Highlights from rare decays @ LHCb (or how to look for new physics in rare b, τ and kaon decays)

Gaia Lanfranchi LNF-INFN

What we are doing

We are looking for New Physics in FCNC b-, K- decays and LFV τ decays that can be sensitive to quantum corrections from degrees of freedom **at** or **above** the electroweak scale.



Nothing different from what was done in the past at the dawn of the electroweak theory:



What we are doing

We are looking for New Physics in FCNC b, K decays and LFV τ decays that can be sensitive to quantum corrections from degrees of freedom **at** or **above** the electroweak scale.



Today we describe FCNC processes by an effective Hamiltonian in the form of Operator Product Expansion to identify the types of operators that enter in the transitions:

$$H_{eff} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \sum_{i} \left[\underbrace{C_i(\mu)O_i(\mu)}_{\text{left-handed part}} + \underbrace{C'_i(\mu)O'_i(\mu)}_{\text{right-handed part}} \right] + \sum \frac{c}{\Lambda_{NP}^2} O_{NP} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{i} = 1,2 & \text{Tree} \\ \text{i} = 3 - 6,8 & \text{Gluon penguin} \\ \text{i} = 7 & \text{Photon penguin} \\ \text{i} = 9,10 & \text{Electroweak penguin} \\ \text{i} = 8 & \text{Higgs (scalar) penguin} \\ \text{i} = P & \text{Pseudoscalar penguin} \end{array}$$

What we are doing

We are looking for New Physics in FCNC b, K decays and τ decays that can be sensitive to quantum corrections from degrees of freedom **at** or **above** the electroweak scale.



NP can modify the Wilson coefficients (C_i) affecting observable quantities as angular distributions in $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\mu\mu$ decays (C₇,C₉,C₁₀), branching fractions in $B \rightarrow \mu\mu$ decays (C_s, C_p) and photon polarization (C'₇)

$$H_{eff} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \sum_{i} \left[\underbrace{C_i(\mu)O_i(\mu)}_{\text{left-handed part}} + \underbrace{C'_i(\mu)O'_i(\mu)}_{\text{right-handed part}} \right] + \sum_{i} \underbrace{\frac{C}{\Lambda_{NP}^2}O_{NP}}_{i=3-6,8} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{i} = 1,2 & \text{Tree} \\ \text{i} = 3-6,8 & \text{Gluon penguin} \\ \text{i} = 7 & \text{Photon penguin} \\ \text{i} = 9,10 & \text{Electroweak penguin} \\ \text{i} = 8 & \text{Higgs (scalar) penguin} \\ \text{i} = P & \text{Pseudoscalar penguin} \end{array}$$

Or add new operators (Majorana neutrinos, light mediators, etc.) at an unknown scale Λ_{NP} 4

- Angular analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B_d \rightarrow K_s \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays
- Full angular analysis of $B_d \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays
- Measurement of the differential BR and helicity structure of

 $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \mu \mu$ decays

- Angular analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B_d \rightarrow K_s \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays
- Full angular analysis of $B_d \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays
- Measurement of the differential BR and helicity structure of

 $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \mu \mu$ decays

...or modify the values of branching fractions...:

- Measurement of the isospin asymmetry in $\,B \to K^{(*)} \, \mu \mu \,$ decays
- Measurement of the ratio $R_k = BR(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)/BR(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-)$
- First observation of the rare decays $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \phi K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$
- Measurement of the BR($B \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$)
- Measurement of differential BR and S-wave of $B_d \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays
- Measurement of A_{CP} in $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\mu^+\mu^-$ decays
- Measurement of the $B_s\!\!\rightarrow\mu^+\mu^-$ and $B_d\!\rightarrow\!\!\mu^+\mu^-$ branching fractions

- Angular analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B_d \rightarrow K_s \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays
- Full angular analysis of $B_d \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays
- Measurement of the differential BR and helicity structure of

 $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \mu \mu$ decays

...or modify the values of branching fractions...:

- Measurement of the isospin asymmetry in $\,B \to K^{(*)}\,\mu\mu\,$ decays
- Measurement of the ratio $R_k = BR(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)/BR(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-)$
- First observation of the rare decays $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \phi K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$
- Measurement of the BR($B \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$)
- Measurement of differential BR and S-wave of $B_d \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays
- Measurement of A_{CP} in $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\mu^+\mu^-$ decays

... or modify the photon polarization...

