

### **Axions and ALPs**

**Pierre Sikivie** 



### Searching for Solar Axions and Axion-Like Particles with Magnetic Helioscopes: IAXO a Proposed Next Generation Search.





Frank Avignone University of South Carolina Columbia, South Carolina, USA

Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso April I, 2014



The Strong CP-Problem, the Neutron Electron Dipole Moment, and the PECCEI-QUINN Proposed Solution and Axions?

ALPs (Axion-Like Particles) and Their Motivation from Cosmology

The Sun as a Source of Axions and ALPS, and Detection Techniques

Searching for Solar Axions and ALPs with Helioscopes

IAXO, The Proposed Next Generation Instrument



2013 Sakurai Prize



**Roberto Peccei** 

Helen Quinn



In the Non-Trivial QCD Vacuum, aTerm appears in the Lagrangian That Violates "P" and "T", but Preserves "C".

$$\mathcal{L}_{\theta} = \frac{\theta}{32\pi^2} F_a^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{a\mu\nu}$$

Theta is a Strong Interaction Parameter, and Unless it is of Order  $10^{-10}$ , this Term leads to an Electric Dipole Moment of the Neutron of Order  $10^{-16}$  e-cm.

The Experimental Upper Bound is  $2.9 \times 10^{-26}$  e-cm.

The Puzzle is Why a Strong Interaction Parameter is of Order 10.<sup>10</sup> This is Called the Strong CP-Problem.

### **Neutron EDM Measurement History**

### How Far Can ULTRA-COLD Neutrons Take US?





The New Global Symmetry in QCD postulated by PECCEI and QUINN is Spontaneously Broken resulting in the cancellation of the CP-violating term. Phys. Rev. Lett. 38,1440 (1977).



**Steve Weinberg** 



**Frank Wilczek** 

A Goldstone Boson results from the Broken Symmetry. What are its properties ? Steven Weinberg Phys. Rev. 40, 223 (1977), and Frank Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 279 (1977).

The Axion is this Goldstone Boson; It Can Interact With Photons, Electrons and Quarks!



Axions are Spinless Bosons that Obey the Following Relationship Between Coupling and Mass:

$$m_a = \frac{z^{1/2}}{1+z} \frac{f_\pi m_\pi}{f_a}$$

$$z \equiv m_u / m_d$$

### **Peccei-Quinn Axions Obey this Relation**

$$a \longrightarrow \gamma$$

ALPs Couple to the Electromagnetic Field in the Same Way, but Do not obey the same constrained relationship between Mass and Coupling.



# Photons in the energy range of TeV, emitted from active galactic Nuclei (Blazers) at distances of thousands of Mpc, arrive at the Earth.

How do they survive the Extragalactic Background Radiation (EBR) ?



How do TeV Photons Travel Cosmological Distances and Escape the Opacity of the Bath of Background Radiation

 $\sigma(\gamma_{TeV}, \gamma_{EBR}) \Longrightarrow e^+ e^-$ 

Why are they not Severely Attenuated by the Photon-Photon interaction that Produces Electron-Positron Pairs



**ALPs and Cosmology** 

# AXION-LIKE PARTICLES: A SOLUTION TO THE COSMOLOGICAL PHOTON-SURVIVAL PUZZLE?



H.E.S.S.

CANGAROO

**Cerenkov Telescopes can detect ultra-high energy photons from their interaction in the atmosphere.** 



### Cherenkov Light from UHE Gamma-Rays

### Pierre Auger Observatory studying the universe's highest energy particles



Home

Cosmic Rays

#### Auger Observatory



#### The Pierre Auger Cosmic Ray Observatory

is studying ultra-high energy cosmic rays, the most energetic and rarest of particles in the universe. When these particles strike the earth's atmosphere, they produce extensive air showers made of billions of secondary particles. While much progress has been made in nearly a century of research in understanding cosmic rays with low to moderate energies, those with extremely high energies remain mysterious.

