





## Neutrinos from Astrophysical sources in the IceCube and ARA Era

### **Dafne Guetta**

## **Extraterrestrial Neutrinos**

Neutrino image of the (interior of the) sun. Low energy neutrinos measured by the SuperK underground detector.



Energy: order MeV → Direct evidence of nuclear process in the sun and neutrino physics

#### Supernova 1987a



Observation of neutrinos, MeV scale, confirm process of core collapses



Are there neutrino sources at higher energies Possibly extragalactic?

#### **Cosmic Rays and Neutrino Sources**

3

and rates of the



#### **Cosmic Rays and Neutrino Sources**

Energies and rates of the cosmic-ray particles



Can neutrinos reveal origins of cosmic rays?

 $p\gamma \rightarrow p\pi^{0}, n\pi^{+}$   $\pi^{+} \rightarrow \mu^{+} + \nu_{\mu}$   $\mu^{+} \rightarrow e^{+} + \nu_{e} + \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ 

Cosmic ray interaction in accelerator region

**Prime Candidates** 

- SN remnants
- Active Galactic Nuclei
- Gamma Ray Bursts

## Cosmic neutrinos?

#### Why look for them?

- They could tell us about the origin of high energy cosmic rays, which we know exist.
  - There are numerous ways how neutrinos can tell us about fundamental questions in nature: dark matter, supernova explosions,
     ...

#### Can they reach us?

- High energy neutrinos will pass easily and undeflected through the Universe
  - That is **not** the case for other high energy particles: such as photons or other cosmic rays, eg protons.



How to catch them? Detection principle

> Deep detector made of water or ice – lots of it - let's say 1 billion tons

Place optical sensors into the medium

neutrino travels through the earth and ... sometimes interacts to make a muon that travels through the detector

## IceCube



## Neutrino Event Signatures

#### CC Muon Neutrino



 $\nu_{\mu} + N \to \mu + X$ 

track (data)

factor of  $\approx 2$  energy resolution  $< 0.5^{\circ}$  angular resolution

Neutral Current /Electron Neutrino



 $u_{\rm e} + N \rightarrow {\rm e} + X$   $\nu_{\rm x} + N \rightarrow \nu_{\rm x} + X$ cascade (data)

≈ ±15% deposited energy resolution
 ≈ 10° angular resolution
 (at energies ≥ 100 TeV)

#### CC Tau Neutrino

time



"double-bang" and other signatures (simulation)

(not observed yet)



#### **Declination vs energy**





#### IceCube neutrino events in galactic coordinates



## Possible candidate sources

- Solar System neighborhood
  - Local Bubble (X-ray emitting local Supernova Remnant(s) surrouding the Solar System)
- Galactic
  - Low latitude (|b|<10 deg)</li>
    - X-ray/γ-ray emitting sources (binaries, microquasars)
    - Magnetars (Soft Gamma-Ray Repeaters)
    - Supernova Remnants (SNR)
    - Milky Way nucleus (Sgr A\*)
  - High latitude (high |b| values)
    - Diffuse (Fermi bubbles)
- Extragalactic
  - Local
    - Local galaxies (closest than Virgo Cluster)
  - Cosmological
    - Clusters of Galaxies (CIG; through accretion and merging shocks)
    - Superclusters (Scl; through accretion shocks in the filamentary distribution of the cosmic web)
    - Supernovae (?) (SNe; probably from shock breakouts)
    - AGNs:
      - quasars, blazars (jets)
      - Radiogalaxies: hot spots in radio lobes are sites of particle re-acceleration
    - Gamma Ray Bursts (GRB; jets, internal shocks)

## Explored candidate sources

- Solar System neighborhood
  - Local Bubble (X-ray emitting local SNe Remnant(s) surrouding the Solar System) NOT EXPLORED
- Galactic •
  - Low latitude (|b|<10 deg)
    - X-ray/γ-ray emitting sources (binaries, microquasars)
    - Magnetars (Soft Gamma-Ray Repeaters)
    - Supernova Remnants (SNR)
    - Milky Way nucleus (Sgr A\*)
  - High latitude (high | b| values)
    - Diffuse (Fermi hubbles)
- Extragalactic
  - Local
    - Local galaxies (closest than Virgo Cluster) •

quasars, blazars (jets)

- Cosmological
  - Clusters of Galaxies (CIG: through accretion and merging shocks) LOW SIGNIFICANCE COINCIDENCE
  - Superclusters (Sel: through accretion shocks in the the cosmic web) UNCONCLUSIVE COINCIDENCES
  - Supernovae (2) (SNo: probably from shock breakouts) LOW SIGNIFICANCE COINCIDENCE
  - AGNs:

- NOTHING SIGNIFICANT SO FAR
- Radiogalaxies: hot spots in radio lobes are sites of particle re-acceleration NOT EXPLORED
- Gamma Rav Bursts (GRB: jets, internal shocks) RULED OUT AS ONLY CANDIDATES BY ICECUBE COLL.

