The FDR way to renormalizable and non-renormalizable QFTs

> Roberto Pittau (University of Granada)

Roma, February 10th 2014

The Four Dimensional Renormalization Philosophy

- The FDR approach to QFT defines a four-dimensional and UV-free loop-integration in a way compatible with shift and gauge invariance
- Having done this, the correct results automatically emerge once the theory is fixed in terms of physical observables by means of a finite renormalization relating the parameters of the Lagrangian *L* to measured quantities
- Subtraction of UV infinities encoded in the definition of loop integral

R. P., arXiv:1208.5457 (first paper)
A. M. Donati and R. P., arXiv:1302.5668 (1-loop EW)
R. P., arXiv:1305.0419 (effective theories)
R. P., arXiv:1307.0705 (massless QCD)
A. M. Donati and R. P., arXiv:1311.5500 (2-loop)

FDR is more convenient than DR because

four-dimensional

- order-by-order renormalization avoided (No counterterms and *L* untouched)
- *l*-loop integrals are directly re-usable in (*l*+1)-loop calculations, with no need of further expanding in *e*
- infrared and collinear divergences can be dealt with within the same four-dimensional framework used to cope with the ultraviolet infinities
- it allows a novel interpretation of non-renormalizable theories in which predictivity is restored

Outline

The FDR idea

- Physical interpretation
- Bottom-up: Tests (renormalizable QFTs)
- Top-down: Non-renormalizable QFTs

• Take the *integrand* of a ℓ -loop function

$$J(q_1,\ldots,q_\ell) = J_{\rm INF}(q_1,\ldots,q_\ell) + J_{\rm F,\ell}(q_1,\ldots,q_\ell)$$

• To avoid the occurrence of infrared divergences due to this separation

$$+i0 = -\mu^2$$

and $\mu \rightarrow 0$ outside integration

- The loop *integrands* in $J_{INF}(q_1, ..., q_\ell)$ allowed to depend on μ , but not on physical scales \Rightarrow physics in $J_{F,\ell}(q_1, ..., q_\ell)$
- The FDR integral over $J(q_1,\ldots,q_\ell)$ is defined as

$$\int \left[d^4 q_1\right] \dots \left[d^4 q_\ell\right] J(q_1, \dots, q_\ell) \equiv \lim_{\mu \to 0} \int d^4 q_1 \dots d^4 q_\ell J_{\mathrm{F},\ell}(q_1, \dots q_\ell)$$

2-loop example

R

$$J^{\alpha\beta}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{q_1^{\alpha} q_1^{\beta}}{\bar{D}_1^3 \bar{D}_2 \bar{D}_{12}}$$
$$\bar{D}_1 = \bar{q}_1^2 - m_1^2 \quad \bar{D}_2 = \bar{q}_2^2 - m_2^2 \quad \bar{D}_{12} = \bar{q}_{12}^2 - m_{12}^2$$
$$q_{12} = q_1 + q_2 \qquad \bar{q}_j^2 = q_j^2 - \mu^2$$

