8<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Chiral Dynamics, Pisa, June 29 - July 3 2015

# Electric Dipole Moments, New Physics, and Lattice QCD

#### Vincenzo Cirigliano Los Alamos National Laboratory



## Outline

- Introduction: EDMs as probes of new physics
- Connecting hadronic EDMs to BSM physics
  - Chiral symmetry constraints
  - Matrix elements with Lattice QCD
  - Some phenomenological implications
- Conclusions

# Why are EDMs interesting?

# EDMs and symmetry breaking

• EDMs of non-degenerate systems violate P and T (CP):  ${\cal H}~\sim~m{d}\,ec{J}\cdotec{E}$ 



Ongoing and planned searches in several systems

 \* n, p
 \* Light nuclei: d, t, h
 \* Atoms: diamagnetic (<sup>129</sup>Xe, <sup>199</sup>Hg, <sup>225</sup>Ra, ...); paramagnetic (<sup>205</sup>Tl, ...)
 \* Molecules: YbF, ThO, ...

### EDMs and new physics

I. Essentially free of SM "background" (CKM)

#### EDMs in $e \cdot cm$

ThO →

System	current	projected	SM (CKM)
е	$\sim 10^{-28}$	$10^{-29}$	$\sim 10^{-38}$
$\mu$	$\sim 10^{-19}$		$\sim 10^{-35}$
au	$\sim 10^{-16}$		$\sim 10^{-34}$
n	$\sim 10^{-26}$	$10^{-28}$	$\sim 10^{-31}$
p	$\sim 10^{-23}$	$10^{-29} **$	$\sim 10^{-31}$
<sup>199</sup> Hg	$\sim 10^{-29}$	$10^{-30}$	$\sim 10^{-33}$
<sup>129</sup> Xe	$\sim 10^{-27}$	$10^{-29}$	$\sim 10^{-33}$
$^{225}$ Ra	$\sim 10^{-23}$	$10^{-26}$	$\sim 10^{-33}$
	•••		•••

Observation would signal new physics or a tiny QCD θ-term (< 10<sup>-10</sup>)

Multiple measurements can disentangle 2. EDMs probe high scale BSM physics

$$d_i \propto \frac{m_i}{\Lambda^2} \sin(\phi_{\rm CP})$$

- Current limits:  $\Lambda \sim 100$  TeV, for  $\phi_{CP} \sim O(1)$
- New particles with  $M_{BSM} = \Lambda/\sqrt{c} \sim I TeV$



2. EDMs probe high scale BSM physics

$$d_i \propto \frac{m_i}{\Lambda^2} \sin(\phi_{\rm CP})$$

- Current limits:  $\Lambda \sim 100$  TeV, for  $\phi_{CP} \sim O(1)$
- New particles with  $M_{BSM} = \Lambda/\sqrt{c} \sim I TeV$

3. EDMs probe one of the three ingredients of baryogenesis



# Connecting EDMs to BSM physics

#### Connecting EDMs to BSM CPV Ε Probing nature of BSM CP violation with EDMs requires robust theoretical tools to address multi-scale problem (~TeV) $(\Lambda > v_{ew} >> \Lambda_{Had} >> m_{\pi} >> m_{e})$ **A**Had (~GeV) Best tackled by a chain of EFTs linked by perturbative and non-perturbative matching Nuclear/ atomic scale

# Connecting EDMs to BSM CPV



# Connecting EDMs to BSM CPV



• CPV  $\mathcal{L}_{eff}$  at hadronic scale, induced by leading dim=4,6 operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{CPV}} &= -m_* \,\bar{\theta} \sum_{q=u,d,s} \bar{q} \, i\gamma_5 q \\ &- \frac{i}{2} \sum_{q=u,d,s} \, d_q \, \bar{q}_i \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 q \, F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{i}{2} g_s \sum_{q=u,d,s} \, \tilde{d}_q \, \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 T^a q \, G^{\mu\nu,a} \\ &+ c_w \, f^{abc} G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\nu\beta,b} G^{\mu,c}_{\beta} \, + \, c_{4q} \, O_{4q} \, + \, c_{2q2\ell} \, O_{2q2\ell} \\ &- c_{w,4q,2q2\ell} \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \end{aligned}$$