NOT FULLY EXPLORED NOT EXPLORED NO COINCIDENCE COINCIDENCE!

#### NO SIGNIFICANT COINCIDENCE

#### SOME COINCIDENCES!



## Local Galaxies

Selection of the closest and massive galaxies in the Milky Way neighborhood

- recession velocities v<1200 km/s</li>
- Infrared (100  $\mu$ m) flux > 50 Jy  $\pm 90$



## Local Galaxies and Supernova Remnants



# One more year of data have been inspected with the same method

Additional data confirm the reported results:

8 more events One events at 2 PeV Same characteristics

#### **EVENTS – MESE-Z** From 2 to 3 years: Declination vs energy



#### From 2 to 3 years: Declination vs energy



Most events in Southern hemisphere (downgoing).

## An astrophysical neutrino flux?!

- IceCube data provide strong evidence for an astrophysical neutrino flux
- Consistent with:
  - 1:1:1 all flavor neutrino flux as expected for astrophysical sources (we have not seen many muons yet though, but we didn't expect many)
  - Isotropic distribution, north, south specifically no evidence for galactic association.

The data suggest that we see an extragalactic neutrino flux.

The level of this flux is exactly and thus intriguingly so at the level of the Waxman-Bahcall upper bound.

- Is it a clue for it's origins? (Waxman arXiv: 1301....)

Fundamental to have another neutrino telescope km3net!!!

#### Gamma-ray Bursts as particle accelerators and neutrino sources M on ~1 Solar Mass BH

**Relativistic Outflow** 

e<sup>-</sup> acceleration in Çollisionless shocks

> e<sup>-</sup> Synchrotron → MeV γ's L<sub>γ</sub>~10<sup>52</sup>erg/s

#### **UHE p Accéleration**

Г~300

[Meszaros, ARA&A 02; Waxman, Lecture Notes in Physics 598 (2003).]

## HE v from GRBs

In the FB dissipation region: protons accelerated to ~ 10<sup>20</sup>eV photo-meson interaction of HE p with the FB photons

Internal shocks  $v : \sim 100$  TeV, coincident with GRBs

External shock v: ~ Early afterglow v ~ 100 PeV, delayed

[Waxman & Bahcall '97,'99,Guetta Spada & Waxman 2001 Guetta, Nir & Landsman in preparation] The main mechanism: photomeson interaction

$$\gamma + p \rightarrow n + \pi^{+}; \quad \pi^{+} \rightarrow \mu^{+} + \nu_{\mu} \rightarrow e^{+} + \nu_{e} + \nu_{\mu} + \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$$
  
 $(\varepsilon_{p} / \Gamma)(\varepsilon_{\gamma} / \Gamma) \ge 0.3 \, \text{GeV}^{2}$ 



In each collision  $E_v \sim 0.05 E_p$ 

Fireball 

> Burst to burst fluctuations look at each burst detected by BATSE [Guetta, Hooper, Halzen et al. 2003]

For a typical burst at  $z\sim1$ , E ~  $10^{53}$ erg

Internal shocks v: "effective"  $f_{\pi} \sim 20\%$  [Guetta Spada Waxman 2001]

 $\implies \boxed{\text{Fluence } E_{\nu}^{2} dN/dE_{\nu} \sim 10^{-3} (f_{\pi}/0.2) (E_{\nu}/10^{14} \text{ eV})^{\beta}} \begin{cases} \beta = 0 & E_{\nu} > E_{\nu}^{b} \\ \beta = 1 & E_{\nu} < E_{\nu}^{b} \end{cases}$ 

Detection probability ~ 0.01 per burst in km-cube neutrino telescope Ten events per yr correlated in time and direction with GRBs!