- Observation of the photon polarization in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ decays
- Measurement of the photon polarization in $B_s {\rightarrow} \phi \, \gamma$ decays

- Angular analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B_d \rightarrow K_s \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays
- Full angular analysis of $B_d \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays
- Measurement of the differential BR and helicity structure of

 $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \mu \mu$ decays

...or modify the values of branching fractions...:

- Measurement of the isospin asymmetry in $\,B \to K^{(*)}\,\mu\mu\,$ decays
- Measurement of the ratio $R_k = BR(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)/BR(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-)$
- First observation of the rare decays $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \phi K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$
- Measurement of the BR($B \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$)
- Measurement of differential BR and S-wave of $B_d \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays
- Measurement of A_{CP} in $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\mu^+\mu^-$ decays

... or modify the photon polarization...

- Observation of the photon polarization in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ decays
- Measurement of the photon polarization in $B_s {\rightarrow} \phi \, \gamma$ decays
- .. or introduce LFV couplings or new operators:
 - Search for heavy Majorana neutrinos in $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^- \mu^-$ decays
 - Search for $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$ decays with 3 fb⁻¹
 - Search for weakly interacting long-lived particles in B and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ decays

- Angular analysis of $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B_d \rightarrow K_s \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays
- Full angular analysis of $B_d \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays
- Measurement of the differential BR and helicity structure of

 $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \mu \mu$ decays

...or modify the values of branching fractions...:

- Measurement of the isospin asymmetry in $\,B \to K^{(*)}\,\mu\mu\,$ decays
- Measurement of the ratio $R_k = BR(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)/BR(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-)$
- First observation of the
- Measurement of the BI
- Measurement of differe
- Measurement of A_{CP} in $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\mu^+\mu^-$ decays
- Measurement of the $B_s\!\to\mu^+\mu^-$ and $B_d\!\to\!\mu^+\mu^-$ branching fractions

... or modify the photon polarization...

- Observation of the photon polarization in $B^+ \mathop{\longrightarrow} K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ decays
- Measurement of the photon polarization in $B_s {\rightarrow} \phi \, \gamma$ decays
- .. or introduce LFV couplings or new operators:
 - Search for heavy Majorana neutrinos in $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^- \mu^-$ decays
 - Search for $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$ decays with 3 fb⁻¹
 - Search for weakly interacting long-lived particles in B and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ decays

Some of the Rare Decays processes studied at LHCb in the last year

...You will see that, despite the variety of topics, we will be talking almost always about the same diagram (in different flavors)....



FCNC decays dominated by electro-weak penguins are extremely interesting as New Physics amplitudes could enter at the same level of the standard model ones and modify angular distributions and branching fractions:



 $\sin \Phi$

However the angular analysis of the $B \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay is complicated: 3 angles, 12 different J_i coefficients (which contain the information from Wilson coefficients) due to 6 complex numbers that define the K^{*0} spin amplitudes.

۲π*

Angular analysis of $B \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ is complicated:

 $\frac{d^4\Gamma}{dq^2\,d\cos\theta_K\,d\cos\theta_l\,d\phi} = \frac{9}{32\pi} \bigg[J_{1s}\sin^2\theta_K + J_{1c}\cos^2\theta_K + (J_{2s}\sin^2\theta_K + J_{2c}\cos^2\theta_K)\cos2\theta_l \bigg]$ $+J_3\sin^2\theta_K\sin^2\theta_l\cos 2\phi + J_4\sin 2\theta_K\sin 2\theta_l\cos \phi + J_5\sin 2\theta_K\sin \theta_l\cos \phi$ $\int_{\partial t} \sin^2 \theta_K + J_{6e} \cos \theta_R \sin^2 \theta_R$ $+ (J_{6s}\sin^2\theta_K + J_{6c}\cos^2\theta_K)\cos\theta_l + J_7\sin2\theta_K\sin\theta_l\sin\phi + J_8\sin2\theta_K\sin2\theta_l\sin\phi$ (1) Angular analysis of $B \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ is complicated:

$$\frac{d^{4}\Gamma}{dq^{2}d\cos\theta_{K}d\cos\theta_{l}d\phi} = \frac{9}{32\pi} \bigg[J_{1s}\sin^{2}\theta_{K} + J_{1c}\cos^{2}\theta_{K} + (J_{2s}\sin^{2}\theta_{K} + J_{2c}\cos^{2}\theta_{K})\cos 2\theta_{l} + (J_{3})\sin^{2}\theta_{K}\sin^{2}\theta_{l}\cos 2\phi + J_{4}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin 2\theta_{l}\cos\phi + J_{5}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin\theta_{l}\cos\phi + (J_{6s}\sin^{2}\theta_{K} + J_{6c}\cos^{2}\theta_{K})\cos\theta_{l} + J_{7}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin\theta_{l}\sin\phi + J_{8}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin 2\theta_{l}\sin\phi + J_{9}\sin^{2}\theta_{K}\sin^{2}\theta_{l}\sin 2\phi \bigg],$$
(1)
$$J_{i} \text{ terms depend on the complex spin amplitudes } A_{0}^{L,R}, A_{\parallel}^{L,R}, A_{\perp}^{L,R} \bigg]$$

$$\underbrace{J_3}_{}=\frac{1}{2}\beta_\ell^2 \left[|A_{\perp}^L|^2 - |A_{\parallel}^L|^2 + |A_{\perp}^R|^2 - |A_{\parallel}^R|^2\right] \qquad (\text{an example})$$