• CPV  $\mathcal{L}_{eff}$  at hadronic scale, induced by leading dim=4,6 operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{CPV}} &= -m_* \,\bar{\theta} \sum_{q=u,d,s} \bar{q} \, i\gamma_5 q \\ &- \frac{i}{2} \sum_{q=u,d,s} \, d_q \, \bar{q}_i \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 q \, F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{i}{2} g_s \sum_{q=u,d,s} \, \tilde{d}_q \, \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 T^a q \, G^{\mu\nu,a} \\ &+ c_w \, f^{abc} G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\nu\beta,b} G^{\mu,c}_{\beta} \, + \, c_{4q} \, O_{4q} \, + \, c_{2q2\ell} \, O_{2q2\ell} \\ &- c_{w,4q,2q2\ell} \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \end{aligned}$$

Dim 6: induced by a variety of BSM scenarios



• CPV  $\mathcal{L}_{eff}$  at hadronic scale, induced by leading dim=4,6 operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{CPV}} &= -m_* \,\bar{\theta} \sum_{q=u,d,s} \bar{q} \, i\gamma_5 q \\ &- \frac{i}{2} \sum_{q=u,d,s} \, d_q \, \bar{q}_i \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 q \, F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{i}{2} g_s \sum_{q=u,d,s} \,\tilde{d}_q \, \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 T^a q \, G^{\mu\nu,a} \\ &+ c_w \, f^{abc} G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\nu\beta,b} G^{\mu,c}_{\beta} \, + \, c_{4q} \, O_{4q} \, + \, c_{2q2\ell} \, O_{2q2\ell} \\ &- c_{w,4q,2q2\ell} \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \end{aligned}$$

Dim 6: induced by a variety of BSM scenarios



• CPV  $\mathcal{L}_{eff}$  at hadronic scale, induced by leading dim=4,6 operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{CPV}} &= -m_* \,\bar{\theta} \sum_{q=u,d,s} \bar{q} \, i\gamma_5 q \\ &- \frac{i}{2} \sum_{q=u,d,s} \, d_q \, \bar{q}_i \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 q \, F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{i}{2} g_s \sum_{q=u,d,s} \, \tilde{d}_q \, \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 T^a q \, G^{\mu\nu,a} \\ &+ c_w \, f^{abc} G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\nu\beta,b} G^{\mu,c}_{\beta} \, + \, c_{4q} \, O_{4q} \, + \, c_{2q2\ell} \, O_{2q2\ell} \\ &- c_{w,4q,2q2\ell} \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \end{aligned}$$

• Dim 6: induced by a variety of BSM scenarios



See Dekens-deVries 1303.3156 for state-of-the art matching and running

The above quark-gluon operators induce  $\pi$ , N CPV operators

Effective Lagrangian constructed according to chiral transformation properties of each quark-gluon operator

• Leading pion-nucleon CPV interactions characterized by few LECs



• Leading pion-nucleon CPV interactions characterized by few LECs

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CPV}} = -\frac{i}{2} \,\bar{N} \,\bar{d}_{N} \,\sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{5} \,N \,F^{\mu\nu} - \bar{N} \left[ \bar{g}_{0} \,\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi} + \bar{g}_{1} \,\pi^{0} \right] N - \frac{\bar{\Delta}}{F_{\pi}} \,\pi^{0} \,\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\pi} + \dots$$

 At LO all hadronic EDMs are expressed in terms of these LECs: Nucleon EDM



Great work on this by the Arizona-Groningen and Bonn-Julich groups: see 1505.06272 and 1412.5471 for recent reviews

• Leading pion-nucleon CPV interactions characterized by few LECs

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CPV}} = -\frac{i}{2} \,\bar{N} \,\bar{d}_{N} \,\sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{5} \,N \,F^{\mu\nu} - \bar{N} \left[ \bar{g}_{0} \,\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi} + \bar{g}_{1} \,\pi^{0} \right] N - \frac{\bar{\Delta}}{F_{\pi}} \,\pi^{0} \,\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\pi} + \dots$$

At LO all hadronic EDMs are expressed in terms of these LECs:
 Nuclear EDMs



Great work on this by the Arizona-Groningen and Bonn-Julich groups: see 1505.06272 and 1412.5471 for recent reviews

• Leading pion-nucleon CPV interactions characterized by few LECs

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CPV}} = -\frac{i}{2} \,\bar{N} \,\bar{d}_{N} \,\sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{5} \,N \,F^{\mu\nu} - \bar{N} \left[ \bar{g}_{0} \,\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi} + \bar{g}_{1} \,\pi^{0} \right] N - \frac{\bar{\Delta}}{F_{\pi}} \,\pi^{0} \,\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\pi} + \dots$$

