



# Higgs Fermionic Properties at CMS

Joshua Swanson on behalf of the CMS Collaboration La Thuile 2014

## Introduction

- Observation of new boson dates H→ZZ back to July 2012
  - Evidence in decays to γγ, ZZ, WW
  - No significant excess for ττ, bb
- Does this new boson couple to fermions?
  - Is the coupling proportional to their mass?
- Will discuss today searches for H→μμ, ee, bb, ττ
  - Will also briefly discuss ttH searches



#### CMS PAS HIG-13-007

### $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$ search



- SM predicts tiny branching fraction (2.2 X  $10^{-4}$  @  $m_H = 125$  GeV)
- Search done in categories in  $\eta^{\mu}$ ,  $p_t^{\mu\mu}$ , jet multiplicity
- Observed limit 7.4 X SM vs. 5.1 expected at  $m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}$

#### CMS PAS HIG-13-007

4

### $H \rightarrow ee search$

- Branching fraction even smaller then μμ:
  - BR(H  $\rightarrow$  ee)  $\sim 2x10^{-5}$ \*BR(H $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ )
- Done in different categories
   based on η<sup>e</sup> and di-jet tagged
- No significant excess in search region



H(125)→ee/μμ summary (@8 TeV)

 CHN
 Obs. Limit 95%  $\sigma^*BR$  @ 125 GeV

 H $\rightarrow$ ee
 0.038 pb

 H $\rightarrow$ µµ
 0.034 pb

ttH



CMS PAS HIG-13-020  $\mu_{ttH} = 3.7^{+1.6}_{-1.4}$ 



#### ttH search: combination

#### CMS PAS HIG-13-019



- Combination of 3 independent ttH analyses
- Observed limit @  $m_{\rm H} = 125 \text{ GeV } 4.3 \text{X} \sigma_{\rm sm} \text{ vs } 2.9 \text{ expected}$
- Best fit  $\mu_{ttH} = 2.5^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$
- Hint of direct coupling to top quarks

### H→bb Analysis Overview

- Large Branching Fraction at  $m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}$ 
  - Large SM backgrounds
  - B-Tagging presents challenges at the LHC
- Analysis done in 6 topologies:
  - Z(ll)H(bb), Z(vv)H(bb), W(lv)H(bb)
- Published to arXiv:1310.3687 (Oct 2013) and Phys. Rev. D (Jan 2014)
- CMS also has a VBF H $\rightarrow$ bb analysis CMS-PAS-HIG-13-011



### H→bb

- BDT Inputs include kinematics, b-tag information, angles
- Categorized based on p<sub>t</sub>(V) for each channel
- As a cross check to the BDT analysis an analysis fitting to m<sub>jj</sub> is done



Joshua Swanson - Brown University



### H→bb Results

 2.1 σ excess is observed at m<sub>H</sub> = 125 GeV consistent with the expectation for a SM Higgs





- Best fit  $\mu = 1.0 \pm 0.5$ 
  - Consistent in Z(vv)H, Z(ll)H and WH channels

## $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ Analysis Overview

- Overall search includes 19.7 (4.9) fb<sup>-1</sup> @  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV (7 TeV) of LHC data taken with CMS
- Covers 3 leading production modes ggF, VBF, VH
- All ττ decay modes included
  - $\tau_{\mu}\tau_{h}, \tau_{e}\tau_{h}, \tau_{h}\tau_{h}, \tau_{\mu}\tau_{e}, \tau_{\mu}\tau_{\mu}, \tau_{e}\tau_{e}$
- Submitted to arXiv:1401.5041 and JHEP Jan. 2014