Angular analysis of $B \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ is complicated:

$$\frac{d^{4}\Gamma}{dq^{2}d\cos\theta_{K}d\cos\theta_{l}d\phi} = \frac{9}{32\pi} \bigg[J_{1s}\sin^{2}\theta_{K} + J_{1c}\cos^{2}\theta_{K} + (J_{2s}\sin^{2}\theta_{K} + J_{2c}\cos^{2}\theta_{K})\cos 2\theta_{l} + (J_{3})\sin^{2}\theta_{K}\sin^{2}\theta_{l}\cos 2\phi + J_{4}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin 2\theta_{l}\cos\phi + J_{5}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin\theta_{l}\cos\phi + (J_{6s}\sin^{2}\theta_{K} + J_{6c}\cos^{2}\theta_{K})\cos\theta_{l} + J_{7}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin\theta_{l}\sin\phi + J_{8}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin 2\theta_{l}\sin\phi + J_{9}\sin^{2}\theta_{K}\sin^{2}\theta_{l}\sin 2\phi \bigg],$$
(1)
$$J_{i} \text{ terms depend on the complex spin amplitudes } A_{0}^{L,R}, A_{\parallel}^{L,R}, A_{\perp}^{L,R} \bigg]$$

 $(J_{3}) = \frac{1}{2}\beta_{\ell}^{2} \left[(A_{\perp}^{L})^{2} - |A_{\parallel}^{L}|^{2} + |A_{\perp}^{R}|^{2} - |A_{\parallel}^{R}|^{2} \right] \quad \text{(an example)}$ The spin amplitudes depend on the Wilson coefficients via form factors q² dependent; $(A_{\perp}^{L(R)}) = N\sqrt{2\lambda} \left\{ \left[(C_{9}^{\text{eff}} + C_{9}^{\prime\text{eff}}) + C_{10}^{\prime\text{eff}} \right] \frac{V(q^{2})}{m_{B} + m_{K^{*}}} + \frac{2m_{b}}{q^{2}} (C_{7}^{\text{eff}} + C_{7}^{\prime\text{eff}}) T_{1}(q^{2}) \right\}$

Simultaneous fit was not possible with $1 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$

 \rightarrow Angular foldings, e.g. $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + \pi$ for $\Phi < 0$ cancels terms $\propto \sin \Phi, \cos \Phi$



Remaining angular observables require different angular folding
 Determine P'_{4,5,6,8} = S_{4,5,7,8}/√F_L(1 - F_L)



Remaining angular observables require different angular folding

Determine $P'_{4,5,6,8} = S_{4,5,7,8} / \sqrt{F_{\rm L}(1-F_{\rm L})}$

LHCb, PRL 111 (2013) 191801

-3.7 σ discrepancy in the region $4.3 < q^2 < 8.68 \ GeV^2/c^4$

Can be explained by a negative NP contribution to the Wilson coefficient C_9 , namely $C_9=C_9(SM)-1.5$

Descotes-Genon, Virto, Matias PRD 88 (2013) 074002 D. Van Dyck, C. Bobeth, F. Beaujean arXiv 1310.2478 Altmannshofer, Straub (arXiv 1308.1501)



Remaining angular observables require different angular folding

Determine $P'_{4,5,6,8} = S_{4,5,7,8} / \sqrt{F_{\rm L}(1 - F_{\rm L})}$

LHCb, PRL 111 (2013) 191801

-3.7 σ discrepancy in the region $4.3 < q^2 < 8.68 \ GeV^2/c^4$

Can be explained by a negative NP contribution to the Wilson coefficient C_9 , namely $C_9=C_9(SM)-1.5$

Descotes-Genon, Virto, Matias PRD 88 (2013) 074002 D. Van Dyck, C. Bobeth, F. Beaujean arXiv 1310.2478 Altmannshofer, Straub (arXiv 1308.1501)





Z' contribution? [Gauld et al., arXiv:1310.1082] Exotic scalars? [Datta et at., arXiv: 1310.1937]

Wait for analysis of the full 3 fb⁻¹ dataset....