• At LO all hadronic EDMs are expressed in terms of these LECs:

$$d^{A} = c_{n}^{A} d_{n} + c_{p}^{A} d_{p} + c_{0}^{A} \bar{g}_{0} + c_{1}^{A} \bar{g}_{1} + c_{\Delta}^{A} \bar{\Delta} + \dots$$

- Light nuclei (d,t,h): chiral EFT calculations  $\Rightarrow$  c<sub>i</sub><sup>A</sup> at ~10% level
- Diamagnetic atoms (<sup>199</sup>Hg,...): O(1-10) uncertainties

• Leading pion-nucleon CPV interactions characterized by few LECs

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CPV}} = -\frac{i}{2} \,\bar{N} \,\bar{d}_{N} \,\sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{5} \,N \,F^{\mu\nu} - \bar{N} \left[ \bar{g}_{0} \,\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi} + \bar{g}_{1} \,\pi^{0} \right] N - \frac{\bar{\Delta}}{F_{\pi}} \,\pi^{0} \,\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\pi} + \dots$$

• At LO all hadronic EDMs are expressed in terms of these LECs:

$$d^{A} = c_{n}^{A} d_{n} + c_{p}^{A} d_{p} + c_{0}^{A} \bar{g}_{0} + c_{1}^{A} \bar{g}_{1} + c_{\Delta}^{A} \bar{\Delta} + \dots$$

Multiple measurements (n,p,d,t,h, ...,<sup>199</sup>Hg) ⇒ handle on CPV mechanism, provided we can reliably estimate the LECs in terms of short-distance couplings

Great work on this by the Arizona-Groningen and Bonn-Julich groups: see 1505.06272 and 1412.5471 for recent reviews

#### LECs: symmetry relations

What do we know about CP-violating LECs?

A lot (but not everything) can be learned from chiral symmetry constraints

# LECs: symmetry relations

• **Prototype:** theta term and mass splitting are chiral partners

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \bar{q}i\gamma_5 q\\ \bar{q}\boldsymbol{\tau}q\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{SU_A(2)} \left(\begin{array}{c} -\bar{q}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}q\\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}\bar{q}i\gamma_5 q\end{array}\right)$$

• Nucleon matrix elements are related. At LO (soft pion theorem)

$$\langle N_f \pi^a | \bar{q} i \gamma_5 q | N_i \rangle \propto F_{\pi}^{-1} \langle N_f | \bar{q} \tau^a q | N_i \rangle$$

Crewther-DiVecchia-Veneziano-Witten 1979

$$\downarrow \overline{g}_0 = \frac{\delta M_{n-p}^{m_d - m_u}}{m_d - m_v} \frac{2m_d m_u}{m_d + m_u} \overline{\theta}$$

Mereghetti, van Kolck 1505.06272 and refs therein

Corrections appear at NNLO

# LECs: symmetry relations

Analysis of leading quark-gluon operators: summary

Mereghetti, & van Kolck 1505.06272, and references therein

 $\overline{\theta} \ \overline{g}_0 \text{ from } \overline{\theta} \text{ determined by } (m_n - m_p)_{\text{st}}$ 

**qCEDM**  $\bar{g}_0$  and  $\bar{g}_1$  determined by corrections to meson and baryon spectrum induced by CP-even qCMDM

4-quark  $\bar{g}_0, \bar{g}_1 \& \bar{\Delta}$  determined by CP-even 4-q chiral partner

- No info from symmetry on 4-N
- No info from symmetry on d<sub>n</sub>, d<sub>p</sub>

To probe underlying CP violation, need non-perturbative calculation of "CP-odd" quantities. Large uncertainties from QCD/model estimates  $[O(1) \rightarrow O(10)]$ greatly dilute impact of experimental searches!

