# Indirect Dark Matter Searches with VERITAS





Daniel Nieto Columbia University On behalf of the VERITAS Collaboration





- $\circ~$  Indirect Dark Matter Searches in the  $\gamma\text{-Ray}$  Band
- o VERITAS
- Galactic Center and Halo
- Galaxy Clusters
- Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxies
- Dark Matter Subhalo Candidates



- Basis: Detection of DM annihilation or decay products (SM particles)
- In most cases, entangled with CR and subdominant
- WIMPs with masses in the ~100 GeV range are good DM particle candidates
- Photons are privileged messengers
  - No deflection by B-fields, trace back to source
  - Observation of astrophysical targets
  - Characteristic spectral shape: identification



Indirect Dark Matter Searches in the  $\gamma\text{-Ray}$  Band



Expected spectrum from from annihilating DM

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dE} = J(\Delta\Omega) \times \frac{d\Phi^{PP}}{dE} = \int_{I.o.s,V} \rho_{DM}^2(I) d\Omega dI \times \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\langle \sigma_{ann} V \rangle}{2m_{DM}^2} \sum_i B_i \frac{dN_i^{\gamma}}{dE}$$

Key concepts:  $\rho_{\text{DM}},$  distance, background

Galactic Center & Halo High flux Background Issues

Galaxy Clusters

Huge DM content
Large distance
High background



Dwarf Galaxies Large M/L No background Low flux





## Unassociated HE Sources: DM Subhalos?

Pieri et al. PRD 83:0235, 2008

 $\chi \chi \rightarrow b \overline{b}, m_{\chi} = 40 \text{ GeV}$ 



#### Very Energetic Radiation Imaging Telescope Array System

4 x 12m ø IACT 499 PMTs, 3.5° FoV Cameras Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory (AZ, 1.280m a.s.l.) Fully operational since 2007 Major upgrade on 2012



#### Very Energetic Radiation Imaging Telescope Array System

Sensitivity: 1% Crab in ~25h Energy range: 60 GeV – 30 TeV Angular resolution: 0.1° ( $r_{68\%}$ ) Energy resolution: 15% (>300 GeV) Sys. errors:  $\Gamma$  ~0.1, flux ~20% ~1000h dark time / year +300h Moon time / year







#### Very Energetic Radiation Imaging Telescope Array System

>100 members, 20 institutions



- Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory
- Adler Planetarium
- Argonne National Lab
- Barnard College / Columbia University
- University of Delaware
- Georgia Institute of Technology
- o Iowa State University
- Purdue University
- o University of California, Los Angeles
- University of California, Santa Cruz
- University of Chicago
- University of Iowa
- University of Minnesota
- o University of Utah
- Washington University in St. Louis
- o McGill University, Montreal
- University College Dublin
- Cork Institute of Technology
- Galway-Mayo Institute of Technology
- National University of Ireland, Galway
- o DESY, Zeuthen / Universität Potsdam







#### IMAGING ATMOSPHERIC CHERENKOV TECHNIQUE

- Detection of extended air showers (EAS) using the atmosphere as a calorimeter
- Huge  $\gamma$ -ray collection area (~10<sup>5</sup> m<sup>2</sup>)
- Large background from charged CR
- Energy window: tens GeV tens TeV
- Event reconstruction from EAS image:
  - Type of primary event
  - Primary energy estimation
  - Primary arrival direction

Galaxy Clusters



- Largest virialized objects in the Universe
- Huge DM content:
  - ~80% total mass (ICM 15%, galaxies 5%)
- Cluster members may contain AGN
- CR-induced g-ray signal outshines DM signal
- VHE observations of galaxy clusters can:
  - Test models on intracluster CR population
  - Place limits on fluxes from DM annihilation/decay



#### $\Lambda$ CDM N-body simulations



#### Coma Cluster



#### Coma Cluster Members



## Galaxy Clusters





- o Observed during 2008 for 19h
- Low Zd observations: 21 deg
- No detection of VHE γ-ray signal...
   ... nor HE γ-ray signal with Fermi-LAT
- Cluster DM content modeling: NFW
- Limits to  $b\overline{b}$ , W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>-</sup>, and  $\tau^+\tau^-$  annihilation channels
  - $\circ$  < $\sigma v$ ><sup>UL</sup> ~O(10<sup>-21</sup>) cm<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>



ApJ 757 123 (2012) [arXiv:1208.0676]

## Galactic Center and Halo





A&A 425 (2004) L13-L17 [arXiv:0408145]



Virgo Consortium - Aquarius simulations

Galactic Center

- Brightest DM annihilation signal Ο
- Crowded region, signal confusion Ο
- Conventional emitters outshine DM signal Ο

Galactic Halo

- Large astrophysical factor (model dependent) Ο
- Complicated analysis Ο





- o Observed during 2010-2012 for 46h
- Large Zd observations: 60 deg 64 deg
- $\circ$  High energy thr. but better  $A_{eff}$  at high energies
- $\circ$  Detected at ~18 $\sigma$



