

Transversity 2014

Fourth International Workshop on Transverse Polarisation Phenomena

in Hard Processes 9-13 June, 2014 - Chia, Cagliari, Italy



Di-hadron Fragmentation Functions and Transversity

Marco Radici INFN - Pavia



in collaboration with: A. Bacchetta (Univ. Pavia) A. Courtoy (Univ. Liege)



Transversity 2014

Fourth International Workshop on Transverse Polarisation Phenomena

in Hard Processes 9-13 June, 2014 - Chia, Cagliari, Italy



Di-hadron Fragmentation Functions and Transversity

Marco Radici INFN - Pavia



in collaboration with: A. Bacchetta (Univ. Pavia) A. Courtoy (Univ. Liege)

Outline

- why di-hadron semi-inclusive production?
 brief review of advantages w.r.t. Collins effect
- review of existing results about extraction of transversity
 including recent Compass analysis

of their new proton data (see C. Braun's talk)

new fit : what's new ?

conclusions and outlooks

Outline

- why di-hadron semi-inclusive production?
 brief review of advantages w.r.t. Collins effect
- review of existing results about extraction of transversity

including recent Compass analysis of their new proton data (see C. Braun's talk)



conclusions and outlooks



 $\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{P}_h \cdot \mathbf{S}_T \propto \sin(\phi + \phi_S)$

 $\mathbf{P}_{hT} \neq 0$ transverse momentum of hadron required

Collins, Heppelman, Ladinsky, NP **B**420 (94)



 $\mathbf{P}_h \times \mathbf{R}_T \cdot \mathbf{S}_T \propto \sin(\phi_{R_T} + \phi_S)$

effect relies on $\mathbf{R}_T \neq 0$ $\mathbf{P}_{hT} = 0$ the pair is collinear



 $\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{P}_h \cdot \mathbf{S}_T \propto \sin(\phi + \phi_S)$

 $\mathbf{P}_{hT} \neq 0$ transverse momentum of hadron required

framework of TMD factorization

Collins, Heppelman, Ladinsky, NP **B420** (94)



 $\mathbf{P}_h \times \mathbf{R}_T \cdot \mathbf{S}_T \propto \sin(\phi_{R_T} + \phi_S)$

effect relies on $\mathbf{R}_T \neq 0$ $\mathbf{P}_{hT} = 0$ the pair is collinear



 $\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{P}_h \cdot \mathbf{S}_T \propto \sin(\phi + \phi_S)$

 $\mathbf{P}_{hT} \neq 0$ transverse momentum of hadron required

framework of TMD factorization

Collins, Heppelman, Ladinsky, NP **B**420 (94)



 $\mathbf{P}_h \times \mathbf{R}_T \cdot \mathbf{S}_T \propto \sin(\phi_{R_T} + \phi_S)$

effect relies on $\mathbf{R}_T \neq 0$ $\mathbf{P}_{hT} = 0$ the pair is collinear

framework of collinear factorization

Collins effect TMD factorization

1h - SIDIS single-spin asymmetry

 $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi+\phi_S)} \propto \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 h_1^q \otimes_w H_1^{\perp q}}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_1^q \otimes D_1^q}$

DiFF collinear factorization

2h - SIDIS single-spin asymmetry $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_R + \phi_S)} \propto -\frac{|\mathbf{R}|}{M_h} \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 h_1^q(x) H_1^{\triangleleft q}(z, M_h^2)}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_1^q(x) D_1^q(z, M_h^2)}$

> Radici, Jakob, Bianconi PR D**65** (02) Bacchetta, Radici, PR D**67** (03)

Collins effect TMD factorization

1h - SIDIS single-spin asymmetry

 $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi+\phi_S)} \propto \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 h_1^q \otimes_w H_1^{\perp q}}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_1^q \otimes D_1^q}$

DiFF collinear factorization

2h - SIDIS single-spin asymmetry $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_R + \phi_S)} \propto -\frac{|\mathbf{R}|}{M_h} \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 h_1^q(x) H_1^{\triangleleft q}(z, M_h^2)}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_1^q(x) D_1^q(z, M_h^2)}$

> Radici, Jakob, Bianconi PR D**65** (02) Bacchetta, Radici, PR D**67** (03)

convolution on \perp -moment dependence of h₁ and H₁^{\perp}

> TMD evolution eq. required

Collins effect TMD factorization

1h - SIDIS single-spin asymmetry

 $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi+\phi_S)} \propto \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 h_1^q \otimes_w H_1^{\perp q}}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_1^q \otimes D_1^q}$

convolution on \perp -moment dependence of h₁ and H₁^{\perp} DiFF collinear factorization