- Lattice QCD can play a key role: systematically improvable calculations
  - Nucleon EDMs

$$d_{n,p} \left[ \overline{\theta}; \ d_{u,d,s}; \ \widetilde{d}_{u,d,s}; \ c_w; \ c_{4q} \right]$$

$$\overline{g}_{0,1}$$
 [ $\overline{\theta}$ ;  $\widetilde{d}_{u,d,s}$ ;  $c_w$ ;  $c_{4q}$ ]

- Lattice QCD can play a key role: systematically improvable calculations
  - Nucleon EDMs

$$d_{n,p}\left[\bar{\theta}; d_{u,d,s}; \tilde{d}_{u,d,s}; c_w; c_{4q}\right]$$

• Pion-nucleon CP-odd couplings

$$\bar{g}_{0,1}$$
  $[\bar{\theta}; \tilde{d}_{u,d,s}; c_w; c_{4q}]$ 

#### RECENT PROGRESS

$$\frac{d_n}{\bar{\theta}} = -3.8(2)_{\text{stat}}(9)_{\text{fit}} \ 10^{-3} e \text{ fm}$$
Guo et al., 1502.02295  

$$\frac{d_n}{\bar{\theta}} = -2.7(1.2) \ 10^{-3} \ e \text{ fm}$$
Akan et al., 1406.2882  
Fit to Shintani et al, POS (Lat 2013) 298

$$\frac{\overline{g}_0}{F_\pi} = (15 \pm 2) \cdot 10^{-3} \sin \overline{\theta}$$

Mereghetti, van Kolck 1505.06272 with input from A.Walker-Loud, '14; Borsanyi et al, '14.

- Lattice QCD can play a key role: systematically improvable calculations
  - Nucleon EDMs

$$d_{n,p} \left[ \overline{\theta}; \ d_{u,d,s}; \ \widetilde{d}_{u,d,s}; \ c_w; \ c_{4q} \right]$$

RECENT RESULTS (LANL+ UW): topic of the rest of this talk

$$\overline{g}_{0,1}$$
  $[\overline{\theta}; \ \widetilde{d}_{u,d,s}; \ c_w; \ c_{4q}]$ 

- Lattice QCD can play a key role: systematically improvable calculations
  - Nucleon EDMs

$$d_{n,p} \left[ \overline{\theta}; \ d_{u,d,s}; \left( \widetilde{d}_{u,d,s}; \ c_w; \ c_{4q} \right) \right]$$

WORK IN PROGRESS (BNL, LANL, UConn)

$$\bar{g}_{0,1}$$
  $[\bar{\theta}; (\tilde{d}_{u,d,s}) c_w; c_{4q}]$  (A.Walker-Loud)  
Exploit chiral symmetry constraint, relate to shifts in the mass and sigma term

- Lattice QCD can play a key role: systematically improvable calculations
  - Nucleon EDMs

$$d_{n,p} \left[ \overline{\theta}; \ d_{u,d,s}; \ \widetilde{d}_{u,d,s}; \ c_w; \ c_{4q} \right]$$

FUTURE

$$\bar{g}_{0,1}$$
 [ $\bar{\theta}$ ;  $\tilde{d}_{u,d,s}$ ;  $c_w$ ;  $c_{4q}$ ]

# Matrix elements with lattice QCD



# $d_{n,p}$ from quark EDMs

• Quarks directly couple to photon in CP-odd way

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{q=u,d,s} d_{q} \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{5} q F^{\mu\nu}$$

• Problem "factorizes": need tensor charge of the nucleon\*\*

\*\* Use 
$$\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 \propto \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\sigma^{\alpha\beta}$$
  
$$d_N = d_u g_T^{(N,u)} + d_d g_T^{(N,d)} + d_s g_T^{(N,s)}$$
$$\langle N | \bar{q}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q | N \rangle \equiv g_T^{(N,q)} \ \bar{\psi}_N \sigma_{\mu\nu}\psi_N$$

# Tensor charges from LQCD

Bhattacharya, VC, Cohen, Gupta, Joseph, Lin, Yoon, 1506.04196, 1506.06411

- Calculation done with dynamical quarks  $(2+I+I)^{**}$  on 9 ensembles:  $a \in [0.06, 0.12]$  fm,  $m_{\pi} \in [130, 310]$  MeV,  $m_{\pi} L \in [3.3, 5.5]$
- Features:
  - Included connected and disconnected diagrams
  - Studied excited state contamination; performed non-perturbative renormalization (RI-SMOM)
  - Studied dependence on m<sub>q</sub>, a, V



"Disconnected": smaller than statistical error of connected for q=u,d. Only contribution for q=s

\*\* Clover on staggered (MILC)