The Pierre Auger Observatory is working on solving these mysteries.



cherenkov telesco

pe array

### The Magic Cherenkov Telescope Array

An observatory for ground-based gamma-ray astronomy





From a talk by Dieter Horns- Univ. Heidelberg



### Location of the Source





### MAGIC

### THE ATMOSPHERIC GAMMA-RAY IMAGING CERENKOV TELESCOPE (MAGIC)



THE FARTHEST BLAZAR DETECTED SO FAR IS 3C279 DETECTED BY THE MAGIC COLLABORATION WITH Z=0.538

 $D \approx cz/H(t_0)$ 

 $\frac{(3 \times 10^5 \, km/s)(0.538)}{73 km/s/Mpc} \cong 2.2 \times 10^3 \, Mpc$ 

MAGIC IS SENSITIVE TO GAMMA-RAYS FROM 50 GEV TO 30 TEV, THEORETICALLY THEY CAN'T GET HERE!

### The discovery of 3C279 at Z=0.538

This distance is about 5 billion light years. The approximate density of extra-galactic background radiation, and the known cross section for photonphoton interactions lead one to conclude that these photons should have been absorbed by the pairproduction process!



### The Hypothesized ALP Explanation



Marco Roncadelli



A solution to the problem was introduced by De Angelis, Roncadelli and Mansutti: Phys. Rev. D 76,121301 (2007).

The photons are converted to ALPs in the inter galactic magnetic fields, converting to photons in the Milky way.

This was modified by Simit, Cooper and Serpico, Phys. Rev. D 77, 063001 (2008).

In this scenario, the gamma ray is converted to an ALP in the strong magnetic field of the AGN, traverses the EBR, and converts back to a photon in the magnetic field of the Milky Way.



# What are the ranges of the parameters of ALPs that could be candidates ?

What is the range of mass?

What is the range of coupling?

**Could P-Q axions fulfill the requirements ?** 

### **Quantum Coherence in Magnetic Fields**

### The Axion Enters the B-Field; It Becomes Coherent With the Photon

$$\Psi_{a}(\vec{r},t) \Rightarrow \left\{ c_{a}\Psi_{a}(\vec{r},t) + c_{\gamma}\Psi_{\gamma}(\vec{r},t) \right\}$$

This Limits the Effective Length of the Magnet to a Coherence Length

$$(c - v_a)t \equiv \lambda/2 = (1 - \beta_a)ct$$

$$ct \equiv L_{coh}$$

$$L_{coh} = \frac{\lambda}{2(1 - \beta_a)}$$

$$\gamma_{rel} \cong \frac{h\omega}{m_a c^2}$$

$$1 - \beta_a \cong \frac{m_a^2 c^4}{2\hbar^2 \omega^2}$$

$$L_c = \frac{\lambda}{2(1 - \beta_a)} \cong \frac{2\pi\hbar c \cdot \hbar\omega}{m_a^2 c^4}$$



### WHICH ALP MASSES AND COUPLINGS ARE PROBABLE?

The dimensions of the magnetic fields of the Milky Way are  $\approx 10^{18}$  km. The coherence length is:

$$L_c = \frac{\lambda}{2(1 - \beta_a)} \cong \frac{2\pi \hbar c \cdot \hbar \omega}{m_a^2 c^4}$$

If the photons from the Blazar have an energy of 10 TeV, then:

$$2\pi\hbar c \cdot \hbar\omega = 1.24 \times 10^4 eV^2 \cdot km$$

$$|m_a^2 c^4 = \frac{1.24 \times 10^4 eV^2 \cdot km}{10^{18} km} \approx 1.24 \times 10^{-14}$$
$$m_a c^2 \le 10^{-7} eV$$

And to have a high enough probability for photon-ALP conversion,  $M \approx$  a few times 10<sup>11</sup> GeV or  $g_{a\gamma\gamma} \approx 10^{-11} GeV^{-1}$  There are several scenarios suggested by Burrage et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 201101 (2009). They use larger distances for the magnetic fields:

$$M \approx 1 - 3 \times 10^{11} GeV$$
  
and 
$$-m_a c^2 \le 10^{-12} eV$$

and one very close to the present CAST bounds

$$M \approx 10^{10} GeV$$
  
and  $_m_a c^2 << 10^{-7} eV$ 

### An Example of an Exclusion Plot







TeO<sub>2</sub> Has a Known Crystal Structure and the Bolometer Crystals are Grown Along Known Axes.