10

### Search Categories (Non-VH)

		0-jet	1-jet	2-j m <sub>jj</sub> > 500 GeV IAn <sub>il</sub>   > 3.5	et p <sub>1</sub> <sup>ττ</sup> > 100 GeV m <sub>jj</sub> > 700 GeV  Δη <sub>ii</sub>   > 4.0	• Events split into
μτ <sub>h</sub>	p <sub>⊤</sub> (τ <sub>ь</sub> ) > 45 GeV baseline	high p <sub>T</sub> (τ <sub>h</sub> ) low p <sub>T</sub> (τ <sub>h</sub> )	high $p_T(T_h)$ high $p_T(T_h)$ boost low $p_T(T_h)$	lopse VBF tag	tight VBF tag (2012 only)	Boost Significance
eτ <sub>h</sub>	$p_{T}(T_{h}) > 45 \text{ GeV}$	high p <sub>T</sub> (τ <sub>h</sub> ) Iow p <sub>T</sub> (τ <sub>h</sub> )	$\begin{array}{c} high p_{T}(T_{h}) \\ high p_{T}(T_{h}) \\ boost \\ low p_{T}(T_{h}) \end{array}$	loose VBF tag	tight VBF tag (2012 only)	• Enhance production modes
еµ	p <sub>T</sub> (μ) > 35 GeV	high p <sub>T</sub> (μ)	E <sup>miss</sup> > 30 GeV high p <sub>τ</sub> (μ)	loose VBE tag	tight VBF tag	VBF Modes
ee, µµ	baseline p <sub>τ</sub> (l) > 35 GeV	low p <sub>T</sub> (μ) high p <sub>T</sub> (l)	low p <sub>T</sub> (μ) high p <sub>T</sub> (l)		(2012 only)	<ul> <li>Boosted modes</li> <li>Initial state gluon</li> </ul>
τ.τ.	baseline	low p <sub>T</sub> (l)	low p <sub>T</sub> (l)	2-jet		radiates a jet
	baseline		boost large boost p <sub>T</sub> <sup>T</sup> > 100 GeV	VBF tag /p <sub>T</sub> <sup>TT</sup> > 100 GeV m <sub>ij</sub> > 500 GeV  Δη <sub>ij</sub>   > 3.5		

2/28/14

#### **Dedicated VH Channels**



## $T_e T_\mu$ Channel



- Very clean channel
  - ttbar background reduced with a BDT
- Small branching ratio
- SM  $H \rightarrow$  WW background in Tight VBF category

## $T_e T_h$ Channel



- Tricky  $Z \rightarrow$  ee background
  - $e \rightarrow \tau$  fakes
  - With fake MET peaks in signal region
- QCD Multi-jet background is also problematic
  - Tighter cuts reduces signal yields



Joshua Swanson - Brown University

2/28/14

## $T_h T_h$ Channel



- 7 TeV analysis not done due to trigger thresholds
- Highest branching ratio
- QCD multi-jet background is large

## $T_{\mu}T_{h}$ Channel



- Most sensitive channel
- Smaller QCD/Z $\rightarrow$ ll backgrounds then  $\tau_e \tau_h$
- $S/B \sim 1$  in VBF tight signal region



### **Exclusion Limits**



• Clear presence of an excess of events that is compatible with SM Higgs expectation.

#### **Properties of the Excess**



- Excess of 3.2  $\sigma$  observed compared (M<sub>H</sub> = 125 GeV) to 3.7  $\sigma$  expected
- Scan of negative log likelihood difference gives a mass measurement of  $122 \pm 7$  GeV
  - Compatible with more sensitive mass measurements in  $ZZ,\gamma\gamma$  searches
- Best fit  $\mu = 0.78 \pm 0.27$  (@ m<sub>H</sub> = 125 GeV)

### Combination bb/тт

- Combination of VH(bb) and H→ττ searches
- Submitted to arXiv:1401.6527 and Nature Physics Jan 2014
- Combined excess of 3.8  $\sigma$  for M<sub>H</sub> = 125 GeV compared to an expectation of 4.4  $\sigma$
- Best fit  $\mu = 0.83 \pm 0.24$
- Strong evidence for H(125) decays to fermions



Channel	Signific	Best-fit	
$(m_{\rm H}=125{ m GeV})$	Expected	Observed	μ
$VH \to b\bar{b}$	2.3	2.1	$1.0 \pm 0.5$
$\mathrm{H} \to \tau\tau$	3.7	3.2	$0.78 \pm 0.27$
Combined	4.4	3.8	$0.83 \pm 0.24$



#### CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN

Data recorded: 2012-Jun-05 09:58:43.400262 GMT(11:58:43 CEST) Run / Event: 195552 / 61758463