Needed denominator expansion (FDR defining expansion) with

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\bar{D}_{j}} &= \frac{1}{\bar{q}_{j}^{2}} + \frac{m_{j}^{2}}{\bar{q}_{j}^{2}\bar{D}_{j}} \qquad \frac{1}{\bar{q}_{12}^{2}} = \frac{1}{\bar{q}_{2}^{2}} - \frac{q_{1}^{2} + 2(q_{1} \cdot q_{2})}{\bar{q}_{2}^{2}\bar{q}_{12}^{2}} \\ J^{\alpha\beta}(q_{1}, q_{2}) &= q_{1}^{\alpha}q_{1}^{\beta}\left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\bar{q}_{1}^{6}\bar{q}_{2}^{2}\bar{q}_{12}^{2}}\right] + \left(\frac{1}{\bar{D}_{1}^{3}} - \frac{1}{\bar{q}_{1}^{6}}\right)\left(\left[\frac{1}{\bar{q}_{2}^{4}}\right] - \frac{q_{1}^{2} + 2(q_{1} \cdot q_{2})}{\bar{q}_{2}^{4}\bar{q}_{12}^{2}}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\bar{D}_{1}^{3}\bar{q}_{2}^{2}\bar{D}_{12}}\left(\frac{m_{2}^{2}}{\bar{D}_{2}} + \frac{m_{12}^{2}}{\bar{q}_{12}^{2}}\right) \right\} \end{split}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} J_{\rm INF}^{\alpha\beta}(q_1,q_2) &= q_1^{\alpha} q_1^{\beta} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\bar{q}_1^6 \bar{q}_2^2 \bar{q}_{12}^2} \right] + \left(\frac{1}{\bar{D}_1^3} - \frac{1}{\bar{q}_1^6} \right) \left[\frac{1}{\bar{q}_2^4} \right] \right\} \\ J_{\rm F,2}^{\alpha\beta}(q_1,q_2) &= q_1^{\alpha} q_1^{\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{D}_1^3 \bar{q}_2^2 \bar{D}_{12}} \left(\frac{m_2^2}{\bar{D}_2} + \frac{m_{12}^2}{\bar{q}_{12}^2} \right) \\ &- \left(\frac{1}{\bar{D}_1^3} - \frac{1}{\bar{q}_1^6} \right) \frac{q_1^2 + 2(q_1 \cdot q_2)}{\bar{q}_2^4 \bar{q}_{12}^2} \right\} \end{split}$$

And

$$\int [d^4q_1] [d^4q_2] \frac{q_1^{\alpha} q_1^{\beta}}{\bar{D}_1^3 \bar{D}_2 \bar{D}_{12}} = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \int d^4q_1 d^4q_2 \underbrace{J_{\mathrm{F},2}^{\alpha\beta}(q_1, q_2)}_{q_1^{\alpha} q_1^{\beta}} \underbrace{J_{\mathrm{F},2}^{\beta\beta}(q_1, q_2)}_{q_1^{\beta}} \underbrace{J_{\mathrm{F},2}^{\beta\beta}(q_1, q_2)}_{q$$

Formal properties of the FDR integration

- i) invariance under shift of any integration variable
- ii) simplifications among numerators and denominators

i) + ii) guarantee Gauge Invariance: usual manipulations hold at the integrand level (any graphical proof of Ward Identities holds)

i)

FDR integrals as finite differences of shift invariant UV divergent integrals

$$\int [d^4 q_1] \dots [d^4 q_\ell] J(\{q_i\}) = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \int d^n q_1 \dots d^n q_\ell \left(J(\{q_i\}) - J_{\text{INF}}(\{q_i\}) \right)$$

r.h.s. regulated in dimensional regularization

ii)

By construction, provided any q_i^2 appearing in the numerator from Feynman rules is also considered as $\bar{q}_i^2 = q_i^2 - \mu^2|_i$. For example, $(\bar{D}_1 = \bar{q}_i^2 - m_1^2)$

$$\int [d^4q_1] [d^4q_2] \frac{\bar{q}_1^2}{\bar{D}_1^3 \bar{D}_2 \bar{D}_{12}} = \int [d^4q_1] [d^4q_2] \frac{1}{\bar{D}_1^2 \bar{D}_2 \bar{D}_{12}} + m_1^2 \int [d^4q_1] [d^4q_2] \frac{1}{\bar{D}_1^3 \bar{D}_2 \bar{D}_{12}}$$

It works only if in front of the μ^2 term the same denominator expansion used for q_1^2 is performed

$$\int [d^4q_1] [d^4q_2] \frac{\mu^2|_1}{\bar{D}_1^3 \bar{D}_2 \bar{D}_{12}} = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \int d^4q_1 d^4q_2 \,\mu^2 \Big\{ \cdots \Big\}$$

