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## Outline

- CRYSTAL simulation code for particle tracking in crystal.
- Channeling effect simulation with the CRYSTAL program.
- Simulation of the H8 experiment at the CERN SPS.
- Simulation of 7 TeV proton beam deflection at the LHC.
- New effects for channeling: dechanneling peaks, ionization losses over the amorphous level.
- Application of the new effects at the LHC.
- Channeling in a crystal with the cut.
- The multiple volume reflection in one bent crystal (MVROC).
- Combined action of the MVROC and channeling.
- Comparison of the efficiency of the new effects for the LHC crystal-based collimation system.


## CRYSTAL simulation code*

Main conception - tracking of charged particle trajectories in crystal by

## Program modes:

 solving the equation of motions- 1D model - particle motion in an interplanar potential
- 2D model - particle motion in an interaxial potential


## Simulation of the next physical processes:

- Multiple and single Coulomb scattering on nuclei and electrons.
- Nuclear scattering (elastic, quasielastic, inelastic)
- Ionization energy losses
- Crystal geometry (entrance/exit through the crystal lateral surface; miscut angle)


## Spline interpolation of

- Interplanar (interaxial) potential
- Interplanar (interaxial) electric field
- Nuclear density
- Electron density


## Advantages:

- high calculation speed (up to 2000 particles/s/CPU)
- algorithm universality
*A.I. Sytov, Vestnik. Belarusian. Univ. Series 1 N2 (2014), 48-52, (in Russian).


## Simulation of 400 GeV proton deflection at the SPS (H8 STF45)*

## Crystal parameters*

$\theta_{b}=143.78 \mu \mathrm{rad}, \theta_{\text {tilt }}=0 \mu \mathrm{rad}$, Scattering in the H8 beam line*,** $\theta_{\text {sc.in }}=4.45 \mu \mathrm{rad}, \theta_{\text {sc. out }}=4.45 \mu \mathrm{rad}$, $\theta_{\text {sc }}=\sqrt{ } \theta_{\text {sc.in }}{ }^{2}+\theta_{\text {sc.out }}{ }^{2}=6.29 \mu \mathrm{rad}=$



*R. Rossi et al.
H8 Single Pass Test Data Analysis (2014). **M. Pesaresi et al. JINST 6 (2011) P04006.

## Simulation of 400 GeV proton deflection at the SPS*

Crystal parameters*

$$
\theta_{b}=48.5 \mu \mathrm{rad}, \theta_{\text {till }}=2.7 \mu \mathrm{rad},
$$

Scattering in the $\mathbf{H 8}$ beam line*,**
$\theta_{\text {scin }}=2.2 \mu \mathrm{rad}, \theta_{\text {sc.out }}=2.2 \mu \mathrm{rad}$, $\theta_{\text {sc }}=\sqrt{\theta_{\text {sc.in }}}{ }^{2}+\theta_{\text {sc. out }}=3 \mu \mathrm{rad}^{*}, * *$.



H8 beam line**


Phys. Lett. B 680 (2009) 129-132
** W. Scandale et al.

7 TeV proton deflection at the LHC , channeling orientation


## Volume reflection orientation, dechanneling peaks explanation

Deflection angle distribution


## Dechanneling peaks appearance condition:

Scattering angle* on the crystal less than the peak half width:

Interplanar potential in a crystal with $\mathrm{R}=80 \mathrm{~m}$, (110)
 dechanneling/VC Low probability of dechanneling/VC

$$
\frac{\Delta \varphi_{\text {peak }}}{2 \theta_{s c}}=\frac{\lambda \theta_{b}}{4 l_{c r}} \cdot \frac{p v}{13.6 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot \sqrt{l_{c r} / X_{r}} \cdot\left(1+0.038 \cdot \ln \left(l_{c r} / X_{r}\right)\right)}>1
$$