External shock  $\mathbb{W}$ : "effective"  $f_{\pi} \sim 0.01$  [Waxman & Bahcall 2000]  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  Fluence  $E_{\nu}^{2}dN/dE_{\nu} \sim 10^{-4.5}(f_{\pi}/0.01)(E_{\pi}/10^{17} e_{\nu})\beta\beta^{=1/2} E_{\nu} > E_{\nu}^{b}$   $\beta = 1 E_{\nu} < E_{\nu}^{b}$ 0.06 events per yr in a km-cube detector delayed ~10s after the GRB

## Implications

•  $J_{\boxtimes} \sim 10/ \text{ km}^2 \text{ yr}$ ,  $E_v \sim 100 \text{ TeV}$  from internal shocks •  $J_{\boxtimes} \sim 5/ \text{ km}^2 \text{ yr}$ ,  $E_v \sim 100 \text{ PeV}$  from ext. shock + wind

Help to resolve open questions in astrophysics:

- Baryonic component of the Jet: Composition of the jet is an open issue eter or per plasma? Still not clear
- •What are the sources of UHECR?

• GRBs progenitors

## **Open questions related to GRBs**

- Are GRBs the sources of UHECR?
- The dynamics of GRB jet why  $\Gamma$  so high, fireball?  $\bigcirc$
- What is the dissipation mechanism that leads to the emission of γ-rays? Internal shocks?
- Jet composition, are there hadrons in the jet?
- Radiative processes and physical explanation to the broad band spectrum observed

= Neutrino telescopes may help answer these Qs

## High Energy emission in GRBs: predictions



SSC in internal shocks, 1 MeV-10 GeV (Guetta & Granot 2003, Meszaros et al., Galli & Guetta 2007)
p-γ interaction, MeV - TeV (Gupta & Zhang 2007)

SSC in RS, keV-GeV (Granot & Guetta 2003 Kobayashi et al. 2007)
SSC in FS, MeV-TeV (Galli & Piro 2007)
p-γ interaction in FS, GeV - TeV (Boettcher & Dermer 2003) p-sync. (Razzaque 2010)

## Theoretical models for high-energy emission - Fermi era-

#### MATTER DOMINATED

LEPTONIC	HADRONIC
IS – Synchrotron	IS – Proton Synchrotron
IS – SSC	IS – ∏ <sup>0</sup> decay
ES forward shock –synchrotron	ES – Proton Synchrotron
ES forward shock –SSC	ES – Proton SSC
ES reverse shock –synchrotron	Synchrotron and IC from secondary e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup>
ES reverse shock –SSC	Strong constraints from IceCube data
Inverse Compton on external photons	But we try our best
X-ray Flares –SSC	

## (No) neutrinos in coincidence with gamma ray bursts



Nature Vol 484, 351 (2012)

GRB fireball neutrino models tested.

GRBs as THE primary source of highest energy CR strongly disfavored for classes of models (neutron escape)

## Results from IceCube: No signal!!!

- FB model challenged by Icecube?
- No! Maybe  $f_{\pi}$  is smaller (Hummer et al. 2012) If effects of particle physics are taken into account
- GeV emission from Fermi may be due to pion cascade, the v flux related to GeV flux. Constrain on hadronic emission models
- Maybe the time window adopted by IceCube is too narrow: some quantum-spacetime models allow for departures from Lorentz invariance such that highenergy particles could experience systematic Planckscale corrections to their travel times (see, e.g., Amelino-Camelia,LivingReviewRelativity16,5 and refs therein)

## **LIV** implications

Amelino Camelia + Guetta + Piran

arXiv.org > astro-ph > arXiv:1303.1826

If there is in-vacuo dispersion what you actually expect is to never have conclusive GRB-neutrino detections!!

you cannot expect the GRB-neutrino signal to coincide temporally with the trigger of the (<u>lower-energy</u>) GRB-gamma-ray signal!!

> Jacob+Piran, NaturePhys3 (2007) 87 AmelinoCamelia+Smolin, PhysRevD80 (2009) 084017

it will look like we have only background neutrinos but with a "funny" temporal distribution with respect to the GRB triggers

especially if we tightly select using directional criteria

## **Neutrino flux predictions from the GBM**



Yacobi, Guetta & Behar in prep.