#### Excited states contamination

- Large t<sub>ins</sub> and t<sub>sep</sub> t<sub>ins</sub> would isolate neutron, but weak signal
- Calculate at different t<sub>sep</sub>, t<sub>ins</sub>, and keep one excited state in the fit



$$C^{\text{3pt}}(t_{\text{sep}}, t_{\text{ins}}) = B_1 e^{-M_0 t_{\text{sep}}} + B_2 e^{-M_1 t_{\text{sep}}} + B_{12} \left[ e^{-M_0 t_{\text{ins}}} e^{-M_1 (t_{\text{sep}} - t_{\text{ins}})} + e^{-M_1 t_{\text{ins}}} e^{-M_0 (t_{\text{sep}} - t_{\text{ins}})} \right]$$



#### Simultaneous fit in a, $M_{\pi}$ , $M_{\pi}L$

 $g_T(a, M_\pi, L) = c_1 + c_2 a + c_3 M_\pi^2 + c_4 e^{-M_\pi L}$ 



\*Yellow (a=0.12 fm), green(a=0.09 fm), blue (a=0.06 fm);

squares (M<sub> $\pi$ </sub> = 310 MeV), diamonds & triangles (M<sub> $\pi$ </sub> = 220 MeV), circles (M<sub> $\pi$ </sub> = 130 MeV)

• Including leading chiral logs, no significant difference in result

$$\begin{split} g_T^i &= c_1^i \left[ 1 + \frac{M_\pi^2}{(4\pi F_\pi)^2} f^i \left( \frac{M_\pi}{\mu} \right) \right] \\ &+ c_2^i a + c_3^i(\mu) M_\pi^2 + c_4^i e^{-M_\pi L} \end{split}$$

de Vries et al, 1006.2304



\*Yellow (a=0.12 fm), green(a=0.09 fm), blue (a=0.06 fm);

squares ( $M_{\pi}$  = 310 MeV), diamonds & triangles ( $M_{\pi}$  = 220 MeV), circles ( $M_{\pi}$  = 130 MeV)

# Results and impact on nEDM

Flavor diagonal neutron tensor charges in MS @ 2 GeV

$$d_n = d_u g_T^{(n,u)} + d_d g_T^{(n,d)} + d_s g_T^{(n,s)}$$

$$g_T^{(n,u)} = -0.23(3) \qquad g_T^{(n,d)} = 0.77(7) \qquad g_T^{(s)} = 0.008(9)$$

Comparison with non-lattice approaches and impact on nEDM



• Comparison with non-lattice approaches and impact on nEDM



• Transversity analysis: currently large extrapolation uncertainty

$$g_{\mathsf{T}} = \int_0^1 dx \left[ h_{1T}^q(x) - h_{1T}^{\bar{q}}(x) \right]$$



• Comparison with non-lattice approaches and impact on nEDM



- $(g_T)^{u,d}$  uncertainty: 50% to 10% + scale/scheme dependence
- $(g_T)^{u,d}$  smaller central values:  $d_n$  "less sensitive" to new physics in  $d_q$
- $(g_T)^s$ : important for models in which  $d_q \propto m_q$ , since  $m_s/m_d \sim 20$

#### Bounds on d<sub>u,d</sub>

 Improved knowledge of (g<sub>T</sub>)<sup>u,d</sup> enables more stringent tests of the pattern of CPV beyond the Standard Model



## Implications for "split SUSY"

 All scalars except for one Higgs doublet are heavy. Good features: gauge coupling unification, DM candidate, no "flavor/CP problem"

Arkani-Hamed, Dimopoulos 2004, Giudice, Romanino 2004

d<sub>e,q</sub> are the dominant CPV operators:



EDMs depend on gaugino (M<sub>2</sub>) and Higgsino ( $\mu$ ) mass parameters, their relative phase ( $\phi$ ), and ratio of Higgs vevs tan( $\beta$ ) [sin( $\phi$ ) sin (2 $\beta$ )]

• Neutron and electron EDMs controlled by same parameters. They are both within reach of current searches for M<sub>2</sub>,  $\mu \sim O(10 \text{ TeV})$ 



 The correlation between d<sub>n</sub> and d<sub>e</sub> provides an interesting experimental test for Split SUSY (Giudice-Romanino 2004)



 Obtain the stringent upper bound d<sub>n</sub> < 4 × 10<sup>-28</sup> e cm: Split SUSY scenario can be falsified by current nEDM searches