Differential BRs of $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ and $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+\mu^-$ decays

Hints of deviation from SM predictions also in the differential BRs of $B \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu + \mu$ - and $B_s \rightarrow \phi\mu + \mu$ - decays could be explained by the same value of $C_9(NP)$ [Horgan et al., arXiv:1310.3887]



LHCb: arXiv 1308.1707, JHEP 1308 (2013)131, JHEP 1307 (2013) 084 CDF: Public note 10894 CMS: arXiv: 1308.3409 ATLAS: ATLAS-CONF-2013-038

High-q² differential branching fractions from $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ transitions @ LHCb



- High q² branching fraction measurements are below the latest SM (lattice) predictions
- Better consistency with C₉^{NP}=-1.5 suggested by (low q²) anomalous angular data

The angular distribution of $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays is instead simple: a single angle in the di-lepton system and two parameters, A_{FB} and F_H (the flat term)

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_{\ell}} \frac{d\Gamma_{\ell}[B \to P\ell^+\ell^-]}{d\cos\theta_l} = \frac{3}{4} (1 - F_{\rm H})(1 - \cos^2\theta_l) + \frac{1}{2}F_{\rm H} + A_{\rm FB}\cos\theta_l$$

In the SM, the forward-backward asymmetry of the di-lepton system is expected to be zero. Any non-zero forward backward asymmetry would point to a contribution from NP



 $A_{FB} \, and \, F_{\rm H}$ sensitive to (pseudo-)scalar and tensor couplings

Alok et al., PRD 78 (2008) 114025 C. Bobeth et al., JHEP 12 (2007) 040 Angular analysis performed with 1 fb⁻¹ was already leading the world average and provided results compatible with SM



Angular analysis performed with 1 fb⁻¹ was already leading the world average and provided results compatible with SM Theory Binned theory LHCb -LHCb ----CDF ---BELLE A_{FB} ц[⊥] LHCb LHCb 0.4 0.5 0.2 Belle, PRL103 (2009) 171801 -0.5 CDF, PRL108 (2012) 081807 LHCb, PRL108(2012) 201601 10 15 20 5 10 5 15 20 $q^2 \,[{\rm GeV}^2/c^4]$ q^2 [GeV²/ c^4] Angular analysis performed with 3 fb⁻¹ confirms previous results. LHCb, arXiv:1403.8045, submitted to JHEP $A_{\rm FB}$ $F_{\rm H}$ LHCb LHCb 0.4 0. 0.3 0.2 -0. 0.1 19 -0.2 10 15 20 10 15 20 5 $q^2 \,[{\rm GeV}^2/c^4]$ $q^{2} \,[{
m GeV^{2/}}c^{4}]$

The DNA of the Wilson coefficients



The DNA of the Wilson coefficients



Measurement of the photon polarization in B⁺ \rightarrow K⁺ $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\gamma \& B_{s} \rightarrow \phi \gamma$ decays

The SM predicts that the **photon emitted in** $b \rightarrow s \gamma$ decays is predominantly left-handed, since the recoil s-quark that couples to a W-boson is left-handed.



Several models beyond the SM predict the photon to **acquire a significant right-handed component due to the exchange of a heavy fermions** in the electroweak penguin loop.

D. Atwood, M. Gronau, A. Soni, PRL79(97) 185 M. Gronau, D. Pirjol, PRD66(02)054008 F.Yu, E. Kou,C.Lu, JHEP12(2013)102



H⁻, χ⁻,ĝ, χ⁰

Measurement of the photon polarization in B⁺ \rightarrow K⁺ $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ decays

Information about the photon polarization is determined from the angular distribution of the photon with respect to the plane defined by the three final-state hadrons in their center-of-mass frame.



Measurement of the photon polarization in B⁺ \rightarrow K⁺ $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ decays

Information about the photon polarization is determined from the angular distribution of the photon with respect to the plane defined by the three final-state hadrons in their center-of-mass frame.

Conceptually is the same experiment performed by Mme Wu that led to the discovery of the parity violation in β decays.....

PRL 105 (1957) 1413

... the emission of beta particles is more favored in the direction opposite to that of the nuclear spin. This naturally implies that the sign for C_T and $C'_{T'}$ (parity conserved and parity not conserved) must be opposite...



Measurement of the photon polarization in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ decays LHCb, a Accepted

LHCb, arXiv:1402.6852 Accepted by PRL

The up-down asymmetry is defined as:



Where $s_{ij} = (p_i + p_j)^2$ and the a_i contain the information about the resonances and their interference: the odd terms in $\cos\theta$ carry the photon polarization: If $\lambda \gamma \neq 0$ the photon is polarized. In SM $\lambda_{\gamma} \sim -1$ (+1) for radiative \overline{B} (B) decays up to $O(m_s^2/m_b^2)$ corrections.