2h - SIDIS single-spin asymmetry $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_R + \phi_S)} \propto -\frac{|\mathbf{R}|}{M_h} \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 h_1^q(x) H_1^{\triangleleft q}(z, M_h^2)}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_1^q(x) D_1^q(z, M_h^2)}$

> simple product of h_1 and H_1^*

Radici, Jakob, Bianconi PR D**65** (02) Bacchetta, Radici, PR D**67** (03)

TMD evolution eq. required

DGLAP evolution eq. (well known)

> Ceccopieri, Radici, Bacchetta, P.L. **B650** (07)

first extraction of $x h_1^{u_v} - x h_1^{d_v}/4$

$$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_R + \phi_S)} \propto -\frac{|\mathbf{R}|}{M_h} \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 h_1^q(x) H_1^{\triangleleft q}(z, M_h^2)}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_1^q(x) D_1^q(z, M_h^2)}$$



proton target

isospin symmetry + charge conjugation

$$\begin{aligned} xh_1^p(x) &\equiv xh_1^{u_v}(x) - \frac{1}{4}xh_1^{d_v}(x) \\ &\propto -\frac{A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_R + \phi_S)}}{\int dz dM_h^2 \ H_1^{\triangleleft u}} \left[\sum_{q=u,d,s} \frac{e_q^2}{e_u^2} \ xf_1^{q+\bar{q}}(x) \ \int dz dM_h^2 \ D_1^q \right] \end{aligned}$$

first extraction of $x h_1^{u_v} - x h_1^{d_v}/4$

$$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_R + \phi_S)} \propto -\frac{|\mathbf{R}|}{M_h} \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 h_1^q(x) H_1^{\triangleleft q}(z, M_h^2)}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_1^q(x) D_1^q(z, M_h^2)}$$



first extraction of $x h_1^{u_v} - x h_1^{d_v}/4$

$$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_R + \phi_S)} \propto -\frac{|\mathbf{R}|}{M_h} \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 h_1^q(x) H_1^{\triangleleft q}(z, M_h^2)}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_1^q(x) D_1^q(z, M_h^2)}$$



first extraction of $x h_1^{u_v}$, $x h_1^{d_v}$

repeat for $xh_1^D(x) \equiv xh_1^{u_v}(x) + xh_1^{d_v}(x)$ deuteron target $\propto -\frac{A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_R + \phi_S)}}{\int dz dM_h^2 H_1^{\triangleleft u}} \frac{4}{3}$ $\times \left| \left(\sum_{q=u,d} x f_1^{q+\bar{q}}(x) \right) \left(\sum_{q=u,d} \frac{e_q^2}{e_u^2} \int dz dM_h^2 D_1^q \right) + x f_1^{s+\bar{s}}(x) \frac{1}{2} \int dz dM_h^2 D_1^s \right| \right|$ COMPASS access to $x h_1^{u-\overline{u}}(x) - \frac{1}{4}x h_1^{d-\overline{d}}(x)$ proton data OMPASS access to $x h_1^{u-\overline{u}}(x) + x h_1^{d-d}(x)$ deuteron data

combination of both sets → access to valence transversities separately

Bacchetta, Courtoy, Radici, JHEP 1303 (13) 119

first extraction of $x h_1^{u_v}$, $x h_1^{d_v}$

Bacchetta, Courtoy, Radici, JHEP 1303 (13) 119



extraction point by point

first extraction of $x h_1^{u_v}$, $x h_1^{d_v}$

Bacchetta, Courtoy, Radici, JHEP 1303 (13) 119



extraction point by point

next step:true fit of $x h_1^p(x)$ and $x h_1^D(x)$ two ways:standard Hessian methodreplica method







fit the replicated data



procedure repeated 100 times (until reproduce mean and std. deviation of original data)



for each point, a central 68% confidence interval is identified (distribution is not necessarily Gaussian)

Fitting: the functional form

at starting scale $Q_0^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$

error on SB negligible w.r.t. exp. error and uncertainty on DiFF fit

DSSV

MSTW08LO

Fitting: the functional form

at starting scale $Q_0^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$

$$xh_1^{q_v}(x) = \tanh\left[\sqrt{x} \left(A_q + B_q x + C_q x^2 + D_q x^3\right)\right] \left[x \operatorname{SB}_q(x) + x \overline{\operatorname{SB}}_{\bar{q}}(x)\right]$$

automatically satisfies Soffer bound at any Q²

$$2|h_1^q(x,Q^2)| \le 2 \operatorname{SB}_q(x) = |f_1^q(x) + g_1^q(x)|$$

MSTW08LO DSSV

error on SB negligible w.r.t. exp. error and uncertainty on DiFF fit

 $SB_q+SB_{\overline{q}} \rightarrow \infty x \rightarrow 0$ grants finite and stable tensor charge



