analysis techniques and simulations ongoing.

Working Group on the Physics of a Future e+e- Linear Collider

Promoters: G. Pancheri, S. De Curtis, S. Moretti

Workshop Organizers: P. Ciafaloni, A. De Roeck, D. Dominici, G. Corcella, R. Godbole, M. Piccolo, O. Panella, F. Richard

Conveners: E. Accomando, M.Antonelli, M. Battaglia, F. Borzumati, C. Carloni Calame, D. Comelli, R. Contino, A. Deandrea, G.Degrassi, E. Gabrielli, E. Maina, M. Moretti, M. Passera, F. Piccinini, M. Ricci

Series of meetings and workshops on physics at Linear Colliders, organized in Italy every year since 2006 to stimulate and gather together the Italian community interested in Linear Colliders.

The workshops invite scientists from everywhere in the world to discuss together topical arguments related to e+e- colliders.

Our activity of Meetings, Conferences and Workshops

LC06 Kick-Off: Meeting in Frascati http://www.lnf.infn.it/theory/ilc/frascati.html

LC07 Firenze: ILC Physics in Florence http://www.ggi.fi.infn.it//index.php?p=events.inc&id=15

LC08 Frascati: e+e- Physics at the TeV Scale http://www.Inf.infn.it/conference/lc08/

LC09 Perugia: e+e- Physics at the TeV Scale and the Dark Matter Connection <u>www.pg.infn.it/lc09</u> - Proceedings Published in_II Nuovo Cimento C, vol. 33C, p. 1-223, BOLOGNA SIF Edizioni Scientifiche ISSN: 2037-4909.

LC10 Frascati: New Physics: Complementarities Between Direct and Indirect Searches http://www.lnf.infn.it/conference/lc10/ Proceedings Published in II Nuovo Cimento C, vol. 34C, p. 1-146, BOLOGNA SIF Edizioni Scientifiche ISSN: 2037-4909.

LC11 ECT*: Understanding QCD at linear Colliders in Searching for Old and New Physics http://www.lnf.infn.it/conference/2011/lc11/ - Proceedings Published in Frascati Phys.Ser. 54 (2012) pp.1-392 ISBN:978-88-86409-60-5

LC13 ECT*: Exploring QCD from the IR Regime to Heavy Flavour Scales at B-factories, the LHC and a Linear Collider http://www.lnf.infn.it/conference/LC13/ - Proceedings to be published in Il Nuovo Cimento C

Presently preparing the proposal for: LFC2015: Workshop on prospects for Linear and Future Colliders



LCO8: e+e- physics at the TeV scale

INFR

Frascati, 22-25 September 2008

Conveners and Advisory Committee:	TOPICS
E: Accomando M. Antonelli D. Comelli R. Contino G. Corcella A. Deandrea G. Degrassi E. Maina M. Moretti	Electroweak physics Gamma gamma collisions Higgs physics MonteCarlo Generators Vector Boson Fusion Top and QCD Supersymmetry Beyond the Standard Model Machine options
M Passera	ALLETT
F. Piccinini http://www.interfult Local Organizing Committee: S. De Curtis, S. Moreyki, G. Pancteiri, M. Scoretariat : silvia.colasanti@inf.infnut Tela 0039-06-9403 2716 / 2791	t/conterence/ld08 LPiccolo Maddalena.Legramante.Bing.infnit

e⁺e⁻ Physics at the TeV Scale and the Dark Matter Connection Corso di Formazione INFN

Perugia, 21-24 September 2009 Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN Sezione di Perugia

opics

Electroweak Physics Vector Boson Fusion and Photon Collider Higgs Physics Supersymmetry Beyond the Standard Model Dark Matter: Top and QCD Tools Machine and Detector Options

Conveners

Elena Accomando Francesca Borzumati Carlo Carloni Calame Denis Comelli Gennaro Corcella Aldo Deandrea Giuseppe Degrassi Ezio Maina Massimo Passera Fulvio Piccinini

Organizing Committee

Stefania De Curtis Stefano Moretti Giulia Pancheri Orlando Panella Marcello Piccolo

Local Organizing Committee Andrea Achilli, Mirco Cannoni, Simone Pacetti, Orlando Panella, Yogendra N. Srivastava

Secretariat

Anna Drakopoulou Email: Anna. Drakopoulou@pg.infn.it Tel: +39 3207136419

The workshop will take place in the Physics Department of the University, Via A. Pascoli



LC13: Exploring QCD from the infrared regime to heavy flavour scales at B-factories, the LHC and a Linear Collider Trento, September 16-20, 2013

Main Topics

Participants include

Website http://www.lnf.infn.it/conference/2013/LC13

Organizers

Conveners

Director of the ECT*: Professor Wolfram Weise (ECT*)

NEW PHYSICS: COMPLEMENTARITIES BETWEEN DIRECT AND INDIRECT SEARCHES



LC11 Workshop: Understanding QCD at Linear Colliders in searching for old and new physics 12-16 September 2011 ECT*, Villa Tambosi, Trento (Italy)



ECT*, Strada delle Tabarelle 286, I-38123 Villazzano (TN) Italy Tel.+39 (0461) 314-730 or 722 Fax: +39 (0461) 935-007 Mail:ectweb@ectstar.eu

This Workshop is part of a series of workshops on physics at Linear Colliders, organized in Italy every year to stimulate and gather together the Italian community interested in Linear Colliders (LCs). The workshop invites scientists from everywhere in the world to discuss together topical arguments related to LCs. Previous editions have taken place in Florence, Perugia and Frascati (twice).

Conveners:

Elena Accomando, NExT Institute, Southampton U. (Higgs) Francesca Borzumati, Tohoku U. (SUSY) Carlo Carloni Calame, NExT Institute, Southampton U. (Tools) Denis Comelli, INFN Ferrara (Astroparticles) Gennaro Corcella, INFN LNF, Frascati (Top and QCD) Aldo Deandrea, IPN, Lyon (Beyond the SM) Giuseppe Degrassi, Roma III U. and INFN Roma III (EWP) Massimo Passera, INFN Padova (EWP) Fulvio Piccinini, INFN Pavia(VBF)

Organizing Committee:

Stefania De Curtis, INFN Firenze, Albert De Roeck, CERN, Stefano Moretti, NEXT Institute, Southampton U., Giulia Pancheri, INFN LNF, Frascati, Orlando Panella, INFN Perugia, Francois Richard, LAL Orsay

Topics:

INFŃ

ORGANIZING COMMITTEES

- Status of the LC projects and their connections with the LHC
- Precision measurements at e+e- colliders and elsewhere
- The structure of QCD from the multi-TeV to the GeV scale .
- QCD: from partons to hadrons .
- Higgs and Top physics
- Physics beyond the Standard Model
- DIS & photon-photon physics .
- The future of QCD in e+e- physics