#### Dispersive Treatment of $K_{\ell 4}$ Decays

#### Peter Stoffer

#### EPJC 75 (2015) 172, [arXiv:1501.05627 [hep-ph]]

#### in collaboration with G. Colangelo and E. Passemar

Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik University of Bonn

#### 29th June 2015

The 8<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Chiral Dynamics 2015, Pisa





- **2** Decomposition of the Form Factors
- **3** Integral Equations
- 4 Fit to Data and Matching to  $\chi$ PT

#### 1 Introduction and Motivation

- 2 Decomposition of the Form Factors
- **3** Integral Equations
- 4 Fit to Data and Matching to  $\chi$ PT



## Definition of the $K_{\ell 4}$ decay

Decay of a kaon into two pions and a lepton pair:

$$K^+(p) \to \pi^+(p_1)\pi^-(p_2)\ell^+(p_\ell)\nu_\ell(p_\nu)$$

 $\ell \in \{e, \mu\}$  is either an electron or a muon.



### Importance of the $K_{\ell 4}$ decay

- provides information on  $\pi\pi$ -scattering lengths  $a_0^0$ ,  $a_0^2$
- very precisely measured  $\Rightarrow$  test of  $\chi$ PT
  - $\rightarrow$  Geneva-Saclay, E865, NA48/2
- best source of information on some low-energy constants of  $\chi \text{PT}$



# Advantages of dispersion relations

- resummation of rescattering
- connect different energy regions
- based on analyticity and unitarity ⇒ model independence

#### Introduction and Motivation

#### 2 Decomposition of the Form Factors

#### **3** Integral Equations

4 Fit to Data and Matching to  $\chi$ PT

#### Hadronic part of $K_{\ell 4}$ as $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering



Mandelstam variables:

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2, \quad t = (k - p_1)^2, \quad u = (k - p_2)^2$$

## Form factors

 Lorentz structure allows four form factors in the hadronic matrix element (P = p<sub>1</sub> + p<sub>2</sub>, Q = p<sub>1</sub> - p<sub>2</sub>):

$$\langle \pi^{+}(p_{1})\pi^{-}(p_{2}) | A_{\mu}(0) | K^{+}(k) \rangle = -i \frac{1}{M_{K}} \left( P_{\mu} F + Q_{\mu} G + L_{\mu} R \right)$$
  
 
$$\langle \pi^{+}(p_{1})\pi^{-}(p_{2}) | V_{\mu}(0) | K^{+}(k) \rangle = -\frac{H}{M_{K}^{3}} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} L^{\nu} P^{\rho} Q^{\sigma}$$

- R and H suppressed  $\Rightarrow$  focus on F and G
- form factors are functions of the Mandelstam variables *s*, *t* and *u*



## Analytic properties

- F(s,t,u) and G(s,t,u) have a right-hand branch cut in the complex *s*-plane, starting at the  $\pi\pi$ -threshold
- left-hand cut present due to crossing
- analogous situation in *t* and *u*-channel

## **Reconstruction theorem**

 $\rightarrow$  Stern, Sazdjian, Fuchs (1993), Ananthanarayan, Buettiker (2001),  $\ldots$ 

 define a function that has just the right-hand cut of f<sub>0</sub>, the first partial wave of F:

$$M_0(s) := P(s) + \frac{s^2}{\pi} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Im}f_0(s')}{(s' - s - i\epsilon){s'}^2} ds'$$

- similar functions take care of the right-hand cuts of all the other *S* and *P*-waves (also crossed channels)
- all the discontinuities are split up into functions of a single variable
- neglect imaginary parts of *D* and higher waves



#### **Reconstruction theorem**

Form factors decomposed into functions of one Mandelstam variable only:

$$F(s,t,u) = M_0(s) + \frac{u-t}{M_K^2}M_1(s) + (\text{functions of } t \text{ or } u),$$
  

$$G(s,t,u) = \tilde{M}_1(s) + (\text{functions of } t \text{ or } u).$$

#### Introduction and Motivation

2 Decomposition of the Form Factors

## **3** Integral Equations

4 Fit to Data and Matching to  $\chi$ PT



## Omnès representation

Function  $M_0$  contains only right-hand cut of the partial wave  $f_0$ : difference is the 'inhomogeneity'  $\hat{M}_0$ :

$$f_0(s) = M_0(s) + \hat{M}_0(s)$$

Inhomogeneous Omnès problem:

$$\mathrm{Im}M_0(s) = (M_0(s) + \hat{M}_0(s))e^{-i\delta_0^0(s)}\sin\delta_0^0(s)$$