Measurement of the photon polarization in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ decays LHCb, aAccepte

LHCb, arXiv:1402.6852 Accepted by PRL



The problem is that the $K^+\pi^+\pi^-$ spectrum is given by a superposition of different resonances (main resonances are $K^+(1270)$ and $K^+(1400)$) and their interference: to translate a measurement of the up-down asymmetry into an actual value of λ_{γ} requires theory input !

Measurement of the photon polarization in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ decays LHCb, Accepted

LHCb, arXiv:1402.6852 Accepted by PRL



The analysis is performed in four region of interest: [1.1-1.3], [1.3-1.4], [1.4-1.6] and [1.6-1.9] GeV/c² In each region a simultaneous fit to the B-candidate mass spectra in bins of the photon direction angle is performed to determine the angular distributions.

Measurement of the photon polarization in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ decays LHCb, a Accord

LHCb, arXiv:1402.6852 Accepted by PRL



The resulting background-subtracted $\cos\theta$ distributions, corrected for the selection acceptance and normalized to the inverse of the bin-width, are fit with a fourth-order polynomial function:

$$f(\cos\hat{\theta}; c_0 = 0.5, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) = \sum_{i=0}^{1} c_i L_i(\cos\hat{\theta}),$$

Where L_i is the Legendre polynomial of order i and c_i the coefficient. The up-down asymmetry is: $A_{ud} = c_1 - c_3/4$

Measurement of the photon polarization in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ decays LHCb, a Accord

LHCb, arXiv:1402.6852 Accepted by PRL

Four different significances for the A_{ud} to be different from zero are determined:

	[1.1, 1.3]	[1.3, 1.4]	[1.4, 1.6]	[1.6, 1.9]
c_1	$6.3 {\pm} 1.7$	$5.4{\pm}2.0$	$4.3{\pm}1.9$	-4.6 ± 1.8
c_2	$31.6{\pm}2.2$	$27.0{\pm}2.6$	43.1 ± 2.3	$28.0 {\pm} 2.3$
c_3	$-2.1{\pm}2.6$	$2.0{\pm}3.1$	$-5.2{\pm}2.8$	-0.6 ± 2.7
c_4	$3.0{\pm}3.0$	$6.8 {\pm} 3.6$	8.1 ± 3.1	-6.2 ± 3.2
$\mathcal{A}_{ m ud}$	$6.9{\pm}1.7$	$4.9{\pm}2.0$	5.6 ± 1.8	$-4.5{\pm}1.9$

The sum of the squares of these values distribute as a χ^2 variable with four degrees of freedom for which a p-value=1.7x 10⁻⁷ is obtained.

This translates into a 5.2 σ significance for A_{ud}

(to translate this value into a value for λ_{γ} requires theory input....) 27

Rare Decays and the Higgs (or how rare processes can test non-SM Higgs couplings)



Rare Decays and the Higgs (or how rare processes can test non-SM Higgs couplings)



You can recognize here the main diagrams that drive the $B_{s,d} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays...

BR($B_{s,d} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$): LHCb-CMS combination



LHCb and CMS measurements of $B_{s,d} \rightarrow \mu\mu$ branching fractions are being combined: - combined fit to the two datasets (sharing of all PDFs and correlated parameters as f_d/f_s , BR(B⁺ $\rightarrow J/\psi$ K⁺), etc..). Results expected by summer. 30

Rare Decays and the Higgs: is the Higgs the SM one?

Let's now see whether the Higgs can have LFV decays..... $B{\rightarrow}$ e μ



(Harnik, Kopp, Zupan, hep-ph/1209.1397)

1) Search for $B \rightarrow e \mu$ decays: can be mediated by the Higgs?

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \lambda_{ij} \bar{f}_{L}^{i} f_{R}^{j} H + \lambda_{ij}^{\prime} \bar{f}_{L}^{i} f_{R}^{j} H \frac{H^{\intercal} H}{\Lambda^{2}} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$= m_{i} \bar{f}_{L}^{i} f_{R}^{i} + \bigvee_{ij} \bar{f}_{L}^{i} f_{R}^{j} h^{0} + \text{h.c.}$$
Flavor-violating Higgs(-like) coupling
Bounds on flavor-violating
Higgs(-like) coupling from
experimental results:
Current limits on the LFV
Yukawa coupling eµ is
dominated by MEG result:
BR(µ → e \gamma) < 5x10^{-13}
$$I^{0}_{-7}$$

Decays of the type $B(s) \rightarrow e \mu$ are allowed in models with a local gauge symmetry between quarks and leptons. [1]A. Ilakovic Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 036010.

[2]R. A. Diaz et al. Eur. Phys. J C 41 (2005) 305.

[3]J. C. Pati and A. Salam Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 275.



Direct searches by CMS and ATLAS put limits $m_Q < [0.5-1]$ TeV depending on the final state \rightarrow They access lepto-quarks linking quark and leptons of the same generation; $\rightarrow B \rightarrow e\mu$ decays can occur if lepto-quarks link different quark/lepton generations.