When the Line of Sight to the Sun Satisfies a Bragg-Coherence Condition, Conversion of an Axion in the Electric Field of the Nucleus of an Atom is Enhanced Resulting in a Predictable Modulation of the Signal.

R.J. CRESWICK et al., Phys. Lett. B 427 (1998) 235.



### EDELWEISS-II, JCAPII (2013) 067

Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics

Axion searches with the EDELWEISS-II experiment







Axions Can Be Converted Into Photons inTransverse Magnetic Fields! They Could be Created in the Sun by Photons Interacting with Nuclear Coulomb Fields !



The Conversion Probability in a Magnetic Field

$$P_{a\gamma} = 2.6 \times 10^{-17} \left(\frac{B}{10T}\right)^2 \left(\frac{L}{10M}\right)^2 \times \left(g_{a\gamma} \times 10^{10} GeV\right)^2$$







# Searching for Solar Axions and ALPs with Magnetic Helioscopes



# Konstantin Zioutas led a team in building CAST the CERN Axion Solar Telescope



### Principle of the Axion Helioscope P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 (1983)



Pierre Sikivie conceived of the axion helioscope in 1983



First implementation at Brookhaven (just few hours of data) [Lazarus et at. PRL 69 (92)] TOKYO Helioscope (SUMICO): 2.3 m long 4 T magnet







### The CERN Axion Solar Telescope



**10-Meter Long LHC Test Magnet** With a 9-Tesla Magnetic Field

Konstantin Zioutas, University of Patras, Spokesman

Why Can't We Just Increase The Length?





### The CERN Axion Solar Telescope



CAST Has Produced the Most Sensitive Solar Axion Search DataTo Date. The Magnet Tracks the Sun 1.05 hr During Sunrise and Again During Sunset (+/-) 8 Degrees.



### **Quantum Coherence in the Magnet**

### The Axion Enters the B-Field; It Becomes Coherent With the Photon

$$\Psi_{a}(\vec{r},t) \Rightarrow \left\{ c_{a} \Psi_{a}(\vec{r},t) + c_{\gamma} \Psi_{\gamma}(\vec{r},t) \right\}$$

This Limits the Effective Length of the Magnet to a Coherence Length

$$(c - v_a)t = \lambda/2 = (1 - \beta_a)ct$$

$$ct \equiv L_{coh}$$

$$L_{coh} = \frac{\lambda}{2(1 - \beta_a)}$$

$$\gamma_{rel} \cong \frac{h\omega}{m_a c^2}$$

$$-\beta_a \cong \frac{m_a^2 c^4}{2\hbar^2 \omega^2}$$

$$L_c = \frac{\lambda}{2(1 - \beta_a)} \cong \frac{2\pi \hbar c \cdot \hbar \omega}{m_a^2 c^4}$$



### **Coherence Effects on the CAST Results**



## $\bigcirc \bullet \bullet$

### The CAST sensitivity has arrived at its best value. Where do we go from here ?



### IAXO, The Future



### IAXO International AXion Observatory Letter of Intent to CERN SPSC

Igor G Irastorza Universidad de Zaragoza On behalf of the IAXO collaboration

Open session of the SPS Committee - October 22nd 2013 - CERN





### Letter of Intent presented to CERN SPSC

### Axion motivation:

- Strong CP problem
- Axions as CDM
- Solar axions
- Previous helioscopes & CAST
- IAXO Conceptual Design
  - Magnet
  - Optics
  - Detectors
- IAXO physics potential
- Timescale & costs
- Status of project. Requests to CERN

