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1) Concept
2) Status
3) Physics program
AFP = ATLAS Forward Proton

Proton leaves the interaction intact, travels through LHC optics and is detected at ~210 m

**What is AFP?**

1) Array of radiation-hard near-beam **Silicon detectors** with resolution ~10 $\mu$m, 1$\mu$rad

2) **Timing detectors** with up to ~10 ps resolution for overlap background rejection (SD+JJ+SD)

3) **Roman Pots**
What doesAFP Provide?

• Mass and rapidity of centrally produced system

\[ M = \sqrt{\xi_1 \xi_2} \cdot \sqrt{s} \]

\[ y = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2}) \]

• where \( \xi_{1,2} \) are the fractional momentum loss of the protons

• Mass resolution of 3-5 GeV per event

Acceptance >40% for wide range of resonance mass

Accepts ATLAS to use LHC as a tunable \( \sqrt{s} \) gluon-gluon or \( \gamma \gamma \) collider while simultaneously pursuing standard physics program
Primary goals of AFP
(for low-mu and high-mu program)

In a fraction of Forward Physics: one or both protons stay intact: measure them with AFP and provide $\xi$ & $t$ (these make up around 20% of total pp x-section)

Single-tag: Single Diffraction
- Jets, W, Z: Soft survival prob. $S^2$
- Particle spectra, Gap spectra: SD vs. DD

Double-tag: Double-Pomeron Exchange
- Dijet: constrain gluon content of IP
- $\gamma$+Jet: constrain quark content of IP
- Jet-gap-jet: test BFKL IP

Double-Photon Exchange
- $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow WW/ZZ/\gamma\gamma$: Anomalous quartic couplings $\rightarrow$ sens. $\sim$x100 wrt only central det.
- $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu\mu$: calibration/alignment of AFP

Central Exclusive Production
- Dijets, Trijets: constrain predictions to CEP of Higgs ($S^2$, Sudakov suppr., unintegr. $f_g$)
History: FP420+FP220 → AFP & CT-PPS

FP420 R&D Collaboration

- Spokes: Brian Cox (Manchester, ATLAS) and Albert DeRoeck (CERN, CMS)
- Technical Co-ordinator: Cinzia DaVia (Manchester)

Collaboration: FNAL, The University of Manchester, University of Eastern Piedmont, Novara and INFN-Turin, The Cockcroft Institute, University of Antwerp, University of Texas at Arlington, The University of Glasgow, University of Calabria and INFN-Cosenza, CERN, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, University of Turin and INFN-Turin, University of Lund, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Molecular Biology Consortium, Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology, Durham University, DESY, Helsinki Institute of Physics and University of Helsinki, UC Louvain, University of Hawaii, LAL Orsay, University of Alberta, Stony Brook University, Boston University, University of Nebraska, Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Brookhaven National Laboratory, University College London, Cambridge University
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Upgrade Project
ATLAS decides to focus on a Run-2 programme based on special runs with low mu (following the experience of Totem and ALFA) which means:

- Processes with reasonably high x-sections
- No strong demands on the precision of the ToF detector and on alignment

AFP activities:

1) R&D of Quartic ToF and also alternative ToF: Fast Si or Diamond
2) R&D of Sampic (Read-out chip for ToF)
3) AFP and ALFA approaching:
   - Combined effort in simulation
   - Combined optics studies
   - AFP participation in ALFA data analysis
4) Preparing for Test beams at CERN in November
   - DESY January TB: SiD sensors: efficiencies as functions of distance and inhom. irradiation (to be publ.)
   - FNAL August TB: ToF: Final design of LQbar, p.e. yield, resolution, cross-talk. Results including PMT lifetime, rates and previous Qbar TB to be published
   - Sampic + Fast Si: several TBs during 2014 organized by CMS/Totem, October: Sampic+AFP
5) Discussing running scenarios with Totem and ALFA
AFP Pot adaptation from TOTEM design

— shown with a possible $4 \times 5$ LQbar timing detector …

Copy RP Station design of ALFA & TOTEM:

— Ample operational experience
— Known cost and construction & installation procedures
Design for Hamburg Beam Pipe.
Similar design can be used for Roman Pots – cooling system has to be changed.
Baseline = relatively cheap air-cooling system based on vortex tubes
Current conceptual design of arrangement in RP