### Summary

- CMS has well rounded searches covering Higgs Fermionic properties
- Hint of direct coupling of Higgs to top quarks
- 3.2  $\sigma$  excess is observed over the background expectation for H $\rightarrow \tau\tau$ 
  - Best fit  $\mu = 0.78 \pm 0.27$
- Strong evidence for Higgs decays to fermions of 3.8 σ (Combination of bb/ττ searches)
  - Best fit  $\mu = 0.83 \pm 0.24$
- No significant evidence for decays to ee/μμ
  - Strong evidence for flavor nonuniversality

#### Candidate CMS $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ VBF Event



#### BACKUP

#### Acceptance

Channel	HLT requirement	Ι	.epton selecti	on
$\mu \tau_{\rm h}$	$\mu(12-18) \& \tau_{\rm h}(10-20)$	$p_{\rm T}^{\mu} > 17-20$	$ \eta^{\mu}  < 2.1$	$R^{\mu} < 0.1$
,		$p_{\rm T}^{t_{\rm h}} > 30$	$ \eta^{ au_{ m h}}  < 2.4$	$I^{ au_{ m h}} < 1.5$
eτ <sub>h</sub>	e(15–22) & τ <sub>h</sub> (15–20)	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm e} > 20-24$	$ \eta^{\rm e}  < 2.1$	$R^{\rm e} < 0.1$
		$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{ au_{\mathrm{h}}} > 30$	$ \eta^{ au_{ m h}}  < 2.4$	$I^{ au_{ m h}} < 1.5$
$\tau_{\rm h} \tau_{\rm h}$	$ au_{\rm h}(35) \&  au_{\rm h}(35)$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{ au_{\mathrm{h}}} > 45$	$ \eta^{ au_{ m h}}  < 2.1$	$I^{ au_{ m h}} < 1$
(2012 only)	$\tau_{\rm h}(30) \& \tau_{\rm h}(30) \& \text{jet}(30)$	-		
eμ	$e(17) \& \mu(8)$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\ell_1}>20$	$ \eta^{\mu}  < 2.1$	$R^{\ell} < 0.1 - 0.15$
	$e(8) \& \mu(17)$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{ar{\ell}_2} > 10$	$ \eta^{\rm e}  < 2.3$	
μμ	$\mu(17) \& \mu(8)$	$p_{\rm T}^{\hat{\mu}_1} > 20$	$ \eta^{\mu_1}  < 2.1$	$R^{\mu} < 0.1$
		$p_{\rm T}^{{{{ar \mu }_2}}} > 10$	$ \eta^{\mu_2}  < 2.4$	
ee	e(17) & e(8)	$p_{\rm T}^{{ m e}_1} > 20$	$ \eta^{\rm e}  < 2.3$	$R^{\rm e} < 0.1 - 0.15$
		$p_{\rm T}^{{ m e}_2} > 10$		
$\mu + \mu \tau_{\rm h}$	$\mu(17) \& \mu(8)$	$p_{\rm T}^{\mu_1} > 20$	$ \eta^{\mu}  < 2.4$	$R^{\mu} < 0.1$ –0.2
		$p_{\rm T}^{\hat{\mu}_2} > 10$		
		$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{ au_{\mathrm{h}}} > 20$	$ \eta^{ au_{ m h}}  < 2.3$	$I^{ au_{ m h}} < 2$
$e + \mu \tau_h /$	$e(17) \& \mu(8)$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\ell_1}>20$	$ \eta^{\rm e}  < 2.5$	$R^{\ell} < 0.1 - 0.2$
$\mu + e\tau_h$	$e(8) \& \mu(17)$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{ ilde{\ell}_2} > 10$	$ \eta^{\mu}  < 2.4$	
		$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{ au_{\mathrm{h}}} > 20$	$ \eta^{ au_{ m h}}  < 2.3$	$I^{ au_{ m h}} < 2$
$\mu + \tau_{\rm h} \tau_{\rm h}$	$\mu(24)$	$p_{ m T}^{\mu}>24$	$ \eta^{\mu}  < 2.1$	$R^{\mu} < 0.1$
		$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{ au_{h,1}}>25$	$ \eta^{ au_{ m h}}  < 2.3$	$I^{\tau_{\rm h}} < 2 - 3$
		$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{ au_{h,2}}>20$		
$e + \tau_h \tau_h$	$e(20) \& \tau_h(20)$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{e}} > 24$	$ \eta^{\rm e}  < 2.1$	$R^{\rm e} < 0.1 - 0.15$
	$e(22) \& \tau_h(20)$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{ au_{h,1}}>25$	$ \eta^{ au_{ m h}}  < 2.3$	$I^{ au_{ m h}} < 2$
		$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{ au_{h,2}} > 20$		