Letter of Intent to the CERN SPSC

The International Axion Observatory IAXO

E. Armengaud<sup>1</sup>, F. T. Avignone<sup>2</sup>, M. Betz<sup>3</sup>, P. Brax<sup>4</sup>, P. Brun<sup>1</sup>, G. Cantatore<sup>5</sup>, J. M. Carmona<sup>6</sup>, G. P. Carosi<sup>7</sup>, F. Caspers<sup>3</sup>, S. Caspi<sup>8</sup>, S. A. Cetin<sup>9</sup>, D. Chelouche<sup>10</sup>, F. E. Christensen<sup>11</sup>, A. Dael<sup>1</sup>, T. Dafni<sup>6</sup>, M. Davenport<sup>3</sup>, A.V. Derbin<sup>12</sup>, K. Desch<sup>13</sup>, A. Diago<sup>6</sup>, B. Döbrich<sup>14</sup>, I. Dratchnev<sup>12</sup>, A. Dudarev<sup>3</sup>, C. Eleftheriadis<sup>15</sup>, G. Fanourakis<sup>16</sup>, E. Ferrer-Ribas<sup>1</sup>, J. Galán<sup>1</sup>, J. A. García<sup>6</sup>, J. G. Garza<sup>6</sup>, T. Geralis<sup>16</sup>, B. Gimeno<sup>17</sup>, I. Giomataris<sup>1</sup>, S. Gninenko<sup>18</sup>, H. Gómez<sup>6</sup>, D. González-Díaz<sup>6</sup>, E. Guendelman<sup>19</sup>, C. J. Hailey<sup>20</sup>, T. Hiramatsu<sup>21</sup>, D. H. H. Hoffmann<sup>22</sup>, D. Horns<sup>23</sup>, F. J. Iguaz<sup>6</sup>, I. G. Irastorza<sup>6</sup>, \*, J. Isern<sup>24</sup>, K. Imai<sup>25</sup>, A. C. Jakobsen<sup>11</sup>, J. Jaeckel<sup>26</sup>, K. Jakovčić<sup>27</sup>, J. Kaminski<sup>13</sup>, M. Kawasaki<sup>28</sup>, M. Karuza<sup>29</sup>, M. Krčmar<sup>27</sup>, K. Kousouris<sup>3</sup>, C. Krieger<sup>13</sup>, B. Lakić<sup>27</sup>, O. Limousin<sup>1</sup>, A. Lindner<sup>14</sup>, A. Liolios<sup>15</sup>, G. Luzón<sup>6</sup>, S. Matsuki<sup>30</sup>, V. N. Muratova<sup>12</sup>, C. Nones<sup>1</sup>, I. Ortega<sup>6</sup>, T. Papaevangelou<sup>1</sup>, M. J. Pivovaroff<sup>7</sup>, G. Raffelt<sup>31</sup>, J. Redondo<sup>31</sup>, A. Ringwald<sup>14</sup>, S. Russenschuck<sup>3</sup>, J. Ruz<sup>7</sup>, K. Saikawa<sup>32</sup>, I. Savvidis<sup>15</sup>, T. Sekiguchi<sup>28</sup>, Y. K. Semertzidis<sup>33</sup>, I. Shilon<sup>3</sup>, P. Sikivie<sup>34</sup>, H. Silva<sup>3</sup>, H. ten Kate<sup>3</sup>, A. Tomas<sup>6</sup>, S. Troitsky<sup>18</sup>, T. Vafeiadis<sup>3</sup>, K. van Bibber<sup>35</sup>, P. Vedrine<sup>3</sup>, J. A. Villar<sup>6</sup>, J. K. Vogel<sup>7</sup>, L. Walckiers<sup>3</sup>, A. Weltman<sup>36</sup>, W. Wester<sup>37</sup>, S. C. Yildiz<sup>9</sup>, K. Zioutas<sup>38</sup>

IAXO Letter of Intent: CERN-SPSC-2013-022 90 signatures / 38 institutions







## IAXO x-ray optics



- Each bore equipped with an x-ray optics
- Exquisite imaging not required
- **BUT** need cost-effective way to build 8 (+1 spare) optics of 600 cm diameter each.



