Channeling of protons in various types of radially compressed carbon nanotubes

A. Karabarbounis, <u>S. Sarros</u>, Ch. Trikalinos National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece The 6th International Conference – Channeling 2014

Capri (NA) Italy, October 5-10, 2014

Channeling of protons in various types of radially compressed carbon nanotubes

A. Karabarbounis^a, <u>S. Sarros</u>^a, Ch. Trikalinos^b

^a Faculty of Physics, Department of Nuclear and Particle Physics, University of Athens, Greece ^b Faculty of Philosophy and History of Science, University of Athens, Greece







Channeling effect

➢Crystals

- Computer calculations (Robinson et al., 1963)
- Theoretical description (Lindhard et al., 1965)
- Experiments (1963 until now)
- Channeling Radiation (Kumakhov et al., 1976)
- Carbon Nanotubes (CNTs)
 - Theoretical works (1997 present)

Channeling of protons in various types of radially compressed carbon nanotubes A. Karabarbounis, S. Sarros, Ch. Trikalinos

Channeling 2014 Capri (NA) Italy, October 5-10, 2014



Channeling in carbon nanotubes

Channeling of charged particles in straight and bent CNTs

(N.K. Zhevago, N.F. Shul'ga, K.A. Ispirian, X. Artru and others)

Channeling of x-rays in straight CNTs

(S.B. Dabagov, N.K. Zhevago and others)

Channeling of charged particles in radially compressed CNTs

(A. Karabarbounis, S. Sarros, Ch. Trikalinos)

Channeling of charged particles in straight chiral carbon nanotubes

(A. Karabarbounis, S. Sarros, Ch. Trikalinos:

"Channeling and energy losses of 10 MeV protons in straight chiral carbon nanotube bundles", NIM B 316 (2013), 160-170)



Channeling of charged particles in radially compressed CNTs (compression at one end)

(A. Karabarbounis, S. Sarros, Ch. Trikalinos:

"Channeling of protons in radially compressed carbon nanotubes", Journal of Physics: Conference Series 517 (2014) 012038) – Presented at RREPS-2013



Channeling of charged particles in radially compressed CNTs (compression at both ends or at nanotube's centre)

Combining two radially compressed (at one end) CNTs:



Model used for simulation:

Potential of a chiral CNT in Doyle-Turner approximation:

$$U(r,\varphi) = 3^{-3/2} 32\pi Z e^2 l^{-2} R \sum_{j=1}^{4} \alpha_j b_j^2 \exp\left[-b_j^2 (r^2 + R^2)\right] I_0(2b_j^2 R r)$$

where:

Z = 6 – atomic number of the target atoms, r – distance from nanotube axis and α_j, b_j – dimensional parameters in the Doyle-Turner approximation: $\{\alpha_j\} = \{3.222, 5.270, 2.012, 0.5499\} \times 10^{-4} \text{ nm}^2$ $\{b_j\} = \{10.330, 18.694, 37.456, 106.88\} \text{ nm}^{-1}$

 $R = R_0 \pm z \cdot \tan \varphi - \text{nanotube radius at distance } z \text{ from entrance,}$ $R_0 = \left(l\sqrt{3}/2\pi \right) \sqrt{n^2 + nm + m^2} - \text{nanotube radius,}$

l = 0.142 nm - length of the bond between the carbon atoms

Model used for simulation:

Energy losses calculated by phenomenological expression for the local stopping power given by Lindhard:

$$\frac{\Delta E}{\Delta z} = S(E) = \frac{4\pi Z_1^2 e^4 Z_{val}}{mv^2} \left[(1-\alpha) + \alpha n_e(r) \right] \ln\left(\frac{2mv^2}{I}\right)$$

where: $Z_1 e$ and v – the ion charge and velocity respectively, α – part of close collisions ($\alpha = 0.5$), Z_{val} – number of valence electrons per atom,

m – electron mass, $I = I_0 Z$ – average excitation potential ($I_0 \cong 13.5 \text{ eV}$,

Z – atomic number of target atoms)

$$n_e(r) = \frac{2NZ_{val}}{\pi d_R} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \alpha_j^{(e)} b_j^{(e)2} \exp\left[-b_j^{(e)2} (R^2 + r^2)\right] I_0(2b_j^{(e)}Rr)$$

Equations of motion calculated from Newton's second law as:

$$m_1 \frac{d^2 \mathbf{r}}{dt^2} = -\left(\frac{\partial U(x, y, z)}{\partial x}\hat{\mathbf{i}} + \frac{\partial U(x, y, z)}{\partial y}\hat{\mathbf{j}}\right) \qquad (m_1 - \text{proton mass})$$

Model used for simulation:

Electronic multiple scattering is taken into account after each integration step, calculating a normal distribution of the scattering angle with standard deviation:

 $\theta_{ms}^2 = \frac{m\Delta E}{2m_1 E}$ (*E* and ΔE – the energy and the energy loss at each integration step, respectively)

Initial conditions:

- beam incident angle = 0
- beam well collimated ($\Delta \theta = 0$)
- beam energy spread = 0 (E = 10 MeV)

Dechanneling of protons:

when protons approach CNT's walls at distance: $r \le r_c = \sqrt{2}u_{\perp} (u_{\perp} = 8.5 \cdot 10^{-3} nm)$

Comparison between straight and compressed CNTs (6,4) with $\varphi = 0.005^{\circ}$ Energy distribution



Comparison between straight and compressed CNTs (6,4) with $\varphi = 0.005^{\circ}$ Angular distribution



Standard deviation vs. angle φ of wall slope of compressed CNTs (6,4)

(initial proton energy: 10 MeV, length of CNT: 1000 nm, 2000 nm)



Comparison between straight and compressed CNTs (11,9) with $\varphi = 0.005^{\circ}$ Energy distribution



Comparison between straight and compressed CNTs (11,9) with $\varphi = 0.005^{\circ}$ Angular distribution



15

Standard deviation vs. angle φ of wall slope of compressed CNTs (11,9)

(initial proton energy: 10 MeV, length of CNT: 1000 nm, 2000 nm)



Conclusions:

- In case of CNTs compressed at the centre proton beam exits more collimated, compared with straight CNTs or CNTs compressed at one end or at both ends, especially in (6,4) than in (11,9) CNT
- Energy distribution in case of (6,4) CNT compressed at the centre presents high peaks, compared with the main peak of maximum energy

Future tasks:

- Investigation of particle propagation in bundles of these compressed CNTs
- Consideration of different initial conditions (incident angle, beam collimation, energy spread)

Thank you for your attention!