Only one μ^2 exists: $|_1$ only denotes the expansion to be performed

For consistency, irreducible tensor decomposition works as in the following example

$$\int [d^4q_1] [d^4q_2] \frac{q_1^{\alpha} q_1^{\beta}}{\bar{D}_1^3 \bar{D}_2 \bar{D}_{12}} = \frac{g^{\alpha\beta}}{4} \int [d^4q_1] [d^4q_2] \frac{q_1^2}{\bar{D}_1^3 \bar{D}_2 \bar{D}_{12}} \\ = \left(\frac{g^{\alpha\beta}}{4} \int [d^4q_1] [d^4q_2] \frac{\bar{q}_1^2 + \mu^2|_1}{\bar{D}_1^3 \bar{D}_2 \bar{D}_{12}}\right)$$

Here the q_1^2 is not deformed together with the denominators because it appears after tensor reduction

•

Dependence on μ

$$\int [d^4q_1] \dots [d^4q_\ell] J(\{q_i\}) = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \int d^n q_1 \dots d^n q_\ell \left(J(\{q_i\}) - J_{\text{INF}}(\{q_i\}) \right)$$

- First term in r.h.s. does not depend on μ , because $\lim_{\mu \to 0}$ can be moved inside integration
- ⁽²⁾ Any polynomially divergent integral in $J_{\rm INF}$ cannot contribute either, being proportional to positive powers of μ
- μ dependence of the l.h.s. entirely due to powers of $\ln(\mu/\mu_R)$ generated by the logarithmically divergent subtracted integrals
- a) FDR integrals depend on μ logarithmically
- b) if all powers of $\ln(\mu/\mu_R)$ are moved to the l.h.s. $\lim_{\mu\to 0}$ formally taken by trading $\ln(\mu)$ for $\ln(\mu_r)$

FDR integrals do not depend on any cut off but only on the renormalization scale μ_R

• 1-loop example (with cutoff regulator, DR gives the same ln)

$$J(q) = \frac{1}{(\bar{q}^2 - m_0^2)((q+p)^2 - m_1^2 - \mu^2)} = \left[\frac{1}{\bar{q}^4}\right] + J_{\mathrm{F},1}(q)$$

$$\lim_{\mu \to 0} \int_{\Lambda} d^4 q \left[\frac{1}{\bar{q}^4} \right] = \lim_{\mu \to 0} 2i\pi^2 \left(\int_0^{\mu_R} dq + \int_{\mu_R}^{\Lambda} dq \right) \frac{q^3}{(q^2 + \mu^2)^2}$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$-i\pi^2 \left(1 + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_R^2} \right)$$

• μ_R can also be thought as an arbitrary separation scale from the UV regime

$$\int [d^4q] J(q) = -i\pi^2 \int_0^1 dx \ln\left(\frac{m_0^2 x + m_1^2(1-x) - p^2 x(1-x)}{\mu_R^2}\right)$$

is cutoff independent

In summary, the symbol
$$\int [d^4q]$$
 means

- Use partial fraction to move all divergences in vacuum integrands treating \bar{q}^2 globally
- Orop all divergent vacuum terms from the integrand
- (3) Integrate over d^4q
- **③** Take $\mu \rightarrow 0$ until a logarithmic dependence on μ is reached
- Sompute the result in $\mu = \mu_R \ (\mu \to \mu_R \text{ in } [d^4q] \text{ definition})$

FDR Interpretation Bottom-up Top-down Summary

Physical Interpretation

QFTs vs UV cutoff (I)



QFTs vs UV cutoff (II)



QFTs vs UV cutoff (III)



What is the cost of throwing away infinities?

- No cost for polynomially divergent infinities (decoupling)
- Only logarithmic infinities influence the physical spectrum $(\mu_R \text{ pops up in physical observables when separating them})$
- \bullet Physics at Λ_{UV} scale manifests itself only logarithmically at lower energies

$$\ln(M_{\rm Higgs}/{\rm GeV}) \sim 5$$

 $\ln(M_{\rm Plank}/{\rm GeV}) \sim 44$

Hierarchy problem with no BSM particles?

Classification

independent of the number of external legs!