## **IAXO** magnet



Property		Value
Cryostat dimension	s: Overall length (m)	25
	Outer diameter (m)	5.2
	Cryostat volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	$\sim 530$
Toroid size:	Inner radius, $R_{in}$ (m)	1.0
	Outer radius, $R_{out}$ (m)	2.0
	Inner axial length (m)	21.0
	Outer axial length (m)	21.8
Mass:	Conductor (tons)	65
	Cold Mass (tons)	130
	Cryostat (tons)	35
	Total assembly (tons)	$\sim 250$
Coils:	Number of racetrack coils	8
	Winding pack width (mm)	384
	Winding pack height (mm)	144
	Turns/coil	180
	Nominal current, $I_{op}$ (kA)	12.0
	Stored energy, $E$ (MJ)	500
	Inductance (H)	6.0
	Peak magnetic field, $B_p$ (T)	5.4
	Average field in the bores (T)	2.5
Conductor:	Overall size (mm <sup>2</sup> )	$35 \times 8$
	Number of strands	40
	Strand diameter (mm)	1.3
	Critical current $@5$ T, $I_c$ (kA)	58
	Operating temperature, $T_{op}$ (K)	4.5
	Operational margin	40%
	Temperature margin @ 5.4 T (K)	1.9
Heat Load:	at 4.5 K (W)	$\sim 150$
	at 60-80 K (kW)	$\sim 1.6$

IAXO magnet concept presented in:
IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 23 (ASC 2012)
Adv. Cryo. Eng. (CEC/ICMC 2013)
IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. (MT 23)

111th SPSC, CERN, Oct 2013

Igor G. Irastorza / Universidad de

Zaragoza

16



### ournal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics

# Towards a new generation axion helioscope

I.G. Irastorza,<sup>a</sup> F.T. Avignone,<sup>b</sup> S. Caspi,<sup>c</sup> J.M. Carmona,<sup>a</sup>

T. Dafni,<sup>a</sup> M. Davenport,<sup>d</sup> A. Dudarev,<sup>d</sup> G. Fanourakis,<sup>e</sup>

E. Ferrer-Ribas,<sup>f</sup> J. Galán,<sup>a,f</sup> J.A. García,<sup>a</sup> T. Geralis,<sup>e</sup>

I. Giomataris,<sup>f</sup> H. Gómez,<sup>a</sup> D.H.H. Hoffmann,<sup>g</sup> F.J. Iguaz,<sup>f</sup>

K. Jakovčić,<sup>h</sup> M. Krčmar,<sup>h</sup> B. Lakić,<sup>h</sup> G. Luzón,<sup>a</sup> M. Pivovaroff,<sup>j</sup>

T. Papaevangelou,<sup>f</sup> G. Raffelt,<sup>k</sup> J. Redondo,<sup>k</sup> A. Rodríguez,<sup>a</sup>

S. Russenschuck,<sup>d</sup> J. Ruz,<sup>d</sup> I. Shilon,<sup>d,i</sup> H. Ten Kate,<sup>d</sup> A. Tomás,<sup>a</sup>

S. Troitsky,<sup>1</sup> K. van Bibber,<sup>m</sup> J.A. Villar,<sup>a</sup> J. Vogel,<sup>j</sup> L. Walckiers<sup>d</sup> and K. Zioutas<sup>n</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratorio de Física Nuclear y Astropartículas, Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain <sup>b</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, U.S.A. <sup>c</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, U.S.A.

<sup>d</sup>CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

"National Center for Scientific Research "Demokritos", Athens, Greece

<sup>f</sup>IRFU, Centre d'Études Nucléaires de Saclay (CEA-Saclay), Gif-sur-Yvette, France

<sup>g</sup>Technische Universität Darmstadt, IKP, Darmstadt, Germany

<sup>h</sup>Rudjer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, Croatia

<sup>j</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, U.S.A.

<sup>k</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Munich, Germany

<sup>i</sup>Physics Department, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel

<sup>i</sup>Institute for Nuclear Research (INR), Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

"Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, U.S.A.

"University of Patras, Patras, Greece

JCAP06(2011)013













### IAXO magnet concept presented in:

IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 23 (ASC 2012)
Adv. Cryo. Eng. (CEC/ICMC 2013)
IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. (MT 23)



## IAXO x-ray optics

- X-rays are focused by means of grazing angle reflection (usually 2)
- Many techniques developed in the x-ray astronomy field. But usually costly due to exquisite imaging requirements





### The Predicted IAXO Sensitivity





## IAXO in astroparticle roadmaps

 ASPERA/APPEC Roadmap acknowledges axion physics, CAST, and recommends progress towards IAXO.