Galactic longitude [deg]

ApJ (accepted) 2014 [arXiv:1406.6383]

## Galactic Center and Halo





- Position coincident with:
  - o Sag A\*
  - Sgr A East
- o AXJ1745.6-2901
- 9 maser objects
- $\circ~$  PWN G359.95-0.04  $\circ~$  150 X-ray sources...
- o Spectrum compatible with earlier results
- o 2.5 TeV tens of TeV



Galactic longitude [deg]

ApJ (accepted) 2014 [arXiv:1406.6383]

RICAP 2014

### Galactic Center and Halo





D. Nieto

**RICAP 2014** 





- DM content & structure modelling
  - Star members kinematics
  - Most DM dominated systems
- o Large M/L
  - o Small role of baryons
  - o DM distribution not disturbed
- Low intrinsic background at HE
- > Known locations, not very extended
- Relatively close (d < 100 kpc)</li>
  - High Galactic latitude



Source	Dist. (kpc)	log <sub>10</sub> J(Ω) (GeV²cm⁻⁵)	Exp. (h)	Sig. (ơ)	E <sub>th</sub> (GeV)	Int. Flux UL 95% CL (cm-1s-1 >300 GeV)
Segue I	23	19.0	47.8	1.4	300	0.8 x 10 <sup>-12</sup>
Draco	80	18.4	18.4	-1.5	340	0.5 x 10 <sup>-12</sup>
Ursa Minor	66	18.9	18.9	-1.8	380	0.4 x 10 <sup>-12</sup>
Boötes I	62	17.9	14.3	1.4	300	2.2 x 10 <sup>-12</sup>
Willman I	38	18.9	13.7	-0.1	320	1.2 x 10 <sup>-12</sup>



 $<\sigma v>^{UL}~O(10^{-24}) \text{ cm}^{-3}\text{s}^{-1}$ 

Excludes particular masses on Sommerfeld-enhanced models Constraints boost factors on DM models that may explain CR lepton anomalies

#### ApJ 720:1174 (2010) [arXiv:1006.5955] Phys. Rev. D 85, 062001 (2012) [arXiv:1202.2144]



Source	Dist. (kpc)	log <sub>10</sub> J(Ω) (GeV²cm⁻⁵)	Exp. (h)	Sig. (ơ)	E <sub>th</sub> (GeV)	Int. Flux UL 95% CL (cm-1s-1 >300 GeV)
Segue I	23	19.0	91.9	0.7	150	0.4 x 10 <sup>-12</sup>
Draco	80	18.4	49. <mark>9</mark>	-1.0	220	0.3 x 10 <sup>-12</sup>
Ursa Minor	66	18.9	59.7	0.0	290	0.3 x 10 <sup>-12</sup>
Boötes I	62	17.9	14.3	-1.0	170	0.5 x 10 <sup>-12</sup>
Willman I	38	18.9	13.7	-0.6	180	1.2 x 10 <sup>-12</sup>



- Stacking analysis efforts ongoing
- Extrapolation of DM program to 2018:
   Proving O(10<sup>-25</sup>) cm<sup>-3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> region
- Conservative scenario:
  - No boost to DM signal
  - No analysis improvements

## Dark Matter Subhalo Candidates



E [GeV]

VFRITAS

Fermi-I A

#### N-body CDM simulations

#### Fermi sensitivity to galactic DM annihilation DM annihilation spectral shape





Main hypotheses

N-body simulations predict the existence of DM galactic subhalos DM subhalo close enough may provide a sufficiently high J factor to shine at HE & VHE Dark Matter subhalos are detected by Fermi and characterised by VERITAS Too small to have attracted enough baryonic matter to start star formation: invisible at other wavelengths

#### Number of detectable DM subhalos

Depends on N-body simulations, WIMP mass, annihilation channels, DM profiles... From 2 up to > 40 detectable DM subhalos in Fermi data

## Dark Matter Subhalo Candidates



#### Selection criteria:

- o 2FGL filtering
  - Exclude the galactic plane
  - No variability
  - No evidence for spectral curvature
  - Observable with VERITAS
- Feasible detection with VERITAS
- Search for counterparts in HEASARCH
- o Search for counterparts in Swift data





RICAP 2014

ASDC

Data

Explore



2FGL J0312.8+2013



#### 2FGL J0746.0-0222







Direct extrapolation of Fermi spectra to VHE band is in tension with VERITAS results



- $_{\odot}\,$  VERITAS has an ambitious ongoing program on DM searches
  - o More that 1000 h on DM targets upon completion
- o Limits to DM originated signals have been already placed
  - Coma Cluster:  $<\sigma v > UL ~O(10^{-20}) O(10^{-21}) \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$
  - Segue 1:  $<\sigma v > UL ~O(10^{-23}) O(10^{-24}) \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$
  - Galactic Halo: work ongoing
- The continuation of the program may allow to place very competitive limits
  - Dwarf spheroidal stacking analysis
  - o Galactic Halo analysis
  - $\circ$  <σv><sup>UL</sup> ~O(10<sup>-24</sup>) − O(10<sup>-25</sup>) cm<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
- o High-risk high-reward approaches also being conducted
  - Potential DM subhalo searches

## Stay tuned!



## **Galaxy Clusters**





**RICAP 2014** 

## Galaxy Clusters



Upper limits on the DM annihilation cross section times velocity  $\langle \sigma v \rangle$  from

VERITAS observations of the Coma cluster.