2 At 2 loops $\left[\frac{1}{\bar{q}_1^4 \bar{q}_2^2 \bar{q}_{12}^2}\right]$

Sive additional log divergent vacuum integrands at 3 loops

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \overline{\tilde{q}_1^2 \tilde{q}_2^2 \tilde{q}_3^2 \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{13}^2 ((q_2 - q_3)^2 - \mu^2)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \overline{\tilde{q}_1^2 \tilde{q}_3^2 \tilde{q}_2^4 \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{23}^2} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \overline{\tilde{q}_1^4 \tilde{q}_2^2 \tilde{q}_3^2 \tilde{q}_{12}^2 \tilde{q}_{123}^2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \overline{\tilde{q}_1^4 \tilde{q}_2^4 \tilde{q}_3^2 \tilde{q}_{123}^2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \overline{\tilde{q}_1^6 \tilde{q}_2^2 \tilde{q}_3^2 \tilde{q}_{123}^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Corresponding 1-, 2- and 3-loop log topologies



Divergent tensor integrands are reducible to combinations of those topologies plus finite constants • Infinities are directly put into the vacuum, rather than in the parameter of the Lagrangian

Order by order vacuum redefinition dubbed Topological Renormalization

• The vacuum back-reacts by trading the cutoff μ for μ_R , which, however, drops after a **Finite Renormalization**

The vacuum is by far more efficient in accommodating infinities than the Lagrangian

Vacuum inside loops (pictorially)



(b) and (c) are **Vacuum Bubbles** generated by the generic diagram (a) contributing to the interaction

Finite Renormalization

• Only *finite* $\ln^j(\mu_R)$ remain

(generated when subtracting log divergent vacuum integs)

- Reabsorbed in physical parameters when fixing the theory:
 - NO order-by-order renormalization necessary! NO counterterms!
- \bullet At 1-loop equivalent to Dimensional Reduction in the $\overline{\rm MS}$ scheme

Consider the Lagrangian of a renormalizable QFT dependent on mparameters p_i (i = 1 : m) $\mathcal{L}(p_1, \dots, p_m)$

Before an observable $\mathcal{O}_{m+1}^{\mathrm{TH}}$ can be calculated, p_i must be fixed by means of m measurements

$$\mathcal{O}_i^{\mathrm{TH}}(p_1,\ldots,p_m) = \mathcal{O}_i^{\mathrm{EXP}}$$

which determine p_i in terms of observables $\mathcal{O}_i^{\text{EXP}}$ and corrections computed at the loop level ℓ one is working:

$$p_i = p_i^{\ell-loop}(\mathcal{O}_1^{\mathrm{EXP}}, \dots, \mathcal{O}_m^{\mathrm{EXP}}) \equiv \bar{p}_i$$

Then

$$\mathcal{O}_{m+1}^{\mathrm{TH}}(\bar{p}_1,\ldots,\bar{p}_m) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_{m+1}^{\mathrm{TH}}(\bar{p}_1,\ldots,\bar{p}_m)}{\partial \mu_R} = 0$$

is a finite prediction of the QFT

TEST0: The ABJ anomaly



$$p^{\alpha}T_{\alpha\nu\lambda} = -i\frac{e^2}{4\pi^4} \operatorname{Tr}[\gamma_5 \not\!\!\!/ _2\gamma_\lambda\gamma_\nu \not\!\!\!/ _1] \int [d^4q] \, \mu^2 \frac{1}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \bar{D}_2}$$

$$p^{\alpha}T_{\alpha\nu\lambda} = \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2} \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma_5 \not\!\!/ _2 \gamma_\lambda \gamma_\nu \not\!\!/ _1]$$

TEST1: $H \to \gamma(k_1^{\mu}) \, \gamma(k_2^{\nu})$ (generic R_{ξ} gauge)

Alice M. Donati and R.P., arXiv:1302.5668 [hep-ph]



$$\mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu}(\beta,\eta) = \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{W}(\beta) + \sum_{f} N_{c}Q_{f}^{2} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{f}(\eta)\right) T^{\mu\nu}$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = k_{1}^{\nu}k_{2}^{\mu} - (k_{1} \cdot k_{2}) g^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{W}(\beta) = \frac{ie^{3}}{(4\pi)^{2}s_{W}M_{W}} \left[2 + 3\beta + 3\beta(2-\beta)f(\beta)\right]$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{f}(\eta) = \frac{-ie^{3}}{(4\pi)^{2}s_{W}M_{W}} 2\eta \left[1 + (1-\eta)f(\eta)\right]$$

$$f(x) = -\frac{1}{4}\ln^2\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-x+i\varepsilon}}{-1+\sqrt{1-x+i\varepsilon}}\right)$$

