# **INFLATION AND DARK ENERGY:** WHAT NEXT??

Thanks to the contributions of: C. Baccigaluppi, M. Baldi, E. Branchini, C. De Boni, A. Diaferio, F. Finelli, N. Fornengo, M. Liguori, S. Matarrese, L. Moscardini, M. Pietroni, M. Viel

# BICEP2 results: BB power spectrum



r=0 excluded at the 5.9  $\sigma$  level

Tremendously high-energy scales never achievable in laboratories

$$V^{1/4} = 1.94 \times 10^{16} \left(\frac{r}{0.12}\right)^{1/4} \text{GeV}$$

that points to the GUT scale.

Inflation is providing a clear evidence of physics beyond the Standard Model of particle physics

> Who is the inflaton??

Now this question is becoming more and more pressing (most probably it is not the Higgs field).

# After BICEP2....Consequences for inflationary models

## Planck 2013 + BICEP 2

How Fig. 1 of the Planck 2013 results. XXII. Constraints on inflation would be modified with the addition of the BICEP 2 data publicly available



#### Low-energy scale inflation models are strongly RULED OUT

- Higgs-inflation (Bezrukov & Shaponiskov 2008)
  Prediction: r≈0.0034: RULED OUT
  (other variants on ``Higgs-inflation'' scenario still allowed)
- R<sup>2</sup> inflation (Starobinsky '80): RULED OUT
- Axion monodromy (Silverstain & Westphal, 2008):  $V(\phi) \propto \phi~$  OUT at 2 $\sigma$

# > A simple quadratic potential $V(\phi) = \frac{m^2}{2}\phi^2$ (Linde '82) perfectly sits within 1 $\sigma$ -regions.

## The future: a new era of gravity-wave based cosmology!

Measure the tensor spectral index

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(k) = \frac{128}{3} \frac{V}{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^4} \left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)^{n_{\mathrm{T}}}$$

Tensor spectral index:  $n_{\rm T} = -2\epsilon$ 

> Test the consistency relation (``the holy grail of inflation''):

 $r = -8n_T$ 

Try to measure higher-order correlators of the tensor perturbations, like the 3-point function of tensors <hhh> -> graviton interactions

> Try to constrain deviation from GR at very high-energies.

## WHAT NEXT INFLATION 1:

## Implications of BICEP2, inflation, new physics (F. Finelli)

Using CMB polarization to test inflationary models (Martina Gerbino)

#### WHAT NEXT INFLATION 2:

#### **NEW PATHWAYS TO PRIMORDIAL NON-GAUSIANITY**

Michele Liguori, Sabino Matarrese, Padova

#### The CMB bispectrum as seen by Planck



Planck 2013 results. XXIV. Constraints on primordial non-Gaussianity

- $$\begin{split} f_{\scriptscriptstyle NL}(local) &= 2.7 \pm 5.8 \\ f_{\scriptscriptstyle NL}(equilateral) &= -42 \pm 75 \\ f_{\scriptscriptstyle NL}(orthogonal) &= -25 \pm 39 \end{split}$$
  - A \*crucial\* prediction of Standard Single Field Inflation:  $f_{NL} \sim 0.01 \sim O(\epsilon, \eta)$

Acquaviva et al 2002; Maldacena 2002.

- Primordial non-Gaussianity (NG) is a crucial observable to test the Physics of inflation. It tests interaction terms in the inflaton Lagrangian.
- Best constraints to date come from observations of CMB anisotropies (*Planck*).
- But a lot of information can be extracted from LSS data. Euclid will be able to achieve a sensitivity to f<sub>NL</sub>=2.

#### WHAT NEXT INFLATION 2: PRIMORDIAL NON-GAUSSIANITY MANY OPEN QUESTIONS

- Can we in the future achieve the sensitivity to test f<sub>NL</sub>(local) ~ 0.01? IT WOULD BE ANOTHER FUDAMENTAL TEST OF STANDARD MODELS OF INFLATION.
  - LSS: scale dependent halo bias+bispectrum
  - CMB: spectral distorsions
  - 21 cm: Physics under control ? (and much further away in the future than the two above)

# 2. Are there missing shapes/parameters to test in current and forthcoming polarization CMB datasets? Yes!

e.g. Very little work so far on Trispectrum  $(g_{NL}, \tau_{NL})$ 

#### 3. Can we use NG to test gravity? What about 3-point function of tensor perturbations?

Michele Liguori, Sabino Matarrese, Padova

# The CMB as a window to the very early universe

- Unexpected features from large angle anisotropies. These scales are the most primordial.
- Long list of "anomalies": lack of correlation, low variance, alignments, power asymmetry etc. Origin unknown. Are they real?Are they hinting at new physics?
- Polarization analysis will provide a clue. Need new models to provide explanations/observables.

e.g.: Gruppuso MNRAS 2014 Gruppuso, Natoli, Paci et al. JCAP 2013 Paci, Gruppuso, Finelli et al. MNRAS 2013 Hansen, Banday, Gorski et al. ApJ 2009

A. Gruppuso, M. Lattanzi, P. Natoli



# The CMB as a laboratory to probe new physics

- Cosmic birefringence: standard tracer of parity violation in the EM/GW (chiral) sector.
- We look at the photons that achieve the longest possible journey.
- Need high quality CMB polarization datasets.

e.g.: Gruppuso, Natoli, Mandolesi et al JCAP 2012 Gubitosi et al. JCAP 2012 Gluscevic & Kamionkowski PRD 2010 Cabella, Natoli & Silk PRD 2007

A. Gruppuso, M. Lattanzi, P. Natoli



# What Next?

- Exciting physics to dig out. However:
- Need data, in particular high quality CMB polarization data: Planck (ongoing), balloons + ground based, post Planck satellite (ESA/M4 call around the corner)
- Need expertise, in particular phenomenological, data analysis and interpretation. Benefit from Planck experience/legacy.