# d<sub>n,p</sub> from quark CEDMs

I. Renormalization in "RI-SMOM" scheme suitable for lattice



Bhattacharya, VC, Gupta, Mereghetti, Yoon, 1502.07325

2. Extraction of nucleon EDM from appropriate correlation functions

Requires 4-point function:  

$$\int \left( \langle n | J_{\mu}^{\text{EM}} \int d^4x \, O_i(x) \, | n \rangle \right)$$



Ongoing exploratory studies by RBC-UKQCD & LANL groups

#### Conclusions

- EDMs are a very powerful probe of new sources of CP violation
- Recent progress in hadronic / nuclear EDMs:
  - EDMs of light nuclei calculated in chiral EFT in terms of LECs
  - Identified powerful chiral symmetry constraints on LECs induced by BSM operators
  - Key role of lattice QCD in calculations of the LECs
    - I. Nucleon EDM from quark EDMs at 10%, with all systematics
    - 2. Early steps towards nucleon EDM from quark chromo EDMs

Significant recent progress on the QCD side (EFT, Lattice) Quite a bit left to do in the coming years

# Backup

#### CP and chiral symmetry

• Chiral symmetry  $(\Psi_{L,R} \rightarrow e^{\pm \chi} \Psi_{L,R})$  is spontaneously broken



- Degenerate vacua. Each spontaneously breaks all but one  $CP_{\chi} = \chi^{-1}CP\chi$
- Choice of fermion phases: CP<sub>0</sub> (standard CP) is preserved (  $\langle \Omega | i\Psi \gamma_5 \Psi | \Omega \rangle = 0$  )

This defines a "reference vacuum"  $|\Omega
angle$ 

# CP and chiral symmetry

• Chiral symmetry  $(\Psi_{L,R} \rightarrow e^{\pm \chi} \Psi_{L,R})$  is spontaneously broken

 Chiral symmetry is explicitly broken by quark masses <u>and</u> BSM operators



- Degenerate vacua. Each spontaneously breaks all but one  $CP_{\chi} = \chi^{-1}CP\chi$
- Choice of fermion phases:  $CP_0$  (standard CP) is preserved (  $\langle \Omega | i\Psi \gamma_5 \Psi | \Omega \rangle = 0$  )

This defines a "reference vacuum"  $|\Omega
angle$ 

- Explicit chiral symmetry breaking  $\delta \mathcal{L}$  lifts degeneracy, i.e. selects "true" vacuum and the associated unbroken CP
- If we want true vacuum to be  $|\Omega\rangle$ then  $\delta \mathcal{L}$  cannot be arbitrary. It satisfies

 $egin{array}{l} \langle \pi | \, \delta \mathcal{L} \, | \Omega 
angle = 0 \ 
m ``Vacuum alignment'' \end{array}$ 

# EDMs of light nuclei

• Leading pion-nucleon CPV interactions characterized by few LECs

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CPV}} = -\frac{i}{2} \,\bar{N} \,\bar{d}_{N} \,\sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{5} \,N \,F^{\mu\nu} - \bar{N} \left[ \bar{g}_{0} \,\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi} + \bar{g}_{1} \,\pi^{0} \right] N - \frac{\bar{\Delta}}{F_{\pi}} \,\pi^{0} \,\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\pi} + \dots$$

• Leading PT violating potential



# EDMs of light nuclei

	Potential (references)	$d_n$	$d_p$	$\bar{g}_0/F_\pi$	$\bar{g}_1/F_\pi$	$\bar{C}_1 F_\pi^3$	$\bar{C}_2 F_\pi^3$	$\bar{\Delta}/F_{\pi}m_N$
$d_d$ P	Perturbative pion [135, 147]	1	1		-0.23			
	Av18 [87, 131, 136–138]	0.91	0.91		-0.19			
	$N^{2}LO$ [87, 137]	0.94	0.94		-0.18			
$d_t$	Av18 [132, 136, 138]	-0.05	0.90	0.15	-0.28	0.01	-0.02	n/a
	Av18+UIX [87,134]	-0.05	0.90	0.07	-0.14	0.002	-0.005	0.02
	$N^{2}LO$ [87]	-0.03	0.92	0.11	-0.14	0.05	-0.10	0.02
$d_h$	Av18 [132, 136, 138]	0.88	-0.05	-0.15	-0.28	-0.01	0.02	n/a
	Av18+UIX [87,134]	0.88	-0.05	-0.07	-0.14	-0.002	0.005	0.02
	$N^{2}LO$ [87]	0.90	-0.03	-0.11	-0.14	-0.05	0.11	0.02

Table 3: Dependence of the deuteron, triton and helion EDMs on  $\mathcal{T}$  LECs for various PT potentials. Entries are dimensionless in the first two columns and in units of  $e \,\mathrm{fm}$  in the remaining columns. "—" indicates very small numbers.