Valencia and Willenbrock, arXiv:hep-ph/9409201v1

Search for $B(s) \rightarrow \mu$ e decays @ LHCb

Analysis strategy identical to that of the $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu\mu$ Events are classified in a 2D plane (mass vs BDT) and normalized to a control sample (Bd $\rightarrow K\pi$)



$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{BR}_{\mathrm{B}^{0}_{(\mathrm{s})} \to \mathrm{e}^{+}\mu^{-}} &= \mathrm{BR}_{\mathrm{cal}} \times \frac{f_{d}}{f_{q}} \times \frac{\epsilon_{cal}^{SEL|REC} \epsilon_{cal}^{TRIG|SEL}}{\epsilon_{sig}^{SEL|REC} \epsilon_{sig}^{TRIG|SEL}} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{sig}^{PID}} \times \frac{N_{B^{0}_{(\mathrm{s})} \to \mathrm{e}^{+}\mu^{-}}}{N_{\mathrm{cal}}} \\ &= \alpha \times N_{B^{0}_{(\mathrm{s})} \to \mathrm{e}^{+}\mu^{-}} \end{aligned}$$

Search for $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu$ e decays @ LHCb

With 1 fb⁻¹LHCb has put limits 20x more stringent than the world best limits set by CDF. LHCb, Phys.Rev.Lett. 111 (2013) 141801 $BR(B_s \rightarrow \mu e) < 1.36 \times 10^{-8} @ 95\% CL$ BR(B→ µ e) < 3.72x10⁻⁸ @ 95% CL $CL_{s} = CL_{sb}/CL_{b}$ $CL_{s} = CL_{sb}/CL_{b}$ LHCb LHCb 0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2 ۰0⁻⁹ 0 **k** ×10⁻⁹ $\begin{array}{c} 20\\ B(B_s^0 \rightarrow e^{\pm} \ \mu^{\mp}) \end{array}$ 0 10 2 $\overset{6}{\mathsf{B}(\mathsf{B}^{0} \to \mathsf{e}^{\pm} \, \mu^{\mp}) }$ ${\rm BR}(B^0_s\to e^+\mu^-)~{\times}10^{-8}$ $BR(B^0 \rightarrow e^+ \mu^-) \times 10^{-9}$ at 90% (95%) CL at 90% (95%) CL Expected LHCb, $1 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$ 1.53(1.95)3.97 (4.95) Observed LHCb, $1 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$ 1.11(1.36)2.8 (3.72) Current (CDF, 2 fb^{-1}) 20.0(20.6)64.0(79.0)

These limits can be translated into limits on the value of the mass of the leptoquarks In the framework of the Pati-Salam model: LHCb, Phys.Rev.Lett. 111 (2013) 141801



LHCb results: $m_{LQ} (B_s \rightarrow \mu e) > 101 \text{ TeV/c}^2 @ 95\% \text{ CL}$ $m_{LQ} (B \rightarrow \mu e) > 126 \text{ TeV/c}^2 @ 95\% \text{ CL}$

Rare Decays and the Higgs (or how rare processes can test non SM Higgs couplings)

Non-SM Higgs (among other diagrams) can mediate the LFV decay $\tau \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu$



Rare Decays and the Higgs: $\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu$ 38

First search at a hadron collider:

→Possible thanks to the very low pT thresholds of the LHCb muon triggers Huge cross section: $\sigma(pp \rightarrow \tau X) \sim 80 \ \mu b$ at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \ \text{TeV}$ $\rightarrow 8 \times 10^9 \ \tau$ produced in 1 fb⁻¹ almost exclusively from B and D_s But also huge background:

 \rightarrow Cut based analysis followed by multivariate one in the PID and kinematical plane Normalization using $D_s \rightarrow \phi(\mu\mu) \pi$ (very similar topology):

$$BR(\tau^- \to \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-) = BR(D_S^- \to \phi(\mu^+ \mu^-) \pi^-) \times \underbrace{f_{D_s}^\tau}_{BR(D_S^- \to \tau^- \overline{\nu}_{\tau})} \times \frac{\epsilon_{\text{cal}}}{\epsilon_{\text{sig}}} \times \frac{N_{\text{sig}}}{N_{\text{cal}}}$$
$$= \alpha \times N_{\text{sig}}$$

Fraction of τ leptons which originate from Ds decays, calculated using bb and cc cross section as measured by LHCb [1,2] and the inclusive b $\rightarrow \tau$ and c $\rightarrow \tau$ branching fractions as measured by LEP experiments [3]

LHCb collaboration, Eur. Phys. J C71 (2011) 1645
 LHCb collaboration, Nucl. Phys. B 271 (2013) 1
 PDG, http://pdg.lbl.gov