Fitting : the functional form

at starting scale $Q_0^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$

$$xh_1^{q_v}(x) = \tanh\left[\sqrt{x}\left(A_q + B_q x + C_q x^2 + D_q x^3\right)\right] \left[x\operatorname{SB}_q(x) + x\operatorname{\overline{SB}}_{\bar{q}}(x)\right]$$

automatically satisfies Soffer bound at any Q²

$$2|h_1^q(x,Q^2)| \le 2 \operatorname{SB}_q(x) = |f_1^q(x) + g_1^q(x)|$$

MSTW08LO DS

DSSV

error on SB negligible w.r.t. exp. error and uncertainty on DiFF fit

 $SB_q+SB_{\overline{q}} \rightarrow \infty x \rightarrow 0$ grants finite and stable tensor charge



Fitting: the functional form





Bacchetta, Courtoy, Radici, JHEP **1303** (13) 119







Bacchetta, Courtoy, Radici, JHEP **1303** (13) 119



extrapolation of data large uncertainty!

Bacchetta, Courtoy, Radici, JHEP **1303** (13) 119

interlude with partial summary



interlude with partial summary



interlude with partial summary



-0.6

 10^{-2}

10-1

X

⇒ agreement



10-1

-0.6

 10^{-2}

new fit

1. use new



2010 proton data for h+h-



C.Adolph et al. (Compass), arXiv:1401.7873

new fit

1. use new



2010 proton data for h+h-



C.Adolph et al. (Compass), arXiv:1401.7873

2. use replica method to extract DiFF from



1. use new

COMPASS

2010 proton data for h+h-



C.Adolph et al. (Compass), arXiv:1401.7873

2. use replica method to extract DiFF from 🥰 data

current most realistic estimate of uncertainty on transversity

fit Belle data 👄 extract DiFF

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow (\pi^+\pi^-)(\pi^+\pi^-) + X$$

$$A^{\cos(\phi_R + \overline{\phi}_R)} = \frac{\sin^2 \theta_2}{1 + \cos^2 \theta_2} \frac{|\mathbf{R}_T|}{M_h} \frac{|\overline{\mathbf{R}}_T|}{\overline{M}_h} \times \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 H_{1,sp}^{\triangleleft q}(z, M_h^2) \overline{H}_{1,sp}^{\triangleleft \overline{q}}(\overline{z}, \overline{M}_h^2)}{\sum_q e_q^2 D_1^q(z, M_h^2) \overline{D}_1^{\overline{q}}(\overline{z}, \overline{M}_h^2)}$$

Boer, Jakob, Radici, P.R. D**67** (03) 094003 Artru & Collins, Z.Ph. C**69** (96) 277

a₁₂ asymmetry from Belle (integrating on one hemisphere)





unpol. D₁ extracted from PYTHIA adapted to Belle (very large statistics) pol. H_1^* extracted from fitting A^{cos}



Vossen et al., P.R.L. 107 (11) 072004

first ever extraction of DiFF

Courtoy, Bacchetta, Radici, Bianconi, P.R. D85 (12) 114023

 D_1^q M_h behaviour z behaviour z=0.25, Q=1 GeV M_h=0.8 GeV, Q=1 GeV 10.0 7.0 6.0 D_1^q (z=0.25, M_h) D_1^q (z, $M_h=0.8$) 8.0 D₁^q (z, M_h) [GeV⁻¹] $D_1{}^q$ (z, M_h) [GeV⁻¹] 5.0 6.0 4.0 $Q_0^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ $Q_0^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ 3.0 4.0 2.0 2.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 M_h [GeV] z $|\mathbf{R}| H_1^{\triangleleft u}$ Q=1 GeV Q=1 GeV 8.0e-01 6.0e-01 M_h=0.4 GeV z=0.25 $M_h \quad D_1^u$ M_h=0.8 GeV z=0.45 M_h=1.0 GeV z=0.65 6.0e-01 4.0e-01 $M_{h}=0.4$ R(z, M_h) z=0.25 R(z, M_h) 4.0e-01 **M_h=0.8**, z **z=0.45**, M_h $M_{h}=1.$ 2.0e-01 **z=0.65** 2.0e-01 $Q_0^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ $Q_0^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ 0.0e+00 0.0e+00 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.2 1.0 1.4 M_h [GeV] Ζ