- If we choose air-cooling system to cool down the Si detectors then heat exchanger should not be part of tracker and could be placed at RP housing.
- Si tracker would have very simple construction (not removable planes).
- Heat could be removed via PGS foils (PGS + polyamide) which would be attached to heat exchanger – needs to be simulated and tested, temp gradient?
- Other details as mechanical fixation of detectors not studied yet.
ToF detector

- **Quartic with LQ bars**: several years of R&D [UTA Texas, Stony Brook, Alberta] tests and improvements → most advanced and capable to provide 10 ps resolution needed for high-lumi running (not yet approved by ATLAS)
  - can be tested in Run II

  16 ch/side, 4 layers (depths in x)
  2 rows (depths in z)
  2 y measures (+/-) [the 2 arms]
  - Parallel cut (provides a lot of light)
  - Easily upgradeable to 32 channels

- **R&D of other options ongoing**: Diamond and Silicon read out by SAMPIC
  For Run II a moderate resolution (~50ps) sufficient → still intensive R&D and tests necessary (fruitful collaboration with CMS/Totem already working)

  ➢ SAMPIC (SAMpler for PICosecond time pick-off) reached 4ps internal resolution [Saclay]

  ➢ SAMPIC + Fast Si [Torino, Barcelona]/Diamond[Lecce, Bologna, Roma]: currently ~40 ps resolution obtained. Working on improvement of:
    - time resolution (combination of amplifiers)
    - dead time (bits in ADC)
    - rate capacity (segmentation)
    - radiation hardness (depends on Sampic location: alcove vs. mezzanine)

Frequent test beams in collaboration AFP+CMS+Totem during 2014
AFP in ATLAS simulation (1): SiD hits

- Actual SiD setup:
  - 2 AFP stations with Si detectors per ATLAS side (SiD 0 - 1 ← IP → SiD 2 - 3)
  - 6 Si layers/station separated by 10 mm (13 deg tilt in the x-z plane)
  - No staggering of the layers (yet)
  - 336 x 80 array of 50 x 250 μm² pixels per layer
  - **Kalman filter** is used for the tracking reconstruction

- Expected tracking resolution wrt 4 staggered layers:
  - 8 μm in x, 20 μm in y

Reconstructed track multiplicity with $|x_{\text{slope}}| < 0.003$ and $|y_{\text{slope}}| < 0.003$ cut (per station) to separate proton tracks from showers.

- Events are generated without any cut on the proton kinematics (i.e. $\xi < 1$)
- Approximately **50%** of protons in the sample **do not enter** the AFP acceptance region ($0.015 < \xi < 0.15$) which results in no reconstructed tracks.
AFP in ATLAS simulation (2): SiD efficiency

- **x-y track positions hitmap** for outer SiD station before (left) and after (right) track matching included for outer (AFP 212) station
- Tracks matched between inner and outer SiD stations are considered
- Positions are calculated in the ATLAS Coordinate System – beam center at \( x = -97 \text{mm} \)

![Diagram showing track positions before and after matching](image1)

- Matching between tracks in inner and outer stations included
- Cuts suppressing showers applied
  - \( ntr_{\text{inner}} \leq 2 \), \( ntr_{\text{outer}} \leq 5 \)
- Improvement expected, subject of further cut optimization

![Graph showing efficiency for different pile-up conditions](image2)

\[ \approx 95\% \text{ in } 0.02 < \xi < 0.11 \text{ and } \mu = 0/1 \]

- Matching between tracks in inner and outer stations included
- Cuts suppressing showers applied
  - \( ntr_{\text{inner}} \leq 2 \), \( ntr_{\text{outer}} \leq 5 \)
- Improvement expected, subject of further cut optimization
Possible running scenarios

Running scenarios for LS1-LS2 period proposed by Totem
(V. Avati, Cracow Nov.2013):

Definition of Run Scenario

1) High beta, low luminosity
   \( \beta^* = 90m, N_{\text{bunch}} \leq 100, \text{ reduced bunch intensity, } \mu \sim \text{ few } \%, \mathcal{L} \sim 10^{28} - 10^{30} \text{ Hz/cm}^2 \)
   RP approach 5-10 \( \sigma \)