Rare Decays and the Higgs: $\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu$

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^-) &< 8.0 \times 10^{-8} \text{at } 90\% \ \text{C.L. LHCb (using 2011 data)} \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^-) &< 2.1 \times 10^{-8} \text{at } 90\% \ \text{C.L. Belle (World best)} \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^-) &< 3.3 \times 10^{-8} \text{at } 90\% \ \text{C.L. BaBar limit} \end{array}$



Figure 3-10. Extrapolation of the 90% upper limit sensitivity of Belle-II (open symbols) from existing limits (filled symbols). For $\tau \to \mu\gamma$, which has irreducible backgrounds, the limit scales as $1/\sqrt{\int \mathcal{L} dt}$. For $\tau \to \mu\mu\mu$, which is essentially background-free, the limit scales as $1/\int \mathcal{L} dt$.

Back to the beginning: what are we doing?

We are looking for New Physics in FCNC b, Kaon decays and LFV τ decays that can be sensitive to quantum corrections from degrees of freedom **at** and **above** the electroweak scale.



Back to the beginning: what are we doing?

We are looking for New Physics in FCNC b, Kaon decays and LFV τ decays that can be sensitive to quantum corrections from degrees of freedom **at** and **above** the electroweak scale.



..... but if there was nothing up to Planck scale?



"Given the absence of unambiguous signal of new physics and the compatibility of the Higgs properties with the SM predictions some doubts arose about the relevance of the naturalness argument as an organizing principle at higher energies."

> C. Grosjean, Future circular collider kick-off meeting, Geneva, February 12-14





"Given the absence of unambiguous signal of new physics and the compatibility of the Higgs properties with the SM predictions some doubts arose about the relevance of the naturalness argument as an organizing principle at higher energies."

> C. Grosjean, Future circular collider kick-off meeting, Geneva, February 12-14

"With a mass of the Higgs boson of ~126 GeV the Standard Model could be a self-consistent stable or meta-stable weakly coupled effective field theory up to very high scales (possibly up to the Planck scale) without adding new particles."

N. Arkani-Hamed, Future circular collider kick-off meeting, Geneva, February 12-14 41

But this cannot be the end of the story...

- 1. Neutrino oscillations require new degrees of freedom with respect to SM
- 2. Baryon asymmetry of the universe:
- \rightarrow Measurements from BBN and CMB $~\eta\sim 6x10^{-10}$
- \rightarrow Current measured CP violation in quark sector: $\eta \sim 10^{-20}$
- 3. Dark matter from indirect gravitation observations
- \rightarrow Non baryon, neutral, stable or long lived

....many extensions of the SM predict weakly interacting long-lived objects **below the ew-scale**...

But this cannot be the end of the story...

- 1. Neutrino oscillations require new degrees of freedom with respect to SM
- 2. Baryon asymmetry of the universe:
- \rightarrow Measurements from BBN and CMB $~\eta\sim 6x10^{-10}$
- \rightarrow Current measured CP violation in quark sector: $\eta \sim 10^{-20}$
- 3. Dark matter from indirect gravitation observations
- \rightarrow Non baryon, neutral, stable or long lived

....many extensions of the SM predict weakly interacting long-lived objects **below the ew-scale**...



... perhaps we are simply looking at the wrong place...?

Ptolomy (~90-168 AD):

It is a good principle to explain phenomena by the simplest hypothesis possible!



A possible extension of the Standard Model: the vMSM

Introduction of three new fundamental fermions, right handed Majorana Heavy Neutral leptons, N_1 , N_2 and N_3 :



N = Heavy Neutral Lepton - HNL

Role of N_1 with mass in keV region: dark matter

Role of N_2 , N_3 with mass in 100 MeV – GeV region: "give" masses to neutrinos and produce baryon asymmetry of the Universe Role of the Higgs: give masses to quarks, leptons, Z and W and inflate the Universe.

Search for Majorana's Neutrinos in B decays



E. Majorana, Nuovo Cimento 14 (1937) 171 J.C.Pati and A. Salam, PRD 10 (1974) 275 Gribanov, Kovalenko Nucl.Phys. B 607 (2001) 355

Search for Majorana Neutrinos in $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^- \mu^-$ decays

Limit on the branching fraction can be translated to a model-dependent upper limit on the coupling of a Majorana neutrino with muons, $|V_{\mu4}|$ For each value of m_N are determined using the formula from Atre et al.[arXiv:0901.3589]

$$\mathcal{B}(B^- \to \pi^+ \mu^- \mu^-) = \frac{G_F^4 f_B^2 f_\pi^2 m_B^5}{128\pi^2 \hbar} |V_{ub} V_{ud}|^2 \tau_B \left(1 - \frac{m_N^2}{m_B^2}\right) \frac{m_N}{\Gamma_N} |V_{\mu 4}|^4,$$

where: $\Gamma_N = \left[3.95m_N^3 + 2.00m_N^5 (1.44m_N^3 + 1.14)\right] 10^{-13} |V_{\mu 4}|^2,$



Search for Majorana Neutrinos in $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^- \mu^-$ decays

With this result LHCb join the search for Heavy Neutral Leptons performed all around the world, both at colliders and fixed target experiments.



