#### Electromangetic calorimeter of CMS: status and performances

G. Franzoni - University of Minnesota On behalf of the CMS ECAL group



## Outline

- Electromagnetic calorimeter at CMS
  - System description
  - Current status
- Results from LHC beams
- Results from cosmic rays running
  - Cosmic rays signal
  - Response stability
- Conclusions

## Several CMS ECAL speakers at this conference:

+ The ECAL online software in the commissioning of the CMS detector (poster), Pasquale Musella (LIP)

+ Quality assurance issues of the CMS Preshower (poster), Anna Elliott-Peisert (CERN)

+ Status of the calibration of the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter after the commissioning (poster), Alessio Ghezzi (Milano Bicocca Uni and INFN)

+The CMS preshower construction and commissioning (poster), Rong-Shyang Lu (National Taiwan University -NTU)

+ The CMS ECAL detector control and monitoring system (poster), Wieland Hintz (ETH Zürich)



#### **Electromagnetic calorimeter in CMS**



## **ECAL layout**



#### **PbWO4 crystals and photodetectors**



+ EB crystal, tapered 34 types, ~2.6x2.6 cm<sup>2</sup> at rear

+ Two avalanche photodiodes (APD), 5x5 mm2 each, QE ~75%, Temperature coeff.: -2.4%/°C

#### **Reasons for choice:**

Homogeneous mediumFast light emission $\sim 80\%$  in 25 nsShort radiation length $X_0 = 0.89$  cmSmall Molière radius $R_M = 2.10$  cmEmission peak425nmReasonable radiation resistance to very high doses



+ EE crystal, tapered 1 type, 3x3 cm<sup>2</sup> at rear + Vacuum phototriodes (VPT), more rad hard than diodes; gain 8 -10 (B=3.8T), Q.E. ~20% at 420nm

#### **Challenges:**

Crystal LY temperature dependence -2.2%/<sup>O</sup>C Need excellent thermal stability

Formation/decay of colour centres Need precise light monitoring system

Low light yield (1.3% NaI) Need photodetectors with gain in magnetic field

#### **Crystal transparency monitoring**



Light injected into each crystal using quartz fibres, via the front (Barrel) or rear (Endcap)

Laser pulse to pulse variations followed with pn diodes to 0.1%

PW(

Normalise calorimeter data to the measured changes in transparency **Response to laser pulses relative to initial response provides correction** 

5350

5300 5250

5200

for loss of light yield loss PbWO<sub>4</sub>

**Transparency correction:** 

Test beam irradiation exercises showed precision of correction of 0.15% on several channels

Black: irradiation at test beam

**Red:** after correction

time (h)

#### **Time line of the CMS ECAL project**



G. Franzoni UMN - CMS ECAL

#### **Status of the calorimeter**

- Preshower:
  - Installed in the months of February-March 2009
  - First data collected to check out components and connections → same status of health as in the laboratory, prior to installation:
    - 99.88% good channels (tot 137k)
    - MIP Signal/noise: 3.6 in low gain (physics) and 9 in high gain (calib)



- Cristal calorimeter:
  - EB and EE active through LHC beams and extended cosmic rays run in 2008
  - More than 99.5% of the channels are in good health for physics
  - System routinely operated in CMS global exercises, collecting data to monitor the detector and consolidate data acquisition and procedures
  - Trigger commissioning in the endcaps: first data collected, being finalized

#### Last year of commissioning: three phases

- Cosmic rays
  runs at zero Tesla magnetic field (CRUZET), march
  september 08
  - Collection of cosmic rays, mip and showers
  - Exercise and consolidate data acquisition and trigger
  - Test and improve procedures, services, reliability
- LHC circulating beams and beam splash events, sept 08
  - Beam splash events: internal synchronization and calibration
  - About 40 hrs in total of halo muons
- Cosmic rays runs at four Tesla magnetic field (CRAFT), oct-nov 08
  - Steady running for ~1 month, test of response stability







## Results from beam splashes



G. Franzoni UMN - CMS ECAL

#### **Beam splashes at CMS**

 10<sup>9</sup> protons at 450 GeV dumped on collimator 150 m upstream of the CMS experiment. ECAL total energy: 150-250 TeV



## **ECAL and beam splashes**

- Average energy per crystal over 50 splashes: 5-8 GeV
- Patterns:
  - shielding structures (square) and floor of the LHC tunnel (bottom)
  - Lower energy at large radius of downstream EE, due to shielding effect of barrel
- EE pre-calibrations (spread 25%):
  - Measurements from laboratory applied (precision of 9%): smoother and enhanced patterns
  - New set *being* derived assuming local uniformity, to be combined with lab measurements for better startup values



