Light hypernuclei

Jean-Marc Richard

Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon Université Claude Bernard (Lyon 1)–IN2P3-CNRS Villeurbanne, France

Frascati, INFN, May 14, 2014







Content

- Baryon-baryon interaction
- 3-body spectroscopy
- 4-body spectroscopy
- Three-body forces
- Mixing and changes in nuclear medium
- **O** Production of (n, n, Λ, Λ)

Work done in collaboration with Qiang Zhao (IHEP) and Qian Wang (Jülich)

New light hypernuclei?

- Much activity in the "molecular' model,
- Meson-meson, baryon-baryon, baryon-antibaryon, etc.
- Stimulated by *X*(3872) and other states in the heavy-quark sector
- Also for nucleon-hyperon systems = light hypernuclei
- Work presented at the Chinese-French meeting, April 8, 2014
- Preliminary results on arXiv:1404.3473 (April 14th, 2014)
- To be presented at the next Conference on Clusters



Light hypernuclei: survey

- NA not bound
- AA not bound, but might be attractive at short distances
- See, speculations on *H* dibaryon (Jaffe, ...)
- $^3_{\Lambda}\mathrm{H} = (\textit{n},\textit{p},\Lambda)$ bound slightly below $^2\mathrm{H} + \Lambda$
- This probes the ΛN interaction of the Nijmegen + Japan group fitting the (rare) scattering data and constrained from *NN* by SU(3)_F
- ${}^{5}_{\Lambda}$ He = (α , Λ) bound, another example (More in Sakaguchi, 2009)
- Recent efforts on (n, n, Λ), with mixing of ΛN to ΣN included, found not bound (Gal et al., Hiyama et al., Valcarce et al.)

Université Claude Bernard 😡 Lyon 1

Light double hypernuclei: survey

- Double hypernuclei also seen
- "Nagara" event $^{6}_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ He seen
- Indicates a weak attraction for ΛΛ in medium
- Suppression of $\Lambda\Lambda \leftrightarrow N\Xi \leftrightarrow \Lambda\Lambda$ due to Pauli principle
- Some discussion about (n, Λ, Λ)

Borromean binding

- Thomas (1935), Zhukov et al. (1993) milestones to stress the possibility of Borromean binding
- Bound state whose subsystems are unbound



- In quantum mechanics for bosons, a short-range attractive potential g V(r)
- Needs *g* > *g*₂ to bind (*m*, *m*)
- Needs $g > g_3$ to bind (m, m, m)
- With $g_3 < g_2$ $[g_3, g_2]$ Booromean windows

Borromean binding

- Example ${}^{6}\text{He} = (\alpha, n, n)$ is stable
- while neither (α, n) nor (n, n) are bound
- Rigorous limit $2g_2/3 < g_3$ can be established
- And generalized to unequal masses and coupling, and to N > 3 body systems



The amount of Borromean binding, for a given scattering length, depends on the effective range, a point sometimes overlooked.



Variational calculations

- Use simple central potentials (exp., Morse) reproducing a_{sc} and r_{eff} , as done by several groups,
- 3-body: $\Psi = \sum_{i} \gamma_{i} \exp(-a_{i} x + b_{i} y + c_{i} z), x = |r_{2} r_{3}|, \dots$
- For given {a_i, b_i, c_i}, variational energy and {γ_i} from a simple eigenvalue equation
- Non-linear parameters {*a_i*, *b_i*, *c_i*} searched for numerically, as any triple inside a geometric series *a*, *a v*, *a v*²,.... So only *a* and *v* are varied (cf. Nakamura)
- Cross-checked with Varga and Suzuki's stochastic variational method (SVM) based on Gaussians

$$\Psi = \sum_{i} \gamma_i \, \exp[-\sum_{k < \ell} a_i^{k\ell} \, (\boldsymbol{r}_\ell - \boldsymbol{r}_k)^2] \; ,$$

SVM also used for 4-body

Université Claude Bernard 😡 Lyon 1

Low-energy parameters

- Conventional: Nijmegen–RIKEN series of fits with meson exchanges
- More recent description of low-energy data with effective theories
- Which tend to give smaller r_{eff} and thus more binding