> "...A CAST follow-up is discussed as part of CERN's physics landscape (new magnets, new cryogenic and X-ray devices). The Science Advisory Committee supports R&D on this follow up, as well as smaller ongoing activities on the search for axions and axion-like particles."

> > C. Spiering, ESPP Krakow

- Important community input in the European Strategy for Particle Physics
- Presence in the Briefing Book of the ESPP, which reflects also APPEC roadmap recommendations.
- ESPP recomends CERN to follow APPEC recomendatons.



# We Finish With a Few Tantalizing Data Interpretations from the Observational Community !



# The X-ray signature of the solar axion flux observed by XMM-Newton

### G.W. Fraser <sup>1\*</sup>, A.M. Read <sup>2</sup>, S. Sembay <sup>2</sup>, J.A. Carter <sup>2</sup> and E. Schyns <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Space Science and Instrumentation Group, Space Research Centre, Michael Atiyah Building, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK <sup>2</sup> X-ray and Observational Astronomy Group, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK. <sup>3</sup> PHOTONIS France S.A.S., Avenue Roger Roncier, 19100 Brive, B.P. 520, 19106 BRIVE Cedex, France.

### Phys. Rev. D 87, 035027 (2013)

## First lower limits on the photon-axion-like particle coupling from very high energy $\gamma$ -ray observations

Manuel Meyer,\* Dieter Horns, and Martin Raue Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany (Dated: August 27, 2013)

The intrinsic flux of very high-energy (VHE, energy  $\geq 100 \,\text{GeV}$ )  $\gamma$ -rays from extragalactic sources is attenuated due to pair production in the interaction with photons of the extragalactic background light (EBL). Depending on the distance of the source, the Universe should be opaque to VHE photons above a certain energy. However, indications exist that the Universe is more transparent than previously thought. A recent statistical analysis of a large sample of VHE spectra shows that the correction for absorption with current EBL models is too strong for the data points with the highest attenuation. An explanation might be the oscillation of VHE photons into hypothetical axionlike particles (ALPs) in ambient magnetic fields. This mechanism would decrease the opacity, as ALPs propagate unimpeded over cosmological distances.

Here, a large sample of VHE  $\gamma$ -ray spectra obtained with imaging air Cherenkov telescopes is used to set, for the first time, lower limits on the photon-ALP coupling constant  $g_{a\gamma}$  over a large range of ALP masses. The conversion in different magnetic field configurations, including intracluster and intergalactic magnetic fields together with the magnetic field of the Milky Way, is investigated taking into account the energy dependence of the oscillations. For optimistic scenarios of the intervening magnetic fields, a lower limit on  $g_{a\gamma}$  of the order of  $10^{-12} \text{GeV}^{-1}$  is obtained, whereas more conservative model assumptions result in  $g_{a\gamma} \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{-11} \text{GeV}^{-1}$ . The latter value is within reach of future dedicated ALP searches.



### arXiv:1312.3947 Dec. 2013

# Soft X-ray Excess in the Coma Cluster from a Cosmic Axion Background

### Stephen Angus,<sup>*a*</sup> Joseph P. Conlon,<sup>*a*</sup> M.C. David Marsh,<sup>*a*</sup> Andrew J. Powell<sup>*a*</sup> and Lukas T. Witkowski<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>

 <sup>a</sup>Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford, 1 Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3NP, United Kingdom
 <sup>b</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Philosophenweg 19, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

E-mail: stephen.angus@physics.ox.ac.uk, j.conlon1@physics.ox.ac.uk, david.marsh1@physics.ox.ac.uk, andrew.powell2@physics.ox.ac.uk, l.witkowski@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de