Search for Majorana Neutrinos in $B^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^- \mu^-$ decays

But – unfortunately! – well above the favored region by the baryon asymmetry of the universe....



Conclusions

- We did not observe so far any deviation from SM predictions in the flavor sector:
 - \rightarrow either new physics is very heavy;
 - \rightarrow or it mimics the SM in its flavor-breaking pattern;

(Isidori @whatnext)

 \rightarrow or it is simply **below the Fermi scale** and does not couple with quarks and charged leptons..and we are simply looking at the wrong place....





LHCb-PAPER-2014-006 (almost) in circulation

The isospin asymmetry is defined as:

$$A_{I} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{0} \to K^{(*)0}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}) - \frac{\tau_{0}}{\tau_{+}}\mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \to K^{(*)\pm}\mu^{+}\mu^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{0} \to K^{(*)0}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}) + \frac{\tau_{0}}{\tau_{+}}\mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \to K^{(*)\pm}\mu^{+}\mu^{-})}$$

At leading order, isospin asymmetries (which involve the spectator quark) are expected to be zero in the SM



LHCb-PAPER-2014-006 (almost) in circulation

The isospin asymmetry is defined as:

$$A_{I} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{0} \to K^{(*)0}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}) - \frac{\tau_{0}}{\tau_{+}}\mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \to K^{(*)\pm}\mu^{+}\mu^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{0} \to K^{(*)0}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}) + \frac{\tau_{0}}{\tau_{+}}\mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \to K^{(*)\pm}\mu^{+}\mu^{-})}$$

At leading order, isospin asymmetries (which involve the spectator quark) are expected to be zero in the SM. Isospin breaking effects are sub-leading m_q/m_b effects, which are difficult to estimate due to unknown power corrections.



The small isospin asymmetry predicted in the SM O(1%) is due to initial state radiation of the spectator quark, which is different between the neutral and charged decays (Lyon, Zwicky: PRD 88 (2013) 094004).

LHCb-PAPER-2014-006 (almost) in circulation

9

The isospin asymmetry is defined as:

$$A_{I} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{0} \to K^{(*)0}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}) - \frac{\tau_{0}}{\tau_{+}}\mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \to K^{(*)\pm}\mu^{+}\mu^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{0} \to K^{(*)0}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}) + \frac{\tau_{0}}{\tau_{+}}\mathcal{B}(B^{\pm} \to K^{(*)\pm}\mu^{+}\mu^{-})}$$

NP can modify the isospin asymmetry at low dimuon invariant mass squared (q^2) :



Example of a diagram from exotic gauge bosons (Ishida, Koide arXiv: 1305.7342)

Previous experimental evidence that A_I is not SM like:

- Babar had a deviation in both $B \to K^* \, \mu \mu$ and $B \to K \mu \mu$ at low q^2
- LHCb had a deviation only in $B \rightarrow K \mu\mu$ but at high and low q^2



Babar, PRL 102 (2008) 091803 Belle, PRL 103 (2009) 171801 LHCb, JHEP 07 (2012) 133

LHCb-PAPER-2014-006 (almost) in circulation

New results for $B \rightarrow K\mu\mu$ are now compatible with the SM and compatible with Belle and Babar (with hints of deviations at low q², though..)



We assume A_I constant with q^2 ; the χ^2 of this hypothesis compared with the χ^2 wrt to zero is taken as a test statistics and compared with pseudo-experiments generated with $A_I=0$;

The global p-value is 11% assuming $A_I(B \rightarrow J/\psi h) = 0$ and corresponds to 1.5 σ significance

LHCb-ANA-2013-031 Under review

First observation

of $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \phi K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays



First observation with significances 22 σ and 6.6 σ , respectively. 28 M(K $\pi\pi$) spectrum similar to B⁺ \rightarrow K⁺ $\pi^{-}\pi^{+}\gamma$ but statistics too low to disentangle resonances

LHCb-PAPER-2014-006 (almost) in circulation

New results for $B \rightarrow K\mu\mu$ are now compatible with the SM and compatible with Belle and Babar (with hints of deviations at low q², though..)



 $B \rightarrow K^* \mu \mu$ isospin asymmetry is consistent with zero (like last time).

Rare Decays and the Higgs: $\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu$