Resonance	HLT requirement	L	epton selectio	on
$Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$	$\mu(17) \& \mu(8)$	$p_{\rm T}^{\mu_1} > 20$	$ \eta^{\mu}  < 2.4$	$R^{\mu} < 0.3$
		$p_{\rm T}^{\hat{\mu}_2} > 10$		
$Z \rightarrow ee$	e(17) & e(8)	$p_{\rm T}^{\rm e_1} > 20$	$ \eta^{\rm e}  < 2.5$	$R^{\rm e} < 0.3$
		$p_{\rm T}^{{ m e}_2} > 10$		
$H \rightarrow \mu \tau_h$		$p_{\rm T}^{\mu} > 10$	$ \eta^{\mu}  < 2.4$	$R^{\mu} < 0.3$
		$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\hat{ au}_{\mathrm{h}}} > 15$	$ \eta^{ au_{ m h}}  < 2.3$	$I^{ au_{ m h}} < 2$
${ m H}  ightarrow { m e}  au_{ m h}$		$p_{\rm T}^{\rm e} > 10$	$ \eta^{\rm e}  < 2.5$	$R^{\rm e} < 0.2$
		$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{ au_{\mathrm{h}}} > 15$	$ \eta^{\tau_{ m h}}  < 2.3$	$I^{\tau_{\rm h}} < 2$
$H \rightarrow \tau_h \tau_h$		$p_{\rm T}^{ au_{ m h}} > 15$	$ \eta^{\tau_{\rm h}}  < 2.3$	$I^{ au_{ m h}} < 1$
$H \rightarrow e \mu$		$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\ell} > 10$	$ \eta^{\rm e}  < 2.5$	$R^{\ell} < 0.3$
			$ \eta^{\mu}  < 2.4$	