Neutrino signals from known Galactic Microquasars: The case of Cygnus X-3 Distefano, Guetta, Waxman & Levinson, 2003, ApJ 575, 378 Baerwald & Guetta 2013 ApJ 773 159

**Consider a sample of identified MQs and MQs candidates for which available data enables determination of jet parameters** 

Estimate the neutrino flux during the jet ejection events for the observed microquasars

In particular for Cygnus X-3 detected by AGILE.



## **Internal shock model**



### **Neutrino flux at Earth**



#### $f_{\pi}$ depends on the jet LF, $\Gamma$ , and on $L_{jet}$

 $\eta_{p} {\sim} 10\%$ : fraction of  $L_{jet}$  carried by accelerated protons

- L<sub>jet</sub>: kinetic luminosity of the jet
- δ: jet Doppler factor  $\delta = [\Gamma(1-\beta \cos \theta)]^{-1}$
- **D:** source-Earth distance

Microquasars: XRBs with jet resolved in the radio band. In events monitored with good resolution is possible to estimate the jet parameters: Resolved Microquasars

# Kinetic luminosity of the jet for resolved microquasars

Source name	D (kpc)	θ	β	L <sub>jet</sub> (erg/sec)
CI Cam	1	83	0.15	5.7 ·10 <sup>37</sup>
XTE J1748-288	8	64	0.73	1.8 ·10 <sup>39</sup>
Cygnus X-3	7.2	12	0.81	<b>1.7 ·10</b> <sup>39</sup>
LS 5039	3	68	0.4	8.7 ·10 <sup>36</sup>
GRO J1655-40	3.1	81	0.92	<b>1.6 ·10</b> <sup>40</sup>
GRS 1915+105	12.5	70	0.92	<b>2.4 ·10</b> <sup>40</sup>
Circinus X-1	10	70	0.1	7.6 ·10 <sup>38</sup>
LS I +61°303 (b)	2	0.2	0.43	<b>1.6 ·10</b> <sup>37</sup>
LS I +61°303 (q)	2	0.2	0.43	5.7 ·10 <sup>36</sup>
XTE J1550-564	2.5	74	0.83	2.0 ·10 <sup>38</sup>
SS433	3	80	0.3	<b>1.0 · 10</b> <sup>39</sup>
V4641 Sgr	0.5	63	0.85	<b>8.0 · 10</b> <sup>37</sup>
V4641 Sgr	9.6	6	0.999	<b>1.2 ·10</b> <sup>40</sup>
Scorpius X-1	2.8	44	0.95	1.0 ·10 <sup>38</sup>

#### **Expected number of events in a km<sup>3</sup> telescope**

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{muon-neutrino} \\ \mbox{detection probability} \end{array} P_{\nu\mu} \sim 1.3 \cdot 10^{-6} \, \frac{E_{\nu}}{1 TeV} (E_{\nu} > 1 TeV) \end{array}$ 

**Rate of events :** 

$$\dot{N}_{\mu} \sim 0.2 \eta_{p,-1} f_{\pi} \delta^4 D_{22}^{-2} L_{j,38} A_{eff,km^2}$$
 da

$$N_{\mu} = N_{\mu} \Delta t$$

Atmospheric v background

$$N_{atm}^{v} \sim 3 \cdot 10^{-2} \left(rac{\Delta \Omega}{deg}
ight)^{2} t_{day} rac{A_{eff}}{1 km^{2}}$$

#### **Expected fluxes and neutrino events in a km<sup>2</sup> detector**

TV SIGNAL SE					
1	Source name	Flux	Δ <b>t</b>	Ν <sub>μ</sub>	N <sub>atm</sub>
		(erg/ cm <sup>2</sup> sec)	(days)		∆Ω <b>=0.3°</b>
	CI Cam	<b>2.2</b> ·10 <sup>-10</sup>	~0.56	0.05	0.002
	XTE J1748-288	<b>3.1</b> ·10 <sup>-10</sup>	~20	2.5	0.054
	Cygnus X-3	<b>4.0</b> ·10 <sup>-9</sup>	~3	5	0.008
	GRO J1655-40	7.4·10 <sup>-10</sup>	~6	2	0.016
	GRS 1915+105	2.1·10 <sup>-10</sup>	~6	0.5	0.016
P=16d	Circinus X-1*	<b>1.2</b> ·10 <sup>-10</sup>	~4	0.2	0.011
P=26d	LSI +61°303*	<b>4.5</b> ·10 <sup>-11</sup>	~7 (burst)	0.1	0.019
in T	Section and the	<b>9.1</b> ·10 <sup>-12</sup>	~20 (quiesc)	0.1	0.054
	XTE J1550-564	2.0.10-11	~5	0.04	0.014
	V4641 Sgr	(0.2 ÷ 32) ·10 <sup>-9</sup>	~0.3	0.03÷4	0.001
	LS 5039	1.7.10-12	persistent	0.2	1
	SS433	1.7·10 <sup>-9</sup>	persistent	252	1
	Scorpius X-1	6.5·10 <sup>-12</sup>	persistent	1	1
ASPA STA					