#### **Beam splashes and synchronization**

#### ECAL synchronization schema for collisions:





- Physics case: time measurement from ECAL to be employed for background rejection (halo, cosmics) and searches of delayed particles (HSCP, GMSB)
- For high energy clusters, precision of time measurement limited by synchronization of ECAL channels
  - Splashes provide reference for synchronization of whole ECAL:
    - Observed pattern due to presynchronization obtained using laser events
    - Readout latency adjusted w/ splashes: hardware allows steps of 1ns steps
    - Further synchronization applied in offline reconstruction, better than 1 ns
    - Synchronization from splashes will be startup condition; better precision w/ LHC data

G. Franzoni UMN - CMS ECAL

# Results from cosmic ray runs



G. Franzoni UMN - CMS ECAL

## **Cosmic rays signal in ECAL**

- Minimum ionizing particles deposit 250 MeV in ECAL. Increase efficiency: signal/noise enhanced (x4) in EB to the value of 20, by increasing the gain of the APD.
- Pattern in reconstructed time: time of flight top→bottom and internal synchronization schema for collision events



## **Stopping power: dE/** $\rho$ **dx**

Events selected to be loosely pointing: d0<1m, |dz|<1m

MeV cm<sup>2</sup>/g

- dE: ECAL clusters
- dx is length traversed in ECAL crystals;
- momentum measured by CMS silicon tracker.
- Clusters built using precalibration:
  - From test beam for ¼ of EB (0.3% precision)
  - cosmic rays calibration + laboratory measurements, elsewhere (2%)
  - Energy scale set with test beam
- Results indicate the correctness of the tracker momentum scale
   and of the energy scale in ECAL





G. Franzoni UMN - CMS ECAL

#### **Stability of response: introduction**

#### **ECAL response sensitive to variations of:**

- Crystal transparency (under irradiation)
- Temperature of cry and APD:  $\partial(LY)/\partial T$ ,  $1/M(\partial M/\partial T) \sim -2\%/K$
- APD biassing high voltage:
  - $1/M(\partial M/\partial V) \sim 3\%/V@gain50$
  - $1/M(\partial M/\partial V) \sim 7\%/V@gain200$

#### **Controls and monitoring:**

- Controlled (temperature, high voltage, dark current measurements)
- ECAL response monitored and corrected with laser data

**Performances required to keep constant term within specifications:** 

- $\cdot$  Temperature stability at the few 0.01  $^{\circ}$ C level
- · HV stability at the 10 mV level
- $\cdot\,$  Laser monitoring of ECAL response at the 2‰ level



LY: crystal light yield

M: APD gain

#### **Transparency monitoring stability in EB**

#### In absence of transparency variation, the stability of the monitoring system can be assessed

- •Laser data collected throughout CRAFT; laser sequence loops over all ECAL channels every 20 minutes;
- •For each channel and each sequence (600 events), the average <APD/APDref> is employed as monitoring variable
- •"Stability" is defined as the RMS over all laser sequences of normalized <APD/ APDref>
- •Stabilities are computed for each channel on a period of 200 hours with stable laser conditions
- •APDref is chosen as a reference because of readout problems with PN reference diodes, which are being fixed
- •White regions lack statistics (2 supermodules were not readout for LV problems, now fixed).



#### Stability of the transparency monitoring



- 1-d projection of map in previous slide
- Transparency monitoring system stable in EB to better than than 2‰ in 99.9% of the channels

#### **Temperature stability during CRAFT**



- EB equipped with one precision temperature sensor every 10 channels, in good thermal contact with APD and crystal
- For each sensor, thermal stability is quantified with the RMS of the temperature measurements over one month of data taking



The observed stability is 0.009 °C on average and better than 0.05 °C in all the channels.

#### **CMS ECAL: conclusions**

- Crystal part of CMS Electromagnetic calorimeter has collected data with LHC circulating beams and during cosmic rays test runs
- Preshower detector installed in feb-march 09
  - Optimal health
  - Joined CMS global runs
- Beam splash events allow to validate and improve:
  - Endcap startup calibrations
  - Internal synchronization
- Long cosmic rays run has allowed to validate energy scale in the barrel and assess stability of temperature and transparency monitoring, both matching specifications

