Testing General Relativity

Angelo Tartaglia, Politecnico di Torino and INFN

Subjects

- Instant General Relativity
- Historical tests
- The Equivalence principle
- Gravitomagnetic effects
 - Gyroscopes
 - Experiments in space
 - Ringlasers
 - Terrestrial experiments: GINGER
 - Atomic interferometry

General Relativity is geometry

- The universe is made out of two basic ingredients with different properties: space-time and matter/energy
- Space-time is a four-dimensional Riemannian manifold with Lorentzian signature
- The presence of matter/energy induces curvature in the manifold
- The curvature of space-time is what is commonly called the gravitational field
- The effects of gravity are described by the geometric properties of the curved manifold

The basic tools of GR

The relevant physical quantities in GR are expressed by <u>tensors</u>

General covariance of the equations of physics

A basic ingredient is the (squared) line element (a scalar) of the world-line of a point classical particle:

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}$$

The metric tensor and its descendants

The metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ incorporates the geometric properties of the manifold



Einstein's equations

The gravitational interaction (the configuration of space-time) is governed by a tensor equation



What can we measure?

The exterior Schwarzschild solution

From the Einstein equations + spherical symmetry in space and independence from time

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 - 2\frac{\mu}{r}\right)c^{2}dt^{2} - \frac{1}{\left(1 - 2\frac{\mu}{r}\right)}dr^{2} - r^{2}d\theta^{2} - r^{2}\sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}$$

Schwarzschild 'polar' coordinates

 $\mu = \frac{G}{c^2}M$

A bounded orbit around a central mass



Perihelion advance per revolution

$$\Delta \phi \cong 24\pi^3 \frac{a^2}{T^2 c^2 (1 - e^2)}$$
$$\cong 6\pi \frac{GM}{c^2 L}$$

9

Gravitational lensing



Gravitational redshift

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u} = g_{\mu\nu} k^{\mu} u^{\nu} = \text{constant (along a geodesic)}$$

(Four)-wavevector

Observer's four-velocity

$$\delta v = \left(\sqrt{\frac{g_{00}}{g_{00}'}} - 1 \right) v$$



Gravitational redshift from stars and on earth

$$\frac{\delta v}{v} \cong \frac{G}{c^2} \left(\frac{M_{\otimes}}{R_{\otimes}} - \frac{M_s}{R_s} \right)$$

'Surface to surface'



Historical tests

Precession of the perihelion of Mercury

- 1915
 - Known value: 43"/Julian century (residual after subtracting various effects from the observed value)
 - Value computed by Einstein: 43"/Julian century
- Lensing by the Sun
 - Theoretical value according to GR (1915) ~ 1.75 "
- $\delta \phi = 4 \frac{\mathrm{GM}}{\mathrm{c}^2 \mathrm{b}}$

- Observed by Eddington (1919)
- Gravitational redshift
 - Recognized in the spectral lines of Sirius B (W. S. Adams, 1925)
 - Measured on Earth: Pound and Rebka experiment (1959)

Present challenges

- (Cosmology)
- Celestial mechanics
- Lensing
- Gravitational waves
- Equivalence principle
- Rotation effects (gravitomagnetism)

Extrasolar celestial mechanics

- Compact binary systems
 - Pulsar plus compact star (White Dwarf, Neutron Star, Black Hole)
 - The Double Pulsar (PSR J0737-3029)
 - A few AU interstellar distance
 - Strong gravitational effects
- Pulsars in globular clusters
- Sagittarius A* and the surrounding stars

Periapsis precession

- PSR B1913+16 (Hulse and Taylor pulsar):
 - 4.2°/year (compatible with GR)
- PSR J0737-3029 (double pulsar): 16.9°/year
- Geodetic (de Sitter) precession
 - PSR J0737-3029B (double pulsar):
 - GR (5.0734±0.0007)°/year
 - measured $(4.77^{+0.66}_{-0.65})^{\circ}/\text{year}$
 - PSR J1141-6545 (relativistic precession of the spin of the pulsar)
 - Detected (poor accuracy)
- A. Possenti and M. Burgay, private communication (2011)

Period decay and GW emission

 o.2% agreement with the quadrupole GW emission rate. PSR B1913+16 (Hulse and Taylor pulsar), after 33 years of data taking.