re-fit $H_1^{\triangleleft q \rightarrow \pi + \pi -}$ using replica method



z behaviour



 $R(M_{h,z})$

Z

Ex: proton data

$$xh_{1}^{p}(x) \equiv xh_{1}^{u_{v}}(x) - \frac{1}{4}xh_{1}^{d_{v}}(x)$$

$$\propto -\frac{A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{R} + \phi_{S})}}{\int dz dM_{h}^{2} H_{1}^{\triangleleft u}} \left[\sum_{q=u,d,s} \frac{e_{q}^{2}}{e_{u}^{2}} xf_{1}^{q+\bar{q}}(x) \int dz dM_{h}^{2} D_{1}^{q} \right]$$

















with **10** replica





with **40** replica





with **70** replica





with 100 replica





taking the **68%** band



comparison

new with previous fit





$$xh_1^{u_v} - \frac{1}{4}xh_1^{d_v} \propto -\frac{A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_R + \phi_S)}}{\int dz dM_h^2 H_1^{\triangleleft u}} \dots$$









comparison

with previous fit



new

$$Q^2 = 2.4 \text{ GeV}^2$$
 $u - \overline{u}$ $x h_1 q \overline{q} (x) d - \overline{d}$



$$Q^2 = 2.4 \text{ GeV}^2$$
 $u - \overline{u}$ $x h_1^{q-\overline{q}}(x) d - \overline{d}$



COMPASS deuteron data

$$Q^2 = 2.4 \text{ GeV}^2$$
 $u - \overline{u}$ $x h_1^{q-\overline{q}}(x) d - \overline{d}$



new 68% band for h1 up is narrower (where there are data) and "smaller"

smaller transversity ??



Peng Sun, QCD Evolution 2014











extrapolation → large uncertainty! better ∫ where we have data



Conclusions and outlook

- di-hadron semi-inclusive production allows to consider single-spin asymmetries in collinear factorization framework
 ⇒ easier manipulation / known DGLAP evolution
- better quality of data → improving fit at present, new for the proton data induce narrower uncertainty band for h_1^u
- new fit based also on more realistic errors on extraction of DiFF \Rightarrow current most realistic estimate of errors on h₁
- h₁^d unchanged, h₁^u seems smaller compatible with larger H₁[⊥] from TMD evolution ?

Conclusions and outlook

- di-hadron semi-inclusive production allows to consider single-spin asymmetries in collinear factorization framework
 ⇒ easier manipulation / known DGLAP evolution
- better quality of data → improving fit at present, new for the proton data induce narrower uncertainty band for h_1^u
- new fit based also on more realistic errors on extraction of DiFF → current most realistic estimate of errors on h₁
- h₁^d unchanged, h₁^u seems smaller compatible with larger H₁[⊥] from TMD evolution ?
- need D₁ from data, not from PYTHIA..
- beyond fitting functional form: Neural Network analysis ?

Backup

 $Q^2 = 2.4 \text{ GeV}^2$

 $u - \overline{u}$ $x h_1(x)$ $d - \overline{d}$

collinear pairs

expansion in partial waves

Bacchetta & Radici, P.R. D67 (03) 094002

for $M_h \leq 1$ GeV, the system $(h_1, h_2)_L$ can be in L = 0 (*s*) or 1 (*p*) relative partial wave

for (h₁,h₂) system in its c.m. frame

change of variable

$$\zeta = \frac{z_1 - z_2}{z} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \cos\theta$$

expansion in Legendre polinomials of $\cos\theta$ $D_1^q(z,\zeta,M_h^2) \approx D_1^q(z,M_h^2) + D_{1\,sp}^q(z,M_h^2)\cos\theta + \dots$ $H_1^{\triangleleft q}(z,\zeta,M_h^2) \approx H_{1,sp}^{\triangleleft q}(z,M_h^2) + H_{1,pp}^{\triangleleft q}(z,M_h^2)\cos\theta + \dots$

expansion in partial waves

Bacchetta & Radici, P.R. D67 (03) 094002

for $M_h \leq 1$ GeV, the system $(h_1, h_2)_L$ can be in L = 0 (*s*) or 1 (*p*) relative partial wave

for (h₁,h₂) system in its c.m. frame

change of variable

$$\zeta = \frac{z_1 - z_2}{z} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \cos\theta$$

expansion in Legendre polinomials of $\cos\theta$ $D_1^q(z,\zeta,M_h^2) \approx D_1^q(z,M_h^2) + D_{1\,sp}^q(z,M_h^2)\cos\theta + \dots$ $H_1^{\triangleleft q}(z,\zeta,M_h^2) \approx H_{1,sp}^{\triangleleft q}(z,M_h^2) + H_{1,pp}^{\triangleleft q}(z,M_h^2)\cos\theta + \dots$

involved in recent measured asymmetries

extract DiFFs : warning #2

invariant mass M_h dependence very complicated model-inspired fitting functional form