## Dechanneling peaks at different energies

Deflection angle distribution, STF 49 crystal*


Deflection angle distribution, SPS energy in UA9*

Deflection angle distribution, H8 line, STF 49 crystal*


Deflection angle distribution, U-70 energy*

*R. Rossi, H8 Single Pass Test Data Analysis, ColUSM Website

## Ionization losses, channeling orientation

Channeling orientation


Ionization energy losses vs passed distance



Ionization energy losses per cm vs transverse coordinate


## New effects found in CRYSTAL simulations

- Dechanneling peaks due to high correlation between different trajectories and small scattering angle at the crystal.
- The effect may be observed in a wide range of energies from hundreds of MeV up to hundreds of TeV .
- In the crystal-assisted collimation experiment at the LHC it will be naturally observed.
- The principle condition of its observation is small scattering angle in comparison with the dechanneling peak width

$$
\frac{\Delta \varphi_{\text {peak }}}{2 \theta_{s c}}=\frac{\lambda \theta_{b}}{4 l_{c r}} \cdot \frac{p v}{13.6 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot \sqrt{l_{c r} / X_{r}} \cdot\left(1+0.038 \cdot \ln \left(l_{c r} / X_{r}\right)\right)}>1
$$

as well as small angular divergence of the incident beam $\left(\theta_{\text {r.m.s. }}<\theta_{L} / 2\right)$.

- Ionization losses over the amorphous level are observed in the channeling mode at extremely large amplitudes due to high electron density along the particle trajectory.


## New effects for the collimation at the EHC



## A technique to improve crystal channeling efficiency of charged particles

## V.V. Tikhomirov
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Proton "phase space" a) at $\mathrm{z}=0, \mathrm{~b})$ at $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}_{1}$, c) at $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}_{2}$ and e) at $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}_{2}+\pi v_{\|} / 2 \omega$ in the cut presence and d) at $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}_{1}+\pi v_{\|} / \omega$ in the absence of the latter

## A technique to improve crystal channeling efficiency for the LHC!

Deflection angle distribution, LHC conditions*


No cut

- Channeling efficiency $=\mathbf{7 7 . 7 \%}$
- Deflection efficiency on a collimator $=\mathbf{8 0 \%}$

Deflection angle distribution, LHC conditions*


Cut

- Channeling efficiency $=\mathbf{9 7 . 8 \%}$
- Deflection efficiency on a collimator $=\mathbf{9 8 . 2 \%}$

Channeling efficiency increases by $20 \%$ !
Nuclear interactions decrease in 7 times (multiturn simulations)!

Cut parameters: $\mathrm{z}_{1}=17 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; cut thickness $\mathrm{z}_{2}-\mathrm{z}_{1} 54 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for the LHC energy. Quite real!
*D. Mirarchi et al. Proc. of IPAC 2014, P. 882-885.

## 100 TeV Collider*, application of a crystal with the cut

## Deflection angle distribution



No cut

- Channeling efficiency $=\mathbf{7 2 . 6 \%}$
- Inelastic losses = 5.9\%

Deflection angle distribution


Cut

- Channeling efficiency $=\mathbf{9 2 . 9 \%}$
- Inelastic losses = 1.8\%

Channeling efficiency increases by $20 \%$ ! Nuclear interactions decrease in $\mathbf{3}$ times!

Cut parameters: $z_{1}=\mathbf{6 5 , 6 \mu m}$; cut thickness $z_{2}-Z_{1}=212 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for 100 TeV . Quite real! *A. Kovalenko, Abstr. of Channeling 2014

## Multiple Volume Reflection in one bent crystal (MVROC)*



## Axes form many inclined reflecting planes



MVROC and its combined action with channeling


## Multiturn simulations by CRYSTAL*



Transverse coordinates distribution at the TCSG.6R7.B1

$\mathrm{E}=7 \mathrm{TeV}$,
$l_{c r}=4 \mathrm{~cm}$,
$\theta_{b}=50 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ (channeling)
$\theta_{b}=60 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ (MVROC)
Statistics:
$\mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{5}-10}{ }^{7}$ particles at a personal machine Intel Core i7

- Collimation efficiency for the crystal with the cut achieves $\mathbf{9 9 . 9 5 \%}$ !
- Channeling requires alignment resolution of $\pm 0.5 \mu \mathrm{rad}$; otherwise MVROC combined with channeling is more effective.
*A.I. Sytov, Vestnik. Belarusian. Univ.
Series 1 N2 (2014), 48-52, (in Russian).


## Summary

- CRYSTAL program for the simulation of particle trajectories was developed.
- The H8 experiment was simulated; a good agreement was achieved.
- The new effects found in simulations were predicted for the LHC: dechanneling peaks and ionization losses over the amorphous level. The reason of the first effect is very high correlation of different trajectories. The reason of the second effect is high electron density along the particle trajectory.
- The application of the crystal cut allows to reduce the inelastic loss rate in several times for both the LHC an 100 TeV Collider. In addition it increases the channeling efficiency on $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ for both of the cases.
- The MVROC effect as well as its combination with channeling in skew crystal planes is a good alternative to channeling at the LHC.