## Constraint on hadronic emission model

The AGILE discovered several transient  $\gamma$ -ray emission episodes from Cygnus X-3 in the energy range 100MeV -50GeV during the periods 2009 Jun-Jul and 2009 Dec.-2010 mid-Jun.

How many neutrinos would be expected if the observed -ray emission by AGILE was actually coming from the decay of photohadronically produced  $\pi^0$  into photons. The photons from such decays would have to cascade down to lower energies and may lose a part of their energy during this process.

As a consequence the nominal amount of expected neutrino events would reach about 5.2 events for the 61 days of flaring in 2009. This can be ruled out from IceCube upper limits!!

## **Expectations vs Observations**

MQs signals well above the atmospheric background! However no neutrino has been detected,  $f\pi$  too optimistic, we revised  $f\pi$  apart from the  $\Delta$ -resonance such as higher resonances, direct production of pions (t-channel), and high energy processes leading to multiple pions.





- Microquasars are potential sources for neutrino astronomy, detection of v from MQs first achievable goal for proposed underwater(ice) v telescopes!!!
- Future program: Look at the Microquasars that emit in the TeV region and constrain hadronic models with IceCube data

Make prediction for the KM3NET telescope!



## **Cosmic Rays and Neutrino Sources**

#### Cosmic rays exist at highest energies:

#### The puzzle

No nearby (<50Mpc) sources observed. More distant sources are not observable in cosmic rays due to collisions with microwave background.

Neutrinos above 10<sup>17-19</sup>eV, GZK or cosmogenic neutrinos are at some level guarantueed.

However, fluxes will be small, requires very large detectors

$$p + \gamma_{\rm CMB} \to \Delta^+ \to p + \pi^0$$
  
 $\to n + \pi^+$ 

Gaisser 2005

Energies and rates of the cosmic-ray particles





Why not Build a Larger IceCube? IceCube can detect cosmogenic neutrinos, but not enough of them ...



<u>Current IceCube configuration:</u> Yields less than 1 cosmogenic event/ year

Making IceCube bigger is an option: Some geometry optimization is possible, though:

- Still need dense array scattering
- Still need deep holes for better ice

Any additional string will cost ~1M \$\*

A larger detector requires a more efficient and less costly technology.

\*Rough estimation, Real cost will likely be higher

## 107 to 1011GeV: Radio ice Cherenkov detection Askaryan Radio Array (ARA)

- a very large radio neutrino detector at the South Pole

#### Scientific Goal:

- Discover and determine the flux of highest energy cosmic neutrinos.
- Understanding of highest energy cosmic rays, other phenomena at highest energies.

#### Method:

Monitor the ice for radio pulses generated by interactions of cosmic neutrinos



## Areal coverage: ~150 km<sup>2</sup>



### The cosmic energy frontier, 10<sup>7</sup> to 10<sup>11</sup> GeV Cosmogenic or GZK neutrinos





### **ARA current status**

#### Phase 1 2010-2013 completed!

- 4 in-ice stations installed
- Data is continuously flowing from South Pole

- 3 stations Comparable to sensitivity of IceCube at 10<sup>18</sup>eV

<u>Phase 2: 2014-2016 :</u> Additional 3 stations. Proposal submitted to NSF. Awaiting decision

#### Local (il) involvement:

-BSF grant (Weizmann, with wisconsin Kansas, Ohio) for theoretical work, data analysis and online detector operation (Guetta, Waxman, Landsman)

#### In Weizmann:

1 scientist (20%), 1 student in collaboration:

- Online detector operation and data flow
- Data analysis and simulation
- Possible future electronic design
- UHE neutrinos from GRBs