2) High beta, medium luminosity
   \( \beta^* = 90m, N_{\text{bunch}} \sim 1000, \mu \sim 0.5, \mathcal{L} \sim 10^{31} \text{ Hz/cm}^2 \)
   RP approach 10-15 \( \sigma \)

3) Low beta
   \( \beta^* = 0.6m, N_{\text{bunch}} \sim 2800, \mu \sim 30-50, \mathcal{L} \sim 10^{33} - 10^{34} \text{ Hz/cm}^2 \)
   RP approach 15 \( \sigma \)

AFP concentrated on (all presented analyses based on):

4) Low beta, medium luminosity
   \( \beta^* = 0.55m, N_{\text{bunch}} \sim 2800, \mu \sim 0.1-3, L \sim 10^{31} - 10^{33} \text{ Hz/cm}2, \)
   RP approach \( \sim 10\sigma \)

Totem upgrade approved by Research Board
CT-PPS approved by CMS

Running conditions for scenario 4

\[ \mu = 0.1: \sim 10 \, pb^{-1} \text{ in one week} \]
\[ \mu = 1: \sim 100 \, pb^{-1} \text{ in one week} \]
Example for low luminosity: Gap spectra

ATLAS and CMS measurements without proton tags:

ATLAS EPJ C72 (2012) 1926

CMS PAS FSQ-12-005

ATLAS and CMS agree within systematic uncertainties (hadron $|\eta|<4.7$ vs. $|\eta|<4.9$: 5% diff. model for unfolding: 10%)

1) CMS systematically above ATLAS!
2) Pythia8 predicts SD~DD!

Could proton-tagging shed light on 1) and 2) ?

- $\beta^*=0.55m$, $\sqrt{s} = 14$TeV, $d=3$mm
- $\beta^*=90m$: ALFA + AFP common run
- $\beta^*=0.55m$: larger $(\xi,t)$-acceptance with AFP
- Single-tag or Double-tag AFP Trigger

Running scenarios:
- Statistics not a problem
- Very low $\mu$ necessary
- $\beta^*=0.55m$: ALFA + AFP common run
- $\beta^*=0.55m$: larger $(\xi,t)$-acceptance with AFP
- Single-tag or Double-tag AFP Trigger

- AFP: wide t-range, $\xi$-acceptance depends on beam optics
- ALFA: whole $\xi$-range, limited t-acceptance Suitable for high- $\beta^*$ optics
- AFP & ALFA complement each other

AFP: wide $t$-range, $\xi$-acceptance depends on beam optics
- ALFA: whole $\xi$-range, limited $t$-acceptance Suitable for high- $\beta^*$ optics
- AFP & ALFA complement each other
Example for medium luminosity: Pomeron structure

Pomeron structure (dPDFs) measured at HERA

1) Not well constrained at high $\beta$ ($= z = x_{bj}/\xi$)

2) Assumptions in H1Fit of dPDFs measured at HERA:
   
   $u=d=s=\bar{u}=\bar{d}=s\bar{b} \rightarrow F2D \sim 4/9u + 1/9d + 1/9s$
   
   - Two degrees of freedom: $R_{ud} = u/d$, $R_{sd} = s/d$
   - $u = q^* 6 R_{ud} / (1 + R_{sd} + 4 R_{ud})$
   - $s = q^* 6 R_{sd} / (1 + R_{sd} + 4 R_{ud})$
   - $d = q^* 6 / (1 + R_{sd} + 4 R_{ud})$

   - Result: different Pomeron flavour structures consistent with HERA

AFP has potential to complement the HERA measurements

- **SD W production**
  - Sensitive to quark content of dPDFs
  - Measure charge asymmetry

- **DPE gamma+jet**
  - Sensitive to quark content of dPDFs and to Soft Color Interaction model

- **DPE dijet**
  - Sensitive to gluon content of dPDFs and to Soft Color Interaction model
Pomeron structure: DPE dijet