### Yields (1)

<b>``</b>					* ~	~		
	SM Higgs ( $m_{\rm H} = 125  {\rm GeV}$ )						$\sigma_{\rm eff}$	
Event category	ggH	VBF	VH	$\Sigma$ signal	Background	Data	$\frac{S}{S+B}$	(GeV)
$\mu \tau_{\rm h}$					_			
0-jet low- $p_{\rm T}^{\rm Th}$ 7 TeV	23.1	0.2	0.1	$23.5\pm3.4$	$11950\pm590$	11959	0.002	17.4
0-jet low- $p_{\rm T}^{t_{\rm h}}$ 8 TeV	83.0	0.8	0.4	$85.0\pm11.0$	$40800 \pm 1900$	40353	0.003	16.3
0-jet high- $p_{T}^{\tau_{h}}$ 7 TeV	17.5	0.2	0.2	$17.9\pm2.6$	$1595\pm83$	1594	0.022	15.1
0-jet high- $p_{T}^{t_{h}}$ 8 TeV	66.2	0.7	0.6	$67.5\pm9.3$	$5990 \pm 250$	5789	0.020	15.2
1-jet low- $p_{\rm T}^{\tau_{\rm h}}$ 7 TeV	9.1	1.6	0.8	$11.5\pm1.7$	$2020 \pm 120$	2047	0.012	18.8
1-jet low- $p_{T}^{t_{h}}$ 8 TeV	36.0	6.0	3.0	$45.0\pm6.0$	$9030 \pm 360$	9010	0.010	18.6
1-jet high- $p_{T}^{\tau_{h}}$ 7 TeV	7.7	1.1	0.6	$9.4 \pm 1.3$	$796 \pm 39$	817	0.033	19.1
1-jet high- $p_{T}^{t_{h}}$ 8 TeV	29.6	4.3	2.4	$36.3\pm4.6$	$3180 \pm 130$	3160	0.029	19.7
1-jet high- $p_T^{t_h}$ boosted 7 TeV	2.6	0.8	0.5	$3.9\pm0.6$	$282 \pm 16$	269	0.054	17.7
1-jet high- $p_T^{t_h}$ boosted 8 TeV	11.5	2.9	2.0	$16.5\pm2.6$	$1265\pm62$	1253	0.072	17.2
VBF tag 7 TeV	0.2	1.3	_	$1.6\pm0.1$	$22\pm 2$	23	0.14	19.6
Loose VBF tag 8 TeV	1.1	3.4	_	$4.5\pm0.4$	$81\pm7$	76	0.17	17.0
Tight VBF tag 8 TeV	0.3	2.0	_	$2.4\pm0.2$	$15\pm 2$	20	0.49	18.1
eth								
0-jet low- $p_{\rm T}^{\tau_{\rm h}}$ 7 TeV	11.8	0.1	0.1	$12.0\pm1.8$	$6140\pm320$	6238	0.002	16.4
0-jet low- $p_{\rm T}^{\tau_{\rm h}}$ 8 TeV	33.4	0.3	0.2	$34.0\pm4.6$	$16750 \pm 750$	17109	0.002	15.8
0-jet high- $p_{\rm T}^{\tau_{\rm h}}$ 7 TeV	11.1	0.1	0.1	$11.3\pm1.7$	$1159\pm62$	1191	0.015	14.3
0-jet high- $p_{\rm T}^{\tau_{\rm h}}$ 8 TeV	31.4	0.3	0.3	$32.1\pm4.4$	$4380 \pm 170$	4536	0.010	15.4
1-jet low- $p_{\rm T}^{\tau_{\rm h}}$ 7 TeV	3.1	0.6	0.3	$4.0\pm0.6$	$366\pm25$	385	0.029	19.6
1-jet low- $p_{\rm T}^{\tau_{\rm h}}$ 8 TeV	9.1	1.8	1.0	$11.9\pm1.6$	$1200\pm56$	1214	0.025	16.5
1-jet high- $p_{\rm T}^{ au_{\rm h}}$ boosted 7 TeV	1.2	0.3	0.2	$1.8\pm0.3$	$150 \pm 9$	167	0.089	15.5
1-jet high- $p_{\rm T}^{t_{\rm h}}$ boosted 8 TeV	5.1	1.4	0.9	$7.5\pm1.1$	$497\pm27$	476	0.11	15.5
VBF tag 7 TeV	0.2	0.7	_	$0.9\pm0.1$	$14\pm 2$	13	0.24	15.9
Loose VBF tag 8 TeV	0.6	1.8	_	$2.4\pm0.2$	$45\pm4$	40	0.14	16.7
Tight VBF tag 8 TeV	0.3	1.3	-	$1.6\pm0.1$	9 ± 2	7	0.51	16.2
$ au_{ m h} au_{ m h}$								
1-jet boosted 8 TeV	7.2	2.1	1.0	$10.3 \pm 1.7$	$1133 \pm 49$	1120	0.054	15.2
1-jet highly-boosted 8 TeV	5.6	1.6	1.2	$8.4 \pm 1.2$	$380 \pm 23$	366	0.14	13.1
VBF tag 8 TeV	0.5	2.4	-	$3.0 \pm 0.3$	$29 \pm 4$	34	0.32	14.3
$e\mu$								
0-jet low- $p_{\rm T}^{-}$ 7 leV	20.8	0.2	0.2	$21.1 \pm 3.0$	$11320 \pm 260$	11283	0.002	24.4
0-jet low- $p_T^{\prime\prime}$ 8 leV	70.3	0.7	0.7	$71.7 \pm 9.6$	$40410 \pm 830$	40381	0.002	23.6
0-jet high- $p_{\rm T}$ 7 TeV	7.5	0.1	0.1	$7.8 \pm 1.1$	$1636 \pm 55$	1676	0.007	22.7
0-jet high- $p_{T}^{\mu}$ 8 TeV	24.0	0.2	0.5	$24.7 \pm 3.3$	$6000 \pm 150$	6095	0.006	20.7
1-jet low- $p_T^{\mu}$ 7 TeV	9.0	1.6	1.0	$11.7\pm1.5$	$2475\pm74$	2482	0.009	23.7
1-jet low- $p_{T_{\mu}}^{\mu}$ 8 TeV	40.6	6.5	3.7	$50.8\pm 6.1$	$10910 \pm 250$	10926	0.007	23.8
1-jet high- $p_T^{\mu}$ 7 TeV	4.7	1.0	0.6	$6.2\pm0.8$	$928 \pm 37$	901	0.015	23.3
1-jet high- $p_{ m T}^{\mu}$ 8 TeV	18.0	3.4	2.6	$23.9\pm2.9$	$4040\pm110$	4050	0.014	23.1
Loose VBF tag 7 TeV	0.2	1.0	_	$1.2\pm0.1$	$19 \pm 1$	12	0.13	23.0
Loose VBF tag 8 TeV	0.6	2.6	_	$3.3 \pm 0.3$	$99 \pm 6$	112	0.054	23.5
Tight VBF tag 8 TeV	0.2	1.5	-	$1.6 \pm 0.1$	$14 \pm 1$	17	0.31	17.8