#### $d_n$ from theta term



From et al, 1502.02295

#### $d_n$ from theta term



Figure 4: Summary plot of EDM for neutron (top) and proton (bottom) as a function of pion mass squared with full QCD calculation in the present analysis and other method and fermion action. Upper triangles shows our results including total error. The smaller error denotes the statistical error. The cross symbol denotes the range of model calculation based on the baryon chiral perturbation theory.

From Shintani et al, POS (Lattice 2013) 298

#### de Vries et al, 1006.2304

#### Chiral logs

$$g_T^i = c_1^i \left[ 1 + \frac{M_\pi^2}{(4\pi F_\pi)^2} f^i \left( \frac{M_\pi}{\mu} \right) \right] + c_2^i a + c_3^i(\mu) M_\pi^2 + c_4^i e^{-M_\pi L} .$$

$$f^{u+d} = \frac{3}{4} \left[ \left( 2 + 4g_A^2 \right) \log \frac{\mu^2}{M_\pi^2} + 2 + g_A^2 \right]$$

$$f^{u-d} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( 2 + 8g_A^2 \right) \log \frac{\mu^2}{M_\pi^2} + 2 + 3g_A^2 \right]$$



#### Strangeness tensor charge



# Quark EDM renormalization

• <u>Tensor quark bilinear</u> x EM field strength. Neglecting effects of  $O(\alpha_{EM})$ , E renormalizes multiplicatively (as tensor density)



#### Non-perturbative renormalization method well known



Fix renormalization constant by conditions on 2-quark amputated Green's functions in a given gauge, at non-exceptional momentum configurations

### Non-perturbative renormalization

- Non-perturbative renormalization (RI-SMOM)
- The "window":  $\Lambda_{QCD} << q << 1/a$



# Quark CEDM renormalization

• Renormalization of  $C = ig_s \Psi \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 G^{\mu\nu} t^a \Psi$ : diagonal + mixing with E, P



• C can mix with two classes of operators:

$$\begin{pmatrix} O \\ N \end{pmatrix}_{\text{ren}} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_O & Z_{ON} \\ 0 & Z_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O \\ N \end{pmatrix}_{\text{bare}}^{\text{Kuger-Stern Zuber 1975}}$$

- O: gauge-invariant operators with same symmetries of C, not vanishing by EOM
- N: Allowed by BRST Ward Identities. Vanish by EOM, need not be gauge invariant

#### Results on isovector tensor charge



# Quark CEDM renormalization

- Constructed basis [10 O's and 4 N's] and identified mixing structure
- Defined RI-MOM scheme for the O's
- Computed matching between MS-bar and RI-MOM scheme to  $O(\alpha_s)$ Bhattacharya, VC, Gupta, Mereghetti, Yoon, 1502.07325
- C can mix with two classes of operators:

$$\begin{pmatrix} O \\ N \end{pmatrix}_{\text{ren}} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_O & Z_{ON} \\ 0 & Z_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O \\ N \end{pmatrix}_{\text{bare}}^{\text{Kuger-Stern Zuber 1975}} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Joglekar and Lee 1976} \\ \text{Deans-Dixon 1978} \\ \text{bare} \end{array}$$

- O: gauge-invariant operators with same symmetries of C, not vanishing by EOM
- N: Allowed by BRST Ward Identities. Vanish by EOM, need not be gauge invariant

# Quark CEDM renormalization

• Operator basis

$$O_{1}^{(5)} \equiv C = ig \,\bar{\psi} \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} t^{a} \psi$$

$$O_{2}^{(5)} \equiv \partial^{2} P = \partial^{2} \left( \bar{\psi} i \gamma_{5} t^{a} \psi \right)$$

$$O_{3}^{(5)} \equiv E = \frac{ie}{2} \,\bar{\psi} \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \{Q, t^{a}\} \psi$$

