Status report of the Borexino experiment

Livia Ludhova for Borexino Collaboration

Presented at LNGS Scientific Committee, April 15th 2014





Borexino experiment in hall C at LNGS



Outlook

- Borexino has started the taking data in May 2007;
- Borexino Phase I (May 2007 May 2010) produced several results: small summary of the main achievements;
- Borexino Phase II after 6 cycles of purification with water extraction performed between May 2010 and August 2011: radiopurity levels;

In this presentation I will focus on

- Recently published results on Borexino Phase I/II data-set;
- SOX project: search for sterile neutrinos with Borexino with ⁵¹Cr and ¹⁴⁴Ce (NEW!!) sources planned for 2015;

Summary of Phase I (May 2007 – May 2010)

- Solar neutrino program:
 - the first pep-neutrino detection and the best limit on CNO neutrino (2012);
 - ⁷Be –neutrino rate with 5% pecision and its null day/night asymmetry $(2011+2x\ 2008)$;
 - ⁸B -neutrino rate measurement with T = 3 MeV threshold (2010);
- First observation of geo-neutrinos (2010);
- Limits on several rare or exotic processes;



Radiourity of Borexino in Phase II

• after 6 cycles of purification with water extraction performed between May 2010 and August 2011:

1)⁸⁵Kr: strongly reduced: consistent with zero cpd/100 ton from the spectral fit; 2)²¹⁰Bi : reduced from ~70 cpd/100 tons to ~20 cpd/100 ton; 3)²³⁸U (from ²¹⁴Bi - ²¹⁴Po tagging) < 1.2 10⁻¹⁹ g/g at 95% C.L. 4)²³²Th: < 1.2 10⁻¹⁸ g/g at 95% C.L. (2 events in ~600 days) 5)²¹⁰Po decaying, currently about 120 cpd/100 ton 6)Radon: (5.8 \pm 1.2) 10⁻² cpd/100 ton

Recent Borexino publications

- Measurement of geo-neutrinos from 1353 days of Borexino, Phys. Lett. B 722 (2013) 295-300.
- **2. Cosmogenic backgrounds** in Borexino at 3800 m water-equivalent depth, JCAP 1308 (2013) 049.
- **3. New limits on heavy sterile neutrino mixing in ⁸B-decay** obtained with the Borexino detector, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 072010.
- **4. Lifetime measurements of ²¹⁴Po and ²¹²Po** with CTF liquid scintillator detector at LNGS, Eur. Phys. J. A49 (2013) 92.
- 5. SOX: Short distance neutrino Oscillations with BoreXino, JHEP 1308 (2013) 038.

Geo-neutrinos:

antineutrinos from the decay of ²³⁸U, ²³²Th,⁴⁰K in the Earth

Abundance of radioactive elements fixes the amount of radiogenic heat (nuclear physics); Mass and distribution of radiogenic elements \rightarrow geo-neutrino flux (cca 10⁶ cm⁻² s⁻¹); From measured geo-neutrino flux to radiogenic heat....

Main goal: determine the contribution of the **radiogenic heat to the total surface heat flux**, which is an important margin, test, and input at the same time for many geophysical and geochemical models of the Earth;

Further goals: tests and discrimination among geological models, study of the mantle homogeneity, insights to the processes of the Earth'formation....



$$\overline{v} + p \rightarrow n + e^+ \qquad E_v > 1.8 \text{ MeV}$$

"prompt signal" e+: energy loss + annihilation

• "delayed signal" n capture after thermalization and 2.2 γ



Geo-neutrinos in Borexino

Previous result: G. Bellini et al. Phys. Lett. B 687 (2010) 299 with 252.6 ton-year exposure after cuts;

New result: G. Bellini et al. Phys. Lett. B 722 (2013) 295 with (613 ± 26) ton-year after cuts ;

Event selection (MC defined efficiency: 0.84 ± 0.01): 46 golden anti-neutrino candidates

 $\label{eq:prompt} \bullet Q_{prompt} > 480 \mbox{ p.e. and } Q_{delayed} \ (860,1300) \mbox{ p.e. (1 MeV} \sim 500 \mbox{ p.e.)}, \ \Delta R < 1m, \ \Delta t \ (20-1280 \ \mu s), \\ \bullet Gatti_{delayed} < 0.015 \ (must \ be "\beta-like"), \ 2 \ s \ veto \ after \ muons \ passing \ ID \ and \ 2 \ ms \ veto \ after \ external \ muons \ and \ and \ be \ after \ after$

•Large Fiducial Volume: distance from the vessel > 25 cm



- Un-binned maximal likelihood fit with unconstrained geo and reactor components;
- $N_{reactor} = 31.2_{-6.1}^{+7}$ in agreement with expectation of 33.3 ± 2.4 events after oscillations;



 $1 \text{TNU} = 1 \text{ event} / 10^{32} \text{ target protons} / \text{ year}$ Cca 1 event / 1 kton / 1 year with 100% eff



Geo-neutrinos in Borexino: implications



Cosmogenic background in Borexino at 3800 m water-equivalent depth, JCAP 1308 (2013) 049.