Cross-section after cuts ~ 10nb
- Dominantly g+g

MAD-X + MC generator-level:
- 2 jets $E_t>20$ GeV + AFP acceptance

Effect of PU studied:
- Single-tag as well as double-tag
- Two models (Py8 default, Py8 MBR)
- Fast timing det. necessary

Assuming conservatively resolution of only 30ps for Run II

$\beta^*=0.55m, \sqrt{s} = 14$TeV, $d=3$mm

Running scenarios:
- Effective x-section ~ 10 nb -> medium lumi needed
- $\mu\sim 1$ optimal but $\mu$ up to 5 &
  $E_t$jet up to 100 GeV manageable
- Run of 100h with $\mu<5$
- May be measured with both $\beta^*=0.55m$ and 90m ($0.55m$ preferred due to larger statistics and larger AFP acceptance)
- Double-tag AFP210 + Jet trigger gives sufficiently low rate

With moderate timing resolution 30ps and one-vertex requirement:
1) Excellent purity up to $\mu\sim 3$
2) Event yield and significance optimal at $\mu\sim 1$ but still manageable up to $\mu\sim 5$
AFP in different running scenarios

Collimators are wide open. In the reality the upper $\xi$ range could be the same for all optics (and of about 0.12 or less)! Do we know collimators position?

Assuming **realistic** values of 15 / 7.5 / 7.5 $\sigma$ distance for $\beta^* = 0.55 / 90 / 1000$ m one can conclude that:

- background is on the same level for all optic settings for both ST and DT events,
  - ST probability is $\sim 2\%$,
  - DT probability is $\sim 0.02\%$.

Amount of visible signal (hard diffraction) is comparable (factor of 2 in the worst case) for all optics.

For 100 h of collecting data: **thousands** DPE jets with $p_T > 100$ GeV, **hundreds** $Z/W$. 

MAD-X + particle gun
## Physics program for Run II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Lumi req. [pb⁻¹]</th>
<th>Optimal μ range</th>
<th>β⁺ scenario</th>
<th>L1 trigger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Particle spectra</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>90m(ALFA+AFP) 0.55m</td>
<td>AFP-ST, AFP-DT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap spectra</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>90m(ALFA+AFP) 0.55m</td>
<td>AFP-ST, AFP-DT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD jj</td>
<td>10-100</td>
<td>0.01-1.0</td>
<td>90m 0.55m</td>
<td>AFP-ST &amp;&amp; Jet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPE jj</td>
<td>10-100</td>
<td>0.5-5.0</td>
<td>90m 0.55m</td>
<td>AFP-DT &amp;&amp; Jet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD W</td>
<td>10-100</td>
<td>0.1-1.0</td>
<td>90m 0.55m</td>
<td>AFP-ST &amp;&amp; Lepton (&amp;&amp; MET)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPE γ+j/jj</td>
<td>&gt; 200</td>
<td>1.0-2.0</td>
<td>0.55m</td>
<td>AFP-DT &amp;&amp; Jet/Photon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPE j-g-j</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>0.1-2.0</td>
<td>0.55m</td>
<td>AFP-DT &amp;&amp; Jet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 week of 100h: 
μ = 0.1: ~10 pb⁻¹
μ = 1: ~100 pb⁻¹
Summary

1) AFP has a long tradition and plays an important role in the efforts and plans of the LHC Forward Physics Working Group

2) AFP prepared a rich physics program for special runs in the Run II. This physics program is based on specific scenario with $\beta^*=0.55m$ and $\mu<3$, however, AFP closely watches the scenario proposals by Totem and is prepared for common discussions with Totem and ALFA.

3) Big progress in ToF R&D: Quartic with LQ bars, SAMPIC readout chip

4) A lot of software work has been done:
   - Several alignment methods developed
   - Detailed simulation of SiD, TiD and some LHC elements
   - Detailed physics program including detailed sim for DPE dijets
   - Study of backgrounds using existing ALFA data + MC predictions
   - Study of running scenarios proposed by Totem

5) AFP made big steps forward in ATLAS approval in 2014

6) AFP will welcome new collaborators
BACKUP SLIDES
During the R&D phase, a lot of things around tracking detector for FP420 (3D-Si oriented) have been done, investigated, proposed and worked out by UK and other institutes!