Joshua Swanson - Brown University

2/28/14

## Yields (2)

SM Higgs ( $m_{\rm H} = 125 {\rm GeV}$ )							
Event category	ggH	VBF	VH	$\Sigma$ signal	Background	Data	
μμ							
0-jet low- $p_{\rm T}^{\mu}$ 7 TeV	8.0	0.1	0.1	$8.2\pm1.2$	$266200\pm1400$	266365	
0-jet low- $p_{\rm T}^{\mu}$ 8 TeV	25.4	0.3	0.6	$26.4\pm3.8$	$873200\pm2600$	873709	
0-jet high- $p_{\rm T}^{\mu}$ 7 TeV	5.5	0.1	0.3	$5.9\pm0.8$	$982900\pm2100$	982442	
0-jet high- $p_{\rm T}^{\mu}$ 8 TeV	30.6	0.4	3.5	$34.6\pm4.6$	$3775700 \pm 3100$	3776365	
1-jet low- $p_{\rm T}^{\mu}$ 7 TeV	2.5	0.4	0.3	$3.2\pm0.4$	$18680\pm180$	18757	
1-jet low- $p_{\rm T}^{\hat{\mu}}$ 8 TeV	7.0	1.0	0.6	$8.6\pm1.1$	$40900\pm360$	40606	
1-jet high- $p_{\rm T}^{\mu}$ 7 TeV	3.7	1.4	1.9	$7.0\pm0.6$	$233600\pm1200$	234390	
1-jet high- $p_{\rm T}^{\hat{\mu}}$ 8 TeV	15.1	2.2	4.4	$21.7\pm2.3$	$646000\pm2500$	646549	
2-jet 7 TeV	1.4	0.2	0.7	$2.4\pm0.3$	$33260\pm350$	33186	
2-jet 8 TeV	6.3	3.9	2.6	$12.8\pm1.4$	$164100\pm1400$	164469	
ee							
0-jet low- $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{e}}$ 7 TeV	3.6	_	0.1	$3.7\pm0.5$	$190900\pm1000$	190890	
0-jet low- $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{e}}$ 8 TeV	14.3	0.2	0.3	$14.7\pm2.2$	$519440\pm700$	519376	
0-jet high-p <sup>e</sup> <sub>T</sub> 7 TeV	4.0	_	0.5	$4.5\pm0.6$	$819900 \pm 1700$	820035	
0-jet high- $p_{\rm T}^{\rm e}$ 8 TeV	22.3	0.3	2.5	$25.1\pm3.4$	$3225000 \pm 2000$	3225144	
1-jet low- $p_T^e$ 7 TeV	1.5	0.2	0.1	$1.8\pm0.2$	$10268\pm97$	10300	
1-jet low- $p_{\rm T}^{\rm e}$ 8 TeV	4.6	0.6	0.3	$5.5\pm0.7$	$26570\pm180$	26604	
1-jet high- $p_{\rm T}^{\rm e}$ 7 TeV	2.4	0.4	0.6	$3.4\pm0.4$	$144900\pm740$	144945	
1-jet high-p <sup>e</sup> <sub>T</sub> 8 TeV	11.7	1.9	3.2	$16.9\pm1.8$	$560000\pm1900$	560104	
2-jet 7 TeV	1.6	0.6	0.4	$2.6\pm0.4$	$35800\pm280$	35796	
2-jet 8 TeV	5.0	2.8	1.6	$9.4\pm1.1$	$140000\pm1200$	140070	