$$\dot{P} \simeq -\frac{192\pi}{5} \left(1 + \frac{73}{24}e^2 + \frac{37}{96}e^4\right) \left(1 - e^2\right)^{-7/2} \left(\frac{2\pi\mathcal{M}}{P}\right)^{5/3} \mathcal{M} = \frac{(m_1m_2)^{3/5}}{(m_1 + m_2)^{1/5}} \mathcal{M} = \frac{(m_1m_2)^{3/5}}{(m_1 + m_2)^{1/5}}$$

An interesting "laboratory": Sagittarius A*



Gravitational field of a moving mass

Global line element in general coordinates (remote inertial observer)

$$ds^{2} = g_{00}c^{2}dt^{2} + g_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j} + 2g_{0i}cdtdx^{i}$$

Sign depends on the sense of motion

«Acceleration» of a freely falling object (geodetic motion)



Gravito-magnetism

$$-2\Gamma^{1}{}_{0j}u^{0}u^{j} = -g^{1\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\partial g_{0\varepsilon}}{\partial x^{j}} + \frac{\partial g_{\varepsilon j}}{\partial x^{0}} - \frac{\partial g_{0j}}{\partial x^{\varepsilon}}\right) u^{0}u^{j}$$

Stationarity condition: $g_{\mu\nu}$'s do not depend on time

$$-2\Gamma^{i}{}_{0j}u^{0}u^{j} = -g^{ii}\left(\frac{\partial g_{0i}}{\partial x^{j}} - \frac{\partial g_{0j}}{\partial x^{i}}\right)u^{0}u^{j}$$

 $g_{0i} \rightarrow h_i$: gravitomagnetic (three)vector potential

The 'Lorentz force'

$$\vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{h} = \frac{2}{c} \vec{B}_{g} \qquad \text{Gravito-magnetic field}$$

$$v_{i} << c \rightarrow u_{0} \approx 1; \quad u_{i} \approx \frac{v_{i}}{c}$$

$$F_{i} \cong 2m \left(v_{j} B_{k} - v_{k} B_{j} \right) \longrightarrow \vec{F} \cong 2m \vec{v} \wedge \vec{B}_{g}$$

Steadily rotating central mass

$$ds^{2} = g_{00}c^{2}dt^{2} + g_{rr}dr^{2} + g_{99}d\theta^{2} + g_{\phi\phi}d\phi^{2} + 2g_{0\phi}cdtd\phi$$

 $g_{\mu\nu}$'s independent from both t and φ

Weak field

$$ds^{2} \cong \left(1 - 2\frac{\mu}{r}\right)c^{2}dt^{2} - \left(1 + 2\frac{\mu}{r} + ...\right)dr^{2} - r^{2}d\theta^{2} - r^{2}\sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}$$

$$+ 4\frac{j}{r^{2}}r\sin\theta cdtd\phi \qquad \qquad j = \frac{G}{c^{3}}J$$

Angelo Tartaglia

Explicit gravitomagnetic field



Expected testable effects

- Torque on massive gyroscopes
- Asymmetric propagation along closed paths (in space)

Relativistic precession of a gyroscope



Observations and experiments

- Massive spinning stars in binaries (especially the double pulsar) → results compatible with GR
- Laser ranging of the orbit of the moon → results compatible with GR
- Precession of the orbits of terrestrial satellites
- Precession of a freely falling gyroscope in the gravitational field of the earth

A freely falling gyroscope around the Earth



 ${
m G}\,\,{
m M}_\oplus$

Experiments: Gravity Probe-B



C.F.W. Everitt et al., PRL 106, 221101 (2011)

Angelo Tartaglia

Results

- GR geodetic (de Sitter) precession confirmed within ±0.28% (previous best result ±0.7% from lunar laser ranging)
- GR frame dragging confirmed within ±19% (previous best result ±10% from the laser ranging of the LAGEOS satellites)
- Final accuracy limited by an unexpected patch effect

The LAGEOS orbit precession



The experiment

- Systematic analysis of the reconstructed orbits
- Good model of the gravitoelectric field of the Earth needed
- First results (1998): Lense-Thirring verified within 30%
- 2004-2010 results, using a model of the gravity of the Earth based on the results of the GRACE experiment: LT verified within 10%

I. Ciufolini et al., *Testing Gravitational Physics with Satellite Laser Ranging,* to appear on *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* (2011)

The LARES mission

• A compact sphere (36.4 cm diameter) made of a tungsten alloy; 96 retroflectors.