Detector layout, Module assembly, Mechanical support, Sensor design, Edge response, Irradiation tests, Power supplies, Noise studies, Off-sensor readout, External services, Optical links, Detector control system, Full thermal modeling/stress

After the drastic budget cuts in UK, AFP/PPS face manpower problems. Some solutions can be used for AFP220/PPS240.

ATLAS Technical Proposal:
AFP: A Proposal to install Proton Detectors at 220 m around ATLAS to Complement the ATLAS High Luminosity Physics Program (April 2011)

CMS Upgrade R&D Proposal:
R&D of the Detector Systems for Stage One of the High Precision Spectrometer Project (June 2010)
Two-Arm ToF Detector

16 ch/side, 4 layers (depths in x)
2 rows (depths in z)
2 y measures (+/-) [the 2 arms]

Main features:
• Takes advantage of parallel cut (lots of light)
• Very compact 5×9 cm
• Segmentation of 8, so this detector can serve as low-lum detector but can also be used for high-lum tests
• Only two very accurate measurements per proton
• Easily upgradeable to 32 channels (see next slide)
• Could have 6 mm × 4 mm light guide bars to further improve cross talk

Plan to have a 20 ps detector suitable for sharing a Roman pot in 2014
no known technical obstacles to a 10 ps high lum ToF system in 2016
Simulation says the tuned LQbar detector is a vastly superior detector with 2-3 times more light in the same time window as the Qbar case! Will test this Summer.
SAMpler for PICosecond time pick-off: A read-out chip for the timing detectors

- Application-Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC) for picosecond timing measurement, acquiring the all waveform shape of a detector signal
- 16 (50 Ω terminated) channels with embedded ADC and independent deadtime. Each is self-triggerable (External triggering is also available)
- 3 working prototypes available now, 3 more being made. SAMPIC is integrated on a mezzanine board. The motherboard can hold 2 mezzanines → possibility to have a 32 channel box
- 4 ps RMS reached on the Δt between 2 signals from pulse generators and 40 ps RMS reached on the Δt between 2 signals from Laser + Fast Si detectors
- Analysis of beam tests with SAMPIC + Fast Si/Diamond in progress collaboration with CMS/TOTEM

Timing measurement with SAMPIC

- Timing measurement is performed in 3 steps
  - TimeStamp Gray counter (∼ 6 ns step) sampling the external (or internal) reference clock
  - DLL (∼ 150 ps step) defining a region of interest
  - Waveform shape (few ps RMS after interpolation) acquired on a 64-step analog memory
- The discriminator is used only for triggering, not for timing → no jitter
- The drawback is an important deadtime per triggered channel
  - Many improvements will be implemented in the next version in order to reach < 100 ns deadtime/detector channel
  - An adequate segmentation of the detector will be required in order to handle LHC rates
Repeat analyses already done without forward proton detectors!

Proton tagging:
- guarantees the exclusivity
- enables proton azim. angle measurement → info about S2 and spin of produced resonance

**CEP**
- Dijets, trijets: testing ground for CEP x-section calculation [AFP+ATLAS, CMS+Totem, KMR]
- Diphotons [CMS+Totem]
- Chi-b, Chi-c, eta-b, eta-c [LHCb]
- Pipi [ALFA+ATLAS]
- Meson pair production (K+K-, rho+rho-, eta+eta-, eta'+eta'-) [Totem, Szczurek, DIME MC]

**Diffraction**
- SD dijets [AFP+ATLAS, CMS+Totem]
- DPE dijets [AFP+ATLAS, CMS+Totem]
- DPE gamma+jet/dijets [AFP+ATLAS]
- SD W/Z [AFP+ATLAS, CMS+Totem]

**Low-x BFKL**
- Mueller-Navelet jets [AFP+ATLAS, CMS+Totem, Vera, Murdaca, Ducloue]
- Jet-gap-jet [AFP+ATLAS, Marquet]
- Jet veto [AFP+ATLAS, vverder, Marquet]
- Double J/Psi [LHCb]
- MPI [Strikman, Jung]

**Low-x Saturation**
- Forward Drell-Yan [LHCb, Del-Ducati, De Olivieira, Lewandowska]
- Forward photons in pA [Peitzmann]
- Forward jets in pp, pA [Kutak, Kotko]
- Exclusive Vector Mesons in UPC [Contreras, Tapa, Takaki]
Repeat analyses already done without forward proton detectors!