# **DARK ENERGY: WHAT NEXT?**



Over the next 10 years or so study of galaxy clustering will be a major focus for Cosmology that will involve a significant fraction of the community worldwide and will trigger major theoretical and technical advances towards a better understanding of the Dark Energy, Dark Matter and Non-standard gravity issues, inevitably involving theoretical and particle Physics.

# **Euclid in a nutshell**

Simultaneous (i) visible imaging (ii) NIR photometry (iii) NIR spectroscopy 15,000 square degrees 100 million redshifts, 2 billion images Median redshift z = 1 PSF FWHM ~0.18'' >900 peoples, >10 countries





Euclid satellite

arXiv Red Book 1110.3193

	Modified Gravity	Dark Matter	Initial Conditions	Dark Energy		
Parameter	γ	m <sub>v</sub> /eV	f <sub>NL</sub>	<b>w</b> <sub>p</sub>	W <sub>a</sub>	FoM
Euclid primary (WL+GC)	0.010	0.027	5.5	0.015	0.150	430
Euclid All	0.009	0.020	2.0	0.013	0.048	1540
Euclid+Planck	0.007	0.019	2.0	0.007	0.035	4020
Current (2009)	0.200	0.580	100	0.100	1.500	~10
Improvement Factor	30	30	50	>10	>40	>400

Ref: Euclid RB arXiv:1110.3193

Assume systematic errors are under control

## WHAT NEXT DE 1: From here to Euclid (2020) and beyond.

Spatial distribution of galaxies and their clustering properties will be able to distinguish between DARK ENERGY VS DEVIATIONS FROM GENERAL RELATIVITY as the source of the acceleration

### All this requires measurements AND model preditions at the % level.

Roadmap with several landmarks:

- Improvement of theoretical models for galaxy clustering and their anisotropies
- Development, implementation and validation of statistical estimator for galaxy clustering
- Set up numerical tools for testing purposes (i.e. N-body simulations and mock galaxy catalogs)
- Preliminary applications on available datasets as well as to new datasets from ongoing and upcoming "pathfinders" observational campaigns over redshift ranges similar to those probed by Euclid and SKA but on smaller areas and lower sensitivity (e.g. the Italy-lead VIPERS survey).

All **ongoing activities** carried out in the framework of international collaborations In which the **Italian contribution is very substantial**. They will gather more momentum **over the next decade**, with the start of the Euclid mission / new ground-based facilities such as SKA.

E. Branchini, A. Balaguera-Antolinez, M. Corsi, A. Postiglione, ROMA TRE

# WHAT NEXT DE 2: CMB-LSS Cross Correlation for Dark Energy and Modified Gravity

Providing algorithms for data analysis for the

experiments on

- LSS: Euclid (CMBXC),
- CMB: Planck and forthcoming (sub-orbitals) and future satellite (ESA M4 proposal coming soon)

Also, Boltzmann codes for theoretical models of Dark energy, modified gravity

Baccigalupi, Fabbian, Martinelli, Silvestri, Antolini, Bianchini, Calabrese, Raveri, TRIESTE

## WHAT NEXT DE 2: CMB-LSS cross-correlation for DARK ENERGY

857 GHz

545 GHz

- Cross-correlation between CMB and LSS due to interactions of CMB photons with tracers of LSS:
  - The ISW effect (due to changes of gravitational potential with time, direct probing of dark energy for flat Universe)
  - Gravitational lensing of CMB photons by LSS
  - The Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect (interaction of ionized intergalactic medium with CMB photons)
- Weak detection of the ISW effect (around 30, stronger detection possible with future galaxy surveys)
- Confirmed cross-correlations between CMB lensing potential and other tracers of LSS (quasars, galaxies, radio galaxies, clusters), examples:
  - >  $40\sigma$  detection of the cross-corrrelation between CIB and the gravitational lensing potential derived from the Planck CMB maps
  - Detection of cross-correlation of the gravitational lensing potential from the Planck data and the Herschel galaxy catalogue (z > 1.5, excellent tracers of LSS lensing CMB)
  - With future galaxy surveys (DES, LSST, Euclid) crosscorrelation with CMB, as the most distant source of photons in the Universe, will enable to trace evolution of LSS formation, properties of dark energy and test GR for large part of the history of the Universe



Baccigalupi Fabbian, Martinelli, Silvestri, Antolini, Bianchini, Calabrese, Raveri, TRIESTE



MARCO BALDI, BOLOGNA

## WHAT NEXT DE 4:

- Cosmological parameters determination via the ISW-Lensing correlation (Eleonora di Valentino)
- CMB constraints on Alternative theories of Gravity (Andrea Marchini)
- Dark energy properties (Najla Said)
- Dark Matter-Dark enrgy interactions (Valentina Salvatelli)