NOTE:

$$\int [d^4q] \frac{\bar{q}^2 g_{\mu\nu} - 4q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{(\bar{q}^2 - M^2)^3} = \int [d^4q] \frac{-\mu^2}{(\bar{q}^2 - M^2)^3} g_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} g_{\mu\nu}$$

TEST2: gluonic corrections to $\Gamma(\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$

Alice M. Donati and R.P., arXiv:1311.5500



12 diagrams

٢

Important facts

 $\mathcal{M}^{(2-loop)} = \underbrace{\mathcal{M}^{(1-loop)}}_{i\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi}\right) \quad (\text{when } m_{\text{top}} \to \infty)$

- No integral by integral correspondence between DR and FDR and results coincide only at the very end
- $\bullet~{\rm If}~m_{\rm top} \to \infty~{\rm no}$ renormalization (of sub-divergences) needed in FDR
- \bullet This understood because FDR avoids spurious $\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon}$ terms from the beginning
- In DR no renormalization would give a wrong result



$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 \times \delta m & \text{in FDR} \quad \text{with } \delta m \propto \ln \mu_r \\ \\ \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \times \delta m & \text{in DR} & \text{with } \delta m \propto 1/\epsilon \end{array} \right.$$

Simple QED example

In DR, the corresponding two-loop computation requires the addition of one-loop counterterms such that $\Pi_{-1}\Pi_1$ is avoided

Therefore, at two loops, up to terms $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$

In FDR, the product of two one-loop diagrams is simply the product of the two finite parts, so that one directly obtains

$$\bigvee \bigvee \bigvee \bigvee = i T_{\alpha\beta} \Pi^2_{\rm FDR}(p^2)$$

with $\Pi_{\rm FDR}(p^2) = \Pi_0$

- The previous example also shows that ℓ-loop integrals are directly re-usable in (ℓ+1)-loop calculations
- For instance, the two-loop factorizable FDR integral

$$\int \frac{[d^4q_1]}{(\bar{q}_1^2 - m_1^2)^{\alpha}} \times \int \frac{[d^4q_2]}{(\bar{q}_2^2 - m_2^2)^{\beta}}$$

is simply the product of two one-loop FDR integrals

• That is not the case in DR, where further expanding in ϵ is required

TEST3: $\Gamma(\mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{gg})$

R. P., arXiv:1307.0705 [hep-ph]

- FDR is used to compute the NLO QCD corrections to $H \rightarrow gg$ in the large top mass limit
- The well known fully inclusive result

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{gg}) = \Gamma^{(0)}(\alpha_S(M_H^2)) \left[1 + \frac{95}{4} \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} \right]$$

is re-derived, where

$$\Gamma^{(0)}(\alpha_S(M_H^2)) = \frac{G_F \alpha_S^2(M_H^2)}{36\sqrt{2}\pi^3} M_H^3$$

• UV, IR and CL divergences, besides α_S renormalization

The Model



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{4} A H G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu}$$

$$A = \frac{\alpha_S}{3\pi v} \left(1 + \frac{11}{4} \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} \right)$$

where v is the vacuum expectation value, $v^2 = (G_F \sqrt{2})^{-1}$

Generated Feynman Rules



V and X as in QCD and $H^{\mu\nu}(p_1, p_2) = g^{\mu\nu}p_1 \cdot p_2 - p_1^{\nu}p_2^{\mu}$

Contributing Diagrams



FDR vs CL/UV Virtual Infinities

• CL/UV singularities also regulated by μ^2 , e.g.

$$B^{\rm FDR}(p^2=0,0,0) = \int [d^4q] \frac{1}{\bar{q}^2((q+p)^2 - \mu^2)} = \mathbf{0}!$$