**Proton tagging:**
- guarantees the exclusivity
- enables proton azim. angle measurement → info about S2 and spin of produced resonance

**CEP**
- Diphotons [CMS+Totem]
- Chi-b, Chi-c, eta-c, eta-b [LHCb]
- Pipi [ALFA+ATLAS, Totem]
- Meson pair production (K+K-, rho+rho-, eta+eta-, eta+‘eta-’) [Totem, Szczurek, DIME MC]
- Glueball searches – Pt filtering with tagged protons [Totem+CMS]
- Invisible searches – missing mass with tagged protons [Totem+CMS]

**Particle spectra**
- Charged and neutral particle multiplicities; E, Pt, eta spectra; Correlations; Forward E-flow; Identified particles [ALFA+ATLAS, AFP+ATLAS, CMS+Totem, LHCb]

**Diffraction**
- Soft diffraction: gap spectra [ALFA+ATLAS, CMS+Totem]
- SD J/Psi [Totem]
- SD dijets [AFP+ATLAS, CMS+Totem]

**Sigma_tot, Elastics** [Totem, ALFA]

**Cosmic Rays**
- Multiplicity and E-flow of forward n, photons
- Special p-O2 runs to further tune MCs [LHCf]

**p-Pb** [LHCb, ALICE]
Challenging measurement since
1) eta coverage is limited (|eta|<5)
2) Based on gaps or xi (sensitive to det. noise)
3) Fake gaps from hadronization
4) Low statistics due to requiring jets and low PU
5) No MC tuned for this process

- Limited statistics only allows S2 measurement.
- Measuring dPDFs needs more statistics and proton-tagging

CMS, \( \sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}, d = 3 \text{ mm} \)

\( S^2_{\text{data/MC}} = 0.12 \pm 0.05 \) (LO MC)
\( S^2_{\text{data/MC}} = 0.08 \pm 0.04 \) (NLO MC)

\[ \beta^* = 0.55 \text{m}, \sqrt{s} = 14 \text{TeV}, d = 3 \text{mm} \]
Pomeron structure: DPE dijet

Cross-section after cuts ~ 10nb
- Dominantly g+g

Truth level: 2 jets $E_T > 20$ GeV + AFP acceptance
- Sensitivity to high-$\beta$ tail in gluon dPDF by varying $v$ in $(1 - \beta)^v$

$\beta^* = 0.55 m$, $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV, $d = 3$ mm

Detailed sim. of ATLAS and AFP:
- 2 jets $E_T > 20$ GeV + AFP acceptance

Effect of PU studied in great detail!
- Single-tag as well as double-tag
- Two models (Py8 default, Py8 MBR)
- Fast timing det. necessary

B/S ratio for Py8 default and Py8 MBR

Already 10pb-1 (=10h with $\mu\sim 1$) provides a beautiful separation between various gluon dPDF (statistical uncertainties only)

Assuming conservatively resolution of only 30ps for period between LS1 and LS2

Single Tagged Soft Interaction (ST) Double Tagged Soft Interaction (DT)
Pomeron structure (3): DPE gamma+j/jj

Gamma+j Cross-section after cuts ~ 1 pb
- Dominantly q+g -> Sensitivity to quark dPDFs
- Make ratio with DPE jj to suppress systematics
- DPE jj studied in great detail in a separate analysis

Truth level: AFP acceptance
+ jets: Et > 20 GeV
+ photons: Et > 20 GeV, |eta| < 2.5
- Assuming Lumi=300pb-1 at mu=1

Running scenarios:
- Statistics is an issue for gamma+jet (L>200 pb-1 needed) -> medium lumi (μ~1-3) needed
- need for statistics prefers β*=0.55m
- Run of 200h with μ~1.5
- Double-tag AFP210 + Jet/Photon Triggers