#### Yields 3

Event category	Signal	Background	Data	$\frac{S}{S+B}$
$\ell\ell + LL'$	0	0		<u> </u>
$\mu\mu + \mu\tau_{\rm h}$ 7 TeV	$0.111\pm0.005$	$2.4\pm0.3$	2	0.103
$\mu\mu + \mu\tau_{\rm h} 8 {\rm TeV}$	$0.427\pm0.021$	$10.5\pm0.6$	12	0.092
$ee + \mu \tau_h 7 \text{ TeV}$	$0.087\pm0.004$	$1.5\pm0.1$	2	0.135
$ee + \mu \tau_h 8 \text{ TeV}$	$0.385\pm0.018$	$7.6\pm0.4$	11	0.149
$\mu\mu + e\tau_h$ 7 TeV	$0.078\pm0.004$	$2.2\pm0.1$	1	0.092
$\mu\mu + e\tau_h 8 \text{ TeV}$	$0.293 \pm 0.014$	$12.2\pm0.6$	8	0.081
$ee + e\tau_h$ 7 TeV	$0.075\pm0.004$	$2.2\pm0.1$	4	0.077
$ee + e\tau_h 8 \text{ TeV}$	$0.279\pm0.013$	$10.2\pm0.5$	13	0.063
$\mu\mu + \tau_{\rm h}\tau_{\rm h}$ 7 TeV	$0.073\pm0.006$	$0.8\pm0.1$	0	0.195
$\mu\mu + \tau_{\rm h}\tau_{\rm h}$ 8 TeV	$0.285\pm0.022$	$5.8\pm0.4$	4	0.150
$ee + \tau_h \tau_h 7 \text{ TeV}$	$0.061\pm0.004$	$1.1\pm0.1$	1	0.127
$ee + \tau_h \tau_h 8 \text{ TeV}$	$0.260\pm0.020$	$4.8\pm0.4$	9	0.148
$\mu\mu + e\mu$ 7 TeV	$0.051\pm0.002$	$1.0\pm0.1$	3	0.100
$\mu\mu + e\mu 8 \text{ TeV}$	$0.202\pm0.008$	$5.1\pm0.3$	9	0.105
$ee + e\mu$ 7 TeV	$0.045\pm0.002$	$1.0\pm0.0$	1	0.077
$ee + e\mu 8 \text{ TeV}$	$0.185\pm0.007$	$4.0\pm0.2$	4	0.082
$\ell + \tau_{\rm h} \tau_{\rm h}$				
$\mu + \tau_{\rm h} \tau_{\rm h}$ 7 TeV	$0.35\pm0.03$	$4.1\pm0.4$	2	0.098
$\mu + \tau_{\rm h} \tau_{\rm h} 8  {\rm TeV}$	$1.57\pm0.12$	$35.2\pm2.1$	38	0.054
$e + \tau_h \tau_h$ 7 TeV	$0.23\pm0.02$	$2.7\pm0.2$	0	0.101
$e + \tau_h \tau_h 8 \text{ TeV}$	$0.87\pm0.08$	$16.5\pm1.1$	15	0.062
$\ell + \ell' \tau_h$				
$\mu + \mu \tau_{\rm h}$ 7 TeV	$0.33\pm0.02$	$3.2\pm0.4$	2	0.090
$\mu + \mu \tau_{\rm h} \log L_{\rm T} 8 {\rm TeV}$	$0.72\pm0.03$	$20.7\pm2.2$	19	0.046
$\mu + \mu \tau_{\rm h}$ high $L_{\rm T}$ 8 TeV	$0.72\pm0.02$	$8.4 \pm 1.3$	7	0.102
$e + \mu \tau_h / \mu + e \tau_h$ 7 TeV	$0.47\pm0.03$	$6.2\pm1.0$	6	0.074
$e + \mu \tau_h / \mu + e \tau_h \text{ low } L_T 8 \text{ TeV}$	$0.92\pm0.03$	$24.6\pm3.2$	30	0.041
$\mathrm{e} + \mu \tau_{\mathrm{h}} / \mu + \mathrm{e} \tau_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{high} L_{\mathrm{T}} 8  \mathrm{TeV}$	$1.15\pm0.04$	$13.9\pm2.0$	11	0.109

## Hadronic T reconstruction



- Tau reconstruction: hadron+strip Particleflow based algorithm to reconstruct different hadronic tau decay modes
- $\tau_h$  identification: efficiency ~ 60% for jet fake rate ~ 1%
- The  $\tau_h$  mass distribution used to control the tau energy-scale within 3%