• Almost spherical orbit at a hight of 1450 km.

Purpose: to allow for an LT effect measurement within a few %.

• Flying since February 2012



Courtesy of Ignazio Ciufolini

Asymmetric propagation





Time of flight difference

$$\Delta t = t_{+} - t_{-} = -\frac{2}{c} \oint_{+} \frac{g_{0i}}{g_{00}} dx^{i}$$

Global coordinated time

$$\Delta \tau = \tau_{+} - \tau_{-} = -\frac{2}{c} \sqrt{g_{00}} \oint_{+} \frac{g_{0i}}{g_{00}} dx^{i}$$
 Proper laboratory time



Where does the beat frequency come from?

Steady state



Earth-bound laboratory (lowest approximation order)

$$g_{0\phi} \cong \left(2\frac{j}{r} - r^2\frac{\omega}{c} - 2\mu r\frac{\Omega}{c}\right) \sin^2 \vartheta \qquad \qquad \mu = G\frac{M_{\oplus}}{c^2} \approx 4.4 \times 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{m}$$
$$g_{00} \cong 1 - 2\frac{\mu}{r} - \frac{\omega^2 r^2}{c^2} \sin^2 \vartheta \qquad \qquad j = G\frac{J_{\oplus}}{c^3} \approx 1.75 \times 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{m}^2$$

 Ω = angular velocity of the Earth ω = angular velocity of the instrument θ = colatitude of the laboratory

Expected signal

 $\omega = \Omega$

$$\Delta v = 4 \frac{A}{\lambda P} \Omega \left[\cos(\theta + \alpha) - 2 \frac{\mu}{R} \sin \theta \sin \alpha + \frac{GI_{\oplus}}{c^2 R^3} (2 \cos \theta \cos \alpha + \sin \theta \sin \alpha) \right]$$

Scale factor

$$\delta v = 4 \frac{A}{\lambda P} \left[\vec{\Omega} + 2 \frac{\mu}{R} \Omega \sin \theta \hat{u}_{\theta} + \frac{GJ_{\oplus}}{c^2 R^3} \left(2 \cos \theta \hat{u}_r + \sin \theta \hat{u}_{\theta} \right) \right] \cdot \hat{u}_n$$

Area of the loop
Sagnac $\vec{\Omega}_{\rm G}$
 $\vec{\Omega}_{\rm B}$

Orders of magnitude

$\Omega = 7.2 \times 10^{-5} \, s^{-1}$ $\Omega_G \approx \Omega_B \approx 10^{-9} \, \Omega$

Actual gyrolasers



Commercial fiber optics gyroscope Sensitivity: ~ 10^{-7} rad/s/ \sqrt{Herz}

Research gyrolasers: G-Pisa





Side: 1.35 m He-Ne laser Granite support Sensitivity: 10⁻¹⁰ ~ 10⁻⁹ rad/s/√Herz

The Cashmere Cavern near Christchurch (NZ)



Various configurations, side up to 20 m

A triangular loop, 5 m side will be built

G instrument at the Geodätisches Observatorium Wettzell



Absolute sensitivity:

~ 10^{-12} rad/s/ \sqrt{Hz}

Side: 4 m Power: 20nW Zerodur support



Angelo Tartaglia

GINGER: proposed and under development

- Tridimensional ring lasers array (three to six or more)
- Laser power: ~ 200 nW
- Quality factor of the cavity: $Q \ge 3 \times 10^{12}$
- Square loop, ≥ 6 m in side
- Underground location (LNGS)
- Purpose: to measure the LT effect with a 1% accuracy (one year integration time)

Bosi F. et al., Phys. Rev. D, vol. 84, p. 122002-1-122002-23 (2011)

Configurations



GR and matter waves interferometry

Works like light as rotation sensor

Scale factor:
$$S = 4 \frac{A}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$$

Much smaller than with light



Cannot be too big

Rotations measured by means of phase shifts

Phase difference in a Sagnac-type interference experiment



Problems: beam stability; duration of the experiment

Summing up

- Very good laboratories for GR:
 - Compact binaries
 - Central galactic area
 - Accuracy increasing with time
- Precision astrometry:
 - Telescopes in space; interferometric techniques
- Weak rotation effects:
 - Ring lasers; coming accuracy for LT effect: 1%