	Geant4	Geant4	FLUKA	Borexino	KamLAND				
	Model III	Model IV							
		$- \langle E_{\mu} \rangle = 283 \pm 19 \text{GeV} -$			$\langle E_{\mu} \rangle = 260 \pm 8 GeV$				
Isotopes	Yield $[10^{-7} (\mu \text{ g/cm}^2)^{-1}]$								
¹² N	1.11 ± 0.13	3.0 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.2	< 1.1	1.8 ± 0.4				
^{12}B	30.1 ± 0.7	29.7 ± 0.7	28.8 ± 1.9	56 ± 3	42.9 ± 3.3				
⁸ He	< 0.04	0.18 ± 0.05	0.30 ± 0.15	< 1.5	0.7 ± 0.4				
⁹ Li	0.6 ± 0.1	1.68 ± 0.16	3.1 ± 0.4	2.9 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 0.2				
⁸ B	0.52 ± 0.09	1.44 ± 0.15	6.6 ± 0.6	14 ± 6	8.4 ± 2.4				
⁶ He	18.5 ± 0.5	8.9 ± 0.4	17.3 ± 1.1	38 ± 15	not reported				
⁸ Li	27.7 ± 0.7	7.8 ± 0.4	28.8 ± 1.0	7 ± 7	12.2 ± 2.6				
⁹ C	0.16 ± 0.05	0.99 ± 0.13	0.91 ± 0.10	< 16	3.0 ± 1.2				
¹¹ Be	0.24 ± 0.06	0.45 ± 0.09	0.59 ± 0.12	< 7.0	1.1 ± 0.2				
¹⁰ C	15.0 ± 0.5	41.1 ± 0.8	14.1 ± 0.7	18 ± 5	16.5 ± 1.9				
¹¹ C	315 ± 2	415 ± 3	467 ± 23	886 ± 115	866 ± 153				
Neutrons	Yield $[10^{-4} (\mu \text{ g/cm}^2)^{-1}]$								
	3.01 ± 0.05	2.99 ± 0.03	2.46 ± 0.12	3.10 ± 0.11	2.79 ± 0.31				

Table 4. Predicted yields for cosmogenic products obtained from GEANT4 (Model III and IV) and FLUKA are compared to data from Borexino . Also shown are results from the KamLAND experiment [9]. Note that the production yields depend on the number of carbon atoms per weight and the muon energy spectrum. Thus, a 10–20% difference between KamLAND and Borexino results is expected.





If heavy $v_{\rm H}$'s with mass $> 2 \, m_{\rm e}$ are produced in the Sun via the decay ${}^8B \rightarrow {}^8Be + e^+ + v_{\rm H}$ in a side branch of pp chain, they would undero the observable decay into a light neutrino: $v_{\rm H} \rightarrow v_{\rm L} + e^- + e^+$

$$\Phi(E_{\nu_H}) = |U_{eH}|^2 \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{m_{\nu_H}}{E_{\nu_H}}\right)^2} \Phi_{^8B}(E_{\nu}),$$

Mixing parameter vs $v_{H_{-}}$ mass: Areas inside the lines are excluded:

- 1: Borexino
- 2: reactor experiments
- 3: from pion decay

Lifetime measurements of ²¹⁴Po and ²¹²Po with CTF liquid scintillator detector at LNGS, Eur. Phys. J. A49 (2013) 92.

•CTF served as an ultrasensitive tool for measuring the radioactivity levels unreachable by any other existing method. At the end of 2012 it was dismounted to host Dark Side.