• Due to a cancellation between CL and UV regulators

$$B^{\rm FDR}(p^2,0,0) = -i\pi^2 \lim_{\mu \to 0} \int_0^1 dx \, \left[\ln(\mu^2 - p^2 x(1-x)) - \ln(\mu^2) \right]$$

• Should be matched in the treatment of the Reals

The Virtual Part

• Overlapping CL/IR infinities also regulated by μ^2 . If $\bar{D}_i = (q + p_i)^2 - \mu^2$ with $p_i^2 = 0$:

$$C(s) = \int [d^4q] \frac{1}{\bar{q}^2 \bar{D}_1 \bar{D}_2} = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \int d^4q \frac{1}{\bar{q}^2 \bar{D}_1 \bar{D}_2}$$
$$= \frac{i\pi^2}{s} \left[\frac{\ln^2(\mu_0) - \pi^2}{2} + i\pi \ln(\mu_0) \right]$$
$$s = M_H^2 = -2(p_1 \cdot p_2) \text{ with } (\mu_0 = \mu^2/s)$$

$$\Gamma_V(\mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{gg}) = -3 \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} \Gamma^{(0)}(\alpha_S) M_H^2 \mathcal{R}e\left[\frac{C(M_H^2)}{i\pi^2}\right]$$

The Real Part (off-shell momenta)



• The matrix element squared reads (diagrams R_1 and R_2)

$$|M|^{2} = 192 \pi \alpha_{S} A^{2} \left[\frac{s_{23}^{3}}{s_{12}s_{13}} + \frac{s_{13}^{3}}{s_{12}s_{23}} + \frac{s_{12}^{3}}{s_{13}s_{23}} + \frac{2(s_{13}^{2} + s_{23}^{2}) + 3s_{13}s_{23}}{s_{12}} + \frac{2(s_{12}^{2} + s_{23}^{2}) + 3s_{12}s_{23}}{s_{13}} + \frac{2(s_{12}^{2} + s_{13}^{2}) + 3s_{12}s_{13}}{s_{23}} + 6(s_{12} + s_{13} + s_{23}) \right]$$

• To be integrated over the μ -massive 3-body PS

$$\int d\Phi_3 = \frac{\pi^2}{4s} \int ds_{12} ds_{13} ds_{23} \,\delta(s - s_{12} - s_{13} - s_{23} + 3\mu^2)$$

•
$$\frac{1}{s_{ij}s_{jk}}$$
 generate $\ln^2(\mu^2)$ terms of IR/CL origin $\frac{1}{s_{ij}}$ collinear $\ln(\mu^2)$ s

• By introducing the dimensionless variables (x + y + z = 1)

$$x = \frac{s_{12}}{s} - \mu_0$$
, $y = \frac{s_{13}}{s} - \mu_0$, $z = \frac{s_{23}}{s} - \mu_0$

$$I(s) = \int_R dx dy \, \frac{1}{(x+\mu_0)(y+\mu_0)} \,, \ \ J_p(s) = \int_R dx dy \, \frac{x^p}{(y+\mu_0)} \,$$

• Then
$$(\mu_0 = \mu^2/s)$$

$$I(s) \sim \frac{\ln^2(\mu_0) - \pi^2}{2}$$

$$J_p(s) \sim -\frac{1}{p+1}\ln(\mu_0) - \frac{1}{p+1}\left[\frac{1}{p+1} + 2\sum_{n=1}^{p+1}\frac{1}{n}\right]$$

• Finally

$$\Gamma_{R}(\mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{ggg}) = 3\frac{\alpha_{S}}{\pi} \Gamma^{(0)}(\alpha_{S}) \times \left[\frac{1}{4} + I(M_{H}^{2}) - \frac{3}{2}J_{0}(M_{H}^{2}) - J_{2}(M_{H}^{2})\right]$$

and

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(\mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{gg}) &= \Gamma_V(\mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{gg}) + \Gamma_R(\mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{ggg}) \\ &= \Gamma^{(0)}(\alpha_S) \left[1 + \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} \left(\frac{95}{4} - \frac{11}{2} \ln \frac{M_H^2}{\mu^2} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