Channel	R $[deg]$	$m_{\chi} ~[{\rm GeV}]$	$\langle \sigma v \rangle ~[{\rm cm}^3~{\rm s}^{-1}]$
$W^+W^-$	0	2000	$1.1  imes 10^{-20}$
	0.2	1900	$4.3\times10^{-21}$
	0.4	1900	$8.4\times10^{-21}$
$b\overline{b}$	0	3500	$1.2\times 10^{-20}$
	0.2	3400	$4.4\times10^{-21}$
	0.4	3500	$8.7\times10^{-21}$
$\tau^+\tau^-$	0	670	$2.4\times10^{-21}$
	0.2	650	$9.1\times10^{-22}$
	0.4	660	$1.8\times10^{-21}$

R [deg]	$\left< J \right>_{\rm signal} \left[ {\rm GeV^2 \ cm^{-5} \ sr} \right]$	$\alpha \left< J \right>_{\rm bkg}  [{\rm GeV^2 \ cm^{-5} \ sr}]$
0	$5.7 imes10^{16}$	$1.3 \times 10^{14}$ (negligible)
0.2	$8.1 imes10^{16}$	$4.4\times 10^{14}~(<0.01\langle J\rangle_{\rm signal},$ negligible)
0.4	$9.4\times10^{16}$	$1.3\times 10^{15}~(\simeq 0.01\langle J\rangle_{\rm signal},$ negligible)



#### Galactic Center and Halo



#### ApJ (accepted) 2014 [arXiv:1406.6383]

#### Galactic Center and Halo





ApJ (accepted) 2014 [arXiv:1406.6383]

**RICAP 2014** 





Source	Period	Exposure (hr)	Zenith Angle (°)
Draco	2007 Apr–May	18.38	26-51
Ursa Minor	2007 Feb-May	18.91	35-46
Boötes 1	2009 Apr-May	14.31	17-29
Willman 1	2007 Dec-2008 Feb	13.68	19–28

Quantity	Draco	Ursa Minor	Boötes 1	Willman 1
α [J2000.0]	17 <sup>h</sup> 20 <sup>m</sup> 12 <sup>s</sup> .4	15 <sup>h</sup> 09 <sup>m</sup> 11 <sup>s</sup> .3	14 <sup>h</sup> 00 <sup>m</sup> 06 <sup>s</sup>	10 <sup>h</sup> 49 <sup>m</sup> 22 <sup>s</sup> .3
δ [J2000.0]	57°54′55″	67°12′52″	14°30′00″	51°03'03"
$L_V$ [L <sub><math>\odot</math></sub> ]	$(2.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^5$	$(2.0 \pm 0.9) \times 10^5$	$(3.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^4$	$(1.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^3$
$r_h$ [pc]	$221 \pm 16$	$150 \pm 18$	$242 \pm 21$	$25 \pm 6$
$R_d$ [kpc]	80	66	62	38
$\rho_s [M_{\odot}/kpc^3]$	$4.5 \times 10^{7}$	$4.5 \times 10^{7}$		$4 \times 10^{8}$
$r_s$ [kpc]	0.79	0.79		0.18
$J(\rho_s, r_s)$	4	7	3	22

Quantity	Draco	Ursa Minor	Boötes 1	Willman 1
Exposure (s)	66185	68080	51532	49255
On source (counts)	305	250	429	326
Total background (counts)	3667	3084	4405	3602
Number of background regions	11	11	11	11
Significance <sup>a</sup>	-1.51	-1.77	1.35	-0.08
95% CL (counts)b	18.8	15.6	72.0	36.7
Average effective area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	$5.84 \times 10^{8}$	$5.71 \times 10^{8}$	$6.37 \times 10^{8}$	$6.37 \times 10^{8}$
Energy threshold (GeV) <sup>c</sup>	340	380	300	320
Flux limit 95% CL ( $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ )	$0.49 \times 10^{-12}$	$0.40 \times 10^{-12}$	$2.19\times10^{-12}$	$1.17 \times 10^{-12}$

#### ApJ 720:1174, 2010 [arXiv:1006.5955]





Phys. Rev. D 85, 062001 (2012) [arXiv:1202.2144]

RICAP 2014

## VERITAS

#### Decaying DM – Limits from VERITAS Segue1 observations



Phys. Rev. D 85, 062001 (2012) [arXiv:1202.2144]

RICAP 2014





Obs. Time needed for a  $5\sigma$  detection

$$t = 25 \frac{R_{exc} + 2R_{bkg}}{R_{exc}^2}$$

From Li&Ma (5),  $\alpha$ =1