$$O_{4}^{(5)} \equiv (m F \tilde{F}) = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{M} Q^{2} t^{a} \right] \, \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
O_{11}^{(5)} &\equiv P_{EE} = i\bar{\psi}_{E}\gamma_{5}t^{a}\psi_{E} \\
O_{12}^{(5)} &\equiv \partial \cdot A_{E} = \partial_{\mu}[\bar{\psi}_{E}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}t^{a}\psi + \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}t^{a}\psi_{E}] \\
O_{13}^{(5)} &\equiv A_{\partial} = \bar{\psi}\gamma_{5}\partial t^{a}\psi_{E} - \bar{\psi}_{E}\overleftarrow{\partial}\gamma_{5}t^{a}\psi \\
O_{14}^{(5)} &\equiv A_{A^{(\gamma)}} = \frac{ie}{2}\left(\bar{\psi}\{Q, t^{a}\}A^{(\gamma)}\gamma_{5}\psi_{E} - \bar{\psi}_{E}\{Q, t^{a}\}A^{(\gamma)}\gamma_{5}\psi\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\psi_E \equiv (iD^{\mu}\gamma_{\mu} - \mathcal{M})\psi$$

- Keep powers of quark mass:
  - vacuum alignment
  - $m_s/\Lambda_{QCD}$  effects

$$O\left(\mathcal{M}^2\right)$$

 $O\left(\mathcal{M}^{1}
ight)$ 

$$O_{5}^{(5)} \equiv (m G \tilde{G}) = \operatorname{Tr} [\mathcal{M}t^{a}] \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} G_{\mu\nu}^{b} G_{\alpha\beta}^{b}$$

$$O_{6}^{(5)} \equiv (m \partial \cdot A)_{1} = \operatorname{Tr} [\mathcal{M}t^{a}] \partial_{\mu} \left( \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \psi \right)$$

$$O_{7}^{(5)} \equiv (m \partial \cdot A)_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \left( \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \left\{ \mathcal{M}, t^{a} \right\} \psi \right) -$$

$$O_{8}^{(5)} \equiv (m^{2} P)_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} i \gamma_{5} \left\{ \mathcal{M}^{2}, t^{a} \right\} \psi$$

$$O_{9}^{(5)} \equiv (m^{2} P)_{2} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{M}^{2} \right] \ \bar{\psi} i \gamma_{5} t^{a} \psi$$

$$O_{10}^{(5)} \equiv (m^{2} P)_{3} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{M}t^{a} \right] \ \bar{\psi} i \gamma_{5} \mathcal{M}\psi$$

#### • Mixing structure

	С	$\partial^2 P$	E	$mF\tilde{F}$	$mG\tilde{G}$	$(m\partial\cdot A)_1$	$(m\partial\cdot A)_2$	$(m^2 P)_1$	$(m^2 P)_2$	$(m^2 P)_3$	$P_{EE}$	$\partial \cdot A_E$	$A_{\partial}$	$A_{A^{(\gamma)}}$
C	x	x	x	х	x	х	х	х	х	х	x	х	x	x
$\partial^2 P$		х												
E			x											
$mF ilde{F}$				х										
$m G \tilde{G}$					x	х								
$(m\partial\cdot A)_1$						х								
$(m\partial \cdot A)_2$							х							
$(m^2 P)_1$								х						
$(m^2 \hat{P})_2$									х					
$(m^2 \hat{P})_3$										х				
$P_{EE}$											x	x	x	
$\partial \cdot A_E$												х		
$A_{\partial}$											x	х	x	х
$A_{A(\gamma)}$														x

• RI-MOM scheme for CEDM operator:

$$P^{2} = P^{2} = -\Lambda^{2}$$

I

\* Coefficients of7 spin-flavor structures



p

• RI-MOM scheme for CEDM operator:





#### EDMs in the SM

• Highly suppressed "short-distance" contributions (d<sub>q</sub>) start at 3 loops



• Dominant "long-distance" contribution



Mannel-Uraltsev mechanism in the SM: charm-mediated six-quark operators



• Dim-10 operator:

 $\Delta \equiv \operatorname{Im} U_4 = \operatorname{Im} V_{cs}^* V_{cd} V_{ud}^* V_{us}$ 

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{-} = -i \frac{G_F^2}{2m_c^2} \Delta \left( \tilde{O}_{uds} - \tilde{O}_{uds}^{\dagger} \right),$$
$$\tilde{O}_{uds} = \left( \bar{u} \Gamma^{\mu} d \right) \left( \bar{d} \Gamma_{\mu} i \not{D} \Gamma_{\nu} s \right) \left( \bar{s} \Gamma^{\nu} u \right)$$

•  $d_n \sim 10^{-31} e cm$