α_S Renormalization

- The residual μ^2 is a universal dependence on the renormalization scale ($\mu = \mu_R$)
- $\ln(\mu_R^2)$ can be reabsorbed in the gluonic running of the strong coupling constant (Finite Renormalization)

$$\Gamma^{(0)}(\alpha_S) \rightarrow \Gamma^{(0)}(\alpha_S(\mu_R^2))$$

$$\alpha_S(M_H^2) = \frac{\alpha_S(\mu_R^2)}{1 + \frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} \frac{11}{2} \ln \frac{M_H^2}{\mu_R^2}}$$

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{gg}) = \Gamma^{(0)}(\alpha_S(M_H^2)) \left[1 + \frac{95}{4} \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} \right]$$

quod erat demostrandum

Non-renormalizable QFTs

Extending the FDR framework to a non-renormalizable QFTs described by a Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{NR} :

Combinations of observables in which μ_R disappears unambiguously predicted by \mathcal{L}_{NR} . E. g. (at one loop)

$$\mathcal{O}_{m+1}^{\mathrm{TH}} = \alpha \ln(\mu_{R}) + k_{1}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{m+2}^{\mathrm{TH}} = \beta \ln(\mu_{R}) + k_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Predictable}}^{\mathrm{TH}} = \frac{\mathcal{O}_{m+1}^{\mathrm{TH}}}{\alpha} - \frac{\mathcal{O}_{m+1}^{\mathrm{TH}}}{\beta} = \frac{k_{1}}{\alpha} - \frac{k_{2}}{\beta}$$

• In principle *just one* additional measurement needed to fix μ_R , by solving

$$\mathcal{O}_{m+2}^{\rm TH}(\bar{p}_1,\ldots,\bar{p}_m,\ln(\mu_R'))=\mathcal{O}_{m+2}^{\rm EXP}$$
 and setting $\mu_R=\mu_R'$ in $\mathcal{O}_{m+1}^{\rm TH}$

Predictivity restored in the infinite loop limit

Important facts

- It is crucial that, in FDR, the original cut-off $\mu \to 0$ is traded with an adjustable scale μ_R
- 2 One has to assume that the solution for μ'_R still allows a perturbative treatment, i.e.

 $|g^2 \ln \mu_R'| < 1$

where g is the coupling constant of the theory

Strategy NOT verified in practice: more investigation needed

• Meaning of the extra measurement: disentangling the effects of the unknown UV completion of \mathcal{L}_{NR} - parametrized with a logarithmic dependence on μ_R - from the physical spectrum

Conclusions

- Based on the FDR classification of the UV infinities a new interpretation of the renormalization procedure is possible
- One subtracts the divergences directly at the level of the integrand (order by order re-definition of the vacuum)
- Results of renormalizable QFTs reproduced (but only finite and global renormalization left, with *L* untouched)
- It is postulated that in non-renormalizable QFTs ONE additional measurement can fix the theory, which becomes predictive without modifying the original Lagrangian
- Focus moved from occurrence of UV infinities to consistency of the QFT at hand (does it reproduce data?)
- Working in four dimensions enhances potential of numerical approaches (in progress)

Thank you!

Backup slides

"Gauge invariance implies a tight interplay between the numerator of an integrand and its denominator. Changing either of the two will generally destroy gauge invariance."

Veltman (1974)

Shift invariance (one-loop example)

Given

$$\bar{D} = q^2 - M^2 - \mu^2 \bar{D}_p = (q+p)^2 - M^2 - \mu^2$$

and

$$\begin{split} I^{(0)} &= \int [d^4q] \frac{1}{\bar{D}^2} \,, \qquad I^{(0)}_p = \int [d^4q] \frac{1}{\bar{D}_p^2} \\ I^{(2)} &= \int [d^4q] \frac{1}{\bar{D}} \,, \qquad I^{(2)}_p = \int [d^4q] \frac{1}{\bar{D}_p} \end{split}$$