- measurements with ²²²Rn (²¹⁴Po), and ²³²Th and ²²⁰Rn (²¹²Po) inserted in the CTF in 2011-2012;
- study of decay times of 214 Po and 212 Po isotopes through $^{214/212}$ Bi(beta) $^{214/212}$ Po(alpha) decay coincidences:
 - •Long acquisition time window of \sim 7 mean lives;
 - •Excellent signal-to-background ratio > 1000;
 - •Large statistics;
 - mean lifetime of 214 Po = 236.00 \pm 0.42 (stat) \pm 0.15 (sys) μ s;
 - mean lifetime of 212 Po = 425.1 \pm 0.9 (stat) \pm 1.2 (sys) ns.

SOX: Short distance $\nu_{\rm e}$ Oscillations with BoreXino

• Science motivations:

- Search for sterile neutrinos or other short-distance effects on Pee;
- Measurement of Weinberg angle θ_W at low energy (~ 1 MeV);
- Improved limits of the neutrino magnetic moment;
- Measurement of the vector gv and axial gA current coefficients at low energy;

• Technology

- Neutrino source: ⁵¹Cr
- Anti-neutrino source: 144Ce

• Project:

- ERC advanced grant for ⁵**Cr** (M. Pallavicini INFN-Genova);
- ERC starting grant for ¹⁴⁴Ce (T. Lasser APC-Paris: NEW: this project has recently moved from KamLAND/CeLAND to Borexino);
- Additional funding from INFN, USA, Germany;

Concept is the same as in Gallex 1994 (source is currently in Italy)

- ~36 kg, ⁵⁰Cr enriched at 38% irradiated in a high neutron flux reactor:
- Candidate reactors: USA (OakRidge) and Russia (Mayak);
- **190 W/MCi** from photons;
- -few µSv/h on surface (required < 100);
- careful **thermal design** to handle **10 MCi (2 kW)**;

⁵¹Cr V_e source $v_e - e^-$ scattering



¹⁴⁴Ce – 144Pr anti-ve source:

 $v + p \rightarrow n + e^+$

 $E_v > 1.8 \text{ MeV}$

Source	Production	τ (days)	Decay mode	Energy [MeV]	Mass [kg/MCi]	Heat [W/kCi]
¹⁴⁴ Ce- ¹⁴⁴ Pr anti- V e	Chemical extraction from spent nuclear fuel	411	β-	<2.9975	0.314	7.6



Location for both sources: Borexino pit





Data analysis: two techniques

• Total counts: standard "disappearance" experiment

• Total number of events depends on θ_{14} and (weakly) from Δm_{14}^2

• Sensitivity depends on:

- Statistics (source activity)
- Error on activity (in particular) and on efficiency (FV cut for ⁵¹Cr)
- The relatively short life-time of ⁵¹Cr yields useful time-events correlation
 - The background is constant while the signal is not.
- **Spatial waves** [C.. Grieb et al., Phys. Rev. D75: 093006 (2007)]
 - With expected Δm² 1 eV² and 1 MeV energy, the wavelength is smaller than detector size (-11 m max) and bigger than resolution (- 15 cm)
 - The distribution of events as a function of distance to source shows waves;
 - Direct measurement of Δm_{14}^2 and θ_{14}
 - Very powerful and independent. Does not depend on knowledge of source activity.
- The two techniques can be combined in a single counts-waves fit.

Sensitivity plot for ¹⁴⁴Ce source in the pit



Sensitivity plot for ⁵¹Cr source in the pit

10 MCi; 1% precision in source activity; 1% in FV determination



Near future and conclusions

- Borexino Phase II: data with improved radiopurity
- pp-solar neutrino rate measurement to be completed soon: major progress in the analysis, how to treat ¹⁴C and its pile-up;
- •Study of the improved pep and CNO measurement ongoing;
- •⁵¹Cr ad ¹⁴⁴Ce source measurements (in the Borexino pit) estimated for 2015: which source first? The one which will be ready first!
- •DAQ with ⁵¹Cr: few months
- •DAQ with ¹⁴⁴Ce: 1.5 year
- •Long term: after completion of the solar neutrino program, possible SOX phase C with ¹⁴⁴Ce source placed inside the detector: improved sensitivity but some HW changes required;

Backup

Other low energy neutrino physics







- With both sources (SOX-A and B or C)
 - Independent measurement of gv e ga
 - Test of SM EW running at very low energy
 - Standard Model
 - $g_V = -1/2 + 2 \sin^2 \vartheta_W = -0.038$
 - ga = -1/2 = 0.5

 $g_V^{\nu e} = -0.035 \pm 0.012(\text{stat}) \pm 0.012(\text{syst}),$

 $g_A^{\nu e} = -0.503 \pm 0.006(\text{stat}) \pm 0.016(\text{syst}).$