Joshua Swanson - Brown Univeristy

#### $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ : mass reconstruction

- Di-tau mass estimation uses visible decay products & missing  $E_T$  in a maximum likelihood fit
- The mass resolution is  $\sim 10-20\%$  depending on channel/category



2/28/14

### $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ : background estimation

#### All normalizations are data-driven

#### Ζ→ττ:

embedded samples <u>No MET/JES scale</u> <u>uncertainties</u> Shape estimation and correction for selection efficiencies



#### W+jets:

- Normalization from high m<sub>T</sub> control region
- Shape from MC

#### ttbar:

- Normalization from eµ b-tag control region
- Shape from MC

#### Z→ee/µµ

- Normalization scale factor from tag-and-probe in data
- Shape from MC

#### QCD:

- Normalization from ratio of same-sign(SS) to opposite-sign (OS) data events
- Shape from SS data events

### $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ : Event categorization



## **Systematics**

- Summary of systematic uncertainties
- $\tau_h$  E-Scale <
  - Shape Uncertainty
- Background
   Normalization
  - Vary by category/ channel
- Theory Uncertainties,
- Bin-By-Bin Stat uncertainties

	Uncertainty	Affected Processes	Change in acceptance
	Tau energy scale	signal & sim. backgrounds	shape
	e misidentified as $ au$	$Z \rightarrow ee$	20-74%
	$\mu$ misidentified as $ au$	$Z  ightarrow \mu \mu$	30%
	Jet misidentified as $ au$	Z+jets	20-80%
	Electron ID & trigger	signal & sim. backgrounds	2-6%
	Muon ID & trigger	signal & sim. backgrounds	2-4%
	Electron energy scale	signal & sim. backgrounds	shape
	Jet energy scale	signal & sim. backgrounds	0-20%
	MET scale	signal & sim. backgrounds	1-12%
	Eff. b-jets	signal & sim. backgrounds	0-8%
	Eff. light-flavoured jets	signal & sim. backgrounds	1-3%
	Norm. Z production	Ζ	3%
	Z  ightarrow  au  au category	$Z \to \tau \tau$	2-14%
	Norm. W+jets	W+jets	10-100%
-	Norm. $t\overline{t}$	$t\overline{t}$	8-35%
	Norm. di-boson	di-boson	15%-45%
	Norm. QCD multijet	QCD multijet	6-70%
	Shape QCD multijet	QCD multijet	shape
	Luminosity 7 TeV (8 TeV)	signal & sim. backgrounds	2.2% (2.6%)
	PDF (qq)	signal & sim. backgrounds	4%
A	PDF (gg)	signal & sim. backgrounds	10%
	Scale variation	signal	3-41%
	Underlying event & parton shower	signal	2-10%
4	Limited number of events	all	shape bin-by-bin

### MET and control of W+Jets Background



- $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ : significant improvement in resolution and dependence on pileup
- Crucial for H→ττ analysis: m<sub>ττ</sub> reconstruction and separation of signal from W+jets background using m<sub>T</sub>(μ,E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup>) selections

## $T_e T_e / T_\mu T_\mu$ Channels



#### **Expected Sensitivity**



- $\tau_{\rm u} \tau_{\rm h}$  is the most sensitive channel
- VBF/Boost highest sensitivity categories
  - Good Mass Resolution and smaller backgrounds

### Significance Weighted



 Inset shows difference between observed data and expected background – compared to SM H (125) expectation



#### **Best Fit**





- Overall best fit  $\mu = 0.78 \pm 0.27$
- Standard model like couplings

#### **Best Fit Breakdown**



Significance





- Excess of 3.2  $\sigma$  observed compared (M<sub>H</sub> = 125 GeV) to 3.7  $\sigma$  expected
  - Slightly larger in the case VH is excluded  $(3.4 \sigma \text{ observed})$
- 0.07% chance of background only hypothesis •

## Couplings



• Couplings are compatible with SM expectation

#### Vector and fermion couplings grouped $\kappa_V: \kappa_W = \kappa_Z \quad \kappa_F: \kappa_t = \kappa_b = \kappa_\tau = \kappa_g$

Joshua Swanson - Brown Univeristy