β*=0.55m, √s = 14TeV, d=3mm
C. Marquet et al., PRD 88 (2013) 074029

Cross-section ratios vary by a factor 1.5
- M = √ξ1ξ2s (AFP measurement), systematics largely cancel
- Some rejection power for all: u/d, s/d and SCI
Motivation:
- Reduce the factor 3 of uncertainty in calculations of x-section at LHC (KMR)
- Measure $R_{jj}$ distribution and constrain existing models and unintegrated $f_g$

Very fruitfull process: combined effect of all basic ingredients to CEP:
- Soft survival $S^2$
- Sudakov suppression
- Unintegrated $f_g$
- Enhanced absorption

Observed by CDF and D0.
In good agreement with KMR but still big uncertainties

Detailed sim. of ATLAS and AFP:
- 2 jets $E_T>20$ GeV + AFP acceptance

Effect of PU studied in great detail!
- Two models (Py8 default, Py8 MBR)
- Fast timing det. cannot be used
- Exclusivity cuts:
  a) number of tracks outside jets
  b) $\Xi(AFP)$ vs. $\Xi(jets)$
  c) Forward energy flow

$\beta^* = 0.55 m$, $\sqrt{s} = 14$TeV, $d=3$mm

KMR, EPJC 55 (2008) 363
Physics with forward proton tagging at high lumi

**Diffraction**

Hard SD/DPE/CED (dijets, diphoton, W/Z, ...)
Gap Survival / Underlying event
High precision calibration for the Jet Energy Scale

Central Exclusive Production of dijets:

- CDF Run II Preliminary

![Evidence for CEP](image1)

\[ F_{\text{excld}} = 14.1 \pm 0.4 \% \text{(stat. only)} \]
\[ 3.6 < |h_{\gamma\gamma}| < 5.9 \]
\[ E_{pT} > 10 \text{ GeV} \]
\[ E_{\gamma} < 5 \text{ GeV} \]

**Photon-induced interactions**

- Excl. $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ee, \mu\mu$ => calibration of FDs
- Excl. $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
- Excl. $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi_c, J/\psi$
- Excl. $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow WW/ZZ$ => anomalous triple and quartic gauge couplings =>
Higgsless and Extra-dimension models

CDF: Observation of Exclusive Charmonium Prod. and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu\mu$ in pp collisions at 1.96 TeV [arXiv:0902.1271]

- Quartic Gauge Couplings – testing BSM models
- Reaching limits predicted by string theory and grand unification models ($10^{-14} - 10^{-13}$ for $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$)
- Exc. jets – verification of QCD production models, unintegrated gluon PDFs

**Central Exclusive Production of Higgs**

- BSM not excluded entirely, but concentrate on SM
  - SM $h \rightarrow WW^*$, $140 < M < 180$ GeV
    - [EPJC 45 (2006) 401]
  - MSSM $h \rightarrow bb, h \rightarrow \tau\tau$
    - [EPJC 53 (2008) 231]
    - [EPJC 71 (2011) 1649]
    - [EPJC 73 (2013) 2672]

[JHEP 0710:090,2008]
Luminosity leveling

Leveling Scheme*

- Sould AFP detectors move from the beam during the change of $\beta^*$?
- If yes – how far?

** from Jorg Wenninger presentation: „ATLAS – post LS1 options“, 02.07.2013

Initial $\beta^* = 1.4 \text{ m}$. Final $\beta^* = 0.4 \text{ m}$.
Step: 0.1 m every 1 hour.

Time [h]

Integrated $L$ [fb$^{-1}$]

Luminosity [10$^{31}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$]

$\tau_t = 8 \text{ [h]}$
Initial $\beta^* = 1.40 \text{ [m]}$
Final $\beta^* = 0.40 \text{ [m]}$

~1 step / hour

Geometric Acceptance

In all cases detectors are 20$\sigma$ far from the beam.

- $\beta^* = 0.55 \text{ m}$
- $\beta^* = 1.10 \text{ m}$
- $\beta^* = 2.00 \text{ m}$

Detector acceptance is not affected.

Summary

- It is certainly easier to operate with fixed optics (constant $\beta^*$).
- Luminosity leveling – difficulties:
  - optics changes,
  - detector operation.
- It is not impossible to take data with luminosity leveling.
- Geometric acceptance is not affected.
- Leveling step: 0.1 m every 1 hour.
- With automated movement detectors should be re-positioned within few minutes.