Watson's theorem:  $\delta_0^0$  is elastic  $\pi\pi$  phase shift

# Omnès representation

Omnès solution for the functions  $M_0(s)$ ,  $M_1(s)$ ,  $\tilde{M}_1(s)$ , etc.:

$$M_0(s) = \Omega_0^0(s) \left\{ P(s) + \frac{s^3}{\pi} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\Lambda^2} \frac{\hat{M}_0(s') \sin \delta_0^0(s')}{|\Omega_0^0(s')| (s' - s - i\epsilon) {s'}^3} ds' \right\},\$$

P: subtraction polynomial

- $\delta_l^I$ : elastic  $\pi\pi$  or  $K\pi$  phase shifts
- $\Omega_l^I$ : Omnès function

 $\hat{M}_i:$  inhomogeneities, angular averages of all the functions  $M_i$ 



## Intermediate summary

- problem parametrised by 9 subtraction constants
- input: elastic  $\pi\pi$  and  $K\pi$ -scattering phase shifts
- energy dependence fully determined by the dispersion relation



## Intermediate summary

• set of coupled integral equations:

 $\Rightarrow M_0(s), M_1(s), \ldots$ : DR involving  $\hat{M}_0(s), \hat{M}_1(s), \ldots$ 

 $\Rightarrow \hat{M}_0(s), \hat{M}_1(s), \ldots$ : angular integrals over  $M_0(s), M_1(s), \ldots$ 

- system solved by iteration
- problem linear in the subtraction constants
   ⇒ construct 9 basic solutions



### Determination of the subtraction constants

- fit to data of the high-statistics experiments E865 and NA48/2
- soft-pion theorems as additional constraints
- chiral input for the subtraction constants that are not well determined by data

#### Introduction and Motivation

- 2 Decomposition of the Form Factors
- Integral Equations
- 4 Fit to Data and Matching to  $\chi$ PT

## Fit results for partial waves

S-wave of F



$$F_s(s, s_\ell)$$

## Fit results for partial waves

P-wave of G





# Matching to $\chi \text{PT}$

- matching to \(\chi PT\) at the level of subtraction constants in Omnès form: separate rescattering effects
- fit to 2-dimensional data set of NA48/2
- $L_9^r$  can be determined from dependence on  $s_\ell$



## Matching at NNLO

- many poorly known LECs  $C_i^r$  at NNLO
- include additional constraints in the fit: require good chiral convergence
- input:  $C_i^r$  contribution to subtraction constants with  $\pm 50\%$  uncertainty
- fit the  $C_i^r$  contribution
- not all sets of C<sup>r</sup><sub>i</sub> input lead to a good chiral convergence: prefer BE14 → Bijnens, Ecker (2014)



#### Low-energy constants

#### Results for the LECs using $\chi$ PT at NLO and NNLO.

	NLO	NNLO	Bijnens, Ecker (2014)
$10^3 \cdot L_1^r$	0.51(2)(6)	0.69(16)(8)	0.53(6)
$10^3 \cdot L_2^r$	0.89(5)(7)	0.63(9)(10)	0.81(4)
$10^3\cdot L_3^r$	-2.82(10)(7)	-2.63(39)(24)	-3.07(20)
$\chi^2/{ m dof}$	141/116 = 1.2	124/122 = 1.0	

#### Introduction and Motivation

- 2 Decomposition of the Form Factors
- **3** Integral Equations
- 4 Fit to Data and Matching to  $\chi$ PT

## Summary

- parametrisation valid up to and including  $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$
- model independence
- resummation of rescattering effects
- very precise data available
- determination of LECs from matching to  $\chi PT$
- better data on s<sub>l</sub>-dependence would enable independent determination of L<sup>r</sup><sub>9</sub>

# Backup



Backup



# Error budget: $L_2^r$

Backup



# Error budget: $L_3^r$

Backup