**Abstract.** We show that the soft X-ray excess in the Coma cluster can be explained by a cosmic background of relativistic axions converting into photons in the cluster magnetic field. We provide a detailed self-contained review of the cluster soft X-ray excess, the proposed astrophysical explanations and the problems they face, and explain how a 0.1 - 1 keV axion background naturally arises at reheating in many string theory models of the early universe. We study the morphology of the soft excess by numerically propagating axions through stochastic, multi-scale magnetic field models that are consistent with observations of Faraday rotation measures from Coma. By comparing to ROSAT observations of the 0.2 - 0.4 keV soft excess, we find that the overall excess luminosity is easily reproduced for  $g_{a\gamma\gamma} \sim 2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ . The resulting morphology is highly sensitive to the magnetic field power spectrum. For Gaussian magnetic field models, the observed soft excess morphology prefers magnetic field spectra with most power in coherence lengths on  $\mathcal{O}(3 \text{ kpc})$  scales over those with most power on  $\mathcal{O}(12 \text{ kpc})$  scales. Within this scenario, we bound the mean energy of the axion background to  $50 \text{ eV} \lesssim \langle E_a \rangle \lesssim 250 \text{ eV}$ , the axion mass to  $m_a \lesssim 10^{-12} \text{ eV}$ , and derive a lower bound on the axion-photon coupling  $g_{a\gamma\gamma} \gtrsim \sqrt{0.5/\Delta N_{\text{eff}}} 1.4 \times 10^{-13} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ .



### 

### Searching for a 0.1 - 1 keV Cosmic Axion Background

Joseph P. Conlon<sup>\*</sup> and M.C. David Marsh<sup>†</sup> Rudolph Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford, 1 Keble Road, OX1 3NP, Oxford, United Kingdom (Dated: June 14, 2013)

Primordial decays of string theory moduli at  $z \sim 10^{12}$  naturally generate a dark radiation Cosmic Axion Background (CAB) with 0.1 - 1 keV energies. This CAB can be detected through axionphoton conversion in astrophysical magnetic fields to give quasi-thermal excesses in the extreme ultraviolet and soft X-ray bands. Substantial and observable luminosities may be generated even for axion-photon couplings  $\ll 10^{-11} \text{GeV}^{-1}$ . We propose that axion-photon conversion may explain the observed excess emission of soft X-rays from galaxy clusters, and may also contribute to the diffuse unresolved cosmic X-ray background. We list a number of correlated predictions of the scenario.



The discovery of axions would give important needed confirmation of the elegant Peccei-Quinn solution of the Strong CP-Problem.

The CAST experiment is the most sensitive Broad Mass Search; However, loss of coherence prevents it from probing important regions of the axion-model space.

The proposed ALP explanation of the observation of extra-galactic TeV gamma rays is another motivation for increasing the physics reach of axion helioscopes.

The proposed International Axion Observatory (IAXO) is the next step in extending the sensitivity of Solar Axion/ALP searches.

There is great interest in axions/ALPs being generated in the astrophysics and cosmology communities to explain a number of interesting phenomena.



#### PHYSICAL REVIEW D

#### VOLUME 35, NUMBER 9

1 MAY 1987

#### Laboratory limits on solar axions from an ultralow-background germanium spectrometer

F. T. Avignone III Department of Physics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208

> R. L. Brodzinski Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington 99352

S. Dimopoulos and G. D. Starkman Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

A. K. Drukier and D. N. Spergel Harvard—Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

G. Gelmini\* Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

B. W. Lynn Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, California 94305 (Received 18 April 1986)

Laboratory bounds on the couplings to electrons of light pseudoscalars such as axions, familons, Majorons, etc., are set with an ultralow-background germanium spectrometer using a realistic model for the Sun. In particular Dine-Fischler-Srednicki axion models with  $F/2x'_e \leq 0.5 \times 10^7$  GeV are excluded. It should be emphasized that this is a laboratory bound. It does not rely on a detailed understanding of the dynamics and evolution of red giants, white dwarfs, or other stars as do the more speculative astrophysical bounds which are competitive with our laboratory bound. The lower limit should be improved to  $F/2x'_e > 1.8 \times 10^7$  GeV in the near future. It is shown that semiconducting Ge detectors for axions could eventually set limits  $F/2x'_e > 10^8$  GeV. If discovered, axions or other light weakly interacting bosons would not only allow us to study physics at energies beyond the reach of accelerators but would also provide a new laboratory tool to study the deep interior of stars.