#	$(np)_{l=1}$	(<i>nn</i>)	$(np)_{l=0}$	$(\Lambda N)_{s=0}$	$(\Lambda N)_{s=1}$	$(\Lambda\Lambda)$
а	-23.735	-16.51	5.428	-2.70	-1.65	-0.97
	2.694	2.85	1.753	2.97	3.63	3.88
b	-23.735	-18.9	5.428	-2.90	-1.51	-1.54
	2.694	2.75	1.753	2.65	2.64	0.31

Results

- Very delicate 3-body and 4-body calculations
- With V = -g_{ij} exp(-r), r in GeV, tuned to reproduce the scattering lengths (n, p), (n, p, Λ) OK, (n, n, Λ) unbound, (n, p, Λ, Λ) and (n, n, Λ, Λ) stable, but effective range too small
- With $V = -g_{ij} \exp(-0.2r)$, *r* in GeV, (*n*,*p*), (*n*,*p*, Λ) OK, (*n*, *n*, Λ) unbound, (*n*, *p*, Λ , Λ) bound and (*n*, *n*, Λ , Λ) unstable, but effective range too large,
- With $V = -g_{ij} \exp(-\mu_{ij} r)$, or $V = g_{ij} \exp[-2\alpha_{ij}(r-R)] - 2g_{ij} \exp[-\alpha_{ij}(r-R)]$ (Morse), and a reasonable *R*, one can adjust g_{ij} and μ_{ij} or α_{ij} to reproduce the scattering length and effective range for each pair, then $(n, p), (n, p, \Lambda)$ OK, (n, n, Λ) unbound, (n, p, Λ, Λ) bound and (n, n, Λ, Λ) very weakly bound, at least in the Jülich model ¹
- First indication for this neutral configuration which is fully
 Borromean

¹Chiral effective theory, another Jülich model was used earlier by Gloeckle et al.

Open questions-1

Three-body forces

- probably very repulsive at high nuclear density (no room for additional u or d in a medium already saturated for u and d by two neighbouring nucleons
- might be attractive for few-baryon systems

Coupled channels

- Often advocated
- $\Lambda\Lambda \leftrightarrow \Xi N$ suppressed at high density, if medium saturated for Ns,
- May be not for a very dilute (Λ, Λ, n, n)
- Similarly, comparison of (ΛNN) with or without coupling to ΣNN meaningful only if ΛN alone and $\Lambda N + \Sigma N$ tuned to produce the same low-energy parameters. Not clear in the existing literature.

Université Claude Bernard 👍 Lyon 1

Open questions-2

- AA interaction Very short-range in the "Jülich" model, with $r_{\rm eff} = 0.3$ fm.
- If mimicked, à la Japanese, by an exponential, one gets almost a delta function, this making the numercial estimate moire delicate,
- Reminiscent of Jaffe's model for the $H = (uuddss) = \Lambda\Lambda + \cdots$, where the attraction is due the short-range part of the interquark force, the chromo-magnetic interaction.
- The existence of (n, n, Λ, Λ) would thus suggest a short-range free ΛΛ interaction that is strongly suppressed in the nuclear medium.

Université Claude Bernard 😡 Lyon 1

Production mechanism

Production mechanism for the tetra-baryon state ($\Lambda\Lambda$ nn) in deuteron-deuteron collision



- The two K⁺ production is via the elementary process, $pp \rightarrow \Lambda\Lambda K^+K^+$.
- The intermediate S11(1535) excitations dominate the threshold production.



Production mechanism

- Rough estimate
- Total, and Born term, double *N*(1530), single *N*(1530) contributions



Conclusions

A = 3

- A second (n, p, Λ) , with l = 0 and s = 3/2 cannot be excluded
- (n, p, Λ) with I = 1 or (n, n, Λ) hardly bound with 2-body forces only
- (Ν, Λ, Λ) debated
- Three-body forces to be studied more carefully

A = 4

- Calculations confirms the likely existence of a (n, p, Λ, Λ)
- New light hypernuclei expected such as (n, n, Λ, Λ)
- In a regime of extreme Borromean binding
- If ΛN and ΛΛ have small effective range, as suggested by effective field theories