I prove that

$$I^{(0)} = I_p^{(0)}$$
 and $I^{(2)} = I_p^{(2)}$

Roberto Pittau, U. of Granada FDR & QFTs

$$I^{(0)} = I_p^{(0)}$$

From the FDR defining expansions one obtains

$$\frac{1}{\overline{D}^2} = \left[\frac{1}{\overline{q}^4}\right] + J_F^{(0)}$$
$$\frac{1}{\overline{D}_p^2} = \left[\frac{1}{\overline{q}^4}\right] + J_{F,p}^{(0)}$$

Then

$$I^{(0)} = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \int d^n q \left(\frac{1}{\bar{D}^2} - \frac{1}{\bar{q}^4}\right) = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \int d^n q \left(\frac{1}{\bar{D}_p^2} - \frac{1}{\bar{q}^4}\right) = I_p^{(0)}$$

$$I^{(2)} = I_p^{(2)}$$

From the FDR defining expansions one obtains

$$\frac{1}{\overline{D}} = \left[\frac{1}{\overline{q}^2}\right] + M^2 \left[\frac{1}{\overline{q}^4}\right] + J_F^{(2)}$$

$$\frac{1}{\overline{D}_p} = \left[\frac{1}{\overline{q}^2}\right] + (M^2 - p^2) \left[\frac{1}{\overline{q}^4}\right] - 2p^\alpha \left[\frac{q_\alpha}{\overline{q}^4}\right] + 4p^\alpha p^\beta \left[\frac{q_\alpha q_\beta}{\overline{q}^6}\right] + J_{F,p}^{(2)}$$

Then

$$I^{(2)} = \lim_{\mu \to 0} \int d^n q \left(\frac{1}{\bar{D}} - \frac{1}{\bar{q}^2} - \frac{M^2}{\bar{q}^4} \right)$$

 ${\rm and}$

$$I_p^{(2)} = I^{(2)} + \underbrace{\int d^n q \left(\frac{p^2}{\bar{q}^4} + 2\frac{(q \cdot p)}{\bar{q}^4} - 4\frac{(q \cdot p)^2}{\bar{q}^6}\right)}_{=0}$$

This is because

$$\int d^{n}q \frac{1}{q^{2} - \mu^{2}} = \int d^{n}q \frac{1}{(q+p)^{2} - \mu^{2}} = \int d^{n}q \frac{1}{q^{2} - \mu^{2}} \left[1 - \left(\underbrace{\frac{p^{2} + 2(q \cdot p)}{\bar{q}^{2}} - 4\frac{(q \cdot p)^{2}}{\bar{q}^{4}}}_{\mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{x} \ \mathbf$$

Then

$$\int d^n q \left(\frac{p^2}{\bar{q}^4} + 2\frac{(q \cdot p)}{\bar{q}^4} - 4\frac{(q \cdot p)^2}{\bar{q}^6} \right) = 0$$

which can also be tested by a direct computation

Naive treatment of scaleless integrals in DR $(n = 4 + \epsilon)$

$$B^{\rm DR}(p^2, 0, 0) = \int d^n q \frac{1}{q^2(q+p)^2} \quad (p^2 = 0)$$

$$\frac{1}{(q+p)^2} = \frac{1}{q^2 - M^2} - \left(\frac{1}{q^2 - M^2} - \frac{1}{(q+p)^2}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{q^2 - M^2} - \frac{M^2 + 2(q \cdot p)}{(q^2 - M^2)(q+p)^2}$$

$$B^{\mathrm{DR}}(p^2, 0, 0) = \underbrace{\int d^n q \frac{1}{q^2(q^2 - M^2)}}_{\text{defined if } \epsilon < 0} - \underbrace{\int d^n q \frac{M^2 + 2(q \cdot p)}{q^2(q^2 - M^2)(q + p)^2}}_{\text{defined if } \epsilon > 0}$$

They cancel but do they define $B^{\text{DR}}(p^2, 0, 0)$? (no value of ϵ exists where they are defined simultaneously)

Roberto Pittau, U. of Granada FDR & QFTs